

Kumano-go, H. and Tsutsumi, C.  
Osaka J. Math.  
10 (1973), 147-174

## COMPLEX POWERS OF HYPOELLIPTIC PSEUDO- DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS WITH APPLICATIONS

Dedicated to Professor Yukinari Tōki on his 60th birthday

HITOSHI KUMANO-GO AND CHISATO TSUTSUMI

(Received April 5, 1972)

### Introduction.

Complex powers of a pseudo-differential operator have been defined by Seeley [15] and Burak [2] for the elliptic case, and defined by Nagase-Shinkai [12] and Hayakawa-Kumano-go [5] for a more general case containing semi-elliptic operators.

In the present paper we shall construct complex powers of a hypoelliptic system of pseudo-differential operators, and apply those powers to the generalized Dirichlet problem and the index theory.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 1 we describe well-known results on the theory of pseudo-differential operators which has been developed in Hörmander [6], [7], Kumano-go [9] and Grushin [4]. In Section 2 the strong (or uniform) continuity and the analyticity of pseudo-differential operators with respect to a parameter are examined by means of their symbols. In Section 3 we construct complex powers  $P_z$  of a hypoelliptic system  $P$  which belongs to a subclass of Hörmander's in [6], p. 164 (c.f. also Šubin [16]).

Section 4 treats the generalized Dirichlet problem for an operator  $P$  which admits complex powers  $P_z$ . The Sobolev space  $H_{s,P}$  associated with  $P$  is defined, and a subspace  $V$  of  $H_{\frac{1}{2},P}$  is defined as the completion of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  in the norm of  $H_{\frac{1}{2},P}$  for an open set  $\Omega$  of  $R^n$ . We seek the solution of  $Pu=f$  for  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$  in the space  $V$ . Then, the Lax-Milgram theorem can be applied effectively.

Finally Section 5 is the supplement to the first author's paper [10] where the vanishing theorem of the index is proved when an operator  $P$  is slowly varying in the sense of [4] and has complex powers.

We try here to reduce the index theory of a hypoelliptic operator  $Q$  of order  $m$  to an elliptic operator of order 0 (studied in [4]) when the symbol  $\sigma(Q)(x, \xi)$  is equally strong to the symbol  $\sigma(P)(x, \xi)$  of an operator  $P$  which admits complex powers.

Throughout the present paper we shall treat strict algebras of pseudo-differential operators, and investigate the topology of the symbol class precisely

in Sections 2 and 3. The analyticity of complex powers  $P_z$  with respect to  $z$  is used essentially in order to determine the domain of the adjoint operator  $P_z^*$ . The symbols of complex powers are defined by the Dunford integral for the symbols of parametrices  $R(\xi)$  for  $P - \xi I$ . We have to note that for a scalar operator  $P$  we can give complex powers of  $P$  in the concrete form as in [12], if the argument of the symbol  $\sigma(P)(x, \xi)$  is well defined. This fact is interesting when we recall the proof of the vanishing theorem of the index by Seely [14] and Nirenberg [13] for an elliptic operator on a compact manifold.

### 1. Notation and definitions

Let  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a point of the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $R_x^n$ , and let  $\mathcal{S}$  denote the space of  $C^\infty$ -functions which together with all their derivatives decrease faster than any power of  $|x| = (\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^2)^{1/2}$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . By  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m (0 \leq \delta < \rho \leq 1)$  we denote the set of all  $C^\infty$ -symbols  $p(x, \xi)$  in  $R_x^n \times R_\xi^n$  satisfying, for any multi-index  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  and  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ ,

$$(1.1) \quad |p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{m - \rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|} \text{ on } R_x^n \times R_\xi^n$$

for a constant  $C_{\alpha, \beta}$ , where

$$p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) = \partial_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta p(x, \xi), \quad \partial_\xi^\alpha = \partial_{\xi_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_{\xi_n}^{\alpha_n}, \\ D_x^\beta = (-i \partial / \partial x_1)^{\beta_1} \cdots (-i \partial / \partial x_n)^{\beta_n}, \quad \langle \xi \rangle = (1 + \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j^2)^{1/2},$$

and for a  $p(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m$  we define a pseudo-differential operator  $P = p(x, D_x)$ , denoted also by  $P \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ , with the symbol  $\sigma(P)(x, \xi) = p(x, \xi)$  by

$$Pu(x) = \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} p(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi, \quad u \in \mathcal{S} \quad (x \cdot \xi = x_1 \xi_1 + \cdots + x_n \xi_n),$$

where  $\hat{u}(\xi)$  denotes the Fourier transform of  $u(x)$  which is defined by  $\hat{u}(\xi) = \int e^{-ix \cdot \xi} u(x) dx$ , and  $d\xi = (2\pi)^{-n} d\xi$ . We set

$$S^{-\infty} = \bigcap_m S_{1,0}^m (= \bigcap_m S_{\rho, \delta}^m), \quad S_{\rho, \delta}^{\infty} = \bigcup_m S_{\rho, \delta}^m.$$

For two pseudo-differential operators  $P$  and  $Q$ ,  $P \equiv Q \pmod{S^{-\infty}}$  means that

$$\sigma(P)(x, \xi) - \sigma(Q)(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{-\infty}.$$

For any real number  $s$ , we define a continuous operator  $\wedge^s: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  by

$$\wedge^s u(x) = \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} \langle \xi \rangle^s \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi.$$

It is easy to see that  $\wedge^s$  belongs to  $S_{1,0}^s$  and can be extended uniquely to an operator of  $\mathcal{S}'$  into itself by the relation

$$\langle \wedge^s u, v \rangle = \langle u, \wedge^s v \rangle \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{S}', v \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Let  $H_s = \{u \in S'; \wedge^s u \in L^2(R_x^n)\}$  be a Hilbert space provided with the  $s$ -norm  $\|u\|_s = \|\wedge^s u\|_{L^2}$  for  $u \in H_s$ , where  $\|\cdot\|_{L^2}$  denotes the  $L^2$ -norm. We set

$$H_{-\infty} = \bigcup_s H_s, H_{\infty} = \bigcap_s H_s.$$

For a  $p(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ , we define semi-norms  $|p|_{m, k}$  by

$$(1.2) \quad |p|_{m, k} = \max_{|\alpha + \beta| \leq k} \sup_{(x, \xi)} \{|p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \langle \xi \rangle^{-(m - \rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|)}\},$$

then,  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$  makes a Fréchet space with these semi-norms.

DEFINITION 1.1. We say that a sequence  $\{p_j(x, \xi)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  of  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$  converges to a  $p(x, \xi)$  of  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$  in  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$  weakly, if  $\{p_j(x, \xi)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  is a bounded set of  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$  and

$$(1.3) \quad p_{j(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) \rightarrow p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty \text{ uniformly on } R_x^n \times K$$

for any  $\alpha, \beta$  and any compact set  $K$  of  $R_{\xi}^n$ . We denote it by

$$p_j(x, \xi) \xrightarrow{(\text{weak})} p(x, \xi) \text{ in } S_{\rho, \delta}^m \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

REMARK. If (1.3) holds for  $\alpha = \beta = 0$ , then, we have (1.3) for any  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . In fact, if we use a well-known inequality

$$(1.4) \quad |f'(t_0)|^2 \leq C \max_{t \in [0, 1]} (|f(t)|) \{ \max_{t \in [0, 1]} (|f(t)|) + \max_{t \in [0, 1]} (|f''(t)|) \} (t_0 \in [0, 1])$$

for any  $C^2$ -function  $f(t)$  on  $[0, 1]$ , then, setting  $f(t) = p_j(x, \xi + t\alpha) - p(x, \xi + t\alpha)$  for  $|\alpha| = 1$ , we get

$$p_{j(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) \rightarrow p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty \text{ uniformly on } R_x^n \times K,$$

and so we get

$$p_{j(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) \rightarrow p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty \text{ uniformly on } R_x^n \times K$$

for any  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

**Lemma 1.2** (c.f. [7], p. 88). *If a sequence  $\{p_j(x, \xi)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  of  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$  converges to a  $p(x, \xi)$  of  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$  in  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$  weakly, then,  $p_j(x, \xi) \rightarrow p(x, \xi)$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  in the topology of  $S_{\rho, \delta}^{m'}$  for any  $m' > m$ .*

Proof. We may assume  $p(x, \xi) = 0$ . Then, the statement is clear from the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{|\alpha + \beta| \leq k} \sup_{(x, \xi)} \{|p_{j(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \langle \xi \rangle^{-(m' - \rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|)}\} \\ & \leq \max_{|\alpha + \beta| \leq k} \sup_{(x, \xi) \in R_x^n \times K} \{|p_{j(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \langle \xi \rangle^{-(m' - \rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|)}\} \\ & + \max_{|\alpha + \beta| \leq k} \sup_{(x, \xi) \in R_x^n \times (R_{\xi}^n \setminus K)} \{|p_{j(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \langle \xi \rangle^{-(m' - \rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|)}\} \max_{\xi \in (R_{\xi}^n \setminus K)} \langle \xi \rangle^{-(m' - m)}. \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 1.3. i) By  $\mathring{S}_{\rho,\delta}^m$  we denote the set of all symbols  $p(x, \xi)$  for which (1.1) holds for bounded functions  $C_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$ , instead of constants  $C_{\alpha,\beta}$ , such that

$$(1.5) \quad C_{\alpha,\beta}(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

(We denote it also by  $p(x, D_x) \in \mathring{S}_{\rho,\delta}^m$ ).

ii) We say that a symbol  $p(x, \xi) (\in S_{\rho,\delta}^m)$  is slowly varying, when  $p_{(\beta)}(x, \xi) \in \mathring{S}_{\rho,\delta}^{m+|\beta|}$  for any  $\beta \neq 0$ .

REMARK. In the inequality (1.4) we set  $f(t) = p(x, \xi + 2^{-1}t \langle \xi \rangle^\rho \alpha)$  for  $|\alpha| = 1$  (resp.  $p(x + 2^{-1}t \langle \xi \rangle^{-\delta} \beta, \xi)$  for  $|\beta| = 1$ ). Then, we have (1.5) for  $|\alpha| = 1$  (resp.  $|\beta| = 1$ ) and so for any  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , if (1.5) holds only for  $\alpha = \beta = 0$ .

**Lemma 1.4.** For any  $p(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho,\delta}^m$  and real  $s$  we have

$$(1.6) \quad \|p(x, D_x)u\|_s \leq C \|p\|_{m,k} \|u\|_{s+m} \quad \text{for } u \in H_{s+m},$$

where  $C$  and  $k$  are constants independent of  $p(x, \xi)$  and  $u$ .

Proof is omitted (c.f. Theorem 3.5 of [6] and Corollary 1 of Theorem 5.2 of [9]).

**Lemma 1.5** (Grushin [4]). i) Let  $P \in S_{\rho,\delta}^m$  and  $Q \in \mathring{S}_{\rho,\delta}^m$ . Then, we have

$$PQ \in \mathring{S}_{\rho,\delta}^{m+m'} \quad \text{and} \quad QP \in \mathring{S}_{\rho,\delta}^{m+m'}.$$

ii) Let  $P \in S_{\rho,\delta}^m$  and  $Q \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{m'}$ . Assume that  $P$  and  $Q$  are slowly varying. Then, we have that  $PQ (\in S_{\rho,\delta}^{m+m'})$  is slowly varying. Moreover, if we write  $PQ = R_N + R'_N$  with

$$\sigma(R_N)(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \sigma(P)^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) \sigma(Q)_{(\alpha)}(x, \xi),$$

then we have

$$(1.7) \quad R'_N \in \mathring{S}_{\rho,\delta}^{m+m'-(\rho-\delta)N}.$$

Proof. i) By Theorem 1.1 in [9] we have

$$(1.8) \quad \sigma(PQ)(x, \xi) = \int \langle D_\eta \rangle^{n_0} \sigma(P)(x, \xi + \eta) \left( \int e^{-i w \cdot \eta} \langle w \rangle^{-n_0} \sigma(Q)(x + w, \xi) dw \right) d\eta$$

for any even integer  $n_0 \geq n + 1$ . Then, writing for large  $R > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int e^{-i w \cdot \eta} \langle w \rangle^{-n_0} \sigma(Q)(x + w, \xi) dw \\ &= \int_{|w| \leq R} e^{-i w \cdot \eta} \langle w \rangle^{-n_0} \sigma(Q)(x + w, \xi) dw + \int_{|w| \geq R} e^{-i w \cdot \eta} \langle w \rangle^{-n_0} \sigma(Q)(x + w, \xi) dw, \end{aligned}$$

we can easily see that  $PQ \in \mathring{S}_{\rho,\delta}^{m+m'}$ , and also get  $QP \in \mathring{S}_{\rho,\delta}^{m+m'}$  in the same way.

ii) By the similar way to i) we can see by (1.8) that  $PQ$  is slowly varying. If we write

$$\sigma(Q)(x+w, \xi) = \sigma(Q)(x, \xi) + \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \int_0^1 \sigma(Q)_{(j)}(x+tw, \xi) dt,$$

then, from (1.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma(R_1')(x, \xi) \\ &= \int \langle D_\eta \rangle^{n_0} \sigma(P)(x, \xi + \eta) \left( \int e^{-iw \cdot \eta} \langle w \rangle^{-n_0} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \int_0^1 \sigma(Q)_{(j)}(x+tw, \xi) dt \right) dw \right) d\eta \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \int \langle D_\eta \rangle^{n_0} (i\partial_{\eta_j}) \sigma(P)(x, \xi + \eta) \left( \int e^{-iw \cdot \eta} \langle w \rangle^{-n_0} \int_0^1 \sigma(Q)_{(j)}(x+tw, \xi) dt dw \right) d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sigma(Q)_{(j)}(x+tw, \xi) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  together with all their derivatives, we see that  $R_1' \in \dot{S}_{\rho, \delta}^{m+m'-(\rho-\delta)}$ . If we use Taylor's expansion of order  $N$  for  $\sigma(Q)(x+w, \xi)$ , we get (1.7) for any  $N$ . Q.E.D.

