# PROPAGATION OF SINGULARITIES FOR HYPERBOLIC OPERATORS WITH MULTIPLE INVOLUTIVE CHARACTERISTICS

# ENRICO BERNARDI

# (Received July 22, 1986)

# 0. Introduction

In this paper we will give a result on propagation of  $C^{\infty}$  singularities generalizing previous results of R.B. Melrose and G.A. Uhlmann [8]; we consider pseudodifferential operators whose principal symbol vanishes at order  $m \ge 2$  on an involutive manifold. Explicitly we shall assume:

(i) Let X be a  $C^{\infty}$  manifold of dimension n and let  $\Sigma$  be a  $C^{\infty}$  closed conic, non radial, involutive submanifold of codimension  $d \ge 2$  in  $T^*(X) \setminus \{0\}$ , the cotangent bundle minus the zero section.

We therefore have, denoting by  $\omega$  and  $\sigma = d\omega$  the canonical 1 and 2 forms in the symplectic manifold  $T^*(X)$ ,  $\gamma \in \Sigma \Rightarrow T_{\gamma}(\Sigma)^{\sigma} \subset T_{\gamma}(\Sigma)$  where with  $T_{\gamma}(\Sigma)^{\sigma}$  we denote the dual with respect to the bilinear form  $\sigma$ . When  $\Sigma$  is given by  $\{\gamma \in T^*(X) \setminus 0 \mid q_1(\gamma) = \cdots = q_d(\gamma) = 0\}$  where  $q_j \in C^{\infty}(T^*(X) \setminus \{0\})$ ,  $j=1, \cdots, d$  are positevely homogeneous of degree one and for any  $\gamma \in \Sigma$ ,  $dq_j(\gamma)$  and  $\omega(\gamma)$  are linearly independent one forms, then we have  $\{q_i, q_j\}$   $(\gamma)=0$  where  $\{q_i, q_j\}$  denotes as usual the Poisson bracket between  $q_i$  and  $q_j$ . Frobenius Theorem then gives that  $\Sigma$  is locally foliated of dimension d by the flow out of the Hamiltonian fields of the  $q_j$ . The leaf through  $\gamma^0 \in \Sigma$ , whose tangent space in  $\gamma^0$  is  $T_{\gamma^0}(\Sigma)^{\sigma}$  will be denoted by  $F_{\gamma^0}$ . Moreover for any  $\gamma \in \Sigma$  the bilinear form  $\sigma$  induces an isomorphism

$$J_{\sigma}: T_{\gamma}(T^*(X)\backslash 0)/T_{\gamma}(\Sigma) \to T^*_{\gamma}(F_{\gamma}) .$$

(ii) Let  $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(X)$  real valued and  $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi \circ \pi$  where  $\pi$  from  $T^*(X)$  to X is the canonical projection.

Let  $P(x, D_x)$  be a classical properly supported pseudodifferential operator of order m+k in  $X, m \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $P_{m+k}$  be its principal symbol. We assume: P is hyperbolic with respect to the level surfaces of  $\varphi([5])$ , the Hamiltonian field of  $\tilde{\varphi}, H_{\tilde{\varphi}}$  is transversal to  $\Sigma$  and  $P_{m+k}$  vanishes exactly of order m on  $\Sigma$ .

(iii) (Microlocal Levi Condition) ([9])

Microlocally near every point  $\gamma^0 \in \Sigma$  in a neighborhood of which  $\Sigma$  is given as in (i):

$$(0.1) P(x, D_x) \equiv \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} A_{\alpha}(x, D_x) Q_1^{\alpha}(x, D_x) \cdots Q_d^{\alpha}(x, D_x)$$

where  $Q_1, \dots, Q_d$  are first order pseudodifferential operators with principal symbol  $q_1, \dots, q_d$ , and  $A_{\alpha}$  are pseudodifferential operators of order k. Here  $A \equiv B$  if there exists  $\Gamma \ni \gamma^0$  such that for any  $v \in \mathcal{E}'(X)$   $WF(v) \subset \Gamma \Rightarrow (A-B) v \in C^{\infty}(X)$ .

It is well known that P induces on  $F_{\gamma^0}$  a differential operator  $P^0$  homogeneous of order m in the fibers of  $T^*(F_{\gamma^0})$ : for its principal symbol one has:

(0.2) 
$$P^{0}_{\gamma^{0}; m}(v) = \lim_{t \to 0} t^{-m} P_{m+k}(\gamma^{0} + tv)$$

 $P^4$  is hyperbolic with respect to  $J_{\sigma}(H_{\varphi}(\gamma^0)) = N(\gamma^0)$ . Finally we shall assume here that:

(iv) for any  $\gamma \in \Sigma$ ,  $P_{\gamma}^{0}$  is strictly hyperbolic with respect to  $N(\gamma)$ .

Now denoting by  $\Gamma_{\gamma}$  the component of  $N(\gamma)$  in the complement of  $\{v \in T^*(F_{\gamma}) | P_{\gamma}^0(v) = 0\}$  and by  $(\Gamma_{\gamma})^0$  the (euclidean) polar of  $\Gamma_{\gamma}$ , ([2]) let  $E^+(\gamma) (E^-(\gamma))$  be the forward (backward) emission from  $\gamma$  along the field of cones  $(\Gamma_{\gamma})^0$ , cfr. (4.11). Then the result of our paper is given in the following:

**Theorem.** Let P satisfy assumption (i)-(iv). Let  $v \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$  and  $\gamma^0 \in \Sigma \setminus WF(Pv)$ . If there exists a conic neiborhood  $\Gamma$  of  $\gamma^0$ , and a choice of sign+or-such that:

$$\Gamma \cap WF(v) \cap (E^{\pm}(\gamma^0) \setminus (\gamma^0)) = \phi$$

Then  $\gamma^0$  does not belong to WF(v).

REMARKS.

