

## ON MAXIMAL SUBMODULES OF A FINITE DIRECT SUM OF HOLLOW MODULES IV

To the memory of Professor Takehiko MIYATA

MANABU HARADA AND YOSHITO YUKIMOTO

(Received February 8, 1984)

In the previous papers [1] and [2], we have studied conditions under which every maximal submodule of a finite direct sum  $D$  of certain hollow modules over a right artinian ring with 1 contains a non-zero direct summand of  $D$ . The present objective is to generalize slightly Theorems 3 and 4 of [2] related to the property mentioned above.

Throughout this paper,  $R$  will represent a right artinian ring with identity, and every  $R$ -module will be assumed to be a unitary right  $R$ -module with finite composition length. We denote the Jacobson radical and the length of a composition series of an  $R$ -module  $M$  by  $J(M)$  and  $|M|$ , respectively. Occasionally, we write  $J=J(R)$ . If  $M$  has a unique maximal submodule  $J(M)$ ,  $M$  is called hollow (local). When this is the case,  $M \approx eR/A$  for some primitive idempotent  $e$  and a right ideal  $A$  in  $eR$ .

Let  $\{N_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be a family of hollow modules, and  $D = \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus N_i$ . We are interested in the following condition [1]:

(\*\*) *Every maximal submodule of  $D$  contains a non-zero direct summand of  $D$ .*

As was claimed in [1], [2], whenever we study the condition (\*\*), we may restrict ourselves to the case where  $R$  is basic and  $N_i = eR/A_i$  for a fixed primitive idempotent  $e$  and a right ideal  $A_i$  in  $eR$ . Now, let  $N = eR/A$  be a hollow module. Put  $\Delta = eRe/eJe = \overline{eRe} = \text{End}_R(N/J(N)) = \text{End}_R(eR/eJ)$ , and  $\Delta(A) (= \Delta(N)) = \{x \mid x \in eRe \text{ and } xA \subset A\}$  (see [2]). We denote by  $N^{(m)}$  the direct sum of  $m$  copies of  $N$ . Then  $N^{(m+1)} = N \oplus N^{(m)}$ . If  $M$  is a maximal submodule of  $N^{(m)}$  then  $N \oplus M$  is a maximal submodule of  $N^{(m+1)}$ . Thus we get a mapping  $\theta(m)$  of the isomorphism classes of maximal submodules in  $N^{(m)}$  into the isomorphism classes of maximal submodules in  $N^{(m+1)}$ .

**Theorem 1** (cf. [3], Corollary 2 to Theorem 3). *Let  $N = eR/A$  be a hollow module. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1)  $[\Delta : \Delta(A)] = k$ .
- 2) *If  $m > k$ , every maximal submodule  $M$  in  $D = N^{(m)}$  contains a submodule*

isomorphic to  $N^{(m-k)}$  but not to  $N^{(m-k+1)}$ . In this case, such a submodule of  $M$  is a direct summand of  $D$ .

3)  $\theta(i)$  is not epic for every  $i \leq k-1$ , but  $\theta(j)$  is epic for every  $j \geq k$ .

Proof (cf. [2], the proof of Theorem 3).

1)  $\rightarrow$  2). Put  $D=N^{(m)}=D(k) \oplus D'(n)$ , where  $m=k+n$ ,  $D(k)$  is the direct sum of the first  $k$  copies of  $N$  and  $D'(n)$  the direct sum of the last  $n$  copies of  $N$ . Let  $\{\bar{1}, \bar{\delta}_2, \dots, \bar{\delta}_k\}$  be a set of linearly independent elements in  $\Delta$  over  $\Delta(A)$ . Set  $\beta_i = (\bar{\delta}_i, \bar{0}, \dots, \bar{\delta}_i, \bar{0}, \dots, \bar{0})$  in  $D(k)$ , and  $M = \sum_{i=2}^k \beta_i R + D'(n) + J(D)$  in  $D$ , where  $\bar{x}$  means the residue class of  $x$  in  $eR/A$ . Then  $M$  is a maximal submodule of  $D$ . Suppose that  $M \supset M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus \dots \oplus M_q \oplus M^*$  and  $M_i \approx N$  for all  $i$ . Then

