

## INTEGRAL GROUP RINGS OF FINITE GROUPS

Dedicated to Professor Keizō Asano on his 60th birthday

TADAO OBAYASHI

(Received June 2, 1970)

**Introduction.** One of interesting problems on integral group rings of finite groups is whether non-isomorphic groups can have isomorphic group rings. The character theory of finite groups gives a useful tool to this problem (G. Higman [4], J.A. Cohn and D. Livingstone [3], and D.S. Passman [9]).

On the other hand, in our previous paper ([8]), we investigated with the problem by a homological method.

The aim of this paper is to develop the study of the problem by fitting the both methods. Our motivation is the fact that the cohomology group  $H^2(\Pi, A)$  of a group  $\Pi$  can be regarded as the cohomology group  $H^2(Z\Pi, A)$  of the group ring  $Z\Pi$  of  $\Pi$ , so that the extension theory of groups can be reduced to that of group rings. For an example, any algebra automorphism of  $Z\Pi$  which is commutative with the operation on  $A$  induces an automorphism of  $H^2(\Pi, A)$ . Our problem is closely related to the question whether any automorphism of the cohomology group  $H^2(\Pi, A)$  which is induced from an automorphism of  $Z\Pi$  can be also induced from an automorphism of  $\Pi$ .

Owing to Cohn and Livingstone, any algebra automorphism of  $Z\Pi$  of a finite group  $\Pi$  gives an automorphism of the center of  $\Pi$ , so that these automorphisms induce the same automorphism of  $H^2(\Pi, A)$  restricted to the center. Then we can show that if  $G$  is a finite group with an abelian normal subgroup  $A$ , then the normal subgroup  $H$  such that  $H/A$  is equal to the center of the quotient  $G/A$  is determined by the group ring  $ZG$ . In particular, we can obtain, as immediate corollaries, the Jackson's result ([5]) that any metabelian group of finite order is determined by its group ring, and the Passman's result ([9]) that the second center of a finite group is determined by its group ring.

The group ring of a non-abelian group can admit automorphisms which are not necessarily induced from group automorphisms. Indeed, we shall give an example of such an automorphism of the group ring  $ZD_4$  of the dihedral group  $D_4$  of order 8. Nevertheless, if  $A$  has exponent 2, then we can show that any algebra automorphism of  $ZD_4$  always coincides on  $H^2(D_4, A)$  with some group automorphism. This implies that any 2-group with an elementary abelian

group as a normal subgroup and with the dihedral group as the quotient is determined by its group ring.

Finally, we apply our arguments to the Whitehead group  $\text{Wh}(G)$  of a finite group  $G$ . We shall show that the reduced norm of the Whitehead group  $\text{Wh}(D_4)$  of the dihedral group  $D_4$  is equal to  $(1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ , so that  $\text{Wh}(D_4)$  is isomorphic to the special Whitehead group  $\text{SK}^1(ZD_4)$ .

The author would like to express his gratitude to Professor A. Hattori for many helpful suggestions.

1. Let  $\Pi$  be a finite group and  $Z\Pi$  be the group ring of  $\Pi$  over the ring  $Z$  of integers. Then  $Z\Pi$  is a supplemented algebra by the augmentation  $\varepsilon$ ;  $Z\Pi \rightarrow Z$ ,  $\varepsilon(\sum r_\sigma \cdot \sigma) = \sum r_\sigma$ . The augmentation ideal  $I(\Pi)$  is a two-sided ideal of  $Z\Pi$  which is a free abelian group with the elements  $\sigma - 1$  as basis ( $\sigma \in \Pi$ ). If  $A$  is a  $\Pi$ -module, then by the augmentation  $\varepsilon$ ,  $A$  is regarded as a two-sided module over  $Z\Pi$  and the cohomology group  $H^2(\Pi, A)$  of  $\Pi$  (in the sense of Eilenberg-MacLane) coincides with the cohomology group  $H^2(Z\Pi, A)$  of the supplemented algebra  $Z\Pi$ . Hence, there is a 1-1 correspondence between the equivalence classes of extensions  $E_G$  over  $\Pi$  with  $A$  as kernel and those of extensions  $E_\Lambda$  over  $Z\Pi$  with  $A$  as kernel. This correspondence is concretely given in Cartan-Eilenberg ([2]).