**Lemma 1.6.** *Let  $P$  belong to  $\dot{S}_{\rho, \delta}^m$ . Then,  $P$  is compact from  $H_{s+m}$  into  $H_{s'}$  for any  $s > s'$ .*

*Proof.* We write  $\|Pu\|_{s'} = \|\wedge^s Pu\|_{-s-s'}$ . Then, by Lemma 1.5, we have  $Q = \wedge^s P \in \dot{S}_{\rho, \delta}^{s+m}$ . Take a  $C_0^\infty$ -function  $a(x)$  such that  $a(x) = 1$  ( $|x| \leq 1$ ) and  $a(x) = 0$  ( $|x| \geq 2$ ), and set  $Q_\varepsilon = a(\varepsilon x)Q$  for  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . Then, noting  $|D_x^\alpha a(\varepsilon x)| \leq C_\alpha \langle x \rangle^{-|\alpha|}$  for a constant  $C_\alpha$  independent of  $\varepsilon$ , we see that  $\{\sigma(Q_\varepsilon)(x, \xi)\}_{0 < \varepsilon < 1}$  makes a bounded set in  $S_{\rho, \delta}^{s+m}$  and  $\sigma(Q_\varepsilon)(x, \xi) \rightarrow \sigma(Q)(x, \xi)$  in the topology of  $S_{\rho, \delta}^{s+m}$  because of  $Q \in \dot{S}_{\rho, \delta}^{s+m}$ . Hence, we have

$$\sigma(\wedge^{-(s-s')} Q_\varepsilon)(x, \xi) \rightarrow \sigma(\wedge^{s'} P)(x, \xi) \text{ in the topology of } S_{\rho, \delta}^{s'+m}.$$

Since  $\wedge^{-(s-s')} Q_\varepsilon: H_{s+m} \rightarrow H_0$  is compact, we get by Lemma 1.4 that  $P: H_{s+m} \rightarrow H_{s'}$  is compact. Q.E.D.

## 2. Topology of symbol class

Throughout what follows we shall often use a  $C_0^\infty$ -function  $\psi(\xi)$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \leq \psi(\xi) \leq 1 \text{ and } \psi(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1 & (|\xi| \leq 1) \\ 0 & (|\xi| \geq 2). \end{cases}$$

Consider  $\{\psi(\varepsilon\xi)\}$ ,  $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$ . Then we have

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} 0 \leq \psi(\varepsilon\xi) \leq 1 \text{ and } \psi(\varepsilon\xi) = \begin{cases} 1 & (|\xi| \leq \varepsilon^{-1}) \\ 0 & (|\xi| \geq 2\varepsilon^{-1}) \end{cases} \\ |\partial_\xi^\alpha \psi(\varepsilon\xi)| \leq C_\alpha \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|} \end{cases}$$

for a constant  $C_\alpha$  independent of  $\varepsilon$ , which means that

$$(2.3) \quad \psi(\varepsilon\xi) \xrightarrow[\text{(weak)}]{} 1 \text{ in } S_{1,0}^0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $P_j \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ ,  $j=1, 2, \dots$ , and  $Q \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{m'}$ .*

Suppose that for a  $P \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m$

$$(2.4) \quad \sigma(P_j)(x, \xi) \xrightarrow[\text{(weak)}]{} \sigma(P)(x, \xi) \quad \text{in } S_{\rho, \delta}^m.$$

Then we have

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{cases} \sigma(P_j Q)(x, \xi) \xrightarrow[\text{(weak)}]{} \sigma(PQ)(x, \xi) & \text{in } S_{\rho, \delta}^{m+m'} \\ \sigma(QP_j)(x, \xi) \xrightarrow[\text{(weak)}]{} \sigma(QP)(x, \xi) & \text{in } S_{\rho, \delta}^{m+m'} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad \sigma(P_j^{(*)})(x, \xi) \xrightarrow[\text{(weak)}]{} \sigma(P^{(*)})(x, \xi) \quad \text{in } S_{\rho, \delta}^m,$$

where  $P^{(*)}$  is defined by

$$(2.7) \quad (Pu, v) = (u, P^{(*)}v) \quad \text{for } u, v \in \mathcal{S} \text{ (c.f. [9], p. 36).}$$

Proof. From Corollary 2 of Theorem 4.1 in [9] we see that  $\sigma(P_j Q)(x, \xi)$  and  $\sigma(QP_j)(x, \xi)$  are bounded in  $S_{\rho, \delta}^{m+m'}$  and that  $\sigma(P_j^{(*)})(x, \xi)$  is bounded in  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ . By means of Theorem 1.1 in [9] we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma(P_j Q)(x, \xi) \\ &= \int \langle D_\eta \rangle^{n_0} \sigma(P_j)(x, \xi + \eta) \left( \int e^{-i w \cdot \eta} \langle w \rangle^{-n_0} \sigma(Q)(x + w, \xi) dw \right) d\eta \end{aligned}$$

for any even integer  $n_0 \geq n + 1$ . We write

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma(P_j Q)(x, \xi) \\ &= \int_{|\eta| \leq R} \langle D_\eta \rangle^{n_0} \sigma(P_j)(x, \xi + \eta) \left( \int e^{-i w \cdot \eta} \langle w \rangle^{-n_0} \sigma(Q)(x + w, \xi) dw \right) d\eta \\ & \quad + \int_{|\eta| \geq R} \langle D_\eta \rangle^{n_0} \sigma(P_j)(x, \xi + \eta) \langle \eta \rangle^{-2l} \left( \int e^{-i w \cdot \eta} \langle D_w \rangle^{2l} (\langle w \rangle^{-n_0} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \cdot \sigma(Q)(x + w, \xi)) dw \right) d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Then, if we take a large  $l$  such that the second term is absolutely integrable and fix a large  $R$ , we see that

$$\sigma(P_j Q)(x, \xi) \rightarrow \sigma(PQ)(x, \xi) \text{ on } R_x^n \times K \text{ uniformly}$$

for any compact set  $K$  of  $R_\xi^n$ . Hence we get the half part of (2.5). For  $\sigma(QP_j)(x, \xi)$  we get the assertion in the same way. For  $\sigma(P_j^{(*)})(x, \xi)$  we use the formula in [9];

$$\sigma(P_j^{(*)})(x, \xi) = \int \left( \int e^{-i w \cdot \eta} \langle w \rangle^{-n_0} \langle D_\eta \rangle^{n_0} \sigma(P_j)(x + w, \xi + \eta) dw \right) d\eta,$$

and get (2.6).

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $P_j \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ ,  $j=1, 2, \dots$ . Suppose that*

$$\sigma(P_j)(x, \xi) \xrightarrow[(weak)]{} \sigma(P)(x, \xi) \text{ in } S_{\rho, \delta}^m \text{ for a } P \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m.$$

*Then, for any  $s$ , we have*

$$(2.8) \quad \|P_j u - Pu\|_s \rightarrow 0 (j \rightarrow \infty) \text{ for } u \in H_{s+m}.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\sigma(\wedge^s(P_j - P))(x, \xi) \xrightarrow[(weak)]{} 0 \text{ in } S_{\rho, \delta}^{s+m}.$$

Then, using a function  $\psi(\xi)$  of (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_j u - Pu\|_s &= \|\wedge^s(P_j - P)u\|_0 \\ &\leq \|\wedge^s(P_j - P)\psi(\varepsilon D_x)u\|_0 + \|\wedge^s(P_j - P)(1 - \psi(\varepsilon D_x))u\|_0. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1.4 we have

$$\|\wedge^s(P_j - P)\psi(\varepsilon D_x)u\|_0 \leq C \|\sigma(\wedge^s(P_j - P))(x, \xi) \cdot \psi(\varepsilon \xi)\|_{s+m, l} \|u\|_{s+m}$$

and

$$\|\wedge^s(P_j - P)(1 - \psi(\varepsilon D_x))u\|_0 \leq C \|\sigma(\wedge^s(P_j - P))(x, \xi)\|_{s+m, l} \|(1 - \psi(\varepsilon D_x))u\|_{s+m}.$$

Then, noting  $\|\sigma(\wedge^s(P_j - P))(x, \xi) \cdot \psi(\varepsilon \xi)\|_{s+m, l} \rightarrow 0$  ( $j \rightarrow \infty$ ) for any fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(1 - \psi(\varepsilon D_x))u\|_{s+m}^2 &= \int |(1 - \psi(\varepsilon \xi))|^2 \langle \xi \rangle^{s+m} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{|\xi| \geq \varepsilon^{-1}} \langle \xi \rangle^{2(s+m)} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \rightarrow 0 \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0), \end{aligned}$$

we get (2.8). Q.E.D.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $P_z \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m$  for  $z \in \Omega$  (an open set of  $\mathbb{C}$ ). Suppose that  $\sigma(P_z)(x, \xi)$  is an analytic function of  $z$  in  $\Omega$  in the topology of  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ .*

*Then we have, for any  $Q \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{m'}$ ,*

i)  $\sigma(P_z Q)(x, \xi)$  and  $\sigma(Q P_z)(x, \xi)$  are analytic functions of  $z$  in  $\Omega$  in the topology of  $S_{\rho, \delta}^{m+m'}$  for any  $Q \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{m'}$ .

ii) For  $u \in H_{s+m}$ ,  $P_z u$  is an analytic function of  $z$  in  $\Omega$  in the topology of  $H_s$ .

*Proof* is omitted.

### 3. Complex powers

**DEFINITION 3.1.** For an  $l \times l$  matrix  $P \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m$  ( $m > 0$ ) we say that operators  $P_z$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , ( $\in S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ ) are complex powers of  $P$ , when  $P_z$  satisfy the following conditions (c.f. [10]):

i) For a monotone increasing function  $m(s)$  such that

$$m(s) \rightarrow -\infty (s \rightarrow -\infty), m(0) = 0, m(s) \rightarrow \infty (s \rightarrow \infty),$$

we have  $P_z \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m(\text{Re } z)$ , where  $\text{Re } z$  denotes the real part of  $z$ .

- ii)  $P_0 = I$  (identity operator),  $P_1 = P$  (original operator).
- iii) For any real  $s_0$   $\sigma(P_z)(x, \xi)$  is an analytic function of  $z$  ( $\text{Re } z < s_0$ ) in the topology of  $S_{\rho, \delta}^{m(s_0)}$ .
- iv) For any real  $s_0$

$$\sigma(P_s)(x, \xi) \xrightarrow[\text{(weak)}]{} \sigma(P_{s_0})(x, \xi) \text{ in } S_{\rho, \delta}^{m(s_0)}$$

as  $s \uparrow s_0$  along the real axis.

- v)  $P_{z_1} P_{z_2} \equiv P_{z_1 + z_2} \pmod{S^{-\infty}}$  in the sense:  
 $\sigma(P_{z_1} P_{z_2} - P_{z_1 + z_2})(x, \xi)$  is an analytic function of  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  in the topology of  $S_{\rho, \delta}^{s_0}$  for any real  $s_0$ .

First we state a result obtained by Nagase-Shinkai [12] in a modified form for our aim.