$$(\alpha) \quad M_i \not\subset J(D).$$

Actually, if not,  $N \approx M_i \subset J(D) = DJ$ , which is impossible. Since  $M_i \approx eR/A$ ,  $M_i = \rho R$  and  $r_R(\rho) = \{r \in eR \mid \rho r = 0\} = A$ . Now let  $\rho = \sum \beta_i y_i + y + j$ , where  $y_i \in eRe$ ,  $y \in D'(n)$  and  $j \in J(D)$ . Then  $\rho = (\sum_{i \geq 2} \bar{\delta}_i y_i, \bar{y}_2, \dots, \bar{y}_k, \bar{0}, \dots, \bar{0}) + (\bar{0}, \bar{0}, \dots, \bar{z}_{k+1}, \dots, \bar{z}_{k+n}) + (\bar{j}_1, \bar{j}_2, \dots, \bar{j}_{k+n})$ , where  $z_i \in eRe$  and  $j_i \in eJ$ . By the structure of  $D$  and  $r_R(\rho) = A$ ,  $(y_i + j_i)A \subset A$ , and  $(\sum \delta_i y_i + j_i)A \subset A$ . Noting that  $eA = A$ , we see that  $\bar{y}_i \in \Delta(A)$  for  $2 \leq i \leq k$ , and  $\sum_{i \geq 2} \bar{\delta}_i \bar{y}_i \in \Delta(A)$ . Therefore,  $\bar{y}_i = 0$  for  $2 \leq i \leq k$ , since  $\{\bar{1}, \bar{\delta}_2, \dots, \bar{\delta}_k\}$  is linearly independent. Hence

$$(\beta) \quad \pi(M_i) \subset J(D(k)),$$

where  $\pi: D = D(k) \oplus D'(n) \rightarrow D(k)$  is the projection. Let  $p_s$  be the projection on the  $s$ -th component of  $D = N^{(k+n)}$ . Since  $M_1 \not\subset J(D)$  and  $\pi(M_1) \subset J(D(k))$ ,  $p_j \mid M_1$  is an epimorphism for some  $j > k$ , say  $j = k+1$ , and hence an isomorphism for  $M_1 \approx N$ . Therefore

$$(\gamma) \quad D = D(k) \oplus M_1 \oplus D'(n-1),$$

where  $D'(n) = N \oplus D'(n-1)$ . Now assume that  $D = D(k) \oplus M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus \dots \oplus M_s \oplus D'(n-s)$ . Let  $\pi_{D'(n-s)}$  be the projection of  $D$  onto  $D'(n-s)$  in the above decomposition. Suppose  $\pi_{D'(n-s)}(M_{s+1}) \subset J(D'(n-s))$ . Then  $\pi_{D(k) \oplus D'(n-s)}(M_{s+1}) \subset J(D)$  by  $(\beta)$ . On the other hand,  $0 = M_{s+1} \cap (M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus \dots \oplus M_s) = \ker(\pi_{D(k) \oplus D'(n-s)} \mid M_{s+1})$ , so  $M_{s+1}$  is monomorphic to a submodule in  $J(D)$ , which is impossible. Hence  $\pi_{D'(n-s)}(M_{s+1}) \not\subset J(D'(n-s))$ , and so  $D = D(k) \oplus M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus \dots \oplus M_{s+1} \oplus D'(n-s-1)$  by the above argument. Accordingly,  $q \leq n$ , and hence  $M$  does not contain a submodule of  $D$  isomorphic to  $N^{(n+1)}$ . Let  $M'$  be an arbitrary maximal submodule of  $D$ . Then, by induction on  $m$  and [2], Theorem 2,  $M' = N^{(m-k)} \oplus M^*$ , where  $N' \approx N$ .

2)  $\rightarrow$  1). Take  $m = k+1$ . By  $(\alpha)$  and the argument employed in proving  $(\gamma)$ , we see that  $D$  contains a direct summand which is isomorphic to  $N$ . Hence

$[\Delta: \Delta(A)] = k$  by [2], Theorem 2.

1)  $\leftrightarrow$  3). In case  $\theta(t)$  is epic, every maximal submodule  $M$  of  $N^{(t+1)}$  contains a direct summand  $M_1$  which is isomorphic to  $N$ . Then, by 2),  $M_1$  is also a direct summand of  $N^{(t+1)}$ . Hence  $\theta(t)$  is epic if and only if  $N^{(t+1)}$  satisfies (\*\*), and the equivalence of 1) and 3) is clear by [2], Theorem 3 (see Remark below).

