# CHARACTERIZATIONS OF p-NILPOTENT GROUPS

MASAFUMI MURAI

(Received September 16, 1992)

## Introduction

Let G be a finite group and p a prime. For a p-block B of G, let  $Irr^{0}(B)$  be the set of irreducible characters of height 0 in B. Most results in this paper are related with the characters of height 0 in the principal p-block  $B_{0}(G)$ . In section 1 we shall show that G is p-nilpotent if and only if every  $\chi \in Irr^{0}(B_{0}(G))$  is modularly irreducible (Theorem 1.3). This result is in a sense analogous to a theorem of Okuyama and Tsushima [8]. We shall give also a characterization of p-nilpotent groups via weights [1]. In section 2 several normal subgroups associated to Ker  $\chi, \chi \in Irr^{0}(B)$ , are shown to be p-nilpotent. Also p-nilpotent groups are characterized via their character values (Corollary 2.10). In section 3 a question arising from a paper of Ono [9] will be discussed. Throughout this paper (K, R, k) denotes a p-modular system. We assume that K contains the |G|-th roots of unity. The maximal ideal of R is denoted by  $(\pi)$ .

## 1. Characterizations of *p*-nilpotent groups

Let

 $\Lambda(G) = \{\chi; \chi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(B_{0}(G)), o(\det \chi) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\},\$ 

where  $o(\det \chi)$  denotes the determinantal order of  $\chi$ . For an irreducible Brauer character  $\phi$  of G and a subset  $\Lambda$  of  $\Lambda(G)$ , let  $\delta(\Lambda, \phi) = \sum d(\chi, \phi) \chi(1)$ , where  $d(\chi, \phi)$  is the decomposition number and the sum is taken over all  $\chi \in \Lambda$ . For brevity, put  $\delta(G, \phi) = \delta(\Lambda(G), \phi)$ .

The following lemma will be used frequently in the sequel.

**Lemma 1.1.** If  $\delta(G, \phi) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  for some irreducible Brauer character  $\phi$  in  $B_0(G)$  with  $\phi(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , then G is p-nilpotent.

Proof. Put  $N=O^{\flat}(G)$ . Since  $\phi(1)$  is prime to  $p, \psi:=\phi_N$  is irreducible. The same is true for  $\chi \in \Lambda(G)$ , and the restriction gives a bijection from  $\Lambda(G)$  onto the subset  $\Xi$  of G-invariant members of  $\Lambda(N)$ , cf. Corollary 6.28 in Isaacs [5]. From this it follows that  $\delta(G, \phi)=\delta(\Xi, \psi)$ . Now let  $\Psi$  be the character of the projective cover of the module affording  $\psi$ . Since  $\Psi$  and  $\psi$  are *G*-invariant, it follows that  $\Psi(1) \equiv \delta(\Xi, \psi) \pmod{p}$  (consider the natural action of *G* on Irr (*N*)). Hence we get  $\Psi(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , which shows that *N* is a p'-group. This completes the proof.

REMARK 1.2. Although the above lemma was inspired by the proof of Theorem 12.1 in Isaacs [5], it turned out that a similar idea had appeared, cf. the proof of Theorem 2 in Pahlings [10].

**Theorem 1.3.** The following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) G is p-nilpotent.
- (ii)  $l(B_0(G)) = 1$ .
- (iii) Every irreducible character of height 0 in  $B_0(G)$  is linear.
- (iv) Every irreducible character of height 0 in  $B_0(G)$  is modularly irreducible.
- (v)  $\Lambda(G) = \{1_G\}.$

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): This is obvious

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Let  $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(B_0(G))$  and assume that  $\chi(1) > 1$ , then  $\chi(g) = 0$  for some  $g \in G$  (Burnside). If s is the p'-part of g, then  $\chi(g) \equiv \chi(s) \pmod{\pi}$ , and  $\chi(s) = \chi(1)$  by (ii). Hence  $\chi(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , completing the proof.

