

ON THE ASYMPTOTIC DISTRIBUTION OF EIGENVALUES IN AN UNBOUNDED DOMAIN

JUN-ICHI TSUJIMOTO

(Received October 13, 1981)

1. Introduction

Let Ω be a domain in real space R^n with generic point $x=(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. We denote by $\alpha=(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ a multi-index of length $|\alpha|=\alpha_1+\dots+\alpha_n$ and use the notations

$$D^\alpha = D_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots D_n^{\alpha_n}, \quad D_k = -\sqrt{-1} \partial/\partial x_k.$$

For an integer $m \geq 0$, $H_m(\Omega)$ is to be the set of all functions whose distribution derivatives of order up to m belong to $L^2(\Omega)$ and we introduce in it the usual norm

$$\|u\|_m = \|u\|_{m,\Omega} = \left(\int_{\Omega} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} |D^\alpha u|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

$\dot{H}_m(\Omega)$ denotes the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in $H_m(\Omega)$.

Let B be a symmetric integro-differential sesquilinear form of order m with bounded coefficients

$$B[u, v] = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq m} a_{\alpha\beta}(x) D^\alpha u \overline{D^\beta v} dx$$

satisfying

$$B[u, u] \geq \delta \|u\|_m^2 \quad \text{for any } u \in \dot{H}_m(\Omega)$$

where δ is some positive constant. Let A be the operator associated with this sesquilinear form: an element u of $\dot{H}_m(\Omega)$ belongs to $D(A)$ and $Au=f \in L^2(\Omega)$ if $B[u, v]=(f, v)$ is valid for any $v \in \dot{H}_m(\Omega)$. It is well known that A is a positive definite self-adjoint operator in $L^2(\Omega)$. On the other hand, Beryer & Schecter [3] proved that the injection $\dot{H}_m(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ is compact if

$$\text{meas}(S(x) \cap \Omega) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty \quad (1.1)$$

where $S(x)=\{y \in R^n: |y-x| < 1\}$. Hence, when Ω satisfies (1.1), the spectrum of A consists of a sequence $\{\lambda_k\}$ of eigenvalues of finite multiplicity having $+\infty$ as the only accumulation point. For $t > 0$ let $N(t)$ be the number of eigen-

values of A which do not exceed t . This paper is devoted to the investigation of the asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues of A under the assumption $2m > n$. The asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues in unbounded domains was studied by several writers. For the Laplace operator Tamura [8] and Asakura [1] obtained the asymptotic formula of the distribution. Fleckinger [4] considered a certain type of elliptic operators on domains in R^2 . For the uniformly elliptic, second order, formally self-adjoint partial differential operators Hewgill [5], [6] gave upper and lower bounds for $N(t)$. In the case of order $2m$ Audrin & Pham The Lai [2] gave an upper bound for $N(t)$: under the condition $\int_{\Omega} \delta(x)^{-2k} dx < \infty$ for an integer k such that $m > n/2 + k$ they established $N(t) = O(t^{(n+2k)/2m})$ where $\delta(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$.

In this paper we consider domains which satisfy a P_τ -condition:

$$(P_\tau) \quad \text{meas}(\Omega \cap \{x: |x| = r\}) \leq C(1+r)^{-\tau}$$

where τ is a positive constant such that $0 < \tau \leq 1$. The conclusion of this paper is that

$$N(t) = \begin{cases} O(t^{n/2m + (n-1)(1-\tau)/2m\tau}) & \text{if } 0 < \tau < 1 \\ O(t^{n/2m} \log t) & \text{if } \tau = 1 \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$. When $\tau = 1$, under some additional assumptions on Ω and the coefficients of B we shall derive the asymptotic formula:

$$N(t) \sim \int_{\Omega_t} a(x) dx t^{n/2m} \quad (1.3)$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_t &= \Omega \cap \{x: |x| \leq t^{(n-1)/2m}\}, \\ a(x) &= (2\pi)^{-n} \text{meas} \left\{ \xi: \sum_{|\beta| = |\alpha| = m} a_{\alpha\beta}(x) \xi^{\alpha+\beta} < 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The method used in this paper is different from the above papers. By this method we can estimate the eigenfunctions of A : for any positive integer k there exists a constant C_k such that

$$|\phi_j(x)| \leq C_k \lambda_j^{n/2n + (n-1)k/4m} (1 + |x|)^{-\tau k/2} \quad (1.4)$$

where $A\phi_j = \lambda_j \phi_j$, $(\phi_i, \phi_j) = \delta_{ij}$. In the proof of (1.3) we shall use the result of Tsujimoto [9].