In Theorem 1, we have studied a direct sum of isomorphic copies of a fixed hollow module. Next, let  $N_1 = eR/A_1$  and  $N_2 = eR/A_2$ . If there exists an epimorphism  $\varphi$  of  $N_1$  to  $N_2$  then we write  $N_1 > N_2$ . Since  $\varphi$  is given by the left-sided multiplication of a unit element  $x$  in  $eRe$ , we have  $xA_1 \subset A_2$ , and furthermore  $N_1 \approx eR/xA_1$ . Hence, when we study the direct sum  $N_1 \oplus N_2$  with  $N_1 > N_2$ , we may assume that  $A_1 \subset A_2$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\{N_i = eR/A_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be a family of hollow modules ( $n \geq 2$ ). Assume that  $|A_1| \geq |A_2| \geq \dots \geq |A_n|$ . Then  $D = \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus N_i$  satisfies (\*\*) if and only if, for any sequence  $\{\delta_2, \dots, \delta_n\}$  of  $n-1$  elements in  $\Delta$ , there exist an integer  $t$  ( $2 \leq t \leq n$ ) and  $\bar{y}_i \in \Delta(A_t, A_i)$  ( $2 \leq i \leq t-1$ ) such that

$$(\#) \quad \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} \delta_i \bar{y}_i + \delta_t \in \Delta(A_t, A_1),$$

where  $\Delta(A_t, A_i) = \{\bar{x} \mid x \in eRe \text{ and } xA_i \subset A_t\}$ .

**Proof.** We may assume that  $R$  is basic. Take the maximal submodule  $M$  in  $D$  generated by  $\beta_i = (\delta_i, \tilde{0}, \dots, \overset{i}{\tilde{e}}, \tilde{0}, \dots, \tilde{0})$  ( $i=2, 3, \dots, n$ ). Then  $M$  contains a direct summand  $M_1$  of  $D$ , i.e.,  $D = M_1 \oplus D_1$  and  $M_1 \approx N_p$  for some  $p$ ;  $M_1$  is generated by  $\alpha = \sum_{i \geq 2} \beta_i y_i + j$ , where  $y_i \in eRe$  ( $y_i \notin eJe$  for some  $q$ ) and  $j \in J(D)$ . Now,  $\alpha = (\sum_{i \geq 2} \delta_i y_i + \tilde{j}_1, \tilde{y}_2 + \tilde{j}_2, \dots, \tilde{y}_n + \tilde{j}_n)$ . Assume that  $\tilde{y}_n = \tilde{y}_{n-1} = \dots = \tilde{y}_{t+1} = 0$  and  $\tilde{y}_t \neq 0$ . Let  $\pi_t$  be the projection of  $D = \sum \oplus N_i$  onto  $N_t$ . Then  $\pi_t|_{M_1}$  is an epimorphism, so  $M_1 > N_t$ . On the other hand, let  $\pi$  be the projection of  $D = M_1 \oplus D_1$  onto  $M_1$ . We shall show that  $\pi_t|_{M_1}$  is an isomorphism. Suppose, to the contrary, that  $|M_1| > |N_t|$ . Then, since  $|N_k| \leq |N_t|$ ,  $\pi(N_k) \subset J(M_1)$  for  $k \leq t$ , and  $\alpha = \pi(\alpha) = \pi(\sum_{i \geq 2} \delta_i y_i + \tilde{j}_1, \tilde{0}, \dots, \tilde{0}) + \pi(\tilde{0}, \tilde{y}_2 + \tilde{j}_2, \tilde{0}, \dots, \tilde{0}) + \dots + \pi(\tilde{0}, \dots, \tilde{y}_t + \tilde{j}_t, \tilde{0}, \dots, \tilde{0}) + \pi(\tilde{0}, \dots, \tilde{y}_{t+1} + \tilde{j}_{t+1}, \tilde{0}, \dots, \tilde{0}) + \dots + \pi(\tilde{0}, \dots, \tilde{y}_n + \tilde{j}_n) \in J(M_1) \subset J(D)$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $M_1 \approx N_t$ . Now, let  $\varphi: eR \rightarrow M_1$  be a homomorphism given by setting  $\varphi(er) = aer$ . Then, since  $y'_i(\ker \varphi) \subset A_t$  and  $|M_1| = |N_t|$ , we have  $\ker \varphi = y'_i{}^{-1}A_t$ , where  $y'_i = y_i + j_i e$ . Hence  $(\sum_{i=2}^t \delta_i y_i + j_1 e) \cdot y'_i{}^{-1}A_t \subset A_1$  and  $(y_i + j_i e)y'_i{}^{-1}A_t \subset A_i$  ( $2 \leq i \leq t-1$ ). Conversely, assume the above property. Let  $\bar{M}$  be a maximal submodule of  $D$ , and put  $\bar{D} = D/J(D) \supset \bar{M} = \bar{M}/J(D)$ . If  $\bar{M}$  contains some  $\overline{eR/A_i}$ , then  $\bar{M} \supset \overline{eR/A_i}$ . Hence we may