For convenience, we shall recall the constructions of  $E_G$  from  $E_\Lambda$  and of the converse. The exact sequence

$$E_\Lambda: 0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{i^*} \Lambda \xrightarrow{f^*} Z\Pi \rightarrow 0$$

is called an extension of the supplemented algebra if  $\Lambda$  is a  $Z$ -algebra,  $f^*$  is a  $Z$ -algebra homomorphism,  $i^*$  is a homomorphism of  $Z$ -modules, and for any  $a \in A$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$

$$i^*(f^*(\lambda) \cdot a) = \lambda \cdot i^*(a), \quad i^*(\varepsilon(f^*(\lambda)) \cdot a) = i^*(a) \cdot \lambda.$$

Given an extension

$$E_G: 0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} G \xrightarrow{f} \Pi \rightarrow 1$$

over  $\Pi$ . If we identify  $A$  with the image  $i(A)$ , a normal subgroup of  $G$ , we then have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I(A)ZG \xrightarrow{i} ZG \xrightarrow{f} Z\Pi \rightarrow 0 \quad (1.1)$$

of algebras. Since the two-sided ideal  $I(A)I(G) = I(A)ZG \cdot I(G)$  of  $ZG$  is contained in  $I(A)ZG$ , the sequence (1.1) implies the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I(A)ZG/I(A)I(G) \xrightarrow{i^*} ZG/I(A)I(G) \xrightarrow{f^*} Z\Pi \rightarrow 0. \quad (1.2)$$

**Lemma 1.** *The additive group  $I(A)ZG/I(A)I(G)$  is isomorphic to  $A$  and if we set  $\Lambda = ZG/I(A)I(G)$ , then the sequence (1.2) gives an extension of the supplemented algebra.*

*Proof.* Consider the commutative diagram of left  $A$ -modules:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & I(A) & \rightarrow & ZA & \rightarrow & Z \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\ 0 & \rightarrow & I(G) & \rightarrow & ZG & \rightarrow & Z \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Taking homology, we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & H_1(A, Z) & \rightarrow & I(A)/I(A)^2 & \longrightarrow & Z \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\ 0 & \rightarrow & H_1(A, Z) & \rightarrow & I(G)/I(A)I(G) & \rightarrow & Z\Pi \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where  $H_1(A, Z) = A$ , and the isomorphism  $H_1(A, Z) \cong I(A)/I(A)^2$  is given by the mapping  $a \rightarrow a - 1 \pmod{I(A)^2}$  ( $a \in A$ ). Hence, the mapping  $a \rightarrow a - 1 \pmod{I(A)I(G)}$  gives rise to a monomorphism of the multiplicative structure of  $A$  into the additive structure of  $I(G)/I(A)I(G)$ . But the equality in  $ZG$

$$(a-1)g = (a-1) + (a-1)(g-1), \quad a \in A, \quad g \in G \quad (1.3)$$

shows that the image of  $A$  is precisely the subgroup  $I(A)ZG/I(A)I(G)$ . Thus,  $I(A)ZG/I(A)I(G)$  is isomorphic to the additive group  $A$ .

Furthermore, the equality in  $ZG$

$$\begin{aligned} g(a-1) &= (gag^{-1}-1)g \\ &= (f(g)a-1) + (f(g)a-1)(g-1), \quad a \in A, \quad g \in G \end{aligned}$$

and the equality (1.3) show that the sequence (1.2) is an extension of the supplemented algebra. This proves the lemma.