2. Main theorems

As was stated in the introduction it is assumed that $2m > n$ and we consider domains which satisfy a P_τ -condition:

$$(P_\tau) \quad \text{meas}(\Omega \cap \{x: |x| = r\}) \leq C(1+r)^{-\tau}.$$

Theorem 1. Suppose that Ω satisfies (P_τ) , then we have

$$N(t) = \begin{cases} O(t^{n/2m + (n-1)(1-\tau)/2m\tau}) & \text{if } 0 < \tau < 1 \\ O(t^{n/2m} \log t) & \text{if } \tau = 1 \end{cases}$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Next, we consider the following assumptions:

$$(Q) \quad a_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathcal{B}^\infty(\Omega),$$

$$(R)-(i) \quad \text{meas } \Omega_t \geq C \log t,$$

$$-(ii) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [\text{meas}(\Omega_t \cap \{x: \delta(x) < \varepsilon |x|^{1/(1-n)}\})] (\log t)^{-1} = 0,$$

$$-(iii) \quad \int_{\Omega_t \cup \{x: \delta(x) > \varepsilon |x|^{1/(1-n)}\}} \delta(x)^{-1} dx \leq C_\varepsilon t^{1/2m},$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$, $t > 2$ where $\Omega_t = \Omega \cap \{x: |x| < t^{(n-1)/2m}\}$, $\delta(x) = \min \{1, \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)\}$.

Theorem 2. Suppose that Ω and B satisfy (P_1) , (Q) and (R) , then the following asymptotic formula for $N(t)$ holds as $t \rightarrow \infty$:

$$N(t) \sim t^{n/2m} \int_{\Omega_t} a(x) dx$$

where

$$a(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \text{meas} \left\{ \xi: \sum_{|\alpha| = |\beta| = m} a_{\alpha\beta}(x) \xi^{\alpha+\beta} < 1 \right\}.$$

3. Some lemmas and proof of theorem 1

Lemma 3.1. Let S be a bounded operator on the antidual $H_{-m}(\Omega)$ of $\hat{H}_m(\Omega)$ to $\hat{H}_m(\Omega)$. Then S has a kernel M in the following sense:

$$(Sf)(x) = \int_{\Omega} M(x, y) f(y) dx \quad \text{for } f \in L^2(\Omega).$$

$M(x, y)$ is continuous in $\Omega \times \Omega$ and there exist a constant C such that for any $x, y \in \Omega$

$$\begin{aligned} & |M(x, y)| \\ & \leq C \|S\|_{(-m, m)}^{n^2/4m^2} \|S\|_{(-m, 0)}^{n/2m - n^2/4m^2} \|S\|_{(0, m)}^{n/2m - n^2/4m^2} \|S\|_{(0, 0)}^{1 - n/2m} \end{aligned}$$

where we denote by $\|S\|_{(-m, m)}$, $\|S\|_{(-m, 0)}$, $\|S\|_{(0, m)}$, $\|S\|_{(0, 0)}$ the norms of S considered as an operator on $H_{-m}(\Omega)$ to $\hat{H}_m(\Omega)$, on $H_{-m}(\Omega)$ to $L^2(\Omega)$, on $L^2(\Omega)$ to $\hat{H}_m(\Omega)$, on $L^2(\Omega)$ to $L^2(\Omega)$ respectively.

Proof. We note that for any function $u \in \hat{H}_m(\Omega)$ we can use Sobolev's inequality even if Ω does not have the cone property. Hence, the present

lemma can be proved just as Lemma 3.2 of [7].