 $(iii) \Rightarrow (iv):$  Obvious.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Let  $\chi \in \Lambda(G)$ . If  $d(\chi, 1_c) \neq 0$ , (iv) implies that when considered as a Brauer character,  $\chi$  is the trivial Brauer character. In particular  $\chi(1)=1$ and then  $o(\det \chi) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  implies  $\chi=1_c$ . So we get  $\delta(G, 1_c)=1$  and G is *p*-nilpotent by Lemma 1.1.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (v): This is obvious.

(v) $\Rightarrow$ (i): (v) implies  $\delta(G, 1_G) = 1$ , so G is *p*-nilpotent as above.

REMARK. 1.4. The condition (ii) is due to R. Brauer and the condition (iii), which strengthens Thompson's condition [12], is due to Isaacs and Smith [6]. See also Pahlings [10]. In [6] the implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) is proved through a characterization of groups of *p*-length 1. For a generalization of their characterization, cf. [7]. The condition (iv) may be considered as a special case of nonabelian version of a theorem of Okuyama and Tsushima [8].

We give still another characterization of p-nilpotent groups, which is related to the notion of weight introduced by Alperin [1].

**Theorem 1.5.** The following conditions are equivalent.

(i) G is p-nilpotent.

(ii)  $N_G(P)$  is p-nilpotent for a p-Sylow subgroup P of G, and there is no weight (Q, S) for G with Q < P and  $S \in B_0(N_G(Q))$ .

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): For any *p*-subgroup  $Q, N_c(Q)$  is *p*-nilpotent. If there

exists a simple  $kN_G(Q)$ -module S with vertex Q lying in  $B_0(N_G(Q))$ , then S must be the trivial module. So Q is a p-Sylow subgroup of  $N_G(Q)$  and hence of G.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Assume false and let G be a counterexample of minimal order. Take a p-subgroup  $Q \neq 1$  which is maximal under the condition that  $N_G(Q)$  is not p-nilpotent. (Recall that G is p-nilpotent if  $N_G(Q)$  is p-nilpotent for all psubgroups  $Q \neq 1$  of G.) Put  $H = N_G(Q)$ . By the choice of  $Q, Q = O_p(H)$ . We claim that H satisfies the same assumption as G. To see this let R be a p-Sylow subgroup of H. Then R > Q, so  $N_H(R) \leq N_G(R)$  is *p*-nilpotent. Next let (R, S)be a weight for H with S in  $B_0(N_H(R))$ . If  $R \leq Q$ ,  $N_Q(R) \leq O_p(N_H(R)) = R$ , cf. [1]. Hence R=Q, which contradicts the assumption (ii). Thus  $RQ \neq Q$ , so  $N_H(R) \leq N_G(QR)$  is *p*-nilpotent and R is a *p*-Sylow subgroup of H, cf. the proof of (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Thus the claim is proved. By the choice of G, we get that G=H. It is not difficult to see that  $G/O_{b'}(G)$  and G/Q satisfy the same assumption as G. Hence  $O_{p'}(G) = 1$  and G/Q is *p*-nilpotent. In particular, G is *p*-solvable and  $C_G(Q) \leq Q$ . Let  $R/Q \neq 1$  be any *p*-subgroup of G/Q and K the normal p-complement of  $N_{\mathcal{G}}(R)$ , then [K, Q]=1 and  $K \leq C_{\mathcal{G}}(Q) \leq Q$ , so K=1. Hence  $N_{G/Q}(R/Q)$  is a p-group. This shows that G/Q is a Frobenius group whose Frobenius complement is a p-Sylow subgroup. So G/Q has a simple kG/Q-module of p-defect 0. Since G has a unique block, this contradicts the assumption (ii). This completes the proof.

### 2. Block kernels

Throughout this section P is a p-Sylow subgroup of the group G.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let N be a normal subgroup of G and B a block of G covering  $B_0(N)$ .

(i) Assume the following :

(\*) there exists  $\zeta \in Irr^{0}(B)$  with  $N \leq Ker \zeta$ .

Let  $\xi$  be a P-invariant member of  $\Lambda(N)$ . Then for some  $\chi \in Irr^0(B)$ , we have  $(\chi, \xi)_N \neq 0$ .