Conversely, given an extension  $E_\Lambda$  over  $Z\Pi$ , let  $G$  be the set of elements  $\lambda$  of  $\Lambda$  such that  $f^*(\lambda) \in \Pi$ . Then  $G$  is a group under the multiplication of the ring  $\Lambda$ , and the epimorphism  $f; G \rightarrow \Pi$  induced from  $f^*$  and the monomorphism  $i; A \rightarrow \Lambda$ ,  $i(a) = i^*(a) + 1$  give an extension  $E_G$  over  $\Pi$ .

**Lemma 2** ([2]). *If  $E_\Lambda$  (resp.  $E_G$ ) is the extension constructed from  $E_G$  (resp.  $E_\Lambda$ ) as above, then  $E_\Lambda$  and  $E_G$  have the same characteristic class. Hence, the above constructions establish a 1-1 correspondence between the equivalence classes of extensions  $E_G$  over  $\Pi$  and those of extensions  $E_\Lambda$  over  $Z\Pi$ .*

**REMARK.** The cohomology group  $H^2(\Pi, A)$  is also expressed as  $\text{Ext}_{Z\Pi}^2(Z, A)$ . Then each extension  $E_G$  over  $\Pi$  may be related to a 2-fold extension of  $Z\Pi$ -modules. The homology sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_1(A, Z) \rightarrow I(G)/I(A)I(G) \rightarrow Z\Pi \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$$

in the proof of Lemma 1 is the corresponding 2-fold extension of  $Z\Pi$ -modules.

In the next section, we also use the following lemma. Given a diagram of extensions of groups:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & A' & \rightarrow & G' & \rightarrow & \Pi' \rightarrow 1 \\ & & \phi^* \downarrow \cong & & \psi \downarrow \cong & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & G & \rightarrow & \Pi \rightarrow 1, \end{array}$$

then  $\psi$  induces an isomorphism  $H(\psi); H^2(\Pi, A) \cong H^2(\Pi', A)$  where  $A$  is regarded as  $\Pi'$ -module by  $\psi$ . In addition, if  $\phi^*$  is a  $\Pi'$ -isomorphism, then  $\phi^*$  induces an isomorphism  $H(\phi^*); H^2(\Pi', A') \cong H^2(\Pi', A)$ .

**Lemma 3** (S. Lang [7]). *There exists an isomorphism  $\psi; G' \cong G$  which makes the above diagram commutable, if and only if  $\phi^*$  is a  $\Pi'$ -isomorphism and  $H(\phi^*)(\alpha') = H(\psi)(\alpha)$  for the characteristic classes  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  of the extensions  $G$  and  $G'$ , respectively.*

We shall remark that an analogous lemma also holds for extensions of supplemented algebras.

**2.** In this section, we consider finite groups  $G'$  and  $G$  with an isomorphism  $\phi; ZG' \cong ZG$  as algebras. With a generality, we can assume that  $\phi$  is commutative with the augmentations (see [8]). If  $A'$  is a normal subgroup of  $G'$  and  $f'$  is the natural epimorphism of  $ZG'$  onto  $Z(G'/A')$ , then a normal subgroup  $\Phi(A')$  of  $G$  is defined by setting

$$\Phi(A') = \{g \in G: f' \circ \phi^{-1}(g) = 1\}.$$

**Lemma 4** ([3], [9], and [8]). (a)  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism of the lattice of normal subgroups of  $G'$  onto that of  $G$ ,

(b)  $\phi$  induces an algebra isomorphism  $\bar{\phi}$  of  $Z(G'/A')$  onto  $Z(G/\Phi(A'))$  such that the induced diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ZG' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Z(G'/A') \\ \phi \downarrow \cong & & \bar{\phi} \downarrow \cong \\ ZG & \xrightarrow{f} & Z(G/\Phi(A')) \end{array}$$

is commutative, and

(c) if  $A'$  is abelian,  $\Phi(A')$  is isomorphic to  $A'$ , and if  $A'$  is central, then  $\phi$  restricted to  $A'$  gives itself an isomorphism of  $A'$  onto  $\Phi(A')$ .