Let $K_\lambda(x, y)$ be the resolvent kernel of A . For $\lambda \in (0, \pi/2)$ we set $\Lambda = \{\lambda: \theta \leq \arg \lambda \leq 2\pi - \theta, |\lambda| > 0\}$.

Lemma 3.2. *There exist constants C, d such that*

$$|K_\lambda(x, y)| \leq C |\lambda|^{n/2m-1} e^{-d|\lambda|^{1/2m}|x-y|} \quad (3.1)$$

for $x, y \in \Omega, \lambda \in \Lambda$.

Proof. Using Lemma 3.1, the present lemma can be proved just as Lemma 5.1 of [10].

Next, we consider the iterated kernels of $K_\lambda(x, y)$:

$$\begin{aligned} K_\lambda^{(k)}(x, y) &= \int_{\Omega} K_\lambda^{(k-1)}(x, z) K_\lambda(z, y) dz, \\ K_\lambda^{(0)}(x, y) &= K_\lambda(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

We note that $K_\lambda^{(k)}$ is the kernel of $(A - \lambda)^{-(k+1)}$.

Lemma 3.3. *For any positive integer k there exists a constant C_k such that*

$$|K_\lambda^{(k)}(x, y)| \leq C_k |\lambda|^{n/2m+(n-1)k/2m-k-1} (1+|x|)^{-\tau k/2} (1+|y|)^{-\tau k/2} \quad (3.2)$$

for any $x, y \in \Omega, \lambda \in \Lambda$.

Proof. We prove (3.2) by induction on k .

In the case of $k=1$. Using (3.1) and Schwartz's inequality, we have

$$|K_\lambda^{(1)}(x, y)| \leq C |\lambda|^{2n/2m-2} \left(\int_{\Omega} e^{-d|\lambda|^{1/2m}|x-z|} dz \right)^{1/2} \times \left(\int_{\Omega} e^{-d|\lambda|^{1/2m}|z-y|} dz \right)^{1/2}. \quad (3.3)$$

In proving (3.2) we may assume that $|x|, |y| > 2$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{1,x} &= \Omega \cap \{z: |z-x| > |x|^{1/2}\}, \\ \Omega_{2,x} &= \Omega \cap \{z: |z-x| < |x|^{1/2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} e^{-d|\lambda|^{1/2m}|x-z|} dz \\ &= \int_{\Omega_{1,x}} + \int_{\Omega_{2,x}} = I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Introducing polar coordinates, we have for any positive integer N

$$I_1 \leq C \int_{|x-z| > |x|^{1/2}} e^{-d|\lambda|^{1/2m}|x-z|} dz$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= C \int_{r > |x|^{1/2}} e^{-d|\lambda|^{1/2m}r} r^{n-1} dr \\
&\leq C_N \int_{r > |x|^{1/2}} (|\lambda|^{1/2m}r)^{-N} r^{n-1} dr \\
&\leq C_N |\lambda|^{-N/2m} |x|^{(n-N)/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

We set $\omega_r = \text{meas}(\Omega \cap \{z: |z|=r\})$. From (P_r) we have that $\omega_r \leq C(1+r)^{-\tau}$. Hence, introducing polar coordinates, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &\leq C \int_{|x|-|x|^{1/2}}^{|x|+|x|^{1/2}} e^{-d|\lambda|^{1/2m}|r-|x||} \omega_r dr \\
&\leq C(1+|x|)^{-\tau} |\lambda|^{-1/2m} \int_{|x|^{1/2}}^{|x|+|x|^{1/2}} e^{-d|\lambda|^{1/2m}|r-|x||} dr \\
&\leq C |\lambda|^{-1/2m} (1+|x|)^{-\tau}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

From (3.4) and (3.5) we have

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{-d|\lambda|^{1/2m}|x-z|} dz \leq C |\lambda|^{-1/2m} (1+|x|)^{-\tau}. \tag{3.6}$$

Hence, from (3.3) we have (3.2) for $k=1$.