assume that  $\bar{M} = \sum \beta_i R$ , where  $\beta_i = (\delta_i, \tilde{0}, \dots, \overset{i}{\tilde{e}}, \tilde{0}, \dots, \tilde{0}) \in M$ . By assumption, there exists  $\{y_i\}_{i=2}^{t-1}$  such that  $\sum_{i=2}^{t-1} \delta_i y_i + \delta_t \in \Delta(A_t, A_1)$  and  $\bar{y}_i \in \Delta(A_t, A_i)$  ( $i \geq 2$ ). We define a homomorphism  $\theta: N_t \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} \oplus N_j$  by setting  $\theta(x) = ((\sum_{i=2}^{t-1} \delta_i y_i + \delta_t + \tilde{j})x, \bar{y}_2 x, \dots, \bar{y}_{t-1} x)$ , where  $j \in eJe$  and  $(\sum \delta_i y_i + \delta_t + j)A_t \subset A_1$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^t \oplus N_i = \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \oplus N_i \oplus N_t(\theta)$  and  $N_t(\theta) = (\theta + 1_{N_t})N_t = (\theta + 1_{N_t})\bar{e}R = (\sum \beta_i y_i + \tilde{j}e)R \subset M$ .

REMARK. If we put all  $A_i = A$  in Theorem 2, then we obtain [2], Theorem 2. Next, in [2], Theorem 3, we can take a set of linearly independent elements  $\{\delta_{i1}, \dots, \delta_{is_i}\}$  in  $\Delta$  over  $\Delta(N_i)$ . Apply Theorem 2 for the set  $\{\delta_{ij}\}_{i=1}^t$ . Then we obtain [2], Theorem 3, because  $\Delta(N_i, N_j) \neq 0$  implies  $N_i \approx N_j$ .

The next is a dual to [3], Corollary to Theorem 4.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  be hollow modules. Assume that  $[\Delta: \Delta(N_2)] = k < \infty$ . Then  $N_1 \oplus N_2^{(k)}$  satisfies (\*\*) if and only if  $N_1 > N_2$  or  $N_1 < N_2$ .*

Proof. Apply Theorem 2 to a basis  $\{\bar{e}, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_k\}$  of  $\Delta$  over  $\Delta(N_2)$ .

For two hollow modules  $N_1$  and  $N_2$ , we put  $N_1 \sim N_2$  when  $N_1 > N_2$  or  $N_1 < N_2$ . Given a family  $\{eR/A_i\}_{i=1}^n$  of hollow modules, we set

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus eR/A_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \oplus eR/A_{1j} \oplus \sum_{j=2}^{n_2} \oplus eR/A_{2j} \oplus \dots \oplus \sum_{j=1}^{n_m} \oplus eR/A_{mj},$$

where  $(eR/A_{ik} \sim eR/A_{ij}$  for some  $k$  and  $j$ , and)  $eR/A_{ik} \not\sim eR/A_{i'j}$  for all  $k$  and  $j$  provided  $i \neq i'$ .

