THE EXACTNESS OF GENERALIZED SKEW PRODUCTS

ZBIGNIEW S. KOWALSKI

(Received October 11, 1991)

0. Introduction

Recently, it appears several papers concerning ergodic properties of random maps i.e. skew products. See T. Morita [5], S. Pelikan [7], etc. The main proof tool in their considerations is the so-called Perron-Frobenius operator. In the present paper the autor proves the theorem about exactness of generalized skew products using the Pinkser algebra.

Let $\sigma \colon X \to X$ be the shift endomorphism in a space $X \subset \{1, \dots, s\}^N$ preserving μ . Let $(\sigma, \tilde{\mu})$ be the natural extension of (σ, μ) to the automorphism. The automorphism σ is the shift automorphism on the set $\tilde{X} \subset \{1, \dots, s\}^Z$. Let $\bar{A}_i = \{\tilde{X} \in \tilde{X} : \tilde{X}(0) = i\}$, $\tilde{\alpha} = \{\tilde{A}_1, \dots, \tilde{A}_s\}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_m^n = \bigvee_{k=0}^n \sigma^k \tilde{\alpha}$.

DEFINITION. The endomorphism σ is called discrete if $\tilde{\mu}(\tilde{C}) > 0$, for some atom \tilde{C} in $\tilde{\alpha}_{-\infty}^0 \wedge \tilde{\alpha}_0^{\infty}$.

EXAMPLES: If σ is one-sided Markov shift then it is discrete. If σ is given by Lasota-Yorke type map, then it is also discrete (see [8]).

Let p be a Borel measure on [0, 1] which is positive on open sets. Moreover, let T_1, \dots, T_s be piecewise monotonic and continuous transformations of [0, 1] into itself so that there exists the partition $\beta_0 = \{I_1, I_2, \dots\}$ of finite entropy given by $I_i = (t_{i-1}, t_i)$ with $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots$, $\lim_{i \to 1} t_i = 1$, such that $T_j | (t_i, t_{i+1})$ is continuous and strictly monotonic, for $j = 1, \dots, s, i = 0, 1, \dots$. We assume that the transformation

(1)
$$\bar{T}(x,y) = (\sigma(x), T_{x(0)}y)$$

preserves the product measure $\mu \times p$. Such a transformation is called generalized skew product [2]. The following theorem provides sufficient conditions for \bar{T} to be an exact transformation.

Theorem 1. Let σ be a discrete endomorphism. If the transformations T_i are 1-1 p a.e., for $i=1, \dots, s$, and T_i does not preserve the measure p for some i, then the transformation \bar{T} is exact or \bar{T}^m is not ergodic, for some $m \neq 0$.

 $y_1, \cdots).$

The above theorem will be applied to show the exactness of random transformations considered in [3] (see section 3). Moreover, section 4 contains conclusions about exactness of random perturbations of Lasota-Yorke maps.

1. Preliminary facts and lemmas which are used to prove Theorem 1

The following property results immediately from Theorem 2 [2].

Property 1. \overline{T} has not any one-sided generator with finite entropy.

Let $A_i = \{x \in X : x(0) = i\}$, $\alpha = \{A_1, \dots, A_s\}$. Moreover, let γ be a partition of the set $X \times [0, 1]$ defined as follows: $\gamma = \alpha \times \delta$, where δ is a countable partition of [0, 1] into intervals such that $\beta_0 \leq \delta$ and $H(\delta) < \infty$. Here $\alpha \times \delta = \{A_i \times B : i = 1, \dots, s, B \in \delta\}$ and $H(\delta)$ denotes the entropy of δ .

Lemma 1. If \bar{T} is ergodic, then almost every atom of the partition $\gamma_{\to\infty} = \bigvee_{i=0}^{\infty} \bar{T}^{-i} \gamma$ has the form $x \times U$, where U is a nonempty interval.