For the proof of (a), (b), and the latter half of (c), see [3], [9], and of the first part of (c), see [8].

From now on, let  $A'$  be an abelian normal subgroup of  $G'$  and  $\Pi'$  be the quotient group  $G'/A'$ . Then, by the above lemma (c) there exists an abelian normal subgroup  $A$  of  $G$  which is isomorphic to  $A'$ . Set  $\Pi = G/A$  the quotient. From the lemma (b), we have an isomorphism  $\bar{\phi}; Z\Pi' \xrightarrow{\sim} Z\Pi$  and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow & I(A')ZG' & \xrightarrow{i'} & ZG' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Z\Pi' & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \phi \downarrow \cong & & \phi \downarrow \cong & & \bar{\phi} \downarrow \cong & \\ 0 \rightarrow & I(A)ZG & \xrightarrow{i} & ZG & \xrightarrow{f} & Z\Pi & \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

of algebras. Since  $\phi$  is commutative with the augmentations, we get  $\phi(I(G')) = I(G)$ , so that  $\phi(I(A')I(G')) = \phi(I(A')ZG' \cdot I(G')) = \phi(I(A')ZG') \cdot \phi(I(G')) = I(A)ZG \cdot I(G) = I(A)I(G)$ . Therefore, we can obtain an isomorphism of extensions of the supplemented algebras:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow & I(A')ZG'/I(A')I(G') & \xrightarrow{i'^*} & ZG'/I(A')I(G') & \xrightarrow{f'^*} & Z\Pi' & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \phi^* \downarrow \cong & & \phi^* \downarrow \cong & & \bar{\phi} \downarrow \cong & \\ 0 \rightarrow & I(A)ZG/I(A)I(G) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & ZG/I(A)I(G) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & Z\Pi & \rightarrow 0. \end{array} \quad (2.1)$$

We notice that if we identify  $A$  with  $I(A)ZG/I(A)I(G)$ , then the isomorphism  $\phi^*; A' \xrightarrow{\sim} A$  is nothing but the isomorphism  $A' \xrightarrow{\sim} \Phi(A') = A$  stated in the lemma (c) (see [8]).

If we regard  $A$  as a  $Z\Pi'$ -module (hence, as a  $\Pi'$ -module) by the algebra isomorphism  $\bar{\phi}$ , then  $\bar{\phi}$  induces an isomorphism  $H(\bar{\phi}); H^2(\Pi, A) = H^2(Z\Pi, A) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(Z\Pi', A) = H^2(\Pi', A)$ .

**Lemma 5.**  $\phi^*; A' \xrightarrow{\sim} A$  is a  $\Pi'$ -isomorphism and  $H(\phi^*)(\alpha') = H(\bar{\phi})(\alpha)$  for the characteristic classes  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  of the extensions  $G$  and  $G'$ , respectively.

*Proof.* By Lemma 2,  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  are also the characteristic classes of the corresponding algebra extensions  $ZG/I(A)I(G)$  and  $ZG'/I(A')I(G')$ , respectively. Hence, the lemma follows immediately from the commutativity of the diagram (2.1) and the remark after Lemma 3.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $G'$  and  $G$  be finite groups with an isomorphism  $\phi; ZG' \xrightarrow{\sim} ZG$ . If  $A'$  is an abelian normal subgroup of  $G'$ , then there exists an abelian normal subgroup  $A$  of  $G$  which is isomorphic to  $A'$ , and if  $H'|A'$  and  $H|A$  are the centers of the quotients  $G'/A'$  and  $G/A$ , respectively, then  $H'$  is isomorphic to  $H$ .