(ii) Assume that for any P-invariant member  $\xi \neq 1_N$  of  $\Lambda(N)$ ,  $d(\xi, 1_N) = 0$ . Then N is p-nilpotent.

Proof. (i) There exists an extension  $\hat{\xi}$  of  $\xi$  to *PN*, as before. With  $\zeta$  as in (\*), let  $\theta$  be the class function on *G* defined by

$$\theta(g) = \begin{cases} p^d \zeta(g) & \text{if } g \text{ is } p\text{-regular,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where *d* is the defect of *B*. We have  $(\hat{\xi}^{c}, \theta)_{c} = (\hat{\xi}, \theta)_{PN} = p^{d} \zeta(1) |PN|^{-1} a$ , where *a* denotes  $\Sigma \xi(y) (y$  runs through  $N_{p'}$ ). As is well-known ([2])  $a \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$ , so  $(\hat{\xi}^{c}, \theta)_{c} \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$ . Hence  $(\hat{\xi}^{c}, \chi)_{c} \equiv 0$  for some  $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(B)([2])$ . By Frobenius reciprocity,  $\xi$  appears as an irreducible constituent of  $\chi_N$ . This completes the proof.

(ii) As in the proof of Lemma 1.1, we have that  $\delta(N, 1_N) \equiv \delta(\Xi, 1_N) \pmod{p}$ , where  $\Xi$  is the set of *P*-invariant members of  $\Lambda(N)$ . By assumption,  $\delta(\Xi, 1_N) = 1$ , so the result follows from Lemma 1.1.

REMARK 2.2. The condition (\*) is always satisfied and the assertion (i) itself could be extended, cf. Corollary 4.6 and Theorem 4.4 in [7].

For an arbitrary block B of G, we let  $\operatorname{Ker}^{0}(B) = \cap \operatorname{Ker} X$ , where X runs through  $\operatorname{Irr}^{0}(B)$ .

## **Theorem 2.3.** Ker<sup>0</sup>(B) is p-nilpotent.

Proof. Put  $N = \text{Ker}^{0}(B)$ . Let P be as above and  $\xi$  a P-invariant member of  $\Lambda(N)$  and choose  $\chi \in \text{Irr}^{0}(B)$  with  $(\chi, \xi)_{N} \neq 0$  (Lemma 2.1 (i)). Since  $N \leq \text{Ker } \chi, \xi = 1_{N}$ . So N is p-nilpotent by Lemma 2.1 (ii).

Let  $\mathcal{N}(G)$  be the set of normal subgroups N of G such that for any  $\chi \in$ Irr<sup>0</sup>( $B_0(G)$ ),  $\chi_N$  is a sum of linear characters of N. The following theorem gives a characterization of  $O_{p',p}(G)$  via (ordinary) irreducible characters. We remark that  $O_{p',p}(G)$  has been characterized by R. Brauer via irreducible modular representations.

**Theorem 2.4.**  $O_{p',p}(G)$  is the unique maximal member of  $\mathcal{N}(G)$ .

Proof. Let  $N \in \mathcal{N}(G)$ . Let  $\xi$  be a *P*-invariant member of  $\Lambda(N)$ . Choose  $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(B_{0}(G))$  with  $(\chi, \xi)_{N} \neq 0$ . (The condition (\*) in Lemma 2,1 (i) is satisfied with  $\zeta = 1_{G}$ .) By definition of  $\mathcal{N}(G)$ ,  $\xi$  must be linear, and then  $o(\det \xi) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  implies that  $d(\xi, 1_{N}) = 0$  unless  $\xi = 1_{N}$ . So N is *p*-nilpotent by Lemma 2.1 (ii), and  $N \subseteq O_{p',p}(G)$ . Conversely, let  $\xi$  be an irreducible constituent of  $\chi_{N}$ , where  $N = O_{p',p}(G)$  and  $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(B_{0}(G))$ . Then  $\xi$  lies in  $B_{0}(N)$  and  $\xi(1)$  is prime to p, so  $\xi(1) = 1$ , since N is *p*-nilpotent. This completes the proof.

REMARK 2.5. The implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) in Theorem 1.3 follows also from. the above theorem.