Proof. The atoms of the partition $\gamma_{-\infty}$ have form $x \times U$, where $U \in \lim_{n \to \infty} (\delta \vee T_{x(0)}^{-1} \delta \vee \cdots \vee T_{x(n)}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{x(n)}^{-1} \delta)$. Therefore $\operatorname{card}(U) \leq 1$ or U is a nonempty interval. By Property 1, the set V of atoms $x \times U$ such that U is non-empty interval has positive measure. We note that if $\overline{T}_{\gamma_{-\infty}}(x, U) = (\sigma(x), U^*)$ then $T_{x(0)}U \subset U^*$ so that $\overline{T}_{\gamma_{-\infty}}V \subset V$. By ergodicity of $\overline{T}_{\gamma_{-\infty}}$ we get $\mu \times p_{|\gamma_{-\infty}}(V) = 1$. \square Let $(\widetilde{T}, \widetilde{m})$ be the natural extension of $(\overline{T}, \mu \times p)$ to the automorphism. The automorphism \widetilde{T} is defined on the set $M \subset \widetilde{X} \times [0, 1]^N$ of pairs $(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y})$, where \widetilde{x} is a two sided sequence and $\widetilde{y} = (y_0, y_1, \cdots)$ where $T_{\widetilde{x}(-i)}(y_i) = y_{i-1}$, for $i = 1, 2, \cdots$. Therefore \widetilde{T} is given by $\widetilde{T}(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}) = (\sigma(\widetilde{x}), \widetilde{T}_{\widetilde{x}(0)}(\widetilde{y}))$ where $\widetilde{T}_{\widetilde{x}(0)}(\widetilde{y}) = (T_{x(0)}y_0, y_0, y_0, y_0)$

Hence the automorphism $(\bar{\sigma}, \tilde{\mu})$ is a factor of (\tilde{T}, \tilde{m}) . Now, let $\beta_n = \{[0, \frac{1}{n}], (\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}], \dots, (\frac{n-1}{n}, 1]\} \vee \beta_0^n, n = 1, 2, \dots \text{ and } \beta_0^n = \{I_1, \dots, I_n, J_{n+1}\}, J_{n+1} = (t_n, 1]$. Let $\tilde{\gamma}_n$ be the extension of the partition $\gamma_n = \alpha \times \beta_n$ on the space M. Let $\tilde{\gamma}_{n_{\infty}} = \bigvee_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{T}^i \tilde{\gamma}_n, \tilde{\gamma}_{n_{-\infty}}^0 = \bigvee_{0}^{\infty} \tilde{T}^{-1} \tilde{\gamma}_n, \tilde{\gamma}_{n_0}^\infty = \bigvee_{0}^{\infty} \tilde{T}^i \tilde{\gamma}_n$ and let $\tilde{T}_n = \tilde{T}_{\tilde{\gamma}_{n_{\infty}}}$ be the factor automorphism.

Lemma 2. The pair $\{\widetilde{\gamma}_{n_{-\infty}}^0, \widetilde{\gamma}_{n_0}^\infty\}$ is discrete i.e. there exists an atom \widetilde{D} in $\widetilde{\gamma}_{n_{-\infty}}^0 \wedge \widetilde{\gamma}_{n_0}^\infty$ such that $\widetilde{m}(\widetilde{D}) > 0$.

Proof. To see this, let $\bar{\alpha} = \{\bar{A}_1, \dots, \bar{A}_s\}$ where $\bar{A}_i = \{(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) : \tilde{x}(0) = i\}$. Let $\tilde{C} \in \bar{\alpha}^0_{-\infty} \wedge \bar{\alpha}^\infty_0$ be an atom of positive \tilde{m} measure and let $C = \{x \in X : (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in \tilde{C} \text{ for some } \tilde{y}\}$. There exists an atom $\tilde{D} \in \tilde{\gamma}^0_{n_{-\infty}} \wedge \tilde{\gamma}^\infty_{n_0}$ such that $\bigcup_{y \in [0,1]} D_y = C$. Here

