Tsushima, Y. Osaka J. Math. 16 (1979), 35-38

# SOME NOTES ON THE RADICAL OF A FINITE GROUP RING II

# YUKIO TSUSHIMA

## (Received October 31, 1977)

# 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, p is a fixed prime number and G is a p-solvable group of order  $|G| = p^a h$ , (p, h) = 1. Let k be a field of characteristic p and let  $\mathfrak{N}$  be the Jacobson radical of the group ring kG. We denote by t(G) the smallest integer t such that  $\mathfrak{N}^t = 0$ .

We know that  $a(p-1)+1 \le t(G) \le p^a$ . Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of G. In the previous paper [9], we have shown that the second equality  $t(G)=p^a$  holds (if and) only if P is cyclic. Here we shall show

**Theorem 1.** Assume that P is a regular p-group. Then if t(G)=a(p-1)+1, P is elementary.

A *p*-group *P* is called *regular*, if for any  $x, y \in P$ , it holds that  $x^{p}y^{p} = (xy)^{p} \prod_{i} z_{i}^{p}$  with some  $z_{i} \in \langle x, y \rangle'$ . There are various examples of regular *p*-groups (see *e.g.* Huppert [7], III Satz 10.2).

To prove Theorem 1, it is sufficient to show that G has p-length one. Indeed if this were shown, then we have that t(G)=t(P) (Clarke [2]). However, for a p-group, our assertion is clear by Jennings [5] (without the assumption of regularity).

Of course Theorem 1 does not hold in general. A counter example is known for p=2. However, since a regular 2-group is necessarily abelian (hence t(G)=t(P)), Theorem 1 tells nothing new for p=2. Our proof is almost group theoretical. We owe heavily to a recent result of Gagola [3], a special case of which is quoted in Lemma 2 of the next section.

#### 2. Perliminary results

For convenience of later arguments, we shall here provide a proof of the following result.

**Theorem 2** (Wallace [10]).  $t(G) \ge a(p-1)+1$ .

Proof. First of all, we remark that if  $\mathfrak{N}_0$  is the radical of the group ring kP

and t=t(P), then  $\mathfrak{N}_0^{t-1}=k\sigma=(0:\mathfrak{N}_0)$ , where  $\sigma=\sum_{x\in p} x$ . This follows easily from the fact that kP is completely primary.

We shall prove the Theorem by the induction on the order of G. We may assume that  $O_{p'}(G)=1$ . Let V be a (non-trivial) normal p-subgroup of G. For a p-group, our assertion is clear by Jennings [5], so that if  $|V|=p^b$ , then  $t(V)\geq b(p-1)+1$ . By the induction hypothesis, we have  $t(G/V)\geq (a-b)(p-1)$ +1.

We recall that  $\mathfrak{N}_1 = \{\sum_x a_x(x-1) | x \in V, a_x \in k\}$  is the radical of kV. Furthermore, since  $G \triangleright V$ ,  $kG\mathfrak{N}_1$  is a nilpotent two sided ideal of kG, which coincides with the kernel of the natural map  $kG \rightarrow k\overline{G}$ , where  $\overline{G} = G/V$ . In particular, we have that  $\mathfrak{N}^{(a-b)(p-1)} \oplus kG\mathfrak{N}_1$ . Hence, if we put  $\sigma = \sum_{x \in V} x$ , then  $\mathfrak{N}^{(a-b)(p-1)}\sigma \neq 0$ . Since we have  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{N}_1^{b(p-1)} \subset \mathfrak{N}^{b(p-1)}$  as remarked above, we conclude that  $\mathfrak{N}^{a(p-1)} \neq 0$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

**Lemma 1.** Assume that  $O_{p'}(G)=1$  and  $U=O_p(G)$  is abelian. Let V be a minimal normal p-subgroup of G.

If  $O_{\mathfrak{p}'}(G/V) \neq 1$ , then there is a normal p-subgroup W of G such that  $U = V \times W$ .

Proof. Let  $O_{p'}(G/V) = TV/V$ , where T is a p'-subgroup of G. Then [TV, U] = [T, U] is a normal subgroup of G, which is contained in V. If [T, U] = 1, then  $T \subset C_G(U)$ , a contradiction, since  $C_G(U) \subset U$  by Hall and Higman [6]. Therefore we have V = [T, U].