*Proof.* The first assertion has already been seen. Set  $\Pi = G/A$  (resp.  $\Pi' = G'/A'$ ) and  $\Pi_0 = H/A$  (resp.  $\Pi'_0 = H'/A'$ ). Then we have an isomorphism  $\bar{\phi}; Z\Pi' \xrightarrow{\sim} Z\Pi$  and the isomorphism (2.1) of extensions. By Lemma 4 (c),  $\bar{\phi}$

restricted to the center  $\Pi'_0$  gives rise to a group isomorphism  $\bar{\phi}_0$ ;  $\Pi'_0 \cong \Pi_0$ . Then we get a diagram of extensions:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & A' & \rightarrow & H' & \rightarrow & \Pi'_0 \rightarrow 1 \\ & & \phi^* \downarrow \cong & & \bar{\phi}_0 \downarrow \cong & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & H & \rightarrow & \Pi_0 \rightarrow 1. \end{array}$$

Moreover, the operation of  $\Pi'_0$  through  $\bar{\phi}$  on  $A$  coincides with that through  $\bar{\phi}_0$ , so that, under the latter operation,  $\phi^*$  is a  $\Pi'_0$ -isomorphism (Lemma 5).

Let  $\text{Res}; H^2(\Pi, A) \rightarrow H^2(\Pi_0, A)$  be the restriction map of cohomology groups. Then, we see easily that  $\text{Res} \circ H(\phi^*) = H(\phi^*) \circ \text{Res}$ , and  $\text{Res} \circ H(\bar{\phi}) = H(\bar{\phi}_0) \circ \text{Res}$  ( $\bar{\phi}_0$  is the  $\bar{\phi}$  restricted to  $\Pi'_0$ ). Let  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  be the characteristic classes of the extensions  $G$  and  $G'$ , respectively. Then, in Lemma 5, we have seen that  $H(\phi^*)(\alpha') = H(\bar{\phi})(\alpha)$ . This implies that  $H(\phi^*)(\text{Res}(\alpha')) = H(\bar{\phi}_0)(\text{Res}(\alpha))$ . Therefore, by Lemma 3, we get an isomorphism  $H' \cong H$ , since  $\text{Res}(\alpha')$  and  $\text{Res}(\alpha)$  are the characteristic classes of the extensions  $H'$  and  $H$ , respectively. This proves the theorem.

In particular, if  $A'$  is the center of  $G'$ , then  $H'$  is nothing but the second center of  $G'$ . On the other hand, if  $G'$  is metabelian and  $A'$  is the commutator of  $G'$ , then  $A'$  and the quotient  $G'/A'$  are both abelian. Hence, we obtain

**Corollary 1** ([9]). *If  $ZG' \cong ZG$ , then the second centers of  $G'$  and  $G$  are isomorphic.*

**Corollary 2** ([5]). *If  $ZG' \cong ZG$  and  $G'$  is metabelian, then  $G' \cong G$ .*

REMARK. In [9], Passman shows the more general result that if  $x$  and  $y$  are any elements of a finite group  $G$  which satisfy the commutator conditions:  $[[x, G], y] = [[y, G], x] = 1$  and  $[x, G] \cap [y, G]$  is contained in the hyper center of  $G$ , then the commutator  $[x, y]$  is determined by the group ring  $ZG$ . His proof is based on the following property of augmentation ideals: if  $A, B, C$  are three normal subgroups of  $G$  such that  $A \subseteq B \subseteq C$  and  $B$  is contained in the hyper center of  $G$ , then  $I(A)ZG \cap I(B)I(C)ZG \subseteq I(A)I(C)ZG$ . But this is not necessarily true. In fact, if we set, especially,  $B = C = G$ , then this inclusion of augmentation ideals implies that the natural map  $I(A)ZG/I(A)I(G) \rightarrow I(G)/I(G)^2$  is monomorphic, which means that the natural map  $A/[A, A] \rightarrow G/[G, G]$  is also monomorphic (apply our arguments in the proof of Lemma 1 to the case where  $A$  is not abelian). But this is not necessarily true even if  $G$  is nilpotent.

Again, we consider finite groups  $G'$  and  $G$  with an isomorphism  $ZG' \cong ZG$  and an abelian normal subgroup  $A'$  of  $G'$ . Then there is an abelian normal subgroup  $A$  of  $G$  and we have the commutative diagram (2.1). The following proposition is an immediate consequence from Lemma 3 and Lemma 5.