**Theorem 3.2°.** *Let  $P = p(x, D_x)$  be a single operator of class  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ . Assume that the symbol  $p(x, \xi)$  satisfies conditions:*

A)  $|p(x, \xi)| \geq c_0 \langle \xi \rangle^{\tau m}$  for constant  $c_0 > 0$  and  $\tau(0 < \tau \leq 1)$ ,

B)  $|p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) p(x, \xi)^{-1}| \leq c_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{-\rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|}$

and

C)  $\arg p(x, \xi)$  (the argument of  $p(x, \xi)$ ) is well-defined

for large  $|\xi|$ . Then, for  $m(s) = \tau m s$  ( $s < 0$ ) and  $= m s$  ( $s \geq 0$ ), we can define complex powers  $P_z$  of  $P$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(P_z)(x, \xi) \\ = p(x, \xi)^z \left\{ 1 + \sum_{|\alpha| = |\beta| = k \geq 2} C_{k, \alpha, \beta} p(x, \xi)^{-k} p_{(\beta^1)}^{(\alpha^1)}(x, \xi) \cdots p_{(\beta^k)}^{(\alpha^k)}(x, \xi) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $p(x, \xi)^z = e^{z \log p(x, \xi)}$ ,  $\alpha = (\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^k)$ ,  $\beta = (\beta^1, \dots, \beta^k)$  and  $C_{k, \alpha, \beta}(z)$  are polynomials in  $z$ .

Proof is given in [12] for, so called,  $\lambda$ -elliptic operators. But, we can see that the discussion there works in our case, if we note

$$|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_x^{\beta} p(x, \xi)^z \cdot p(x, \xi)^{-z}| \leq C_{z, \alpha, \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{-\rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|}$$

and

$$|p(x, \xi)^{-1} p_{(\beta^j)}^{(\alpha^j)}(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha^j, \beta^j} \langle \xi \rangle^{-\rho|\alpha^j| + \delta|\beta^j|}, j = 1, \dots, k,$$

for large  $|\xi|$ .

Our main theorem of this section is stated as follows.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $p(x, \xi) = (p_{jk}(x, \xi))$  be an  $l \times l$  matrix of symbols  $p_{jk}(x, \xi)$  of class  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ ,  $m > 0$ , such that for some positive constants  $C_0, c_0, C_{\alpha, \beta}$  and  $\tau(0 < \tau \leq 1)$*

$$(3.1) \quad \|(p(x, \xi) - \zeta I)^{-1}\| \leq C_0 \langle \xi \rangle^{-\tau m}$$

and



$$(3.2) \quad \|p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)(p(x, \xi) - \zeta I)^{-1}\| \leq C_{0, \alpha, \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{-\rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|}$$

for large  $|\xi|$  uniformly on  $\Xi_0$ , where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes a matrix norm and  $\Xi_0 = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}; \text{dis}(\zeta, (-\infty, 0]) \leq c_0\}$ . Then, we can construct complex powers  $P_z = p_z(x, D_x)$  of  $P = p(x, D_x)$  such that

$$(3.3) \quad P_z \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{\tau m \operatorname{Re} z} \text{ for } \operatorname{Re} z < 0, \quad S_{\rho, \delta}^{m \operatorname{Re} z} \text{ for } \operatorname{Re} z \geq 0,$$

that is,  $m(s) = \tau m s$  for  $s < 0$ ,  $= ms$  for  $s \geq 0$ .

REMARK. We may assume that  $p(x, \xi)$  satisfies conditions (3.1) and (3.2) for every  $\xi$ . In fact, if we set  $p_\varepsilon(x, \xi) = p(x, \xi) + \varepsilon^{-1} \psi(\varepsilon \xi) I$  for a  $C_0^\infty$ -function  $\psi(\xi)$  of (2.1), then, for a small fixed  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ ,  $p_{\varepsilon_0}(x, \xi)$  satisfies (3.1) and (3.2) uniformly on  $\Xi_0$  for any  $\xi$ , and we have complex powers  $P_{\varepsilon_0, z}$  of  $P_{\varepsilon_0}$ . Set  $P_z = P_{\varepsilon_0, z} + z(P - P_{\varepsilon_0, 1})$ . Then, noting  $P \equiv P_{\varepsilon_0} = P_{\varepsilon_0, 1}$ , we get required powers of  $P$ .

For the proof of Theorem 3.2 we need several lemmas.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $\zeta_1(x, \xi), \dots, \zeta_l(x, \xi)$  be eigen-values of  $p(x, \xi)$  which satisfies (3.1) for  $\zeta = 0$ . Then, there exists a positive constant  $C_1$  such that

$$(3.4) \quad C_1^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{\tau m} \leq |\zeta_j(x, \xi)| \leq C_1 \langle \xi \rangle^m, j=1, \dots, l.$$

Proof. We write

$$\det(p(x, \xi) - \zeta I) = (-1)^l \{\zeta^l + \dots + q_j(x, \xi) \zeta^{l-j} + \dots + q_l(x, \xi)\}.$$

Then, noting  $|q_j(x, \xi)| \leq C \langle \xi \rangle^j$ ,  $j=1, \dots, l$ , for a constant  $C$ , we get easily the right half of (3.4). The left half is proved in the same way, if we use  $\det(\zeta_j^{-1} I - p(x, \xi)^{-1}) = 0$ ,  $j=1, \dots, l$ , and  $\|p(x, \xi)^{-1}\| \leq C_0 \langle \xi \rangle^{-\tau m}$ . Q.E.D.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $p(x, \xi) (\in S_{\rho, \delta}^m)$  satisfy conditions (3.1) and (3.2). Then, for any  $A (> C_1)$  we have

$$(3.5) \quad \| (p(x, \xi) - \zeta I)^{-1} \| \leq B |\zeta|^{-1} \\ \text{on } \Xi_{\zeta, A} = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}; |\zeta| \leq A^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{\tau m} \text{ or } |\zeta| \geq A \langle \xi \rangle^m\},$$

for a constant  $B$ , where  $C_1$  is a constant of Lemma 3.3.

Proof. We write

$$\det(p(x, \xi) - \zeta I) = (-1)^l \prod_{j=1}^l (\zeta - \zeta_j(x, \xi)).$$

By Lemma 3.3 we have

$$|\zeta - \zeta_j(x, \xi)| \\ \geq \begin{cases} |\zeta_j(x, \xi)| - |\zeta| \geq C_1^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{\tau m} - |\zeta| \geq (A/C_1 - 1) |\zeta| & \text{for } |\zeta| \leq A^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{\tau m} \\ |\zeta| - |\zeta_j(x, \xi)| \geq |\zeta| - C_1 \langle \xi \rangle^m \geq (1 - C_1/A) |\zeta| & \text{for } |\zeta| \geq A \langle \xi \rangle^m. \end{cases}$$

Hence, we have

$$|\det(p(x, \xi) - \zeta I)| \geq C |\zeta|^l \text{ on } \Xi_{\xi, \mathbf{A}}.$$

Noting  $\|(p(x, \xi) - \zeta I)\| \leq \text{const. } |\zeta|$  for  $|\zeta| \geq A \langle \xi \rangle^m$ , we get  $\|(p(x, \xi) - \zeta I)^{-1}\| \leq B' |\zeta|^{-1}$  for  $|\zeta| \geq A \langle \xi \rangle^m$ .

Using

$$\zeta(p(x, \xi) - \zeta I)^{-1} = p(x, \xi)^{-1}(\zeta^{-1} - p(x, \xi)^{-1})^{-1},$$

we have in the same way

$$\begin{aligned} \|(p(x, \xi) - \zeta I)^{-1}\| &\leq \|p(x, \xi)^{-1}\| \|(\zeta^{-1} - p(x, \xi)^{-1})^{-1}\| |\zeta|^{-1} \\ &\leq C_0 \langle \xi \rangle^{-\tau m} |\zeta|^{-1} |\zeta|^{-1} \leq B'' |\zeta|^{-1} \text{ for } |\zeta| \leq A^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{\tau m}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have proved (3.5)

Q.E.D.

Now following Hörmander [6], p. 165, we shall construct a parametrix for  $p(x, \xi) - \zeta I$ . We define  $q_j(\zeta; x, \xi)$ ,  $j=0, 1, \dots$ , inductively by

$$(3.6) \quad q_0(\zeta; x, \xi) = (p(x, \xi) - \zeta I)^{-1},$$

$$(3.7) \quad q_N(\zeta; x, \xi) = - \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{|\alpha|=N-j} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} q_j(\zeta; x, \xi) D_x^{\alpha} (p(x, \xi) - \zeta I) \right\} q_0(\zeta; x, \xi).$$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $p(x, \xi) \in S_{p, \delta}^m (m > 0)$  satisfy conditions (3.1) and (3.2). Then,  $q_j(\zeta; x, \xi)$ ,  $j=0, 1, \dots$ , defined by (3.6) and (3.7) are analytic functions of  $\zeta$  on  $\Xi_0 \cup \Xi_{\xi, \mathbf{A}}$  and belong to  $S_{p, \delta}^{-\tau m - (\rho - \delta)j}$  for any fixed  $\zeta \in \Xi_0$ , moreover satisfy*

$$(3.8) \quad \|q_0(\zeta; x, \xi)\| \leq C_0 \langle \xi \rangle^{-\tau m},$$

$$(3.9) \quad \|q_{j(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(\zeta; x, \xi)\| \leq C_{j, \alpha, \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{-\tau m - \rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta| - (\rho - \delta)j} \quad (j=0, 1, \dots)$$

uniformly on  $\Xi_0$ , and

$$(3.10) \quad \|q_0(\zeta; x, \xi)\| \leq C_0 |\zeta|^{-1},$$

$$(3.11) \quad \|q_{j(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(\zeta; x, \xi)\| \leq C'_{j, \alpha, \beta} |\zeta|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{-\rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta| - (\rho - \delta)j} \quad (j=0, 1, \dots),$$

$$(3.12) \quad \|q_{j(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(\zeta; x, \xi)\| \leq C''_{j, \alpha, \beta} |\zeta|^{-2} \langle \xi \rangle^{m - \rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta| - (\rho - \delta)j} \quad (j + |\alpha + \beta| \neq 0),$$

$$(3.13) \quad \|q_{j(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(\zeta; x, \xi)\| \leq C'''_{j, \alpha, \beta} |\zeta|^{-3} \langle \xi \rangle^{2m - \rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta| - (\rho - \delta)j} \quad (j \geq 1)$$

uniformly on  $\Xi_0 \cup \Xi_{\xi, \mathbf{A}}$ .

*Proof.* The estimate (3.8) is clear by (3.1), and (3.9) is proved by induction in view of (3.2). We write

$$(p(x, \xi) - \zeta I)^{-1} = \zeta^{-1} \{p(x, \xi)(p(x, \xi) - \zeta I)^{-1} - I\}.$$

Then, from (3.1) and (3.2) we get (3.10) on  $\Xi_0$ , and by Lemma 3.4 we get on  $\Xi_{\xi, \mathbf{A}}$ . For  $|\alpha|=1$  we have

$$\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} q_0 = -q_0 \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} p \cdot q, \quad D_x^{\alpha} q_0 = -q_0 D_x^{\alpha} p \cdot q_0$$

and so

$$(3.14) \quad q_{0(\beta)}^{(\alpha)} = \sum C_{i, \beta^1, \dots, \beta^k}^{\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^k} q_0 p_{(\beta^1)}^{(\alpha^1)} q_0 \cdots q_0 p_{(\beta^k)}^{(\alpha^k)} q_0,$$

where the summation is taken under the condition

$$1 \leq k \leq |\alpha + \beta|, \quad \alpha^1 + \cdots + \alpha^k = \alpha, \quad \beta^1 + \cdots + \beta^k = \beta.$$

Hence, using (3.1) we have (3.9), (3.11) and (3.12) for  $j=0$ . From (3.7) we can see that  $q_j^{(\alpha)}$  also have the form (3.14) and get (3.9), (3.11)–(3.13) in general.

Q.E.D.

Now we construct a parametrix  $r(\zeta; x, D_x) (\in S_{\rho, \delta}^{-\tau m})$  of  $p(x, D_x) - \zeta I$  as follows: Let  $\varphi(\xi)$  be a  $C_0^\infty$ -function in  $R_\xi^n$  such that

$$(3.15) \quad \varphi(\xi) = 0 \quad (|\xi| \leq 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(\xi) = 1 \quad (|\xi| \geq 2),$$

and set as in Theorem 2.7 of [6]

$$(3.16) \quad r(\zeta; x, \xi) = q_0(\zeta; x, \xi) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi(t_j^{-1} \xi) q_j(\zeta; x, \xi)$$

for an appropriate increasing sequence  $t_j \rightarrow \infty$ . Then, by Lemma 3.5, we have

$$(3.17) \quad r(\zeta; x, \xi) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{-\tau m} \text{ for } \zeta \in \Xi_0,$$

and moreover we have

$$(3.18) \quad \|r_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(\zeta; x, \xi)\| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{-\tau m - \rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|} \text{ uniformly on } \Xi_0,$$

and

$$(3.19) \quad \|r_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(\zeta; x, \xi)\| \leq C'_{\alpha, \beta} |\zeta|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{-\rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|},$$

$$(3.20) \quad \|r_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(\zeta; x, \xi)\| \leq C''_{\alpha, \beta} |\zeta|^{-2} \langle \xi \rangle^{m - \rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|}, \quad |\alpha + \beta| \neq 0,$$

$$(3.21) \quad \|r_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(\zeta; x, \xi) - q_{0(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(\zeta; x, \xi)\| \leq C'''_{\alpha, \beta} |\zeta|^{-3} \langle \xi \rangle^{2m - (\rho - \delta) - \rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|}$$

uniformly on  $\Xi_0 \cup \Xi_{\xi, \mathbf{A}}$ .