(i) R.B. Melrose and G.A. Uhlmann proved the theorem when m=2 (and  $d \ge 3$ : if d=2 see [10] for the construction of a microlocal parametrix). In that case assumption (iii) reduces to the Levi condition that the subprincipal symbol of P vanishes on  $\Sigma$  ([3], [6]). Always in the case of double characteristics similar results have been obtained by R. Lascar [7] and Ivrii [6]. (Ivrii's results which are proved by means of microlocal energy estimates are more general for  $\Sigma$  may have symplectic components also, see however [1] for a precise formulation of some results). In the case of  $m \ge 2$  and involutive characteristics only, assuming an ellipticity and a Calderon's type uniqueness condition for the operator induced on the leaf J. Sjostrand [9] proved that in general there is propagation in any direction on the leaf. The results in [7] are proved essentially by means of Carleman estimates on the leaf and techniques formerly developed in [9]. For the construction of a parametrix in case of multiple characteristics (of constant multiplicity) see also the work of Chazarain [12].

(ii) Here we shall prove the theorem by constructing as in [8] a microlocal parametrix for the operator and the diffusion result will be clear from direct

inspection. We want to point out that under assumptions (i)-(iv) P behaves like a principal type operator outside  $\Sigma$  and moreover null bicharacteristics starting outside  $\Sigma$  do not have limit points on  $\Sigma$  ([6]). As in [8] simple examples of operators satisfying (i)-(iv) are provided by taking  $X=X_1\times X_2$ , dim  $X_1=d$  and P a strictly hyperbolic operator of order m in  $X_1$  extended trivially in X. As in [8] however this is not a microlocal model of the general case.

(iii) The proof is given in four steps: 1) we reduce the operator to a standard simpler form using the invariance under canonical transformation and conjugation via Fourier Integral Operator of the assumptions; 2) we solve Hamilton-Jacobi equations in polar coordinates; 3) we construct a microlocal parametrix for the Cauchy problem; 4) we finally compute the WF's and conclude.

It is a pleasure to thank A. Bove and C. Parenti for useful conversations and helpful encouragement.

#### 1. Some preparations

Let  $\gamma^0 \in \Sigma = \{\gamma \in T^*(X) \setminus 0 | q_1(\gamma) = \cdots = q_d(\gamma) = 0\}$  as in assumption (i). Then  $T_{\gamma}(\Sigma) = [H_{q_1}(\gamma), \cdots, H_{q_d}(\gamma)]^{\sigma}$ . By (ii) there exists  $j \in \{1, \cdots, d\}$  such that  $\sigma(H_{q_j}(\gamma), H_{\varphi}(\gamma)) \neq 0$ . Let us consider  $\Sigma' = \{\gamma \in T^*(X) \setminus 0 | q_1(\gamma) = \cdots = q_d(\gamma) = 0, \varphi(\gamma) = 0\}$ . We have rank  $(\sigma)_{|\Sigma'} = 2n - d - 1 - \dim \operatorname{Ker}(M)$ , where M is the matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \{q_i, q_j\}_{|\Sigma', i, j=1, \cdots, d}; \{q_i, \varphi\}_{|\Sigma', i=1, \cdots, d} \\ \{q_i, \varphi\}_{|\Sigma', i=1, \cdots, d} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is obvious that M has rank 2, therefore rank  $(\sigma)_{|\Sigma'}=2(n-d)$ . Then ([5] Th. 21.2.4) there is a canonical homogeneous transformation sending  $\Sigma'$  in  $\{(x, \xi) \in T^*(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\} | \xi_{n-d+1} = \cdots = \xi_n = x_n = 0\}$ . Since  $\Sigma$  is involutive, it is sent into  $\{(x, \xi) \in T^*(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\} | \xi_{n-d+1} = \cdots = \xi_n = 0\}$  and  $\varphi$  in these new canonical coordinates is sent to  $x_n$ . Setting  $\mathbb{R}^n \ni x = (x', x'', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $x''' = (x'', x_n)$ ,  $\Sigma$  is then given by  $\xi''' = 0$ . Let F be a Fourier Integral operator elliptic in  $\gamma^0$ , of order zero and such that, with  $D_j = D_{xj} F^{-1} Q_j F = D_{j+n-d} + r_j(x, D_x)$ ,  $j=1, \cdots, d$  with  $r_j$  of order zero. Assumption (iii) and Lemma 0.1 in the first chapter of [7] now give that microlocally near  $\gamma^0 = (x=0; \xi'=(0, \cdots, 0, 1), \xi''=0, \xi_n=0)$ :

$$P(x, D_x) \equiv \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} A_{\alpha}(x, D_x) D_{n-d+1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots D_n^{\alpha_d}$$

where now  $A_{\sigma}$  are pseudodifferential operators of order zero. After composition with a pseudodifferential operator of order zero in view of the hyperbolicity of P we can assume that the complete symbol of P is given by:

(1.1) 
$$p(x,\xi) = \xi_n^m + \sum_{0}^m \sum_{|\alpha''| \le j} A^0_{\alpha'',j} (x,\xi) (\xi'')^{\alpha''} \xi_n^{m-j}$$

Now we have  $\partial_{\xi_n}^h p_m(\delta^0) = \delta_{hm} m!$  where  $\delta_{hm}$  is the Kronecker symbol and  $h \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . So by using a pseudodifferential version of the Malgrange preparation theorem:

(1.2) 
$$P(x, D_x) \cong Q(x, D_x) \left[ D_n^m + \sum_{j=1}^m E_j(x, D_x, D_{x''}) D_n^{m-j} \right]$$

in a conic neighborhood of  $\gamma^0$  and Q elliptic at  $\gamma^0$ . Comparison of (1.1) with (1.2) and composition with a parametrix of Q finally gives that near  $\gamma^0$  with different  $A_{\alpha,j}$ :

(1.3) 
$$p(x,\xi) = \xi_n^m + \sum_{j=1}^m \left[ \sum_{|\alpha''| \le j} A_{\alpha'',j}(x,\xi',\xi'') \left(\xi''\right)^{\alpha''} \right] \xi_n^{m-j}.$$

In these coordinates the leaf  $F_{\gamma^0}$  through  $\gamma^0 \in \Sigma$ ,  $\gamma^0 = (x^0, \xi'^0, \xi'' = 0, \xi_n = 0)$  is given by:

$$F_{\gamma^0} = \{ (x, \xi) \in T^*(\mathbf{R}^n) \setminus \{0\} \mid x' = x'^0, \xi' = \xi'^0, \xi'' = 0, \xi_n = 0 \} .$$

The principal symbol of the operator  $P^0$  is:

(1.4) 
$$p^{0}_{(x'^{\prime},\xi^{0})}(x''',\xi'',\xi_{n}) = \xi^{m}_{n} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{|\alpha''|=j} A^{0}_{\alpha'',j}(x'^{0},x''',\xi'^{0},0)(\xi'')^{\alpha''} \xi^{m-j}_{n}.$$

Therefore assumption (iv) requires that  $p^{0}_{(x',\xi)}(x''',\xi'',\xi_{n})=0$  has *m* real distinct roots  $\xi_{n}$ .