Assuming now that (3.2) holds for k , we shall prove it for $k+1$. From (3.1) and the induction assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|K_{\lambda}^{(k+1)}(x, y)| &\leq C |\lambda|^{2n/2m+(n-1)k/2m-k-2} (1+|x|)^{-\tau/2} \\
&\quad \times \int_{\Omega} (1+|z|)^{-\tau k/2} e^{-d|\lambda|^{1/2m}|z-y|} dz.
\end{aligned}$$

By the same way as the proof of (3.6), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (1+|z|)^{-\tau k/2} e^{-d|\lambda|^{1/2m}|z-y|} dz \leq C(1+|y|)^{-\tau-\tau k/2} |\lambda|^{-1/2m}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|K_{\lambda}^{(k+1)}(x, y)| &\leq C |\lambda|^{n/2m+(n-1)(k+1)/2m-k-2} (1+|x|)^{-\tau k/2} \\
&\quad \times (1+|y|)^{-\tau-\tau k/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Using $K_{\lambda}^{(k+1)}(x, y) = \int_{\Omega} K_{\lambda}(x, z) K_{\lambda}^{(k)}(z, y) dz$, analogously we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|K_{\lambda}^{(k+1)}(x, y)| &\leq C |\lambda|^{n/2m+(n-1)(k+1)/2m-k-2} (1+|x|)^{-\tau-\tau k/2} \\
&\quad \times (1+|y|)^{-\tau k/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

From (3.7) and (3.8) we have (3.2) for $k+1$. This completes the induction and establishes (3.2).

Let $\{E_s\}$ be the spectral resolution of A : $A = \int_0^{\infty} t dE_t$ and $e(x, y; t)$ be

the spectral function of A , that is, the kernel of E_t . It is well known that

$$\int_0^\infty (t-\lambda)^{-k-1} dE_t = (A-\lambda)^{-k-1}, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\int_0^\infty (t-\lambda)^{-k-1} de(x, y; t) = K_\lambda^{(k)}(x, y). \quad (3.10)$$

Lemma 3.4. *For any non negative integer k there exists a constant C_k such that for any $x \in \Omega$*

$$|e(x, x; t)| \leq C_k t^{n/2m+(n-1)k/2m} (1+|x|)^{-\tau k}. \quad (3.11)$$

Proof. Since $de(x, x; t)$ is a positive measure, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t (s+t)^{-k-2} de(x, x; s) &\leq \int_0^\infty (s+t)^{-k-1} de(x, x; s) \\ &= K_{-t}^{(k)}(x, x). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Noting that $e(x, x; t) \leq C t^{k+1} \int_0^t (s+t)^{-k-1} de(x, x; s)$, from (3.1), (3.2) and (3.12) we have the present lemma.

REMARK. Noting that $e(x, x; t) = \sum_{\lambda_j \leq t} |\phi_j(x)|^2$, from (3.11) we get (1.4).

Proof of Theorem 1. We set $\Omega_1 = \Omega \cap \{x: |x| < t^{(n-1)/2m\tau}\}$, $\Omega_2 = \Omega \cap \{x: |x| > t^{(n-1)/2m\tau}\}$. Then we have for $t > 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_1} e(x, x; t) dx &\leq C t^{n/2m} \int_{\Omega_1} dx \\ &\leq C t^{n/2m} \int_0^{t^{(n-1)/2m\tau}} (1+r)^{-\tau} dr \\ &\leq \begin{cases} C t^{n/2m+(n-1)(1-\tau)/2m\tau} & \text{if } 0 < \tau < 1, \\ C t^{n/2m} \log t & \text{if } \tau = 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.11), we have for $k > 1/\tau - 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_2} e(x, x; t) dx &\leq C_k t^{n/2m+(n-1)k/2m} \int_{\Omega_2} (1+|x|)^{-\tau k} dx \\ &\leq C_i t^{n/2m+(n-1)k/2m} \int_{t^{(n-1)/2m\tau}}^\infty (1+r)^{-\tau-\tau k} dr \\ &\leq C_k t^{n/2m+(n-1)(1-\tau)/2m\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, noting that $N(t) = \int_\Omega e(x, x; t) dx$, we get Theorem 1.