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $D$  be as above. Then  $D$  satisfies (\*\*) if and only if so does some  $\sum_j \oplus eR/A_{ij}$ .*

Proof. If some  $D_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \oplus eR/A_{ij}$  satisfies (\*\*), then so does  $D$  by [2],

Lemma 1. Next, we shall show that  $D$  does not satisfy (\*\*) if none of  $D_i$  does. We may assume that  $|A_{i1}| \geq |A_{i2}| \geq \dots \geq |A_{in_i}|$ . Then there exists  $\{\delta_{i2}, \delta_{i3}, \dots, \delta_{in_i}\} \subset \Delta$  for which (#) never holds if  $n_i \geq 2$ . If  $D$  satisfies (\*\*) then there exist  $B_i$  and  $\bar{y}_h \in \Delta(B_i, B_1)$  such that

$$(\delta) \quad \sum_{h=2}^{i-1} \bar{e}_h \bar{y}_h + \bar{e}_i \in \Delta(B_i, B_1),$$

where  $B_p$  is equal to some  $A_{ij}$ ,  $|B_p| \geq |B_{p+1}|$  for all  $p$ ,  $\bar{e}_p$  is equal to some  $\delta_{ij}$ , and  $\delta_{i1} = e$  for all  $i$ . First, assume that  $B_i = A_{ik}$  and  $B_1 = A_{i1}$ . Since  $\Delta(A_{ij}, A_{i'j'}) = 0$  for  $i \neq i'$ , ( $\delta$ ) becomes

$$\delta_{i2} \bar{y}_2 + \dots + \delta_{i_{k-1}} \bar{y}_{i_{k-1}} + \delta_{ik} \in \Delta(A_{ik}, A_{i1})$$

and  $\bar{y}_{i_p} \in \Delta(A_{ik}, A_{i_p})$ , which is a contradiction. Next, assume that  $B_i = A_{ik}$  and  $B_1 = A_{i'1}$  for  $i \neq i'$ . Then ( $\delta$ ) becomes

$$\bar{e}\bar{y}_{i_1} + \bar{\delta}_{i_2}\bar{y}_{i_2} + \cdots + \bar{\delta}_{i_{k-1}}\bar{y}_{i_{k-1}} + \bar{\delta}_{i_k} = 0$$

and  $\bar{y}_{i_p} \in \Delta(A_{i_k}, A_{i_p})$ . But,  $\bar{e}\bar{y}_{i_1}$  being in  $\Delta(A_{i_p}, A_{i_1})$ , we have a contradiction. Therefore  $D$  does not satisfy (\*\*).

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $\{N_i = eR/A_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$  be a family of hollow modules ( $n \geq 1$ ).*

*Assume that  $[\Delta : \Delta(A_i)] = n$  for all  $i$  and  $A_i \supset A_j$  for  $i < j$ . If  $n \leq 3$  then  $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \oplus N_i$  satisfies (\*\*).*

*Proof.* If  $n=1$ , this is clear by [2], Theorem 1. Assume  $n=2$ . If  $\Delta(A_3, A_1) \cong \Delta(A_3)$  then (#) holds trivially. So, we assume that  $\Delta(A_3, A_1) = \Delta(A_3)$ . Since  $\Delta(A_3) = \Delta(A_3, A_1) \supset \Delta(A_2, A_1) \supset \Delta(A_2)$ , we get  $\Delta(A_3) = \Delta(A_2) = \Delta(A_2, A_1)$ . In view of  $[\Delta : \Delta(A_3)] = 2$ , for any  $\bar{\delta}_2, \bar{\delta}_3 \in \Delta$  we can find  $\bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_3 \in \Delta(A_3)$  such that  $\bar{\delta}_2\bar{z}_2 + \bar{\delta}_3\bar{z}_3 \in \Delta(A_3) = \Delta(A_3, A_1)$  and  $\{\bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_3\} \neq 0$ . This shows that  $\{\bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_3\}$  satisfies (#). Finally, assume that  $n=3$ . Let  $\bar{\delta}_2, \bar{\delta}_3$  and  $\bar{\delta}_4$  be elements in  $\Delta$ . First assume that  $\Delta(A_3) \cong \Delta(A_3, A_1)$ . Then  $[\Delta/\Delta(A_3, A_1) : \Delta(A_3)] \leq 1$ . If  $\bar{\delta}_3$  is in  $\Delta(A_3, A_1)$  then (#) holds trivially. So, assume that  $\bar{\delta}_3 \notin \Delta(A_3, A_1)$ . Then there exist  $\bar{y}_3, \bar{y}_4 \in \Delta(A_3)$  such that  $\bar{\delta}_3\bar{y}_3 + \bar{\delta}_4\bar{y}_4 \in \Delta(A_3, A_1)$  and  $\{\bar{y}_3, \bar{y}_4\} \neq 0$ . Since  $\bar{y}_4 \neq 0$  by  $\bar{\delta}_3 \notin \Delta(A_3, A_1)$ ,  $\bar{\delta}_3\bar{y}_3\bar{y}_4^{-1} + \bar{\delta}_4 \in \Delta(A_3, A_1) \subset \Delta(A_4, A_1)$ , and  $\bar{y}_3\bar{y}_4^{-1} \in \Delta(A_3) \subset \Delta(A_4, A_3)$ . Hence (#) holds. Next, assume that  $\Delta(A_3) = \Delta(A_3, A_1)$ . Then  $\Delta(A_3) = \Delta(A_2, A_1) = \Delta(A_2)$ , as in the case  $n=2$ . There exist  $\bar{y}_2, \bar{y}_3, \bar{y}_4 \in \Delta(A_3)$  such that  $\bar{\delta}_2\bar{y}_2 + \bar{\delta}_3\bar{y}_3 + \bar{\delta}_4\bar{y}_4 \in \Delta(A_3) \subset \Delta(A_3, A_1) \subset \Delta(A_4, A_1)$  and  $\{\bar{y}_i\} \neq 0$ . Now, by making use of a similar argument as above, we can easily see that  $\{\bar{y}_i\}$  satisfies (#).