**Proposition 6.**  *$G'$  is isomorphic to  $G$ , if there exists an isomorphism  $\psi$ ;  $\Pi' \simeq \Pi$  such that the operation of  $\Pi'$  through  $\psi$  on  $A$  coincides with the operation through  $\bar{\phi}$  and  $H(\psi)(\alpha) = H(\bar{\phi})(\alpha)$  for the characteristic class  $\alpha$  of  $G$ .*

Owing to G. Higman ([4]), it is known that if  $\Pi$  is the direct product of the quaternion group of order 8 and an elementary abelian 2-group, then any unit of  $Z\Pi$  is a trivial unit  $\pm\sigma$  ( $\sigma \in \Pi$ ). Thus, if  $\Pi$  is such a group, any isomorphism  $\bar{\phi}$ ;  $Z\Pi' \simeq Z\Pi$  gives an group isomorphism  $\psi$ ;  $\Pi' \simeq \Pi$ , that is,  $\psi = \bar{\phi}$  restricted to  $\Pi'$ . Therefore we get

**Theorem 2.** *If  $G'$  is a finite group with an abelian normal subgroup such that the quotient is isomorphic to the direct product of the quaternion group of order 8 and an elementary abelian 2-group, then  $ZG' \simeq ZG$  implies  $G' \simeq G$ .*

3. Let  $D_4$  be the dihedral group of order 8. In this section, we shall determine the automorphisms of  $ZD_4$ . Any automorphism of  $ZD_4$  is given as the composition of a group automorphism and an algebra automorphism defined by a solution of certain simultaneous equations. By using a property of the solutions, we shall show

**Theorem 3.** *If  $G'$  is a 2-group with an elementary abelian group as a normal subgroup and with the dihedral group of order 8 as the quotient, then  $ZG' \simeq ZG$  implies  $G' \simeq G$ .*

Let  $a$  and  $b$  be generators of  $D_4$  with relations:  $a^4 = b^2 = 1$ ,  $ab = ba^3$ , and let  $A$  be the center of  $D_4$ . Then  $A$  is a cyclic group of order 2 and is generated by the element  $a^2$ .

Now, we consider two elements  $\tilde{a}$  and  $\tilde{b}$  of  $ZD_4$  of the forms:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{a} &= a + r_a(1-a^2)a + r_b(1-a^2)b + r_{ab}(1-a^2)ab \quad \text{and} \\ \tilde{b} &= b + s_a(1-a^2)a + s_b(1-a^2)b + s_{ab}(1-a^2)ab,\end{aligned}$$

respectively, where  $r: r_a, r_b, r_{ab}, s: s_a, s_b, s_{ab}$  are all integers. By simple calculations, we get the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{a}^2 &= a^2 + 2(r_b^2 + r_{ab}^2 - r_a^2 - r_a)(1-a^2), \\ \tilde{b}^2 &= 1 + 2(s_b^2 + s_b - s_a^2 + s_{ab}^2)(1-a^2) \quad \text{and} \\ \tilde{a}\tilde{b} - \tilde{b}\tilde{a} \cdot a^2 &= 2(2r_a s_a - 2r_b s_b - 2r_{ab} s_{ab} - r_b + s_a)(1-a^2).\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

If  $\{r, s\}$  is a solution of the simultaneous equations:

$$\begin{aligned}r_a(r_a + 1) &= r_b^2 + r_{ab}^2 \quad \dots\dots\dots(1) \\ s_b(s_b + 1) &= s_a^2 - s_{ab}^2 \quad \dots\dots\dots(2) \\ 2(r_a s_a - r_b s_b - r_{ab} s_{ab}) &= r_b - s_a \quad \dots\dots\dots(3),\end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$

then  $\tilde{a}$  and  $\tilde{b}$  satisfy the relations:

$$\tilde{a}^2 = a^2, \quad \tilde{b}^2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{a}\tilde{b} = \tilde{b}\tilde{a} \cdot a^2 = \tilde{b}\tilde{a}^3.$$

