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## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, $p$ is a fixed prime number and $G$ is a $p$-solvable group of order $|G|=p^{a} h,(p, h)=1$. Let $k$ be a field of characteristic $p$ and let $\mathfrak{R}$ be the Jacobson radical of the group ring $k G$. We denote by $t(G)$ the smallest integer $t$ such that $\mathfrak{R}^{t}=0$.

We know that $a(p-1)+1 \leqq t(G) \leqq p^{a}$. Let $P$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$. In the previous paper [9], we have shown that the second equality $t(G)=p^{a}$ holds (if and) only if $P$ is cyclic. Here we shall show

Theorem 1. Assume that $P$ is a regular $p$-group. Then if $t(G)=a(p-1)+1$, $P$ is elementary.

A $p$-group $P$ is called regular, if for any $x, y \in P$, it holds that $x^{p} y^{p}=(x y)^{p} \Pi_{i} z_{i}^{p}$ with some $z_{2} \in\langle x, y\rangle^{\prime}$. There are various examples of regular $p$-groups (see e.g. Huppert [7], III Satz 10.2).

To prove Theorem 1, it is sufficient to show that $G$ has $p$-length one. Indeed if this were shown, then we have that $t(G)=t(P)$ (Clarke [2]). However, for a $p$-group, our assertion is clear by Jennings [5] (without the assumption of regularity).

Of course Theorem 1 does not hold in general. A counter example is known for $p=2$. However, since a regular 2-group is necessarily abelian (hence $t(G)=t(P)$ ), Theorem 1 tells nothing new for $p=2$. Our proof is almost group theoretical. We owe heavily to a recent result of Gagola [3], a special case of which is quoted in Lemma 2 of the next section.

## 2. Perliminary results

For convenience of later arguments, we shall here provide a proof of the following result.

Theorem 2 (Wallace [10]). $\quad t(G) \geqq a(p-1)+1$.
Proof. First of all, we remark that if $\Re_{0}$ is the radical of the group ring $k P$
and $t=t(P)$, then $\mathfrak{R}_{0}^{t-1}=k \sigma=\left(0: \mathfrak{R}_{0}\right)$, where $\sigma=\sum_{x \in p} x$. This follows easily from the fact that $k P$ is completely primary.

We shall prove the Theorem by the induction on the order of $G$. We may assume that $O_{p^{\prime}}(G)=1$. Let $V$ be a (non-trivial) normal $p$-subgroup of $G$. For a $p$-group, our assertion is clear by Jennings [5], so that if $|V|=p^{b}$, then $t(V) \geqq b(p-1)+1$. By the induction hypothesis, we bave $t(G / V) \geqq(a-b)(p-1)$ +1 .

We recall that $\mathfrak{R}_{1}=\left\{\sum_{x} a_{x}(x-1) \mid x \in V, a_{x} \in k\right\}$ is the radical of $k V$. Furthermore, since $G \triangleright V, k G \Re_{1}$ is a nilpotent two sided ideal of $k G$, which coincides with the kernel of the natural map $k G \rightarrow k \bar{G}$, where $\bar{G}=G / V$. In particular, we have that $\Re^{(a-b)(p-1)} ₫ k G \Re_{1}$. Hence, if we put $\sigma=\sum_{x \in V} x$, then $\Re^{(a-b)(p-1)} \sigma \neq 0$. Since we have $\sigma \in \mathfrak{N}_{1}^{b(p-1)} \subset \mathfrak{R}^{b(p-1)}$ as remarked above, we conclude that $\bigcap_{\Re^{a(p-1)}} \neq 0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Lemma 1. Assume that $O_{p^{\prime}}(G)=1$ and $U=O_{p}(G)$ is abeliar. Let $V$ be a minimal normal $p$-subgroup of $G$.

If $O_{p^{\prime}}(G / V) \neq 1$, then there is a normal $p$-subgroup $W$ of $G$ such that $U=V \times W$.
Proof. Let $O_{p^{\prime}}(G / V)=T V / V$, where $T$ is a $p^{\prime}$-subgroup of $G$. Then $[T V, U]=[T, U]$ is a normal subgroup of $G$, which is contained in $V$. If $[T, U]=1$, then $T \subset C_{G}(U)$, a contradiction, since $C_{G}(U) \subset U$ by Hall and Higman [6]. Therefore we have $V=[T, U]$.

On the other hand, from the well-known Theorem on relatively prime automorphisms, we get $U=[T, U] \times C_{U}(T)=V_{U} \times C_{U}(T)$ (see e.g. Gorenstein [4] Chap. 5). Since $C_{U}(T)=C_{U}(T V)$ is normal in $G$, we have the desired conclusion by letting $W=C_{U}(T)$.

The following lemma is a special case of a result of Gagola [3].
Lemma 2. Assume that $O_{p^{\prime}}(G)=1$ and that $U=O_{p}(G)$ is minimal. Then $U$ has a complement in $G$.

Proof. Let $F$ be the prime field of characteristic $p$ and let $\bar{G}=G / U$. If the irreducible $F \bar{G}$-module $U$ belongs to the principal $p$-block of $\bar{G}$, then $O_{p^{\prime}}(G)$ acts trivially on $U$ by Theorem 1 of Brauer [1]. But this is impossible, since $C_{G}(U) \subset U$. Therefore $U$ has a complement by a theorem. of Gagola [3].

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

We proceed by the induction on the order of $G$. We may assume that $O_{p^{\prime}}(G)=1$. Let $V$ be any non-trivial normal $p$-subgroup of $G$ and let $|V|=p^{b}$. From the proof of Theorem 2, we see that $t(V)=b(p-1)+1$ and $t(G / V)=$ $(a-b)(p-1)+1$. This implies that $V$ is elementary by Jennings [5]. Also $P / V$
is elementary by the induction hypothesis.
If $G$ has distinct minimal normal subgroups $V$ and $W$, then $G$ can be embedded in $G / V \times G / W$ and the result is clear by induction hypothesis. Hence we may assume that $G$ has a unique minimal normal $p$-subgroup, say $V$.

Assume that $O_{p}(G)>V$. If $O_{p^{\prime}}(G / V) \neq 1$, we have a contradiction by Lemma 1. If $O_{p^{\prime}}(G / V)=1$, then using that $P / V$ is abelian, we conclude that $G / V \triangleright P / V$, namely $G \triangleright P$. Then the assertion is clear. Thus we may assume that $U=O_{p}(G)$ is minimal. Then by Lemma 2, there is a subgroup $H$ of $G$ such that $G=H U$ and $H \cap U=1$. If $Q$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $H$, then $Q$ is elementary and $P=Q U$. Using now that $P$ is regular, we have easily that $P$ has exponent $p$. Then $G$ has $p$-length one by Hall and Higman [6]. As is remarked in the introduction, this completes the proof of Theorem 1.
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