Let us now study the local structure of Char  $(P) \setminus \Sigma$ . We shall assume d>2. We introduce polar coordinates near  $\Sigma$ :  $\xi'' = \rho \omega$ ,  $\rho \in [0, +\infty[, \omega \in S^{d-2}]$ . The principal symbols of P and  $P^0$  are then given by:

(1.5) 
$$p_{m} = \xi_{n}^{m} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{|\alpha''|=j}^{j} A_{d'',j}^{0}(x,\xi',\rho\omega)(\omega)^{\alpha''} \rho^{j} \xi_{n}^{m-j}$$

(1.6) 
$$p_{m}^{0} = \xi_{n}^{m} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{|\alpha''|=j} A_{\alpha'',j}^{0}(x,\xi',0)(\omega)^{\alpha''} \rho^{j} \xi_{n}^{m-j}$$

Let us blow up again singularities at  $\xi_n = \rho = 0$ ,  $u = \xi_n / \rho$ :

(1.5)' 
$$p_m = u^m + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{|\omega''|=j} A^0_{\omega'',j}(x,\xi',\rho\omega)(\omega)^{\omega''} u^{m-j}$$

(1.6)' 
$$p_{m}^{0} = u^{m} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{|\alpha''|=j} A_{\alpha'',j}^{0}(x,\xi',0)(\omega)^{\alpha''} u^{m-j}$$

By Rouche's Theorem and assumption (iv) we have that  $p_m = 0$  has for positive and sufficiently small  $\rho m$  real zeros  $u_h = \rho u_h$  and:

$$(1.7) u_k \neq u_k \text{if} h \neq k.$$

This shows that in  $\operatorname{Char}(P) \setminus \Sigma$  near  $\Sigma$ , P is of principal type and  $\operatorname{Char}(P) \setminus \Sigma$  has m local components intersecting over  $\Sigma$ . Moreover  $p_m$  is there factorized as:

(1.8) 
$$p_{m} = \prod_{1}^{m} _{h} q_{h} \text{ where } q_{h} = \xi_{n} - |\xi''| u_{h}(x, \xi', \xi'').$$

By considering the Hamilton systems for one of these factors one gets from Gronwall's Lemma:

(1.9) 
$$|\xi''(\gamma_1)| \leq M(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) |\xi''(\gamma_2)| .$$

 $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  belonging to the same null bicharacteristic of  $p_m$ . This proves that the simple Hamiltonian flow in Char  $(P) \Sigma$  has no limit point in  $\Sigma$  (see [6], Proposition 0.3, (ii)).

Finally the case d=2 is treated in the same way with  $\omega=\pm 1$ . Moreover in the following we will always deal with d>2, leaving the trivial extensions d=2 to the reader.

## 2. The eikonal equation

As in [8] and already in (1.5), (1.6) we introduce polar coordinates taking near  $\Sigma: \xi'' = \rho \omega, \rho \in [0, +\infty[, \omega \in S^{d-2}]$ . We want to solve:

$$(2.1) \quad P_{\mathbf{m}}(x, \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \varphi(x)) = 0, \quad \varphi(x', x'', 0; \omega, \rho, \eta') = \rho \langle \omega, x'' \rangle + \langle \eta', x' \rangle$$

In order to use Hamilton-Jacoby theory let us look for  $\varphi$  of the form:

(2.2) 
$$\varphi(y', y'', y_n; \omega, \rho, \eta') = \langle \eta', y' \rangle + \rho \psi(y, \omega, \rho, \eta')$$

 $\psi$  homogeneous of degree zero in  $(\rho, \omega)$ . Then (2.1) goes into:

(2.3) 
$$(\partial_{\mathfrak{n}}\psi)^{\mathfrak{m}} + \sum_{j=1}^{\mathfrak{m}} \sum_{|\alpha''|=j} A^{0}_{\alpha'',j}(y,\eta'+\rho\nabla_{y'}\psi,\rho\nabla_{y''}\psi) (\nabla_{y''}\psi)^{\alpha''}] (\partial_{\mathfrak{n}}\psi)^{\mathfrak{m}-j} = 0$$
  
$$\psi(y',y'',0;\omega,\rho,\eta') = \langle \omega,y'' \rangle$$

Let us denote by  $q_m$  the Hamiltonian function in (2.3):

$$q_{m}(y', y'', y_{n}, \xi', \xi'', \xi_{n}, \rho, \eta') = \\ (\xi_{n})^{m} + \sum_{1}^{m} \sum_{|\alpha''|=j} A^{0}_{\alpha'',j}(y, \eta' + \rho\xi', \rho\xi'') (\xi'')^{\alpha''}] (\xi_{n})^{m-j}$$

Therefore:

$$q_m(y', y'', y_n, \xi', \xi'', \xi_n, 0, \eta') = p^0_{m,(y',\eta')}(y'', y_n, \xi'', \xi_n)$$