These mean that  $\tilde{a}$  and  $\tilde{b}$  are units of  $ZD_4$ , and generate a group  $\tilde{D}$  isomorphic to  $D_4$ . Since the submodule  $Z\tilde{D}$  generated by  $\tilde{D}$  over  $Z$  coincides with the group ring  $ZD_4$  (see [3], Theorem 3.2), then the map:  $a \rightarrow \tilde{a}$ ,  $b \rightarrow \tilde{b}$  can be extended to an automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $ZD_4$ . In particular, if  $\{r, s\}$  is a solution consisting of even integers, this automorphism  $\varphi$  verifies the congruence:

$$\varphi(x) \equiv x \pmod{I(A)I(D_4)}, \quad \text{for any } x \in D_4, \quad (3.3)$$

since  $I(A)$  is generated by  $1 - a^2$ , so that  $2I(A)ZD_4 = I(A)^2ZD_4 \subseteq I(A)I(D_4)$ .

**Lemma 7.** *Any algebra automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $ZD_4$  which satisfies the congruence (3.3) is given by  $\varphi_{r,s}$  for a solution  $\{r, s\}$  consisting of even integers of the equations (3.2), where  $\varphi_{r,s}$  denotes the automorphism defined as above by the solution  $\{r, s\}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\varphi$  be any automorphism satisfying the congruence (3.3). Then  $\varphi(a)$  is written as  $\varphi(a) = a + r_1(1 - a^2) + r_a(1 - a^2)a + r_b(1 - a^2)b + r_{ab}(1 - a^2)ab$  ( $r_1, r_a, r_b, r_{ab} \in Z$ ), because  $\varphi(a) - a \in I(A)I(D_4) \subseteq I(A)ZD_4$ . However, we see that  $r_1 = 0$ . Otherwise, the coefficient of the identity in  $\varphi(a)$  is not zero. But  $\varphi(a)$  is a unit of finite order, then  $\varphi(a)$  must be equal to 1 (see [3], Theorem 3.1), which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\varphi(a)$  is written as  $\varphi(a) = a + r_a(1 - a^2)a + r_b(1 - a^2)b + r_{ab}(1 - a^2)ab$ , and similarly  $\varphi(b) = b + s_a(1 - a^2)a + s_b(1 - a^2)b + s_{ab}(1 - a^2)ab$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 4 (c),  $\varphi$  restricted to the center  $A$  gives rise to an automorphism of  $A$ , which is the identity since  $A$  is of order 2. Thus,  $\varphi(a^2) = a^2$ , so that we have the equalities:  $\varphi(a)^2 = a^2$ ,  $\varphi(b)^2 = 1$  and  $\varphi(a) \cdot \varphi(b) = \varphi(b) \cdot \varphi(a)^3 = \varphi(b) \cdot \varphi(a) \cdot a^2$ . Let  $\varphi(a) = \tilde{a}$  and  $\varphi(b) = \tilde{b}$ . Then, from the equalities (3.1), the integers  $r: r_a, r_b, r_{ab}$ ,  $s: s_a, s_b, s_{ab}$  must satisfy the equations (3.2), and the automorphism  $\varphi_{r,s}$  defined by this solution  $\{r, s\}$  clearly coincides with the given automorphism  $\varphi$ . Therefore, the proof is finished once we show that the solution  $\{r, s\}$  consists of even integers. Since  $\varphi(a) - a \in I(A)I(D_4)$ , then  $(r_a + r_b + r_{ab})(1 - a^2) \in I(A)I(D_4)$ , which shows that  $(r_a + r_b + r_{ab})(1 - a^2) \in I(A)^2 = 2I(A)$  (recall the isomorphism  $I(A)/I(A)^2 \cong I(A)ZD_4/I(A)I(D_4)$  in Lemma 1). Then  $r_a + r_b + r_{ab}$  is even, and similarly  $s_a + s_b + s_{ab}$  is also even. On the other hand, in the equations (3.2),  $r_b$  and  $r_{ab}$  must be both even or odd, because the left hand side of the equality (1) is always even. Then  $r_a$  must be even. Now we shall assume that  $r_b$  and  $r_{ab}$  are both odd. Then  $r_a$  is not divisible by 4, so that  $r_a$  is written as  $4n - 2$ . Therefore, we have