We can restate Theorem 2.4 as follows:

**Corollary 2.6.**  $O_{p',p}(G)/\operatorname{Ker}^0(B_0(G))$  is the unique maximal normal abelian subgroup of  $G/\operatorname{Ker}^0(B_0(G))$ .

For the principal block, let

 $Z^{0}(G) = \{g \in G; |\chi(g)| = \chi(1) \text{ for any } \chi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(B_{0}(G))\},\$ 

where  $|\cdot|$  denotes the absolute value. Then  $Z^{0}(G) \in \mathcal{N}(G)$ , so we get:

## **Corollary 2.7.** $Z^{0}(G)$ is *p*-nilpotent.

REMARK 2.8. This corollary could be used in the proof of  $Z^*$ -Theorem, cf. Step VI of the proof of Theorem 1 in Glauberman [3].

## **Theorem 2.9.** $O_{p'}(G/Z^0(G)) = 1.$

Proof. Put  $Z=Z^{0}(G)$ . Let N be the inverse image in G of  $O_{p'}(G/Z)$ . We claim that N is p-nilpotent. Assume this, then N/Z is a p-group, since  $O_{p'}(N) = O_{p'}(G) \leq Z$ . Hence N/Z=1, as required. To prove the claim, let  $\xi$  be any P-invariant member of  $\Lambda(N)$  and choose  $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}^{0}(B_{0}(G))$  such that  $(\chi, \xi)_{N} \neq 0$  as above. By definition of Z,  $\chi_{Z}$  is a multiple of a linear character. So  $\xi_{Z}=e\eta$ , where  $e=\xi(1)$  and  $\eta$  is a linear character of Z. Since  $\chi$  is trivial on  $O_{p'}(G)=O_{p'}(N)$ , so is  $\xi$ . Hence  $(\det \xi)_{Z}$  (which equals  $\eta^{e}$ ) and  $\eta$  are inflated from  $Z/O_{p'}(N)$ . Since this group is a p-group by Corollary 2.7 and  $o(\det \xi)$  is prime to p, it follows that  $(\det \xi)_{Z}=1_{Z}$ . Then  $\eta=1_{Z}$ , since e is prime to p. So  $\xi$  is inflated from N/Z. Hence  $d(\xi, 1_{N})=0$  unless  $\xi=1_{N}$ , since N/Z is a p'-group. This implies that N is p-nilpotent as before.

Now we give a characterization of *p*-nilpotent groups via their character values, from which the implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) in Theorem 1.3 follows again.

**Corollary 2.10.** The following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) G is p-nilpotent.
- (ii)  $|\chi(u)| = \chi(1)$  for all p-elements u of G and all  $\chi \in Irr^{0}(B_{0}(G))$ .

Proof. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): This is obvious. (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): (ii) implies that  $G/Z^{0}(G)$  is a p'-group, so  $G=Z^{0}(G)$  by Theorem 2.9. Then G is p-nilpotent by Corollary 2.7.

## 3. Conjugacy classes of Ono type

For any irreducible character  $\mathcal{X}$  of the group G, we let, as usual,  $\omega_{\mathbf{x}}$  be the central character associated to  $\mathcal{X}$ .

DEFINITION 3.1. Let  $\alpha$  be an element of the center of  $\mathbb{Z}G$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the ring of integers.  $\alpha$  is said to be of *Ono type* if for any  $\chi \in Irr(G)$  there holds either  $\omega_{\chi}(\alpha)=0$  or  $|\omega_{\chi}(\alpha)|=\varepsilon(\alpha)$ , where  $\varepsilon:\mathbb{Z}G\to\mathbb{Z}$  is the augmentation map. A conjugacy class K is said to be of Ono type if the class sum  $\hat{K}$  is of Ono type. (If  $g \in K$ , the condition is the same as saying that either  $\chi(g)=0$  or  $|\chi(g)|=\chi(1)$  for all  $\chi \in Irr(G)$ .) A group G is said to be of Ono type if every conjugacy class of G is of Ono type.