Now the equation:

$$0 = q_{m}(0, 0, 0, \xi', \xi'' = \omega, \xi_{n}, 0, \eta') = (\xi_{n})^{m} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{|\alpha''|=j} A^{0}_{\alpha'',j}(0, \eta', 0) (\omega)^{\alpha''} [\xi_{n})^{m-j} = p^{0}_{m,(0,\eta')}(0, \omega, \xi_{n})$$

has *m* real distinct roots  $\xi_n$  as  $\omega \neq 0$ . If  $0 < \rho$  is sufficiently small then  $q_m(y', y'', y_n, \xi', \xi'', \xi_n, \rho, \eta') = 0$  has *m* real distinct roots  $(\xi_n)^h$ ,  $h=1, \dots, m$  by Rouche's Theorem and the hyperbolicity assumption. By theorem 6.4.5 in [4] there exists *m* functions  $\psi_h(y, \omega, \rho, \eta') C^{\infty}$  in a conic neighborhood of  $(y'=0, y''=0, y_n=0, \rho=0, \omega, \eta=(0, \dots, 1))$  such that:

$$(2.3)' \qquad q_m(y', y'', y_n, \nabla_{y'} \psi_h, \nabla_{y''} \psi_h, \partial_n \psi_h, \rho, \eta') = 0$$
  
$$\psi_h(y', y'', 0; \omega, \rho, \eta') = \langle \omega, y'' \rangle$$
  
$$\partial_n \psi_h(0, 0, 0; \omega, \rho, \eta') = (\xi_n)^h(\omega, \rho, \eta'), h = 1, \cdots, m$$

From (2.3)' if  $|y| < \delta$ ,  $\rho < \delta |\eta'|, |\eta' - (0, \dots, 1) |\eta'|| < \delta |\eta'|, \omega \in S^{d-2}$  and  $0 < \delta$  sufficiently small we have  $m C^{\infty}$  functions  $\varphi_h(y, \omega, \rho, \eta') = \langle \eta', y' \rangle + \rho \psi_h(y, \omega, \rho, \eta')$  solutions of equation (2.1).

## 3. Microlocal Cauchy problem

In this section we want to solve the following microlocal Cauchy problem:

(3.1) 
$$Pv = D_n^m v + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{|\alpha''| \le j} A_{\alpha'',j}(x, D_{x'}, D_{x''}) D_{x''}^{\alpha''}] D_n^{m-j}(v) \equiv 0$$
$$D_n^h v(x', x'', x_n = 0) \equiv \delta_{h,m-1} \delta(x', x'') \quad h \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$$

microlocally near  $\gamma^0 = (x=0; \xi'=(0, \dots, 0, 1), \xi''=0, \xi_n=0)$ , where  $\delta_{h,m-1}$  denotes the Kronecker symbol.

Let us look for v as a sum of oscillotary integrals:

$$(3.2) v = \sum_{j=1}^{m} I_{\varphi_j}(a_j)$$

where  $I_{\varphi_j}(a_j)(x) = \int_{s^{d-2}} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-d}} \exp(i\varphi_j(x, \omega, \rho, \eta')) a_j(x, \omega, \rho, \eta') d\eta' d\rho d\omega$ the  $\varphi_j$ 's are the phase functions found in section 2 and  $a_j$  are classical symbols to be determined.

Let us recall that:

(3.4) 
$$e^{-i\varphi} P(e^{+i\varphi} a_j) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \ge 0 \\ \alpha \ne 0}} 1/\alpha! \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} P(x, \nabla_x \varphi) D_z^{\alpha} \{ \exp(i\varphi_2(x, \omega, \rho, \eta', z)) a_j(z, \omega, \rho, \eta') \}_{|x=z}$$

where

$$\varphi_2(x, \omega, \rho, \eta', z) = \varphi(z, \omega, \rho, \eta') - \varphi(x, \omega, \rho, \eta') + \langle x - z, \nabla_x \varphi(x, \omega, \rho, \eta') \rangle.$$

Now:

$$Pv = \sum_{i=1}^{m} I\varphi_i(b_i)$$

with

$$(3.6) \quad b_{j} = P_{m}(x, \nabla_{x}\varphi_{j}) a_{j} + P_{m-1}(x, \nabla_{x}\varphi_{j}) a_{j} + \dots + \\ \sum_{1}^{n} h \partial_{\xi_{n}} P_{m}(x, \nabla_{x}\varphi_{j}) D_{x_{h}}a_{j} + \sum_{1}^{n} h \partial_{\xi_{h}} P_{m-1}(x, \nabla_{x}\varphi_{j}) D_{x_{h}}a_{j} + \dots + \\ (-i/2) \sum_{1}^{n} h_{k} \partial_{\xi_{h}\xi_{k}}^{2} P_{m}(x, \nabla_{x}\varphi_{j}) (\partial_{x_{h}x_{k}}^{2}\varphi_{j}) a_{j} + \\ (1/2) \sum_{1}^{n} h_{k} \partial_{\xi_{h}\xi_{k}}^{2} P_{m}(x, \nabla_{x}\varphi_{j}) D_{x_{h}x_{h}}^{2} a_{j} + \\ (-i/2) \sum_{1}^{n} t \sum_{1}^{n} h_{k} \partial_{\xi_{h}\xi_{k}}^{2} P_{m-t}(x, \nabla_{x}\varphi_{j}) (\partial_{x_{h}x_{k}}\varphi_{j}) a_{j} + \\ + (1/2) \sum_{1}^{n} t \sum_{1}^{n} h_{k} \partial_{\xi_{h}\xi_{k}}^{2} P_{m-t}(x, \nabla_{x}\varphi_{j}) D_{x_{h}x_{h}}^{2} a_{j} + \\ + \sum_{|\alpha| \ge 3} (1/\alpha!) \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} P(x, \nabla_{x}\varphi_{j}) D_{x}^{\alpha} \{\exp(i\varphi_{2,j}(x, \omega, \rho, \eta', z)) \\ a_{j}(x, \omega, \rho, \eta')\}_{|x=z}.$$

Since  $\varphi_j$  solves equation (2.1) we have:

$$(3.7) \quad b_{j} = \sum_{1}^{n} \partial_{\xi_{k}} P_{m}(x, \nabla_{x}\varphi_{j}) D_{x_{k}}a_{j} + (P_{m-1}(x, \nabla_{x}\varphi_{j}) - (+i/2) \sum_{1}^{n} h_{k} \partial_{\xi_{k}\xi_{k}}^{2} P_{m}(x, \nabla_{x}\varphi_{j}) \partial_{x_{k}x_{k}}^{2} \varphi_{j}) a_{j} + R_{j}(a_{j})$$

with  $R_j(a_j)$  easily determined from (3.6). Now from (1.3) and the form of  $\varphi_j$ , setting:

(3.8) 
$$\alpha_{hj} = \partial_{\xi_h} P_m(x, \nabla_x \varphi_j(x, \omega, \rho, \eta')) j = 1, \cdots, m; h = 1, \cdots, n$$

we have:

(3.9) 
$$\alpha_{nj} = \rho^{m-1} \partial_{\xi_n} q_m(y', y'', y_n, \nabla_{y'} \psi_j, \nabla_{y''} \psi_j, \partial_n \psi_j, \rho, \eta')$$

From the discussion in section 2  $\alpha_{nj} = \rho^{m-1} \tilde{\alpha}_{nj}$  with  $\tilde{\alpha}_{nj}(x, \omega, \rho, \eta') \neq 0$  in a conic neighborhood of  $\gamma^0 \in \Sigma$  for every  $j=1, \dots, m$ . If  $1 \leq h \leq n-d$ :

(3.10) 
$$\alpha_{hj} = \sum_{1}^{m} \left[ \sum_{|\alpha''|=t} \partial_{\xi_h} A^0_{\alpha'',t}(y, \eta' + \rho \nabla_{y'} \psi_j, \rho \nabla_{y''} \psi_j) (\nabla_{y''} \psi_j)^{\alpha''} \right] (\partial_n \psi_j)^{m-t} \rho^m$$

If  $n-d+1 \leq h \leq n-1$  then  $\alpha_{hj} = \rho^{m-1} \tilde{\alpha}_{hj}$  where  $\tilde{\alpha}_{hj}$  is a similar although slightly more involved expression as (3.10). Now:

(3.11) 
$$P_{m-1}(x, \nabla_x \varphi_j) - (-i/2) \sum_{1}^{n} {}_{hk} \partial_{\xi_k \xi_k}^2 P_m(x, \nabla_x \varphi_j) \partial_{x_h x_k}^2 \varphi_j = \rho^{m-1} \tilde{b}_j(x, \omega, \rho, \eta')$$

which follows from an easy but tedious calculation. Therefore if  $Pv \equiv 0$  then  $b_i$  has to be  $\sim 0$ . From (3.6) we get:

(3.12) 
$$R_{j} = \sum_{2}^{\infty} \rho^{m-t} R_{j,t}(x, D_{x}, \rho)$$

Let  $L_i$  be the first order differential operator:

(3.13) 
$$L_j = \tilde{\alpha}_{nj} D_{z_n} + \sum_{1}^{n-1} \tilde{\alpha}_{kj} D_{z_k} + \tilde{b}_j$$

The transport equations  $b_j \sim 0$  then become:

(3.14) 
$$L_{j}(a_{j}) + \sum_{2}^{\infty} \rho^{1-t} R_{j,t}(x, D_{x}, \rho) a_{j} \simeq 0$$

Let us consider the initial conditions in (3.1). First recall that all the  $\varphi_i$  coincide at  $x_n = 0$  with  $\varphi_0(y', y'', \omega, \rho, \eta') = \langle \eta', y' \rangle + \rho \langle \omega, y'' \rangle$ . Moreover as in [8] microlocally near  $\gamma^0 = (x = 0; \xi' = (0, \dots, 0, 1), \xi'' = 0, \xi_n = 0)$  the Dirac delta is represented by:

(3.15) 
$$\delta(x', x'') \equiv v_0(x', x'') = = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}} \int_{S^{d-2}} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-d}} \exp(i\varphi_0(x', x'', \omega, \rho, \eta') \rho^{d-2} \sigma_1(\rho/\delta |\eta'|) d\eta' d\rho d\omega$$

with  $\sigma_1 \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ ,  $\sigma_1(t) = 1$  if  $|t| \leq 1/2$ ,  $\sigma_1(t) = 0$  if  $|t| \geq 1$ . Then we obtain:

(3.16) 
$$\exp(i\varphi_0) (a_1 + \dots + a_m)|_{x_n = 0} = 0$$
$$\exp(i\varphi_0) ((\partial_{x_n} a_1 + \dots + \partial_{x_n} a_m)|_{x_n = 0} + (\rho D_{x_n} \psi_1)|_{x_n = 0}$$
$$a_1|_{x_n = 0} + \dots + (\rho D_{x_n} \psi_m)|_{x_n = 0} a_m|_{x_n = 0} = 0$$

 $\exp(i\varphi_0) \left[ (\rho D_{x_n} \psi_1)^{m-1} |_{x_n=0} a_1 |_{x_n=0} + \dots + (\rho D_{x_n} \psi_m)^{m-1} |_{x_n=0} a_m |_{x_n=0} + \text{terms with} \right]$ powers of  $\rho$  strictly lower than m-1]= $\exp(i\varphi_0) 1/(2\pi)^{n-1} \rho^{d-2} \sigma_1(\rho/\delta |\eta'|)$ The last equation suggests that  $a_j$  should be of the form:

(3.17) 
$$a_{j}(x, \omega, \rho, \eta') = \sum_{0}^{d-m-1} \rho^{k} a_{jk}(x, \omega, \rho, \eta') + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \rho^{-k} b_{jk}(x, \omega, \rho, \eta')$$

if d-m-1>0 which will be the case treated first  $a_{jk}$  and  $b_{jk}$  here are homogeneous of degree zero in  $(\rho, \eta')$ . From (3.15) we have:

(3.18) 
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\ (D_{x_n} \psi_1)|_{x_n=0} & \cdots & (D_{x_n} \psi_m)|_{x_n=0} \\ (D_{x_n} \psi_1)^{m-1}|_{x_n=0} \cdots & (D_{x_n} \psi_m)^{m-1}|_{x_n=0} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a_{1d-m-1}|_{x_n=0} \\ a_{md-m-1}|_{x_n=0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1/(2\pi)^{n-1} \sigma_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since  $\partial_n \psi|_{x_n=0}$  is the *j*-th root of  $q_m(0, 0, 0, \xi', \xi''=\omega, \xi_n, \rho, \eta')=0$  and for  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  all these roots are distinct, the linear system has a unique solution giving initial data at  $x_n=0$  for  $a_{jd-m-1}$ . On the other hand by ordering (3.13) according to descending powers of  $\rho$  we have:

(3.19) 
$$L_j(a_{jd-m-1}) = 0$$
  
 $a_{jd-m-1}|_{x_n=0} = data$ 

As  $\tilde{\alpha}_{nj} \neq 0$  from (3.9), (3.19) has a (local) unique  $C^{\infty}$  solution  $a_{jd-m-1}, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ .

Let us solve for  $a_{jp}$  with p < d-m-1. (3.13) then yields:

$$(3.20) \qquad 0 \approx \rho^{d-m-1} L_{j}(a_{jd-m-1}) + \rho^{d-m-2} (L_{j}(a_{jd-m-2}) + R_{j,2}(a_{jd-m-1})) + \rho^{d-m-3} (L_{j}(a_{jd-m-3}) + R_{j,3}(a_{jd-m-1}) + R_{j,2}(a_{jd-m-2}) + \dots + \rho(L_{j}(a_{j1}) + \sum_{2}^{d-m-1} R_{j,k}(a_{jk})) + L_{j}(a_{j0}) + \sum_{1}^{d-m-1} R_{j,k+1}(a_{jk}) + \rho^{-1} (L_{j}(b_{j1}) + \sum_{0}^{d-m-1} R_{j,k+2}(a_{jk})) + \rho^{-2} (L_{j}(b_{j2}) + \sum_{0}^{d-m-1} R_{j,k+3}(a_{jk}) + R_{j,2}(b_{j1})) + \dots$$

From (3.16) we have, with  $V_{hj}$  the element of place (h, j) in the matrix (3.18):

(3.21) 
$$\rho^{h} \sum_{1}^{m} V_{hj} a_{j} + \sum_{1}^{h} \rho^{h-t} S_{t,h}(a_{j}) \cong \delta_{hm-1} 1/(2\pi)^{n-1} \rho^{d-2} \sigma_{1}(\rho/\delta |\eta'|)$$

where  $S_{t,h}$  are differential operators with coefficients homogeneous of degree zero in  $(\rho, \eta')$ . Inserting (3.17) in (3.21):

$$(3.22) \quad \sum_{0}^{d} \sum_{k}^{m-1} \rho^{h+k} \left( \sum_{1}^{m} V_{kj} a_{jk} \right) + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \rho^{h-k} \left( \sum_{1}^{m} V_{kj} b_{jk} \right) + \frac{\sum_{0}^{m-1} \sum_{k}^{h} \sum_{1}^{h} \rho^{h+k-t} S_{t,k}(a_{jk}) + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \sum_{k}^{h} \sum_{1}^{h} \rho^{h-k-t} S_{t,k}(b_{jk}) \cong \delta_{hm-1} 1/(2\pi)^{n-1} \rho^{d-2} \sigma_1(\rho/\delta | \eta' | )$$

For instance let us find the initial conditions for  $a_{jd-m-2}$ :

(3.23) 
$$\rho^{h+d-m-2}(\sum_{j=1}^{m} V_{hj} a_{jd-m-2} + S_{1,h}(a_{jd-m-1})) = 0 \text{ at } x_r = 0, h \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}.$$

(3.23) together with:

$$(3.24) L_j(a_{jd-m-2}) + R_{j,2}(a_{jd-m-1}) = 0$$

enables us to find a (local)  $C^{\infty}$  solution  $a_{jd-m-2}, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . And so on for all  $a_{jp} p \in \{1, \dots, d-m-1\}$ . As far as  $b_{j1}$  is concerned:

(3.24) 
$$\rho^{h-1}(\sum_{j=1}^{m} V_{kj}b_{j1}) + \rho^{h-1}\sum_{j=k}^{d-m-1} \sum_{j=1}^{h} \delta_{tk+1}S_{t,k}(a_{jk}) = 0, h \in \{0, \dots, m-1\} \text{ at } x_n = 0.$$

(3.25) 
$$L_{j}(b_{j1}) + \sum_{0}^{d-m-1} R_{j,k+2}(a_{jk}) = 0$$

Therefore it is possible to find all  $a_{jk}$  and  $b_{jk}$ . By Taylor's formula:

(3.26) 
$$b_{jk}(y,\omega,\rho,\eta') = \sum_{0}^{k-1} \rho^k b_{jkk}(y,\omega,\eta') + \rho^k b_{jk}(y,\omega,\rho,\eta')$$

 $b_{jkk}, (b_{jk})$  homogeneous in  $\eta'((\rho, \eta'))$  of degree -h(-k). Hence:

(3.27) 
$$a_{j} = \sum_{0}^{d-m-1} \rho^{k} a_{jk}(x, \omega, \rho, \eta') + \sum_{k<0}^{\infty} \{\sum_{1}^{k} \rho^{-t} a_{jkt}(x, \omega, \eta') + \mu_{jk}(x, \omega, \rho, \eta')\} .$$

Now to define the classical part  $v^{(1)}$  of the solution as in [8], let us put:

$$egin{aligned} a_j^{(1)}(x,\,\omega,\,
ho,\,\eta') &= \sum\limits_{0}^{d} \sum\limits_{k}^{m-1} 
ho^k \, a_{jk}(x,\,\omega,\,
ho,\,\eta') + \ &+ \sum\limits_{k<0} k \, \mu_{jk}(x,\,\omega,\,
ho,\,\eta') \, \sigma_{\mathrm{l}}(
ho/\delta\,|\eta'|) \end{aligned}$$

and the  $a_i^{(1)}$ 's are supported where the phase functions are defined.