Let  $A$  be a positive number of Lemma 3.4 such that  $A^{-1} < c_0$  for a constant  $c_0$  of Theorem 3.2, and let  $\Gamma_{\xi, \mathbf{A}}$  be a counterclockwisely oriented curve defined by

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\xi, \mathbf{A}} = \{ & \zeta \in \mathbf{C}; |\zeta| = A \langle \xi \rangle^m \text{ or } = A^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{\tau m}, \text{dis}(\zeta; (-\infty, 0]) \geq A^{-1} \} \\ & \cup \{ \zeta = \zeta_1 \pm iA^{-1}; -R_1 \leq \zeta_1 \leq -R_2 \}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are positive numbers satisfying

$$|-R_1 + iA^{-1}| = A \langle \xi \rangle^m \text{ and } |-R_2 + iA^{-1}| = A^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{\tau m}$$

respectively. Then, we have

**Lemma 3.6.** *For a complex number  $z$  we define symbols  $p_z(x, \xi)$  by*

$$(3.23) \quad p_z(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{\xi, \mathbf{A}}} \zeta^z r(\zeta; x, \xi) d\zeta.$$

Then, for a function  $m(s) = \tau ms (s < 0)$  and  $= ms (s \geq 0)$ , we have i)–iv) of Definition 3.1 for  $p_z(x, \xi)$ .

Proof. Since

$$p_{z(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{\xi, \mathbf{A}}} \zeta^z r_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(\zeta; x, \xi) d\zeta,$$

we have by (3.19)

$$\|p_{z(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)\| \leq \frac{C'_{\alpha, \beta}}{2\pi} e^{2\pi |\operatorname{Im} z|} \int_{\Gamma_{\xi, \mathbf{A}}} |\zeta|^{\operatorname{Re} z - 1} \langle \xi \rangle^{-\rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|} |d\zeta|.$$

Then, estimating the cases:  $\operatorname{Re} z < 0$  and  $\operatorname{Re} z \geq 0$  separately, and noting

$$p_s(x, \xi) \rightarrow p_{s_0}(x, \xi) \text{ uniformly on } R_x^n \times K \text{ as } s \uparrow s_0$$

for any compact set  $K$  of  $R_{\xi}^n$ , we have i) and iv). Next, we write

$$p_z(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{\xi, \mathbf{A}}} \zeta^z q_0(\zeta) d\zeta + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{\xi, \mathbf{A}}} \zeta^z (r(\zeta) - q_0(\zeta)) d\zeta.$$

Then, by (3.21) we see that the second term can be deformed to

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_0} \zeta^z (r(\zeta) - q_0(\zeta)) d\zeta \quad \text{when } \operatorname{Re} z < 2,$$

and vanishes for  $z=0$  and  $=1$ , where

$$(3.24) \quad \Gamma_0 = \{\zeta \in C; \operatorname{dis}(\zeta; (-\infty, 0]) = A^{-1}\}.$$

Hence, noting that the first term defines  $p(x, \xi)^z$  we get ii) of Definition 3.1. Since

$$\frac{d}{dz} p_{z(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{\xi, \mathbf{A}}} \log \zeta \cdot \zeta^z r_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(\zeta; x, \xi) d\zeta,$$

we get the last assertion in the same way. Q.E.D.

**Lemma 3.7.** Let  $R(\zeta) = r(\zeta; x, D_x)(\zeta \in \Xi_0)$  be the parametrix of  $P = p(x, D_x)$  defined by (3.16). Then we have for  $\zeta_1 \neq \zeta_2$

$$(3.25) \quad R(\zeta_1)R(\zeta_2) = (\zeta_2 - \zeta_1)^{-1}(R(\zeta_2) - R(\zeta_1)) + (\zeta_2 - \zeta_1)^{-1}K(\zeta_1, \zeta_2),$$

where  $K(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in S^{-\infty}$  is a pseudo-differential operator with the symbol  $k(\zeta_1, \zeta_2; x, \xi)$  which satisfies, for any real number  $s$  and multi-index  $\alpha, \beta$ ,

$$(3.26) \quad \|k_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2; x, \xi)\| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, s} |\zeta_1|^{-1} |\zeta_2|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^s.$$

Proof. For some  $K_1(\zeta_1), K_2(\zeta_2)$  of class  $S^{-\infty}$  we have

$$R(\zeta_1)(P - \zeta_1 I) = I + K_1(\zeta_1) \text{ and } (P - \zeta_2 I)R(\zeta_2) = I + K_2(\zeta_2).$$

Then, we have

$$R(\zeta_1)R(\zeta_2)(\zeta_2 - \zeta_1) = R(\zeta_2) - R(\zeta_1) + K(\zeta_1, \zeta_2),$$

where  $K(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = K_1(\zeta_1)R(\zeta_2) - R(\zeta_1)K_2(\zeta_2)$ . Hence, by (3.19) we have only prove for symbols  $k_j(\zeta_j; x, \xi)$  of  $K_j(\zeta_j)$ ,  $j=1, 2$ ,

$$(3.27) \quad \|k_{j(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(\zeta_j; x, \xi)\| \leq C_{j, \alpha, \beta, s} |\zeta_j|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^s \text{ for any } \alpha, \beta, s.$$

By Theorem 1.1 of [9] we can write for any integer  $N$

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{aligned} k_1(\zeta_1; x, \xi) &= \sigma(R(\zeta_1)(P - \zeta_1 I))(x, \xi) - I \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_\xi^\alpha r(\zeta_1; x, \xi) D_x^\alpha (p(x, \xi) - \zeta_1 I) + R_N(\zeta_1; x, \xi) - I \\ &\equiv I_N(\zeta_1; x, \xi) + R_N(\zeta_1; x, \xi), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.29) \quad \begin{aligned} R_N(\zeta_1; x, \xi) &= \int \langle D_\eta \rangle^{n_0 N} \sum_{|\gamma| = N} \frac{\eta^\gamma}{\gamma!} \left( \int_0^1 (1-t)^{N-1} \partial_\xi^\gamma r(\zeta_1; x, \xi + t\eta) dt \right) \\ &\cdot \left( \int e^{-i w \cdot \eta} \langle w \rangle^{-n_0} (p(x+w, \xi) - \zeta_1 I) dw \right) d\eta \end{aligned}$$

for any even number  $n_0 \geq n+1$ . Using (3.16) and interchanging the order of summation, we can write

$$(3.30) \quad \begin{aligned} I_N &= \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \sum_{j+|\alpha| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_\xi^\alpha q_j D_x^\alpha (p - \zeta_1 I) - I \\ &+ \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \sum_{\substack{j+|\alpha| < N \\ j \geq 1}} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_\xi^\alpha ((\varphi_j(\xi) - 1) q_j) D_x^\alpha (p - \zeta_1 I) \\ &+ \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \sum_{\substack{j+|\alpha| \geq N \\ N > j \geq 1}} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_\xi^\alpha (\varphi_j(\xi) q_j) D_x^\alpha (p - \zeta_1 I) \\ &+ \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_\xi^\alpha (\varphi_j(\xi) q_j) D_x^\alpha (p - \zeta_1 I) \equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.6) and (3.7) we have

$$(3.31) \quad I_1 = 0.$$

Using (3.12), we have

$$(3.32) \quad \|\partial_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta I_2\| \leq \text{const.} \langle \xi \rangle^s |\zeta_1|^{-2} (\langle \xi \rangle^m + |\zeta_1|) \leq \text{const.} |\zeta_1|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{m+s}$$

for any real number  $s$ , and

$$(3.33) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\partial_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta I_3\| &\leq \text{const.} |\zeta_1|^{-2} \langle \xi \rangle^{-(\rho-\delta)N} (\langle \xi \rangle^m + |\zeta_1| \langle \xi \rangle^{m-\rho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|}) \\ &\leq \text{const.} |\zeta_1|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{2m-(\rho-\delta)N-\rho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$(3.34) \quad \|\partial_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta I_4\| \leq \text{const.} |\zeta_1|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{2m-(\rho-\delta)N-\rho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|}.$$

Finally we have to estimate  $R_N(\zeta_1; x, \xi)$ .

Since

$$\langle D_\eta \rangle^{n_0} (\eta^\gamma \partial_\xi^\gamma r(\zeta_1; x, \xi + t\eta)) = \sum_{|\beta_1 + \beta_2| \leq n_0} C_{\beta_1, \beta_2} t^{|\beta_2|} \eta^{\gamma - \beta_1} \partial_\xi^{\gamma + \beta_2} r(\zeta_1; x, \xi + t\eta)$$

and

$$\eta^{\gamma - \beta_1} e^{-i w \cdot \eta} = (i \partial_w)^{\gamma - \beta_1} e^{-i w \cdot \eta},$$

integrating by parts we have only to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \{ \partial_\xi^{\gamma + \beta_2} r(\zeta_1; x, \xi + t\eta) \} \left( \int e^{-i w \cdot \eta} \partial_w^{\gamma - \beta_1} (\langle w \rangle^{-n_0} (p(x+w, \xi) - \zeta_1 I)) dw \right) d\eta \\ &= \int_{|\eta| \leq \langle \xi \rangle / 2} \{ \partial_\xi^{\gamma + \beta_2} r(\zeta_1; x, \xi + t\eta) \} \left( \int e^{-i w \cdot \eta} \partial_w^{\gamma - \beta_1} (\langle w \rangle^{-n_0} (p(x+w, \xi) - \zeta_1 I)) dw \right) d\eta \\ & \quad + \int_{|\eta| \geq \langle \xi \rangle / 2} \{ \langle \eta \rangle^{-2l} \partial_\xi^{\gamma + \beta_2} r(\zeta_1; x, \xi + t\eta) \} \\ & \quad \cdot \left( \int e^{-i w \cdot \eta} \langle D_w \rangle^{2l} \partial_w^{\gamma - \beta_1} (\langle w \rangle^{-n_0} (p(x+w, \xi) - \zeta_1 I)) dw \right) d\eta \equiv J_1 + J_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, noting  $C^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle \leq \langle \xi + t\eta \rangle \leq C \langle \xi \rangle$  for a constant  $C > 0$  when  $|\eta| \leq \langle \xi \rangle / 2$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , we have by (3.20)

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_1(\zeta_1; x, \xi)\| &\leq \text{const. } |\zeta_1|^{-2 \langle \xi \rangle^{m - \rho(N + |\alpha|) + n}} \langle \xi \rangle^{m + \delta N} + |\zeta_1| \\ &\leq \text{const. } |\zeta_1|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{2m + n - (\rho - \delta)N}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking a large integer  $l$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_2(\zeta_1; x, \xi)\| &\leq \text{const. } |\zeta_1|^{-2 \langle \xi \rangle^{m - 2l + n}} \langle \xi \rangle^{2l\delta + N} + |\zeta_1| \\ &\leq \text{const. } |\zeta_1|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{m - 2l(1 - \delta) + n + N}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, fixing  $l$  such as  $m - 2l(1 - \delta) + N \leq 2m - (\rho - \delta)N$ , we have

$$\|R_N(\zeta_1; x, \xi)\| \leq \text{const. } |\zeta_1|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{2m + n - (\rho - \delta)N}$$

and also have

$$(3.35) \quad \|R_{N(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(\zeta_1; x, \xi)\| \leq \text{const. } |\zeta_1|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{2m + n - (\rho - \delta)N - \rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|}.$$

Consequently from (3.28)–(3.35) we have (3.27) for  $j=1$  for a large  $N$ , and for  $j=2$  analogously, which completes the proof. Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let  $P_z = p_z(x, D_x)$  be operators defined by (3.23). Then, by Lemma 3.6 we have i)–iv) of Definition 3.1. For the proof of v) we consider the case:  $\text{Re } z_j < 0, j=1, 2$ .

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1 &= \{ \zeta \in C; \text{dis}(\zeta, (-\infty, 0]) = c_0/2 \}, \\ \Gamma_2 &= \{ \zeta \in C; \text{dis}(\zeta, (-\infty, 0]) = c_0/3 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by means of (3.19) and Lemma 3.7 we have

$$P_{z_1} P_{z_2} u(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_1} \zeta_1^{z_1} r(\zeta_1; x, \xi) d\zeta_1 \right\} P_{z_2} u(\xi) d\xi \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_1} \zeta_1^{z_1} R(\zeta_1) P_{z_2} u(x) d\zeta_1 \\
&= \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_{\Gamma_2} \zeta_1^{z_1} \zeta_2^{z_2} R(\zeta_1) R(\zeta_2) u(x) d\zeta_2 d\zeta_1 \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_2} \zeta_2^{z_1+z_2} R(\zeta_2) u(x) d\zeta_2 \\
&\quad + \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_{\Gamma_2} \zeta_1^{z_1} \zeta_2^{z_2} \frac{K(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) u(x)}{\zeta_2 - \zeta_1} d\zeta_2 d\zeta_1 \\
&= P_{z_1+z_2} u(x) + \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_{\Gamma_2} \zeta_1^{z_1} \zeta_2^{z_2} \frac{K(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) u(x)}{\zeta_2 - \zeta_1} d\zeta_2 d\zeta_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get iv) when  $\operatorname{Re} z_j < 0, j=1, 2$ .