Groups of Ono type has appeared in Ono [9]. First we prove:

**Psoposition 3.2.** Groups of Ono type are nilpotent.

Proof. Let G be a group of Ono type and p any prime. For any p-element g of G, either  $\chi(g)=0$  or  $|\chi(g)|=\chi(1)$  holds, for any  $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}^0(B_0(G))$ . Since  $\chi(g)\equiv\chi(1)\equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$ , the latter holds. So G is p-nilpotent by Corollary 2.10. Since p is arbitrary, G is nilpotent.

REMARK 3.3. The above proposition could be proved by induction on the group order (without using block theory).

One may well conjecture that the subgroup generated by a conjugacy class of Ono type is solvable, as will be explained below.

For a subset H of G, let  $\hat{H} = \sum_{h \in H} h$ . For an element  $\alpha = \sum_{g} \alpha_{g} g$  of ZG, put Supp  $\alpha = \{g \in G; \alpha_{g} \neq 0\}$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** For an element  $\alpha(\pm 0)$  of the center of ZG with  $\alpha_g > 0$  for all  $g \in \text{Supp } \alpha$ , the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i)  $\alpha$  is of Ono type.
- (ii)  $\alpha = mg\dot{H}$ , for a positive integer m and a subgroup H of G.
- (iii)  $\alpha = mg\dot{H}$ , for a positive integer m and a normal subgroup H of G.

Proof. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): This is proved by induction on |G|. First assume that there is  $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$  such that  $|\omega_{\chi}(\alpha)| = \varepsilon(\alpha)$  and that  $\chi(1) > 1$ . Then  $\operatorname{Supp} \alpha \subseteq Z(\chi) \neq G$ , where  $Z(\chi) = \{g \in G; |\chi(g)| = \chi(1)\}$ . For any  $\zeta \in \operatorname{Irr}(Z(\chi))$ , take  $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$  such that  $(\chi, \zeta)_N \neq 0$ , then  $\omega_{\chi}(\alpha) = \omega_{\zeta}(\alpha)$ . So we get the conclusion by the induction hypothesis applied to  $Z(\chi)$ . So we may assume that  $\omega_{\chi}(\alpha) = 0$ for any  $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$  with  $\chi(1) > 1$ . Then  $\alpha = \Sigma \omega_{\lambda}(\alpha) e_{\lambda}$ , where the sum is taken over the linear characters  $\lambda$  of G and  $e_{\lambda}$  is the central idempotent associated to  $\lambda$ . Replacing  $\alpha$  by  $g^{-1}\alpha, g \in \operatorname{Supp} \alpha$ , if necessary, we may further assume  $1 \in \operatorname{Supp} \alpha$ . Assume that for some  $\lambda$ ,  $|\omega_{\lambda}(\alpha)| = \varepsilon(\alpha)$ . Then for any  $g \in \operatorname{Supp} \alpha$ ,  $\lambda(g) = \lambda(1)$ , so  $g \in \operatorname{Ker} \lambda$ , and if  $\operatorname{Ker} \lambda \neq G$ , we get the conclusion by induction as above. So we may assume that  $\omega_{\lambda}(\alpha) = 0$  for  $\lambda \neq 1_G$ . This implies  $\alpha$  is a multiple of  $e_{1_G}$ , so (ii) holds.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): Let  $\alpha = mg\hat{H}$  as above. For any  $x \in G$ ,  $mg^x \hat{H}^x = \alpha^x = \alpha = mg\hat{H}$ . So  $g^x \in gH$  and  $g^x \hat{H} = g\hat{H} = g^x \hat{H}^x$ . Hence  $\hat{H}^x = \hat{H}$ , so H is normal.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Let  $\chi \in Irr(G)$ . If Ker  $\chi \ge H$ ,  $|\omega_{\chi}(\alpha)| = \varepsilon(\alpha)$ , because gH is central in G/H. Otherwise,  $\omega_{\chi}(\alpha) = 0$ , as is well-known. This completes the proof.

From this lemma we get:

**Corollary 3.5.** A conjugacy class K of G is of Ono type if and only if K = gH for some  $g \in G$  and a (normal) subgroup H of G.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let K be a conjugacy class of Ono type consisting of p-elements for some prime p. Then the subgroup generated by K is p-nilpotent.