4. Proof of theorem 2

From the assumption (Q) and Lemma 3.2 we see that A satisfies the assumption of the main theorem of [9]. Hence, we have

$$|e(x, x; t) - a(x)t^{n/2m}| \leq C\delta(x)^{-1}(t^{n-1})^{1/2m}. \quad (4.1)$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned} & |N(t) - t^{n/2m} \int_{\Omega_t} a(x) dx| \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega_1} |e(x, x; t) - a(x)t^{n/2m}| dx + \int_{\Omega_2} |e(x, x; t)| dx \\ & = I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

From the proof of Theorem 1 we have

$$I_2 \leq C t^{n/2m}. \quad (4.2)$$

We set for sufficiently small ε $\Omega_{1,1}^\varepsilon = \Omega_1 \cap \{x \in \Omega: \delta(x) < \varepsilon |x|^{1/(1-n)}\}$, $\Omega_{1,2}^\varepsilon = \Omega_1 \cap \{x \in \Omega: \delta(x) > \varepsilon |x|^{1/(1-n)}\}$. Then we have

$$I_1 = \int_{\Omega_{1,1}^\varepsilon} + \int_{\Omega_{1,2}^\varepsilon} = I_{1,1}(\varepsilon, t) + I_{1,2}(\varepsilon, t).$$

From the assumption (R)-(ii) we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} I_{1,1}(\varepsilon, t) (t^{n/2m} \log t)^{-1} = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

Moreover from (4.1) and the assumption (R)-(iii) we have

$$I_{1,2}(\varepsilon, t) \leq C_\varepsilon t^{n/2m}. \quad (4.4)$$

From the assumption (R)-(i) we see that there exists a constant C such that for $t > 2$

$$t^{n/2m} \int_{\Omega_t} a(x) dx \geq C t^{n/2m} \log t. \quad (4.5)$$

Hence, from (4.2), (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5) we get Theorem 2.

Bibliography

- [1] F. Asakura: *The asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues for the Laplacian in semi-infinite domains*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **19** (1979), 583–599.
- [2] Jean-Marie Audrin & Pham The Lai: *Comportement asymptotique des valeurs propres d'un problème de Dirichlet elliptique autoadjoint sur un ouvert non borné*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **276** (1973), Serie A 1197–1200.
- [3] M.S. Beryer & M. Schecter: *Embedding theorems and quasi-linear elliptic boundary value problems for unbounded domains*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **172** (1972), 261–278.
- [4] J. Fleckinger: *Asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues of elliptic operators on unbounded domains*, Ordinary and Partial Differential Equations, Proceedings,

- Dundee, Scotland, (1980).
- [5] D. Hewgill: *On the eigenvalues of a second order elliptic operator in an unbounded domain*, Pacific J. Math. **51** (1974), 467–476.
 - [6] D. Hewgill: *On the growth of the eigenvalues of an elliptic operator in a quasi-bounded domain*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **56** (1974), 367–371.
 - [7] K. Maruo & H. Tanabe: *On the asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues of operators associated with strongly elliptic sesqui-linear forms*, Osaka J. Math. **8** (1971), 323–345.
 - [8] H. Tamura: *The asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues of the Laplace operator in an unbounded domain*, Nagoya Math. J. **60** (1976), 7–33.
 - [9] J. Tsujimoto: *On the asymptotic behavior of spectral functions of elliptic operators*, Japan. J. Math. **8** (1982), 177–210.
 - [10] J. Tsujimoto: *On the remainder estimates of asymptotic formulas for eigenvalues of operators associated with strongly elliptic sesquilinear forms*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **33** (1981), 557–569.

Advanced Memory Technology Dept.
Semiconductor Device Engineering Lab.
Toshiba Corporation
Komukai-toshiba-cho Saiwai-ku
Kawasaki 210
Japan