Next we consider  $P_z P - P_{z+1}$ . For any  $N$ , using (3.16), we write

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(P_z P)(x, \xi) &= \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} p_z^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) p_{(\omega)}(x, \xi) + r_{z,N}(x, \xi) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left\{ \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \sum_{j+|\alpha| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \int_{\Gamma_{\xi,A}} \zeta^z q_j^{(\alpha)} p_{(\omega)} d\zeta \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \sum_{\substack{j+|\alpha| < N \\ j \geq 1}} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \int_{\Gamma_{\xi,A}} \zeta^z \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} ((\varphi_j(\xi) - 1) q_j) p_{(\omega)} d\zeta \\
&\quad + \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \sum_{\substack{j+|\alpha| \geq N \\ N > j \geq 1}} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \int_{\Gamma_{\xi,A}} \zeta^z \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} (\varphi_j(\xi) q_j) p_{(\omega)} d\zeta \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \int_{\Gamma_{\xi,A}} \zeta^z \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} (\varphi_j(\xi) q_j) p_{(\omega)} d\zeta \right\} + r_{z,N} \\
&\equiv \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{\xi,A}} \zeta^z (I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4) d\zeta + r_{z,N},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $r_{z,A} \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{m(\operatorname{Re} z) + m - (\rho - \delta)N}$  and, by the similar way to the estimation of  $R_N(\zeta_1; x, \xi)$  in the proof of Lemma 3.7, is an analytic function of  $z$  ( $\operatorname{Re} z < s_0$ ) in the topology of  $S_{\rho,\delta}^{m(s_0) + m - (\rho - \delta)N}$  for any  $s_0$ . Using (3.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \sum_{\mu=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\mu} \sum_{|\alpha|=\mu-j} \frac{1}{\alpha!} q_j^{(\alpha)} p_{(\omega)} \\
&= \sum_{\mu=0}^{N-1} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\mu-1} \sum_{|\alpha|=\mu-j} \frac{1}{\alpha!} q_j^{(\alpha)} p_{(\omega)} + q_{\mu} (p - \mu I) + \zeta q_{\mu} \right\} \\
&= \sum_{\mu=0}^{N-1} \zeta q_{\mu}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $\int_{\Gamma_{\xi,A}} I_2 d\zeta \in S^{-\infty}$ , and is an analytic function of  $z$  in the topology of  $S_{\rho,\delta}^{s_0}$  for any  $s_0$ . By the similar way to the proof of Lemma 3.6, we see that

$\int_{\Gamma_{\xi,A}} \zeta^z I_3 d\zeta$  and  $\int_{\Gamma_{\xi,A}} \zeta^z I_4 d\zeta$  belong to  $S_{\rho,\delta}^{m(\operatorname{Re} z)+m-(\rho-\delta)N}$  and are analytic in  $z$  ( $\operatorname{Re} z < s_0$ ) in  $S_{\rho,\delta}^{m(s_0)+m-(\rho-\delta)N}$  for any  $s_0$ . Now we write

$$p_{z+1}(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{\xi,A}} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \zeta^{z+1} q_j d\zeta + r'_{z+1,N}(x, \xi).$$

Then, by (3.11) we see that  $r'_{z+1,N}(x, \xi)$  belongs to  $S_{\rho,\delta}^{m(\operatorname{Re} z+1)-(\rho-\delta)N}$  and is analytic in  $z$  ( $\operatorname{Re} z < s_0$ ) in  $S_{\rho,\delta}^{m(s_0+1)-(\rho-\delta)N}$  for any  $s_0$ . Consequently we see, by taking large  $N$ , that  $\sigma(P_z P - P_{z+1})(x, \xi)$  is analytic in  $z$  in the topology of  $S_{\rho,\delta}^{s_0}$  for any  $s_0$ . Then, we see that, for any positive integer  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma(P_z P^k - P_{z+k})(x, \xi) \\ &= \sigma((P_z P - P_{z+1}) P^{k-1})(x, \xi) + \cdots + \sigma(P_{z+k-1} P - P_{z+k})(x, \xi) \end{aligned}$$

is analytic in  $z$  in the topology of  $S_{\rho,\delta}^{s_0}$  for any  $s_0$ . Hence, for any  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ , if we fix a positive integer  $k$  such that  $\operatorname{Re} z_j - k < 0$ ,  $j=1, 2$ , then writing

$$\begin{aligned} P_{z_1} P_{z_2} - P_{z_1+z_2} &= P_{z_1} (P_{z_2} - P_{z_2-2k} P^{2k}) + (P_{z_1} - P_{z_1-k} P^k) P_{z_2-2k} P^{2k} \\ &\quad + P_{z_1-k} P^k (P_{z_2-2k} - P_{z_2-k} P^{2k}) + P_{z_1-k} (P^k P_{z_2-k} - I) P_{z_2-k} P^{2k} \\ &\quad + (P_{z_1-k} P_{z_2-k} - P_{z_1+z_2-2k}) P^{2k} + (P_{z_1+z_2-2k} P^{2k} - P_{z_1+z_2}) \end{aligned}$$

we see that  $\sigma(P_{z_1} P_{z_2} - P_{z_1+z_2})(x, \xi)$  is analytic in  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  in the topology of  $S_{\rho,\delta}^{s_0}$  for any  $s_0$ . Thus the proof is complete. Q.E.D.

#### 4. Generalized Dirichlet problem

Let  $p(x, \xi)$  be an  $l \times l$  matrix of symbols  $p_{jk}(x, \xi)$  which satisfies the assumption of Theorem 3.2, and let  $P_z = p_z(x, D_x)$  be complex powers of  $P$  defined there.

We define a Hilbert space  $H_{s,P}$  by

$$H_{s,P} = \{u \in H_{-\infty}; P_s u \in L^2\}$$

provided with the norm:  $\|u\|_{s,P} = \{\|P_s u\|_0^2 + \|\Phi(D_x)u\|_0^2\}^{1/2}$ , where  $\Phi(\xi)$  is a fixed function of  $\mathcal{S}$  such that  $\Phi(\xi) > 0$  in  $R_\xi^n$ .

Then we have

**Theorem 4.1.** *For any real number  $s$ , there exist constants  $C_s$  and  $C'_s$  such that*

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} C'_s \|u\|_{\tau m s} \leq \|u\|_{s,P} \leq C_s \|u\|_{m s} & \text{for } s \geq 0, \\ C'_s \|u\|_{m s} \leq \|u\|_{s,P} \leq C_s \|u\|_{\tau m s} & \text{for } s < 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Noting  $P_s \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{m s} (s \geq 0)$ ,  $P_s \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{\tau m s} (s < 0)$  and  $\Phi(D_x) \in S^{-\infty}$ , we have the right halves of (4.1) by means of Lemma 1.4. For  $s \geq 0$  we write

$$\|u\|_{\tau m s} = \|\wedge^{\tau m s} u\|_0 = \|\wedge^{m s} (P_{-s} P_s - K_s) u\|_0,$$



where  $K_s \in S^{-\infty}$  which is defined by  $P_{-s}P_s = I + K_s$ . Then noting  $\wedge^{\tau ms} P_{-s} \in S_{p,\delta}^0$  and  $\wedge^{\tau ms} K_s \in S^{-\infty}$ , we have by Lemma 1.4

$$\|u\|_{\tau ms} \leq \|\wedge^{\tau ms} P_{-s}(P_s u)\|_0 + \|\wedge^{\tau ms} K_s u\|_0 \leq C_s''(\|P_s u\|_0 + \|u\|_{\tau ms-1}).$$

On the other hand, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a constant  $C_\varepsilon$  such that

$$\|u\|_{\tau ms-1} \leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{\tau ms} + C_\varepsilon \|\Phi(D_x)u\|_0,$$

so, if we fix  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that  $C_s''\varepsilon_0 < 1/2$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{\tau ms} \leq C_s''(\|P_s u\|_0 + C_{\varepsilon_0} \|\Phi(D_x)u\|_0).$$

Hence, we have  $C_s' \|u\|_{\tau ms} \leq \|u\|_{s,P}$  for  $s \geq 0$ . Writing  $\|u\|_{ms} = \|\wedge^{ms}(P_{-s}P_s - K_s)\|_0$ , we can also prove the statement for  $s < 0$  in this manner. Q.E.D.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $P(\in S_{p,\delta}^m)$  be a formally self-adjoint in the sense*

$$(Pu, v) = (u, Pv) \quad \text{for } u, v \in \mathcal{S},$$

*and satisfy the condition of Theorem 3.2, and let  $P_z$  be complex powers of  $P$  defined there. Then, we have*

$$(4.2) \quad P_z^{(*)} \equiv P_{\bar{z}} \pmod{S^{-\infty}},$$

*where  $P_z^{(*)}(\in S_{p,\delta}^m)$  is defined by*

$$(P_z u, v) = (u, P_z^{(*)} v) \quad \text{for } u, v \in \mathcal{S}.$$

*Proof.* By the assumption it is clear that  $(P^k)^{(*)} = P^k$  for any positive integer  $k$ . If we can prove

$$(4.3) \quad P_z^{(*)} \equiv P_{\bar{z}} \text{ for } \operatorname{Re} z < 0,$$

then, by v) of Definition 3.1, it follows that for  $k(\operatorname{Re} z < k)$

$$\begin{aligned} P_z^{(*)} &\equiv (P_k P_{z-k})^{(*)} = P_{z-k}^{(*)} P_k^{(*)} \equiv P_{\bar{z}-k} P_k^{(*)} \\ &\equiv P_{\bar{z}-k} (P^k)^{(*)} = P_{\bar{z}-k} P^k \equiv P_{\bar{z}-k} P_k \equiv P_{\bar{z}} \pmod{S^{-\infty}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have only to prove (4.3). Let  $R(\zeta) = r(\zeta; x, D_x)$  be the parametrix of  $P - \zeta I$ . Since  $I \equiv ((P - \zeta I)R(\zeta))^{(*)} = R(\zeta)^{(*)}(P - \bar{\zeta} I)$ ,  $R(\zeta)^{(*)}$  is the parametrix of  $P - \bar{\zeta} I$ . Now, using the path  $\Gamma_0$  of (3.24), we have for  $u, v \in \mathcal{S}$

$$\begin{aligned} (P_z u, v) &= \left( \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_0} \zeta^z r(\zeta; x, \xi) d\zeta \right) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi, v \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_0} \zeta^z (R(\zeta)u, v) d\zeta = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_0} \zeta^z (u, R(\zeta)^{(*)}v) d\zeta \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int u(x) \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_0} \zeta^z \overline{R(\zeta)^{(*)} v(x)} d\zeta \right) dx.$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} P_z^{(*)} v &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \int_{\Gamma_0} \zeta^z \overline{R(\zeta)^{(*)} v(x)} d\zeta \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} \hat{v}(\xi) \left( \int_{\Gamma_0} \zeta^z \overline{r^{(*)}(\zeta; x, \xi)} d\zeta \right) d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

so that we have

$$\sigma(P_z^{(*)}) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \int_{\Gamma_0} \zeta^z \overline{r^{(*)}(\zeta; x, \xi)} d\zeta \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_0} \zeta^z \overline{r^{(*)}(\zeta; x, \xi)} d\zeta.$$

Noting  $r^{(*)}(\zeta; x, \xi)$  is a parametrix of  $P - \zeta I$ , we have (4.3). Q.E.D.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $L$  be an  $l \times l$  matrix of pseudo-differential operators of class  $S_{p,\delta}^m (m > 0)$ , and set*

$$P = (L + L^{(*)})/2, \quad Q = (L - L^{(*)})/2.$$

*Assume that  $\sigma(P)(x, \xi)$  satisfies the assumption of Theorem 3.2 and  $P_{-\frac{1}{2}} Q P_{-\frac{1}{2}} \in S_{p,\delta}^0$ , where  $P_z$  is complex powers defined by Theorem 3.2. Then, there exist constants  $C$  and  $\lambda_0$  such that*

$$(4.4) \quad |(Lu, v)| \leq C \|u\|_{\frac{1}{2}, P} \|v\|_{\frac{1}{2}, P} \quad \text{for } u, v \in \mathcal{S}$$

and

$$(4.5) \quad \operatorname{Re} (Lu, u) \geq \|u\|_{\frac{1}{2}, P}^2 - \lambda_0 \|u\|_0^2 \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{S}.$$

REMARK 1°. i) Assume that  $Q \in S_{p,\delta}^m$ . Then, we have

$$P_{-\frac{1}{2}} Q P_{-\frac{1}{2}} \in S_{p,\delta}^0, \quad \text{since } P_{-\frac{1}{2}} \in S_{p,\delta}^{-\tau m/2}.$$

ii) For the single case we assume that  $\operatorname{Re} \sigma(L)(x, \xi)$  satisfies

$$A)' \quad \operatorname{Re} \sigma(L)(x, \xi) \geq c_0 \langle \xi \rangle^{\tau m},$$

$$B)' \quad |\partial_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta \sigma(L)(x, \xi) \cdot (\operatorname{Re} \sigma(L)(x, \xi))^{-1}| \leq c_{\alpha,\beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{-\rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|}$$

and

C')  $\operatorname{Re} \sigma(L)(x, \xi)$  is well-defined

for large  $|\xi|$  instead of conditions A)–B) of Theorem 3.2°. Then, by using the asymptotic expansion formula of  $\sigma(P_z)(x, \xi)$ , we can see that the operator  $L$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 4.3.