To construct the "singular" part of the solution  $v^{(2)}$ , for any  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and for any  $h \ge 1$  choose symbols using the standard asymptotics  $a_{jh}^{(2)} \in S^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times S^{d-2} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-d})$  such that  $a_{jh}^{(2)} \sim \sum_k a_{jkh} \sigma_1$ . In the same way select  $a_j^{(2)} \in S^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+; S^0)$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  (for a definition of  $S^m(\mathbb{R}^+; S^{m'}(\mathbb{R}^n \times S^{d-2} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-d}))$  see [8] page 577) such that

(3.28) 
$$a_{j}^{(2)} - \sum_{1}^{N} \rho^{-k} a_{jk}^{(2)} \in S^{-N-1}(\mathbf{R}^{+}; S^{0}) \text{ for any } N \ge 1$$

If  $v = v^{(1)} + v^{(2)} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} I_{\varphi}(a_j^{(1)}) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} I_{\varphi}(a_j^{(2)})$  let us calculate Pv: (3.5) and the preceding constuction show that

(3.29) 
$$Pv = I_{\psi}(c) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-d}} e^{i\langle x',\xi'\rangle} c(x,\xi') d\xi'$$

where  $c \in S^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n-d})$ . It is easily verified that  $\partial_n^h v|_{x_n=0} = I_{\psi}(b_h) + \delta_{hm-1} v_0$  with  $b_h \in S^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n-d}), h \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ Now let us look for a solution of:

(3.30) 
$$Pv = I_{\psi}(c)$$
 and  $\partial_n^h v|_{x_n=0} = I_{\psi}(b_h)$ ,  $h \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ .

v will be searched of the form  $I_{\psi}(a^{(3)})$ . Now:

$$P(I_{\psi}(a^{(3)})) = I_{\psi}(D_{n}^{m} a^{(3)} + \sum_{1}^{m} \int_{|a''| \leq j} A_{a,j}(x', x''', \xi', 0) (D_{x''})^{a''} D_{n}^{m-j} a^{(3)} + Ma^{(3)})$$

Because of the strict hyperbolicity assumption (iv) and the fact  $M: S^k \rightarrow S^{k-1}$  we

begin by solving:

$$(3.31)_0 P_{\gamma}(a_0^{(3)}) = c \text{ and } \partial_n^k a_0^{(3)}|_{x_n=0} = b_k, \quad h \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$$

with  $a_0^{(3)} \in S^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n-d}), \gamma = (x', x''', \xi', 0) \in \Sigma$ . Then by recursively solving:

$$(3.31)_{j} \qquad P_{\gamma}(a_{j}^{(3)}) = -Ma_{j+1}^{(3)} \in S^{j} \text{ and } \partial_{n}^{h} a^{(3)}|_{x_{n}=0} = 0,$$
  
$$j = -1, -2, -3, \dots h \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$$

we can select  $a^{(3)} \sim \sum_{j < 0} a^{(3)}$  and it is obvious that

 $(P_{\gamma}+M)(a^{(3)}) \in S^{-\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^{n-d})$  and the choice can be made in order to have  $\delta_h^h a^{(3)}|_{x_n=0} = b_h, h \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ 

Finally setting  $u=v^{(1)}+v^{(2)}-I_{\psi}(a^{(3)})$  we have Pu=0 and  $\partial_n^k u|_{x_n=0}=\delta_{hm-1}v_0\equiv \delta_{hm-1}\delta(x',x''), h\in\{0,\dots,m-1\}$ , which is the solution of (3.1) if d-m-1>0. If  $d-m-1\leq 0$  choosing at once

(3.32) 
$$a_j(x, \omega, \rho, \eta') = \sum_{1+m-d}^{\infty} \rho^{-k} a_{jk}(x, \omega, \rho, \eta')$$

the proof goes as before and this gives in any case the solution of (3.1).

# 4. Propagation of singularities

First of all the construction of section 3 can be repeated uniformly when  $s \in ]-\delta, \delta[$  and we find:

(4.1) 
$$E^{(s)}: \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}^{n-1}) \to \mathcal{E}'(\mathbf{R}^{n}), P E^{(s)} \equiv 0 \text{ near } (\gamma^{0}, \gamma^{0'}), \\ \partial_{n}^{k} E^{(s)}|_{x_{n}=s} \equiv \delta_{hm-1} Id \text{ near } (\gamma^{0}, \gamma^{0'}) h \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$$

where  $\gamma^{0'} = (x=0; \xi'=(0, \dots, 0, 1), \xi''=0)$ . We shall now reason when d-m-1>0 remarking that the case  $d-m-1 \leq 0$  is dealt with exactly in the same way.

(4.2) 
$$(E^{(s)}f)(x) = (E^{(s)}_{(1)} + E^{(s)}_{(2)} + E^{(s)}_{(3)})(f)(x)$$

where we have set:

$$(4.3) \qquad (E_{\langle 1 \rangle}^{(s)})(f)(x) = \sum_{1}^{m} \int_{s^{d-2}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-d}} \exp(i\varphi_{j}(x, s, \omega, \rho, \eta') - \langle x', \eta' \rangle - \rho \langle \omega, x'' \rangle) \\ \times a_{j}^{(1)}(x, s, \omega, \rho, \eta') f(x', x'') dx' dx'' d\eta' d\rho d\omega$$

$$(4.4) \qquad (E_{\langle 2 \rangle}^{(s)})(f)(x) = \sum_{1}^{m} \int_{s^{d-2}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-d}} \exp(i\varphi_{j}(x, s, \omega, \rho, \eta') - \langle x', \eta' \rangle - \rho \langle \omega, x'' \rangle) \\ \times a_{j}^{(2)}(x, s, \omega, \rho, \eta') f(x', x'') dx' dx'' d\eta' d\rho d\omega$$

(4.5) 
$$(E_{(3)}^{(s)})(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-d}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-1}} e^{j\langle z'-z',\eta'\rangle} a^{(3)}(x,s,\eta') f(z',z'') dz' dz'' d\eta'$$

where in (4.3)  $a_j^{(1)} \in S^{d-m-1}$ , in (4.4)  $a_j^{(2)} \in S^{-1}(\mathbf{R}^+; S^0)$  and in (4.5)  $a^{(3)} \in S^0$ . By Duhamel's principle let us put:

(4.6) 
$$E_{+}(f)(x) = -\int_{-\delta}^{x_{n}} ((E^{(s)} \circ \gamma_{(s)} \circ A)(f)(x) \, ds, \, \delta > 0$$

with conesupp(A) compactly supported near  $\gamma^{0'}$  and  $\gamma_{(s)}u=u$  restricted to  $x_n=s$ . Since  $\gamma^{0'} \notin \{(x,\xi) | x_n=s, \xi'=0, \xi''=0, \xi_n=0\}$   $\gamma_{(s)} \circ A$  is well defined and it is clear that:

$$(4.7) P E_+(f) \equiv f \text{ near } \gamma^0$$

Now the rules to compute wave fronts sets given ([4]):

(4.8) 
$$WF(E^{(s)}f)|_{x=s} \subset [\bigcup_{1}^{m} K_{j}^{+} \cup \tilde{K}_{F}^{+}] \circ (i_{s}^{*})^{-1} (WF(f))$$

where  $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ ,  $(i_s^*)^{-1}(WF(f)) = \{(z', z'', s, \zeta', \zeta'', \zeta_n) | \zeta_n \in \mathbb{R}, (z', z'', \zeta', \zeta'') \in WF(f)\}$ ,  $K_f^*$  denotes  $\exp(tH_{q_j})$  (cfr. (1.8)),  $t \ge 0$  and  $\tilde{K}_F^*$  is the relation defined by:

(4.9)  $(\rho, \bar{\rho}) \in \tilde{K}_F^+$  if and only if  $\rho$  and  $\bar{\rho}$  belong to same leaf  $F_{\rho}$  through  $\rho \in \Sigma$  and  $x_n(\rho) \ge x_n(\bar{\rho})$ . Then we have

It is clear that we will also have an other microlocal parametrix  $E^-$  satisfying (4.7) and (4.10) with the reversed time orientation. We now want to be more precise on  $\Sigma$ . Let us introduce as in [8] the following relations:

(4.11)  $K_F^+ \supseteq (\rho, \bar{\rho})$  if and only if  $\rho$  and  $\bar{\rho} \in F_{\rho} \subset \Sigma$  and  $\exists \gamma : [0, 1] \to F_{\rho}$  Lipschitz continuus curve  $\gamma(0) = \rho$ ,  $\gamma(1) = \bar{\rho}$  and  $\dot{\gamma}(t) \in (\Gamma_{\gamma})^0$  a.e.

 $\partial K_F^* \supseteq (\rho, \bar{\rho})$  if and only if there exists a null bicharacteristics of the operator  $P^0$  induced on the leaf  $F_{\rho}$  that joints  $\rho$  with  $\bar{\rho}$ .

 $\partial K_F^{\dagger}$  is the boundary of  $K_F^{\dagger}$ , see e.g. Duistermaat [2]. Let now  $\gamma^0 \in K \subset \Sigma \times \Sigma \setminus \partial K_F^{\dagger}$ , K compact,  $U \supset K$  open neighborhood of K. Denoting by k(x, z', z'') the kernel of  $E^{(0)}$  we have in U' (proj. of U at s=0).

(4.12) 
$$k(x, z', z'') = \int e^{i\langle z'-z', \xi\rangle} a(x, z'', \xi') d\xi'$$

This is clear since the term in (4.5) is already of this type and in (4.3), (4.4) at  $\rho=0$  the phase is stationary exactly on null bicharacteristics of  $P^0$ . Therefore integrating by parts in  $d\rho \ d\omega$  (4.12) follows. Now k(x, z', z'') solves Pk=0 and  $\partial_n^k k|_{x_n=0} = \delta_{hm-1} \delta(x'-z', x''-z'') \ h \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ . Therefore a has to be a

solution of  $P_{\gamma}(a) = -Ma$  and  $\partial_n^h a|_{x_n=0} \in S^{-\infty}$ , if  $x' \neq z'$  and  $x'' \neq z'' h \in \{0, \dots, m-1.\}$ 

Since  $P_{\gamma}$  is strictly hyperbolic we obtain that a is still in  $S^{-\infty}$  outside the set obtained by emanating from  $(z', z'', 0; \eta', 0, 0)$  along curves defined in  $K_F^+$ . Finally:

$$(4.13) WF(E^{\pm}f) \subset K^{\pm} \circ WF(f)$$

Where  $K^{\pm}$  is the generalized flow as defined in [11]. Passing to a parametrix for  ${}^{t}P$ , the transpose of P, and microlocalizing near  $\gamma^{0}$  now ends in a standard way the proof of the theorem.

#### References

- [1] E. Bernardi, A. Bove and C. Parenti: Propagation des singularités  $C^{\infty}$  pour des opérateurs hyperboliques a caracteristiques doubles, preprint, 1986.
- [2] J.J. Duistermaat: Fourier integral operators, Courant Institute lecture notes, 1973.
- [3] L. Hörmander: The Cauchy problem for differential equations with double characteristics, J. Analyse Math. 32 (1977), 110–196.
- [4] L. Hörmander: The analysis of linear partial differential operators, I, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [5] L. Hörmander: The analysis of linear partial differential operators, III, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [6] V. Ja. Ivrii: Wave front of solutions of certain hyperbolic pseudodifferential equations, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc., 1981, Issue 1, 87-119.
- [7] R. Lascar: Propagation des singularités des solutions d'équations pseudodifférentiel à caracteristiques de multiplicité variables, Lecture Notes in Math. 856, Springer-Verlag, 1981.
- [8] R.B. Melrose, G.A. Uhlmann: Microlocal structure of invotive conical retraction, Duke Math. J. 46 (1979), 571-582.
- [9] J. Sjostrand: Propagation of singularities for operators with multiple involutive characteristics, Ann. Inst. Fourier 26. 1 (1976), 141–155.
- [10] G.A. Uhlmann: Pseudodifferential operators with double characteristics, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 2 (1977), 713–719.
- [11] S. Wakabayashi: Singularities of solutions of the Cauchy problem for symmetric hyperbolic systems, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 9 (1984), 1147-1177.
- [12] J. Chazarain: Opérateurs hyperboliques à caracteristiques de multiplicité constant, Ann. Inst. Fourier 24 (1974), 173-202.

University of Bologna Department of Mathematics Piazza di Porta S. Donato 5 40127 Bologna Italia