 $D_y = \{x: (x, y) \in D\}$ and $D = \{(x, y): (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in \tilde{D}\}$. By Lemma 1 we have $\tilde{m}(\tilde{D}) = \mu \times p(D) = \int_{C} p(U_x) d\mu(x) > 0$, where U_x is the union of sets U such that $x \times U$ is an atom of γ_{n-m} and $x \times U \subset D$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

Assume that \overline{T}^m is ergodic for every $m \neq 0$. Then the same conditions holds for \widetilde{T} and \widetilde{T}_n $n = 1, 2, \cdots$. By Theorem 1 [8] and by Lemma 2 the transformation \widetilde{T}_n is K-automorphism, for $n = 1, 2, \cdots$. Let $\mathcal{A}_n = \sigma(\widetilde{\gamma}_{n\infty})$. Then $\widetilde{T}^{-1}\mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{A}_n$ and $\mathcal{A}_n \uparrow \mathcal{B}$ where \mathcal{B} is the σ -algebra of \widetilde{m} measurable sets. By Theorem 13 ([6] p. 69) $P(\widetilde{T}) \cap \mathcal{A}_n \uparrow P(\widetilde{T})$ where $P(\widetilde{T})$ denotes Pinsker algebra for \widetilde{T} . The equalities $P(\widetilde{T}) \cap \mathcal{A}_n = P(\widetilde{T}_n) = \{M, \phi\}$ imply $P(\widetilde{T}) = \{M, \phi\}$. Therefore \widetilde{T} is K-automorphism and hence \widetilde{T} is the exact endomorphism.

3. An application to some class of random maps

Now we proceed to consider the exactness of generalized skew products considered in [3]. Let $\{T_{\bullet}\}_{{\bullet}\in(a,b)}$ be the one-parameter family of transformations of the interval [0, 1] into itslef such that

(2)
$$T_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(y) = (1-\varepsilon)y + \varepsilon g(y),$$

where $g \in C^2[0, 1]$, g(0)=0, g(1)=1, and $a=(1-\sup g')^{-1}$, $b=(1-\inf g')^{-1}$. Moreover, assume that there exists exactly one point y_0 , for which $g'(y_0)=1$.

Let T be an infinite interval exchange transformation of [0, 1] of the following type:

- (i) there exists a partition $\beta_0 = \{I_1, I_2, \dots\}$ given by $I_i = (t_{i-1}, t_i)$ with $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots$, $\lim_{i \to 1} t_i = 1$, $H(\beta_0) < \infty$
- (ii) there exist real constants a_i , so that for $t \in I_i$, $T(t) = t + a_i$
- (iii) the only accumulation point of $\{t_{i-1}+a_i\} \cup \{t_i+a_i\}$ is 1
- (iv) T is one-to-one.

The above transformations have been considered in [1]. Let σ be the one-sided (p_1, \dots, p_s) -Bernoulli shift and let p be the Lebesgue measure. Using the endomorphism σ we will randomly perturb the automorphism T by s elements of the family (2). Namely we take s functions $T_{e_1}, \dots, T_{e_s}, \varepsilon_i \neq \varepsilon_j$ for $i \neq j$, and we define the transformation

(3)
$$\bar{T}(x,y) = (\sigma(x), T_{\mathbf{e}_{x(0)}}T(y)).$$

In addition we postulate that \overline{T} preserves the product measure, which is equivalent to $\sum_{i=1}^{i} \varepsilon_{i} p_{i} = 0$. For the rest of the paper we shall denote $T_{e_{i}}T$ by T_{i} , $i = 1, \dots, s$. The transformation \overline{T} has the following property.

Property 2. ([3]) \bar{T} is weakly mixing, for $s \ge 3$. If T=I where I(x)=x,

then \overline{T} is mixing for $s \ge 2$ ([4]).

By Theorem 1 and by Property 2 we get

Theorem 2. The endomorphism \overline{T} is exact for $s \ge 3$ (or $s \ge 2$ if T = I).