REMARK 2°. The inequality (5.4) is a generalization of Gårding's inequality to hypoelliptic operators, which is different form [3], [9], [11], [17] where the positivity as in A)' is not assumed, but the space is limited to the usual Sobolev space.

Proof of Theorem 4.3. We can write for  $u, v \in \mathcal{S}$

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} (Lu, v) &= (Pu, v) + (Qu, v) \\ &= (P_{\frac{1}{2}}u, P_{\frac{1}{2}}^{(*)}v) + (P_{-\frac{1}{2}}QP_{-\frac{1}{2}}(P_{\frac{1}{2}}u), P_{\frac{1}{2}}^{(*)}v) + (Ku, v) \end{aligned}$$

for some  $K \in S^{-\infty}$ . Then, from Lemma 4.2 and the assumption  $P_{-\frac{1}{2}}QP_{-\frac{1}{2}} \in S_{\rho, \delta}^0$ , we have

$$(4.7) \quad |(Lu, v)| \leq C \|u\|_{\frac{1}{2}, P} \|v\|_{\frac{1}{2}, P} \text{ for } u, v \in \mathcal{S}$$

for a constant  $C$ . On the other hand, using Lemma 4.2 again and noting  $\operatorname{Re}(Qu, u) = 0$ , we have

$$(4.8) \quad \operatorname{Re}(Lu, u) = (Pu, u) \geq \|u\|_{\frac{1}{2}, P}^2 - \lambda_0 \|u\|_0^2$$

for a constant  $\lambda_0$ .

Q.E.D.

Now, let  $V$  be the closure of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  in  $H_{\frac{1}{2}, P}$  for an open set  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}_x^n$ , and set

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} B_\lambda[u, v] &= (P_{\frac{1}{2}}u, P_{\frac{1}{2}}^{(*)}v) + (P_{-\frac{1}{2}}QP_{-\frac{1}{2}}(P_{\frac{1}{2}}u), P_{\frac{1}{2}}^{(*)}v) + (Ku, v) + \lambda(u, v) \\ &\text{for } u, v \in V. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

**Theorem 4.4** (Generalized Dirichlet problem). *Let  $L$  be a matrix of operators of class  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$  ( $m > 0$ ) which satisfies conditions of Theorem 4.3. Then, for any  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ , we can find a unique element  $u \in V$  such that*

$$(L + \lambda)u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

for any  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ , where  $\lambda_0$  is a constant determined in Theorem 4.3.

Proof. Consider  $B_\lambda[u, v]$  for  $u, v \in V$ . Then, from (4.6)–(4.9) we have

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{cases} |B_\lambda[u, v]| \leq C_\lambda \|u\|_{\frac{1}{2}, P} \|v\|_{\frac{1}{2}, P}, \\ \operatorname{Re} B_\lambda[u, u] \geq \|u\|_{\frac{1}{2}, P}^2 \end{cases} \quad \text{for } u, v \in V.$$

Then, by means of the Lax-Milgram theorem (see, for example, [1], p. 98), we have a unique element  $u \in V$  such that

$$B_\lambda[u, v] = (f, v) \quad \text{for any } v \in V.$$

In particular for  $v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  we have from (4.6) and (4.9)

$$B_\lambda[u, v] = (Lu, v) + \lambda(u, v)$$

Hence, we have  $(L + \lambda)u = f$  in  $\Omega$ .

Q.E.D.

**REMARK.** Consider a neighborhood  $U(x_0)$  of a point  $x_0$  on the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  of  $\Omega$ . Assume that  $\partial\Omega$  is smooth and  $P$  is elliptic of order  $m_0 (> 0)$  in  $U(x_0)$  in the sense

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{cases} |\sigma(P)(x, \xi)| \geq C_0 \langle \xi \rangle^{m_0}, \\ |\sigma(P)_{(\alpha, \beta)}^{(\omega)}(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{m_0 - \rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|} \end{cases} \quad \text{in } U(x_0)$$

for large  $|\xi|$ . Then, for any  $a(x) \in C_0^\infty(U(x_0))$ , we have

$$(4.12) \quad au \in H_{\frac{1}{2}m_0}$$

and concerning the trace of  $au$ , we have

$$(4.13) \quad \partial_n^j(au)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad 0 \leq j < (m_0 - 1)/2,$$

where  $\partial_n$  denotes the normal derivative for  $\partial\Omega$ . In fact, we can write for some  $K \in S^{-\infty}$

$$au = aP_{-\frac{1}{2}}(P_{\frac{1}{2}}u) + aKu = (aP_{-\frac{1}{2}} \wedge \wedge^{\frac{1}{2}m_0})(\wedge^{-\frac{1}{2}m_0}P_{\frac{1}{2}}u) + aKu.$$

Then, noting  $P_{\frac{1}{2}}u \in L^2$  we have  $\wedge^{-\frac{1}{2}m_0}P_{\frac{1}{2}}u \in H_{\frac{1}{2}m_0}$ , and in view of (4.11) we have  $aP_{-\frac{1}{2}} \wedge \wedge^{\frac{1}{2}m_0} \in S_{\rho, \delta}^0$ . Consequently we have (4.12), and noting  $\text{supp } u \subset \bar{\Omega}$ , we get (4.13).

EXAMPLE. Consider a single operator

$$L = a(x) \wedge^m + (1 - a(x)) \wedge^{m'},$$

where  $m, m' (m > m')$  are positive number and  $a(x)$  is a  $C^\infty$ -function such that

$$a(x) = 0 (|x| \leq 1/2), = 1 (|x| \geq 1), 0 < a(x) < 1 (1/2 < |x| < 1)$$

and for a fixed  $\sigma \geq 1$

$$|D_x^\alpha a(x)/a(x)| \leq C_\alpha |x| - \frac{1}{2} |\alpha|^{-\sigma} \quad \text{for any } \alpha.$$

Then, setting  $\tau = m'/m$ , we can see that  $\sigma(L)(x, \xi)$  satisfies A) and B) of Definition 3.2° for any  $0 < \delta < 1$  and  $\rho = 1$ , so that Theorem 4.3 is applied to this operator  $L$ .

## 5. Index theory

First we describe results obtained in [10] with complete proofs. Let  $P$  be a system of pseudo-differential operators of class  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ , which maps  $H_{-\infty}$  into itself, more precisely  $H_{s+m}$  into  $H_s$  boundedly for any real  $s$ .

Consider  $P$  as the closed operator of  $L^2 (= H_0)$  into itself with the domain  $\mathcal{D}(P)$  defined by

$$(5.1) \quad \mathcal{D}(P) = \{u \in L^2; Pu \in L^2\}.$$

Then, the adjoint operator  $P^*: L^2 \rightarrow L^2$  is defined as follows. For a  $v \in L^2$ , if there exists  $g \in L^2$  such that

$$(5.2) \quad (Pu, v) = (u, g) \quad \text{for any } u \in \mathcal{D}(P),$$

we say that  $v$  belongs to the domain  $\mathcal{D}(P^*)$  of  $P^*$  and define  $P^*v=g$ . On the other hand we have defined the formal adjoint  $P^{(*)}$  of class  $S_{p,\delta}^m$  by

$$(5.3) \quad (Pu, v) = (u, P^{(*)}v) \quad \text{for any } u, v \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Then, considering  $P^{(*)}$  as the closed operator  $L^2$  into itself as above, we have

$$(5.4) \quad \mathcal{D}(P^{(*)}) = \{v \in L^2; P^{(*)}v \in L^2\}.$$

Concerning  $P^*$  and  $P^{(*)}$  we have

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $P$  be a system of operators of class  $S_{p,\delta}^m$ . Then, as the operator of  $L^2$  into itself, the operator  $P^{(*)}$  is an extension of  $P^*$ , so that we have*

$$(5.5) \quad \mathcal{D}(P^*) \subset \mathcal{D}(P^{(*)}).$$

Proof. Assume  $v \in \mathcal{D}(P^*)$ . Then, noting  $\mathcal{D}(P) \supset \mathcal{S}$ , we have

$$(u, P^*v) = (Pu, v) = (u, P^{(*)}v).$$

In the above the right half is guaranteed, if we take a sequence  $v_j (\in \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow v$  in  $L^2$  and, considering  $u$  as an element of  $H_m$ , apply Lemma 1.4. Then, we have  $P^*v = P^{(*)}v \in L^2$ , which means that  $v \in \mathcal{D}(P^{(*)})$ . Q.E.D.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $P(\in S_{p,\delta}^m)$  have complex powers  $P_z$  in the sense of Definition 3.1. Then, we have, for any  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $P_{z_0}^{(*)} = P_{z_0}^*$  as the operator of  $L^2$  into itself.*

Proof. By means of Lemma 5.1 we have only to prove

$$(5.6) \quad (P_{z_0}u, v) = (u, P_{z_0}^{(*)}v) \text{ for } u \in \mathcal{D}(P_{z_0}), v \in \mathcal{D}(P_{z_0}^{(*)}).$$

By i) of Definition 3.1 for a large  $N$  we have  $P_z u \in H_{m(\operatorname{Re} z)}$  for  $u \in \mathcal{D}(P_{z_0})$  so, using Lemma 1.4, we have

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} (P_z u, P_{z_0}^{(*)}v) &= (P_{z_0} P_z u, v) = (P_z P_{z_0} u, v) \\ &+ ((P_{z_0} P_z - P_z P_{z_0})u, v) \text{ for } u \in \mathcal{D}(P_{z_0}), v \in \mathcal{D}(P_{z_0}^{(*)}) \text{ (Re } z < -N). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.3 and iii) of Definition 3.1 we have  $(P_z u, P_{z_0}^{(*)}v)$  is analytic in  $z$  when  $\operatorname{Re} z < 0$ , and from Lemma 2.2 and iv) of Definition 3.1 we have  $\lim_{s \rightarrow -0} (P_s u, P_{z_0}^{(*)}v) = (u, P_{z_0}^{(*)}v)$ . Since  $P_{z_0} u \in L^2$ , we also have that  $(P_z P_{z_0} u, v)$  is analytic in  $z$  when  $\operatorname{Re} z < 0$  and  $\lim_{s \rightarrow -0} (P_s P_{z_0} u, v) = (P_{z_0} u, v)$ . Setting  $s_0 = 0$  in  $v$

of Definition 3.1 and writing  $P_{z_0} P_z - P_z P_{z_0} = (P_{z_0} P_z - P_{z_0+z}) + (P_{z_0+z} - P_z P_{z_0})$ , we can see that  $((P_{z_0} P_z - P_z P_{z_0})u, v)$  is analytic in  $z$  and  $\lim_{s \rightarrow -0} ((P_{z_0} P_s - P_s P_{z_0})u, v) = 0$ .

Then, letting  $z \rightarrow -0$  on the real line in (5.7), we get (5.6).

Q.E.D.

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $p_j(x, \xi)$ ,  $j=0, 1, 2, \dots$ , be a sequence of slowly varying*

symbols of class  $S_{\rho, \delta}^{m_j}$  (resp.  $\dot{S}_{\rho, \delta}^{m_j}$ ) such that  $m_j \downarrow -\infty$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Then we can construct a slowly varying symbol  $p(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m$  (resp.  $\dot{S}_{\rho, \delta}^m$ ) such that

$$(5.8) \quad p(x, \xi) - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} p_j(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{m_N}, \text{ (resp. } \dot{S}_{\rho, \delta}^{m_N})$$

and is slowly varying for any  $N$  (c.f. [4]).