By making use of the above argument and Corollary 1, we can prove the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $\{N_i = eR/A_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be a family of hollow modules. Assume that  $\Delta(A_i) = \Delta(A_1)$  for all  $i$  and  $[\Delta : \Delta(A_1)] = n$ . Then all the direct sums  $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \oplus T_i$  with  $T_i$  isomorphic to some one in  $\{N_i\}$  satisfy (\*\*) if and only if  $\{N_i\}$  is linearly ordered with respect to  $<$ .*

**EXAMPLE.** Let  $k$  be a field and  $x$  an indeterminate. Let  $L = k(x)$ , and  $K = k(x^5)$ . Consider the ring

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} L & L \\ 0 & K \end{pmatrix}.$$

Put  $A_{4-i} = (0, K + Kx + \cdots + Kx^i) \subset e_{11}R$  ( $0 \leq i \leq 3$ ), and  $N_i = e_{11}R/A_i$ . Then  $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset A_3 \supset A_4$  and  $\Delta(A_i) = K$ . We can show directly the following facts: Both  $N_1 \oplus N_3 \oplus N_4$  and  $N_1 \oplus N_2 \oplus N_4$  satisfy (\*\*). But, no direct sum  $N_i \oplus N_j$  ( $i \neq j$ ) satisfies (\*\*) and neither  $N_1 \oplus N_2 \oplus N_3$  nor  $N_2 \oplus N_3 \oplus N_4$  does. (Note that

$\Delta(A_4, A_1) = K + Kx + Kx^2 + Kx^3$  and  $\Delta(A_3, A_1) = \Delta(A_4, A_2) = K + Kx + Kx^2$ .)  $N_1 \oplus N_2 \oplus N_3 \oplus N_4$  satisfies (\*\*), but neither  $N_i^{(4)}$  nor  $N_i^{(5)}$  does. If  $m \geq 6$  then  $\sum_{i=1}^m N'_i$  with  $N'_i$  isomorphic to some one in  $\{N_i\}$  satisfies (\*\*). If we replace  $K = k(x^5)$  by  $k(x^7)$ , none of  $N'_1 \oplus N'_2 \oplus N'_3$  satisfies (\*\*).

---

### References

- [1] M. Harada: *On maximal submodules of a finite direct sum of hollow modules I*, Osaka J. Math. **21** (1984), 649–670.
- [2] ———: *On maximal submodules of a finite direct sum of hollow modules II*, Osaka J. Math. **21** (1984), 671–677.
- [3] ———: *Simple submodules in a finite direct sum of uniform modules*, Methods in Ring Theory, ed. by F. van Oystaeyen, NATO ASI ser. C 129 (1984), 145–159.

Department of Mathematics  
Osaka City University  
Sumiyoshi-ku, Osaka 558  
Japan