On the other hand, from the well-known Theorem on relatively prime automorphisms, we get  $U=[T, U] \times C_U(T) = V_U \times C_U(T)$  (see e.g. Gorenstein [4] Chap. 5). Since  $C_U(T)=C_U(TV)$  is normal in G, we have the desired conclusion by letting  $W=C_U(T)$ .

The following lemma is a special case of a result of Gagola [3].

**Lemma 2.** Assume that  $O_{p'}(G)=1$  and that  $U=O_p(G)$  is minimal. Then U has a complement in G.

Proof. Let F be the prime field of characteristic p and let  $\overline{G}=G/U$ . If the irreducible  $F\overline{G}$ -module U belongs to the principal p-block of  $\overline{G}$ , then  $O_{p'}(G)$  acts trivially on U by Theorem 1 of Brauer [1]. But this is impossible, since  $C_G(U) \subset U$ . Therefore U has a complement by a theorem of Gagola [3].

#### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

We proceed by the induction on the order of G. We may assume that  $O_{p'}(G)=1$ . Let V be any non-trivial normal p-subgroup of G and let  $|V|=p^b$ . From the proof of Theorem 2, we see that t(V)=b(p-1)+1 and t(G/V)=(a-b)(p-1)+1. This implies that V is elementary by Jennings [5]. Also P/V is elementary by the induction hypothesis.

If G has distinct minimal normal subgroups V and W, then G can be embedded in  $G/V \times G/W$  and the result is clear by induction hypothesis. Hence we may assume that G has a unique minimal normal p-subgroup, say V.

Assume that  $O_p(G) > V$ . If  $O_{p'}(G/V) \neq 1$ , we have a contradiction by Lemma 1. If  $O_{p'}(G/V) = 1$ , then using that P/V is abelian, we conclude that  $G/V \triangleright P/V$ , namely  $G \triangleright P$ . Then the assertion is clear. Thus we may assume that  $U=O_p(G)$  is minimal. Then by Lemma 2, there is a subgroup H of Gsuch that G=HU and  $H \cap U=1$ . If Q is a Sylow *p*-subgroup of H, then Qis elementary and P=QU. Using now that P is regular, we have easily that Phas exponent p. Then G has *p*-length one by Hall and Higman [6]. As is remarked in the introduction, this completes the proof of Theorem 1.

## Acknowledgement

1. Soon after the earlier work [9] was completed, the author was informed from S. Koshitani that the assertion " $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ " of Theorem 4 [9] as well as the result of Clarke [2] (mentioned in the introduction) is direct from a result of Morita [8]. The author expresses his thanks to S. Koshitani.

2. The author expresses his thanks also to H. Matsuyama, who gives a direct proof of Lemma 2 as the following.

By the Schur and Zassenhaus Theorem, we may put  $O_{p,p'}(G) = HU$ , where H is a p'-subgroup and it is uniquely determined up to U-conjugates. Hence by the Frattini argument, it is easily shown that  $N_G(H)$  is a desired complement of U.

**OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY** 

#### References

- [1] R. Brauer: Some applications of the theory of blocks of characters of finite groups I, J. Algebra 1 (1964), 152–167.
- R.J. Clarke: On the radical of the group ring of a p-nilpotent group, J. Austrailian Math. Soc. 13 (1972), 119-123.
- [3] S.M. Gagola: A splitting condition using block theory, Michigan Math. J. 23 (1976), 203-206.
- [4] D. Gorenstein: Finite groups, Harper and Row, New York, 1968.
- [5] S.A. Jennings: The structure of the group ring of a p-group over a modular field, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 50 (1941), 175–185.
- [6] P. Hall and G. Higman: On the p-length of p-soluble groups and reduction theorems for Burnside's problem, Proc. London Math. Soc. 6 (1956), 1-42.
- [7] B. Huppert: Endliche Gruppen I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heiderberg, 1967.
- [8] K. Morita: On group rings over modular field which possess radicals expressible as

#### Y. TSUSHIMA

principal ideals, Sci. Report of T.B.D. 4 (1951), 177-194.

- [9] Y. Tsushima: Some notes on the radical of a finite group ring I, Osaka J. Math, 15 (1978), 647-653.
- [10] D.A. Wallace: Lower bounds for the radical of the group algebra of a finite p-soluble group, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 16 (1968/69), 127-134.

38