Proof. Take  $C^\infty$ -functions  $\varphi(\xi)$  and  $\psi(x, \xi)$  such that

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{cases} \varphi(\xi) = 0 (|\xi| \leq 1), = 1 (|\xi| \geq 2), \\ \psi(x, \xi) = 0 (|x| + |\xi| \leq 1), = 1 (|x| + |\xi| \geq 2). \end{cases}$$

Then, setting  $p(x, \xi) = p_0(x, \xi) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi(t_j^{-1}\xi) \psi(t_j^{-1}x, t_j^{-1}\xi) p_j(x, \xi)$  for an appropriate  $t_j \rightarrow \infty$  ( $j \rightarrow \infty$ ), we get a required symbol. Q.E.D.

**Lemma 5.4** (c.f. Prop. 2.1 of [8]). *Let  $\{P_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$  be a family of operators of class  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$  such that  $\sigma(P_t)(x, \xi)$  is a continuous function of  $t$  in  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ . Suppose there exist two families  $\{Q_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$  and  $\{K_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$  in  $S_{\rho, \delta}^0$  such that  $Q_t P_t = I + K_t$ ,  $Q_t$  is strongly continuous in  $t$ , and  $K_t$  is uniformly continuous in  $t$  and compact as operators from  $L^2$  into itself. Then, it follows that*

$$\dim \ker P_t < \infty \text{ and } \operatorname{Re} P_t \text{ is closed}$$

and that

$$\operatorname{index} P_t \equiv \dim \ker P_t - \operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Re} P_t$$

is upper semi-continuous in  $t$ , where  $\ker P_t$  denotes the kernel of  $P_t$  and  $\operatorname{Re} P_t$  denotes the range of  $P_t$ .

Proof. For  $u \in \ker P_t$  we have

$$0 = Q_t P_t u = u + K_t u.$$

Then, we can easily see that  $\dim \ker P_t < \infty$ , since  $K_t$  is compact. If we write  $L^2 = \ker P_t \oplus (\ker P_t)^\perp$ , then, for the closedness of  $\operatorname{Re} P_t$  we have only to prove

$$(5.10) \quad \|u\|_0 \leq C_t \|P_t u\|_0 \text{ for } u \in \mathcal{D}(P_t) \cap (\ker P_t)^\perp$$

for a constant  $C_t$ .

Assume that there exists a sequence  $\{u_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$  of  $\mathcal{D}(P_t) \cap (\ker P_t)^\perp$  such that  $1 = \|u_\nu\|_0 \geq \nu \|P_t u_\nu\|_0$ . Then, we have

$$0 \leftarrow Q_t P_t u_\nu = u_\nu + K_t u_\nu.$$

Since  $K_t$  is compact, by taking a subsequence we may assume that

$$K_t u_\nu \rightarrow v \text{ in } L^2 \text{ for a } v \in L^2.$$

Then we have  $v \in \ker P_t$  and consequently  $0 = (v, u_\nu) \rightarrow \|v\|^2 = 1$ , which derives

the contradiction.

For the proof of the upper semi-continuity of index  $P_t$  we first get the statement:

$$(5.11) \quad \text{If } t_v \rightarrow t_0 \in [0, 1], u_v \rightarrow u_0 \text{ in } L^2, P_{t_v} u_v \rightarrow f_0 \text{ in } L^2, \text{ then, } P_{t_0} u_0 = f_0,$$

which means that the graph  $\{(t, u, P_t u); t \in I, u \in \mathcal{D}(P_t)\}$  is closed. For any  $v \in H_m$  we have

$$(P_{t_0} u_0, v) = (u_0, P_{t_0}^{(*)} v) = \lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} (u_v, P_{t_v}^{(*)} v) = \lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} (P_{t_v} u_v, v) = (f_0, v),$$

since  $u_v \rightarrow u_0$  in  $L^2$  and  $P_{t_v}^{(*)} v \rightarrow P_{t_0}^{(*)} v$  in  $L^2 = H_0$  by Lemma 1.4 and the continuity of  $\sigma(P_t)(x, \xi)$  in  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ . Hence we get (5.11).

Now let  $W$  be a finite dimensional subspace of  $L^2$  and set  $\Delta_t = \{u \in \mathcal{D}(P_t); P_t u \in W\}$ . Then we can easily get

$$(5.12) \quad \|P_t u\|_0 \leq C \|u\|_0 \text{ for } u \in \Delta_t$$

for a constant  $C$  independent of  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

Assume there exist sequences  $\{t_v\}_{v=1}^\infty$  and orthonormal systems  $\{u_1^{(v)}, \dots, u_l^{(v)}\}$  of  $\Delta_{t_v}$  for a fixed  $l$  such that  $t_v \rightarrow t_0 \in [0, 1]$ . Then, writing  $Q_{t_v} P_{t_v} u_j^{(v)} = u_j^{(v)} + (K_{t_v} - K_{t_0}) u_j^{(v)} + K_{t_0} u_j^{(v)}$ ,  $j=1, \dots, l$ , we may assume that  $K_{t_0} u_j^{(v)} \rightarrow w_j$  and  $P_{t_v} u_j^{(v)} \rightarrow w_j \in W$  for  $j=1, \dots, l$  by taking a subsequence, since  $K_{t_0}$  is compact and  $P_{t_v} u_j^{(v)} \in W$  (finite dimensional) with (5.12). Hence from (5.11) we have  $P_{t_0} u_j = w_j$  for  $u_j = -w_j + Q_{t_0} w_j$ . It is clear that  $u_1, \dots, u_l$  is orthonormal, which means that  $\dim \Delta_t$  is upper semi-continuous in  $t$ . Then, for any  $W_0 \subset (\text{Re } P_{t_0})^\perp$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \Delta_{t_0} &\geq \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow t_0} \dim \Delta_t = \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow t_0} \{\dim \ker P_t + \dim (\text{Re } P_t) \cap W_0\} \\ &\geq \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \{\dim \ker P_t + \dim W_0 - \dim (\text{Re } P_t)^\perp\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\dim \Delta_{t_0} = \dim \ker P_{t_0}$ , this means that index  $P_{t_0} \geq \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow t_0} \text{index } P_t$ . Q.E.D.

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $P$  be an  $l \times l$  matrix of operators of class  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m (m > 0)$  such that  $\sigma(P)(x, \xi)$  satisfies conditions (3.1) and (3.2) for large  $|x| + |\xi|$  uniformly on  $\Xi_0$ . Assume that  $\sigma(P)(x, \xi)$  is slowly varying and that, for  $\beta \neq 0$ , (3.2) holds with a bounded function  $C_{0, \alpha, \beta}(x)$  such as  $C_{0, \alpha, \beta}(x) \rightarrow 0 (|x| \rightarrow \infty)$ . Then, we can construct complex powers  $P_z$  such that  $\sigma(P_z)(x, \xi)$  is slowly varying and*

$$(5.13) \quad \sigma(P_{z_1} P_{z_2} - P_{z_1 + z_2})(x, \xi) \in \dot{S}^{-\infty} (= \cap \dot{S}_{\rho, \delta}^s).$$

**REMARK.** We may assume that  $\sigma(P)(x, \xi)$  satisfies (3.1) and (3.2) for every  $x$  and  $\xi$ . In fact, for a  $C_0^\infty$ -function  $\gamma(x, \xi)$  such that  $0 \leq \gamma(x, \xi) \leq 1$ , and  $\gamma(x, \xi) = 1 (|x| + |\xi| \geq 1), = 0 (|x| + |\xi| \leq 2)$ , We set  $P_\varepsilon = P + \varepsilon^{-1} \gamma(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon D_x) I$ . Then, for a small fixed  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ ,  $\sigma(P_{\varepsilon_0})(x, \xi)$  satisfy conditions (3.1) and (3.2) for every  $x$  and  $\xi$ , and has complex powers  $P_{\varepsilon_0, z}$ . We set  $P_z = P_{\varepsilon_0, z} + z(P - P_{\varepsilon_0, 1})$ . Then, noting

$P - P_{\varepsilon_0,1} = P - P_{\varepsilon_0} = \varepsilon_0^{-1} \gamma(\varepsilon_0 x, \varepsilon_0 D_x) I \in \mathring{S}^{-\infty}$ , we see that  $P_z$  are required powers.

Proof. Instead  $r(\zeta; x, \xi)$  of (3.16) we consider, using functions  $\varphi(\xi)$  and  $\psi(x, \xi)$  of (5.9),

$$(5.14) \quad r(\zeta; x, \xi) = q_0(\zeta; x, \xi) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi(t_j^{-1} \xi) \psi(t_j^{-1} x, t_j^{-1} \xi) q_j(\zeta; x, \xi)$$

for an appropriate increasing sequence  $\{t_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ . Then, we may assume that  $p_z(x, \xi)$  defined by (3.23) is slowly varying and that

$$(5.15) \quad \sigma(P_z)(x, \xi) - \sigma(P)(x, \xi)^z \in \mathring{S}_{\rho, \delta}^{m(\operatorname{Re} z) - (\rho - \delta)}.$$

Now, for any  $N$ , we define  $R_{z_1, z_2, N} \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{m(\operatorname{Re} z_1) + m(\operatorname{Re} z_2)}$

by  $(R_{z_1, z_2, N})(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \sigma(P_{z_1})^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) \sigma(P_{z_2})^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)$ . Then, by ii) of Lemma 1.5, we have

$$(5.16) \quad P_{z_1} P_{z_2} - R_{z_1, z_2, N} \in \mathring{S}_{\rho, \delta}^{m(\operatorname{Re} z_1) + m(\operatorname{Re} z_2) - (\rho - \sigma)N}.$$

Noting  $\sigma(P)(x, \xi)^{z_1} \sigma(P)(x, \xi)^{z_2} = \sigma(P)(x, \xi)^{z_1 + z_2}$ , we have

$$(5.17) \quad \sigma(R_{z_1, z_2, N})(x, \xi) - \sigma(P)(x, \xi)^{z_1 + z_2} \in \mathring{S}_{\rho, \delta}^{m(\operatorname{Re} z_1) + m(\operatorname{Re} z_2) - (\rho - \delta)}.$$

Hence, if we write

$$(S^{-\infty} \ni) P_{z_1} P_{z_2} - P_{z_1 + z_2} = (P_{z_1} P_{z_2} - R_{z_1, z_2, N}) + (R_{z_1, z_2, N} - P_{z_1 + z_2}),$$

then, using (5.16), (5.17) and (5.15) for  $z = z_1 + z_2$ , we get (5.13). Q.E.D.

**Theorem 5.6.** *Let  $P$  be an  $l \times l$  matrix of operators of class  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ ,  $m > 0$ , which are slowly varying. Assume that the symbol  $\sigma(P)(x, \xi)$  satisfies conditions (3.1) and (3.2) for large  $|x| + |\xi|$  uniformly on  $\Xi_0$ . Then, the operator  $P$  as the map from  $L^2$  into itself with the domain  $\mathcal{D}(P) = \{u \in L^2; Pu \in L^2\}$  is Fredholm type and we have*

$$(5.18) \quad \text{index } P \equiv \dim \ker P - \operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Re} P = 0.$$

Proof. Let  $P_z$  be complex powers of  $P$  defined in Theorem 5.5. For  $t \in [0, 1]$ , consider  $\{P_t\}_{t \in I}$  and set  $Q_t = P_{-t}$ . Then, by iv) of Definition 3.1,  $Q_t$  is strongly continuous in  $t$  as  $L^2$ -operators. Moreover, if we write  $Q_t P_t = P_{-t} P_t = I + K_t$ , then, by means of (5.13),  $K_t \in \mathring{S}^{-\infty}$  and consequently, by Lemma 1.4 and Lemma 1.6,  $K_t$  is uniformly continuous in  $t$  and compact as operators from  $L^2$  into itself. Hence, we can apply Lemma 5.4 and we have that  $\text{index } P_t$  is upper semi-continuous in  $t$ . Now, using Lemma 5.2, we note that  $\ker P_t = (\operatorname{Re} P_t^*)^\perp = (\operatorname{Re} P_t^{(*)})^\perp$ ,  $(\operatorname{Re} P_t)^\perp = \ker P_t^* = \ker P_t^{(*)}$ , so that  $\text{index } P_t = -\text{index } P_t^{(*)}$ . Since  $(P_t P_{-t})^{(*)} = P_{-t}^{(*)} P_t^{(*)}$ , setting  $Q_t = P_{-t}^{(*)}$ , we have also that  $\text{index } P_t^{(*)}$  is upper semi-continuous in  $t$ . Hence we get that  $\text{index } P_t$  is continuous,



so is constant in  $[0, 1]$ . Then,  $\text{index } P = \text{index } P_t, t \in [0, 1], = \text{index } I = 0$ .

Q.E.D.