As the conclusion of Theorem 2 we obtain the convergence of the iterations of some double stochastic operators which arise from Frobenius-Perron operator for \bar{T} . The Frobenius-Perron operator for \bar{T} is given by the formula

$$P_{\bar{t}}(r(x)f(y)) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} p_{i}r(ix)(T_{i}^{-1})'(y)f(T_{i}^{-1}y),$$

where $ix=(i, x(0), x(1), \cdots)$. Here $r \in L_1(\mu)$ and $f \in L_1(m)$. For r=1 we get

$$P_{\bar{T}}f(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} p_i(T_{\varepsilon_i}^{-1})'(y)f(T^{-1}T_{\varepsilon_i}^{-1}y)$$
.

Conclusion 1. $\lim_{n\to\infty} P_T^n f = \int_0^1 f \ dm \ in \ L_1 \ norm, for \ every \ f \in L_1(m)$.

REMARK. The results of this paper are still true if it is only assumed that $g \in C^2[0, 1]$, g(0)=0, g(1)=1, $g(y) \neq y$ for every $y \in (0, 1)$ and there exists $y_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $g'(y_0)=1$ and $g'(y) \neq 1$ in some neighbourhood of the point y_0 . In this case the family of transformations T_{ϵ} should be defined by (2) for ϵ from suitable smaller interval.

4. Exactness of random perturbations of Lasota-Yorke type maps

Let T be piecewise monotone and C^2 . Piecewise monotone and C^2 means that there is a partition of [0, 1], $0=a_0<\dots< a_k=1$, so that for each $i=0, 1, \dots$, k-1, $T\mid_{(a_i,a_{i+1})}$ is monotone and extends to a C^2 map on $[a_i,a_{i+1}]$. Assume that T preserves the Lebesgue measure m. Denote by \bar{T} the transformation defined for T by equality (3) and let $T_i=T_{e_i}T$ for $i=1,\dots,s$.

Theorem 3. If, for all $x \in [0, 1]$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{p_i}{|T_i'(x)|} < 1$$
,

then \bar{T} is exact, for $s \ge 3$.

Proof. By Theorem 1 [7] some power of Frobenius-Perron operator $P_{\overline{T}}f = \sum_{i=1}^{s} p_{i}P_{T_{i}}f$ is quasicompact on BV[0, 1]. By Property 2 all iterations of \overline{T} are ergodic. Due to the uniqueness of absolutely continuous invariant measure we obtain $\lim_{n\to\infty} P_{\overline{T}}^{n}f = \int f \ dm$ in L_{1} norm for every $f \in L_{1}(m)$. Therefore $\lim_{n\to\infty} P_{\overline{T}}^{n}(rf) = \int r(x)f(y) \ d\mu \times m$ in L_{1} norm for every $r \in L_{1}(\mu)$ and $f \in L_{1}(m)$ which implies the exactness of \overline{T} .

Conclusion 2. If inf |T'(x)| > 1, then there exists $\eta > 0$ such that for $|\varepsilon_i| < \eta$, $i=1, \dots, s$, the transformation \overline{T} is exact.

References

- [1] P. Arnoux, D.S. Ornstein and B. Weiss: Cutting and stacking, interval exchanges and geometric models, Israel J. Math. 50 (1985), 160-168.
- [2] Z.S. Kowalski: A generalized skew product, Studia Mathematica 87 (1987), 215–222.
- [3] Z.S. Kowalski: Stationary perturbations based on Bernoulli processes, Studia Math. 97 (1) (1990), 53-57.
- [4] Z.S. Kowalski: On mixing generalized skew products, to appear.
- [5] T. Morita: Random iteration of one-dimensional transformations, Osaka J. Math. 22 (1985), 489-518.
- [6] W. Parry: Topics in ergodic theory, Cambridge Tracts in Math. 75, C.U.P. Cambridge (1981).
- [7] S. Pelikan: Invariant densities for random maps of the interval, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 281 (1984), 813-825.
- [8] M. Ratner: Bernoulli flows over maps of the interval, Israel J. Math. 31 (1978), 298-314.

Institute of Mathematics Wroclaw Technical University Wybrzeze St. Wyspianskiego 27 50–370 Wroclaw, Poland