Proof. Let  $g \in K$ . As in the proof of Proposition 3.2, we get that  $g \in Z^{0}(G)$ , and the conclusion follows from Corollary 2.7.

Now we have:

## **Theorem 3.7.** The following assertions are equivalent.

(i) Any conjugacy class of Ono type consisting of elements of prime power order generates a solvable subgroup.

(ii) Let G be a semi-direct product of groups A and N with N normal. If  $C_N(A)=1$  and A is cyclic of prime power order, then G is solvable.

**Proof.** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Let g be a generator of A and K the conjugacy class containing g of G. Obviously  $K \subseteq gN$  and we have |K| = |N|, since  $C_N(g) = 1$ . So K=gN, and K is of Ono type by Corollary 3.5. The subgroup generated by K is G, so G is solvable. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Let K be a conjugacy class of a group G consisting of p-elements for a prime p. The proof is done by induction on |G|. Since K is of Ono type, K=gH for a normal subgroup H of G and  $g \in K$ . We see that  $\langle K \rangle = \langle g \rangle H$  and that  $H = \{g^{-1}g^x; x \in G\}$ . Let N be the normal *p*-complement of *H*, cf. Lemma 3.6. We may assume that  $N \neq 1$ . Let *C* be the inverse image in G of  $C_{G/N}(gN)$ . We claim that the conjugacy class K' containing g of C is of Ono type. Since  $N \leq H$ , it follows that  $N = \{g^{-1}g^x; x \in C\}$ . Then K' = gN and the claim follows from Corollary 3.5. If  $C \neq G$ ,  $\langle K' \rangle$  and hence N is solvable by induction. Since the image of K in G/N is of Ono type, the image of  $\langle K \rangle$  in G/N is solvable by induction. So  $\langle K \rangle$  is solvable. Now assume C=G. Then N=H by the above. On the other hand, we must have  $G = C_{G}(g)N$ , since N is a p'-group. This implies  $C_{G}(g) \cap N = 1$ , since |N| = |K|. Taking  $A = \langle g \rangle$  in (ii), we get that G is solvable.

The assertion (ii) is a longstanding conjecture (see for example [4], p 487)

From the above (proof) and a theorem of Thompson [11], we get:

**Corollary 3.8.** Let K be a conjugacy class of Ono type consisting of elements of prime order. Then K generates a solvable subgroup.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author would like to express his sincere gratitude to Professor T. Wada for drawing his attention to the work of Okuyama and Tsushima [8], and to Professor Y. Tsushima for helpful suggestions

#### References

 J. Alperin: Weights for finite groups, Proc. Arcate Conference, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 47 (1987), 369-379.

#### M. MURAI

- [2] R. Brauer and W. Feit: On the number of irreducible characters of finite groups in a given block, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 45 (1959), 361-365.
- [3] G. Glauberman: Central elements in core-free groups, J. Algebra 4 (1966), 403-420.
- [4] B. Huppert and N. Blackburn: Finite Groups II, Springer, 1982.
- [5] I.M. Isaacs: Character Theory of Finite Groups, Academic Press, 1976.
- [6] I.M. Isaacs and S.D. Smith: A note on groups of p-length 1, J. Algebra 38 (1976), 531-535.
- [7] M. Murai: Block induction, normal subgroups and characters of height zero, Osaka J. Math. 31 (1994), 9-25.
- [8] T. Okuyama and Y. Tsushima: Local properties of p-block algebras of finite groups, Osaka J. Math. 20 (1983), 33-41.
- [9] T. Ono: A note on the Artin map, Proc. Japan Acad., 65A (1989), 304-306.
- [10] H. Pahlings: Normal p-complements and irreducible characters, Math. Z. 154 (1977), 243-246.
- [11] J. Thompson: Finite groups with fixed point free automorphism of prime order, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 45 (1959), 578-581.
- [12] J. Thompson: Normal p-complements and irreducible characters, J. Algebra 14 (1970), 129–134.

Meiji-machi 2–27 Izumi Toki-shi Gifu-ken 509–51 Japan