**Lemma 5.7.** *Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be  $l \times l$  matrices of operators of class  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$  such that  $P$  has complex powers  $P_z$  and  $Q$  has the parametrix  $Q_{-1}$ . Assume that  $QP_{-1}$  and  $PQ_{-1}$  are of class  $S_{\rho, \delta}^0$ . Then, for  $P_z' = QP_{-1+z}$ , we have*

$$(5.19) \quad P_z'^* = P_z'^{(*)}.$$

Proof. We write

$$P_z \equiv PP_{-1+z} \equiv (PQ_{-1})P_z' \pmod{S^{-\infty}} \quad \text{and} \quad P_z' \equiv (QP_{-1})P_z \pmod{S^{-\infty}},$$

then we can see that

$$(5.20) \quad P_z u \in L^2 \text{ if and only if } P_z' u \in L^2 \text{ for } u \in H_{-\infty}.$$

If we write, for some  $K \in S^{-\infty}$ ,  $P_z' = (QP_{-1})P_z + K$ , then we have

$$(5.21) \quad P_z'^{(*)} = P_z^{(*)}(QP_{-1})^{(*)} + K^{(*)}.$$

Now we assume that  $v \in \mathcal{D}(P_z'^{(*)})$ , i.e.,  $v \in L^2$  and  $P_z'^{(*)}v \in L^2$ . Since  $\sigma(QP_{-1})^{(*)} \in S_{\rho, \delta}^0$ , by means of (5.21) we have

$$(QP_{-1})^{(*)}v \in L^2 \text{ and } P_z^{(*)}(QP_{-1})^{(*)}v \in L^2.$$

Then, noting  $P_z^{(*)} = P_z^*$  by Lemma 5.2, we have  $(QP_{-1})^{(*)}v \in \mathcal{D}(P_z^*)$ , so that, for any  $u \in \mathcal{D}(P_z')$ , we have, noting  $u \in \mathcal{D}(P_z)$  by (5.20),

$$\begin{aligned} (u, P_z'^{(*)}v) &= (u, P_z^{(*)}(QP_{-1})^{(*)}v) + (u, K^{(*)}v) \\ &= (P_z u, (QP_{-1})^{(*)}v) + (u, K^{(*)}v) \\ &= (QP_{-1} P_z u, v) + (Ku, v) = (P_z' u, v), \end{aligned}$$

which means that  $v \in \mathcal{D}(P_z'^*)$ . Hence, by Lemma 5.1 we have

$$P_z'^{(*)} = P_z'^*.$$

Q.E.D.

**DEFINITION 5.8.** For  $l \times l$  matrices  $P$  and  $Q$  of class  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$  we say that  $\sigma(P)(x, \xi)$  and  $\sigma(Q)(x, \xi)$  are equally strong, when they satisfy with each other

$$(5.22) \quad \|\sigma(Q)_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) \sigma(P)(x, \xi)^{-1}\| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta}(x) \langle \xi \rangle^{-\rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|}$$

and

$$(5.23) \quad \|\sigma(P)_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) \sigma(Q)(x, \xi)^{-1}\| \leq C'_{\alpha, \beta}(x) \langle \xi \rangle^{-\rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|}$$

for large  $|x| + |\xi|$ , where we assume that, for  $\beta \neq 0$ ,  $C_{\alpha, \beta}(x) \rightarrow 0$  and  $C'_{\alpha, \beta}(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ .

Then we have

**Lemma 5.9.** *Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be  $l \times l$  matrices of class  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m (m > 0)$ . Assume that  $\sigma(P)(x, \xi)$  and  $\sigma(Q)(x, \xi)$  satisfy conditions (3.1) and (3.2) for  $\zeta = 0$  and are equally strong. Then, for parametrices  $P_{-1}$  of  $P$  and  $Q_{-1}$  of  $Q$  (which can be defined by (3.6), (3.7) and (3.16) by setting  $\zeta = 0$ , c.f. also [6]), we have that  $\sigma(P_{-1})(x, \xi)$  and  $\sigma(Q_{-1})(x, \xi)$  are slowly varying and that*

$$QP_{-1} \in S_{\rho, \delta}^0 \text{ and } PQ_{-1} \in S_{\rho, \delta}^0.$$

*Proof.* We expand for large  $N$

$$\sigma(QP_{-1})(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \sigma(Q)^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) \sigma(P_{-1})_{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) + R_N(x, \xi)$$

such that  $R_N(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^0$ . Then, noting the form (3.14) and using (5.22) we see that  $\sigma(QP_{-1})(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^0$ . Analogously, using (5.13), we get  $\sigma(PQ_{-1})(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^0$ . Q.E.D.

**Theorem 5.10.** *Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be  $l \times l$  matrices of class  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m (m > 0)$ . Assume that  $\sigma(P)(x, \xi)$  and  $\sigma(Q)(x, \xi)$  are slowly varying and equally strong, and that  $P$  has complex powers  $P_z$ . Then,  $QP_{-1+t} (0 \leq t \leq 1)$  is Fredholm type as the  $L^2$ -operator, and we have*

$$(5.24) \quad \text{index } Q = \text{index } QP_{-1+t} = \text{index } QP_{-1}.$$

Moreover we have

$$(5.25) \quad \text{index } Q = \text{index } Q_0,$$

where  $Q_0$  is defined by

$$\sigma(Q_0)(x, \xi) = \psi(c^{-1}x, c^{-1}\xi) \sigma(Q) \left( \frac{cx}{\langle x \rangle}, \frac{c\xi}{\langle \xi \rangle} \right) \sigma(P) \left( \frac{cx}{\langle x \rangle}, \frac{c\xi}{\langle \xi \rangle} \right)^{-1}$$

with the function  $\psi(x, \xi)$  of (5.9) and a large fixed constant  $c > 0$ , which is an elliptic operator of class  $S_{1,0}^0$  and is slowly varying (c.f. [4]).

*Proof.* Set  $P_t' = QP_{-1+t}$  and let  $Q_{-1}$  be a parametrix of  $Q$ . Then,  $Q_t' = P_{1-t}Q_{-1}$  is a parametrix of  $P_t'$  and belongs to  $S_{\rho, \delta}^0$ . If we write  $Q_t'P_t' = I + K_t'$ , then by Lemma 1.6 we have  $K_t' \in S^{-\infty}$ . By Lemma 5.7 we have  $P_t'^* = P_t'^{(*)} = P_{-1+t}^{(*)}Q^{(*)}$  and  $Q_t'^{(*)} = Q_{-1}^{(*)}P_{1-t}^{(*)}$  is a parametrix of  $P_t'^{(*)}$ . Then, in the same way to the proof of Theorem 5.6, we get (5.24). By means of Lemma 1.5 we can write for large  $N$

$$\sigma(QP_{-1})(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \sigma(Q)^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) \sigma(P_{-1})_{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) + r_N(x, \xi)$$

such that  $r_N(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{-(p-\delta)}$ . Then, noting that

$$\sigma(Q)(x, \xi)(\sigma(P_{-1})(x, \xi) - \psi(c^{-1}x, c^{-1}\xi)\sigma(P)(x, \xi)^{-1}) \in \dot{S}_{\rho, \delta}^{-(p-\delta)}$$

and

$$\sigma(Q)^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)\sigma(P_{-1})(x, \xi) \in \dot{S}_{\rho, \delta}^{-(p-\delta)} \quad \text{for } |\alpha| \geq 1,$$

we have

$$\sigma(QP_{-1})(x, \xi) = \psi(c^{-1}x, c^{-1}\xi)\sigma(Q)(x, \xi)\sigma(P)(x, \xi)^{-1} + R_0(x, \xi),$$

where  $R_0(x, \xi) \in \dot{S}_{\rho, \delta}^{-(p-\delta)}$ . Since by Lemma 1.6  $R_0(x, D_x)$  is compact on  $L^2$ , we have  $\text{index } QP_{-1} = \text{index } P_0'$ , where  $P_0'$  is defined by

$$\sigma(P_0')(x, \xi) = \psi(c^{-1}x, c^{-1}\xi)\sigma(Q)(x, \xi)\sigma(P)(x, \xi)^{-1}.$$

Now consider a family of symbols

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(Q_\varepsilon)(x, \xi) &= \psi(c^{-1}x, c^{-1}\xi)\sigma(Q)\left(\left(\frac{c}{\langle x \rangle}\right)^{1-\varepsilon}x, \left(\frac{c}{\langle \xi \rangle}\right)^{1-\varepsilon}\xi\right)\sigma(P) \\ &\quad \left(\left(\frac{c}{\langle x \rangle}\right)^{1-\varepsilon}x, \left(\frac{c}{\langle \xi \rangle}\right)^{1-\varepsilon}\xi\right). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that  $\{\sigma(Q_\varepsilon)(x, \xi)\}_{0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1}$  makes a bounded set in  $S_{\rho, \delta}^0$  and  $Q_1 = P_0'$ . Furthermore we have with a constant  $C > 0$

$$C^{-1} \leq |\det \sigma(Q_\varepsilon)(x, \xi)| \leq C \quad \text{for large } |x| + |\xi|.$$

As the regularizers for  $Q_\varepsilon$  we adopt operators  $Q_{-\varepsilon}$  defined by  $\sigma(Q_{-\varepsilon})(x, \xi) = \psi(c_1^{-1}x, c_1^{-1}\xi)\sigma(Q_\varepsilon)(x, \xi)^{-1} (\in S_{\rho, \delta}^0)$  for a large constant  $c_1 > 0$ . For a fixed  $u \in L^2$  we write

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{-\varepsilon}u - Q_{-\varepsilon_0}u &= Q_{-\varepsilon}(1 - \psi_\delta)u + (Q_{-\varepsilon}\psi_\delta u - \psi_\delta Q_{-\varepsilon}u) \\ &\quad + \psi_\delta(Q_{-\varepsilon} - Q_{-\varepsilon_0})u + (\psi_\delta Q_{-\varepsilon_0}u - Q_{-\varepsilon_0}\psi_\delta u) + Q_{-\varepsilon_0}(\psi_\delta - 1)u, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\psi_\delta(x) = \psi(\delta x)$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , with a function  $\psi(\xi)$  of (2.1). Then by Lemma 2.2 we have for any fixed  $\delta > 0$

$$\|\psi_\delta(Q_{-\varepsilon} - Q_{-\varepsilon_0})u\|_0 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon_0,$$

and other terms tend to zero in  $L^2$  as  $\delta \downarrow 0$  uniformly in  $\varepsilon$ . Hence we see that  $Q_{-\varepsilon}$  is strongly continuous in  $L^2$  and by Lemma 5.4 we have

$$\text{index } P_0' = \text{index } Q_\varepsilon = \text{index } Q_0. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

OSAKA UNIVERSITY

### Bibliography

- [1] S. Agmon: Lectures on Elliptic Boundary Value Problems, Van Nostrand Mathematical Studies, Princeton, N. J., 1965.
- [2] T. Burak: *Fractional powers of elliptic differential operators*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **22** (1968), 113-132.

- [3] K.O. Friedrichs: Pseudo-differential Operators. An Introduction, Lecture Notes, Courant Institute, New York University, 1968.
- [4] V.V. Grushin: *Pseudo-differential operators on  $R^n$  with bounded symbols*, Functional Anal. Appl. **4** (1970), 202–212.
- [5] K. Hayakawa and H. Kumano-go: *Complex powers of a sysetem of pseudo-differential operators*, Proc. Japan Acad. **47** (1971), 359–364.
- [6] L. Hörmander: *Pseudo-differential operators and hypoelliptic equations*, Singular Integrals, Proc. Symposia Pure Math. **10** (1976), 138–183.
- [7] L. Hörmander: *Fourier integral operators*. I, Acta Math. **127** (1971), 79–183.
- [8] L. Hörmander: *On the index of pseudo-differential operators*, Elliptische Differential Gleichungen, Vol. II, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin (1971), 127–146.
- [9] H. Kumano-go: *Algebras of pseudo-differential operators*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **17** (1970), 31–50.
- [10] H. Kumano-go: *On the index of hypoelliptic pseudo-differential operators on  $R^n$* , Proc. Japan Acad. **48** (1972), 402–407.
- [11] P.D. Lax and L. Nirenberg: *On stability for difference schemes; a sharp form of Gårding's inequality*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **19** (1966), 473–492.
- [12] M. Nagase and K. Shinkai: *Complex powers of non-elliptic operators*, Proc. Japan Acad. **46** (1970), 779–783.
- [13] L. Nirenberg: *Pseudo-differential operators*, Global Analysis, Proc. Symposia Pure Math. **16** (1968), 149–167.
- [14] R.T. Seeley: *Regularization of singular integral operators on compact manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. **83** (1961), 265–275.
- [15] R.T. Seeley: *Complex powers of an elliptic operator*, Singular Integrals, Proc. Symposia Pure Math. **10** (1967), 288–307.
- [16] M.A. Šubin: *Pseudo-differential operators in  $R^n$* , Soviet Math. Dokl. **12** (1971), 147–151.
- [17] R. Vaillancourt: *A simple proof of Lax-Nirenberg theorems*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **23** (1970), 151–163.