$$(4n - 2)(4n - 1) = r_b^2 + r_{ab}^2.$$

Since the right hand side of this equality is a norm of an integer in the quadratic



field  $Q(\sqrt{-1})$ , no primes which are congruent to 3 mod 4 divide  $(4n-2)(4n-1)$  with square free. But  $4n-2$  and  $4n-1$  have no prime divisors in common, then any prime congruent to 3 mod 4 can not also occur in  $4n-1$  with square free. Therefore we have the congruence  $3 \equiv 3^{2^k} \pmod{4}$ . This is a contradiction. Then,  $r_a, r_b$  and  $r_{ab}$  are all even, so that by the equalities (3) and (2) in the equations (3.2)  $s_a$  and  $s_{ab}$  are both even. Thus,  $s_b$  is also even.

For an example,  $\varphi(a) = a + 4(1-a^2)a + 2(1-a^2)b + 4(1-a^2)ab$ ,  $\varphi(b) = b + 2(1-a^2)a + 2(1-a^2)ab$  define an automorphism of  $ZD_4$ , but we can show that this automorphism is not an inner automorphism.

To prove Theorem 3, we need one more lemma, which restates Corollary 2 of Theorem 1, slight accurately.

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $G'$  be a metabelian group of finite order, and let  $A'$  be an abelian normal subgroup of  $G'$  such that the quotient  $G'/A'$  is abelian. If  $\phi; ZG' \xrightarrow{\sim} ZG$  is an isomorphism, then there exists an isomorphism  $\phi^*$  of  $A'$  onto a some abelian normal subgroup  $A$  of  $G$ . Furthermore, this isomorphism can be extended to an isomorphism  $\Psi$  of  $G'$  onto  $G$  such that  $\phi(g') \equiv \Psi(g') \pmod{I(A)I(G)}$  for any  $g' \in G'$ .*

*Proof.* As in the proof of Theorem 1, let  $A$  be the normal subgroup of  $G$  which makes the diagram (2.1) commutable. Then the isomorphism  $\phi^*; A' \xrightarrow{\sim} A$  (in the diagram) can be extended to an isomorphism  $\Psi; G' \xrightarrow{\sim} G$ . Therefore, it suffices to show that the isomorphism  $\Psi$  verifies the congruence required in the lemma. To see that, we shall concretely describe the isomorphism  $\Psi$ . Let

$$G' = \bigcup_{\sigma' \in \Pi'} A' \cdot g_{\sigma'} \quad (g_1 = 1), \quad G = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Pi} A \cdot g_{\sigma} \quad (g_1 = 1)$$

be the coset decompositions of  $G'$  and  $G$ , respectively, and set

$$\alpha'(\sigma', \tau') = g_{\sigma'} g_{\tau'} g_{\sigma'\tau'}^{-1}, \quad \sigma', \tau' \in \Pi', \quad \alpha(\sigma, \tau) = g_{\sigma} g_{\tau} g_{\sigma\tau}^{-1}, \quad \sigma, \tau \in \Pi.$$

Then,  $\alpha'(\cdot, \cdot)$  (resp.  $\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)$ ) is a normalized 2-cocycle representing the characteristic class  $\alpha'$  (resp.  $\alpha$ ) of the extension  $G'$  (resp.  $G$ ). Since  $\Pi'$  is abelian,  $\bar{\phi}$  restricted to  $\Pi'$  gives an isomorphism  $\bar{\phi}_0; \Pi' \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi$ . Hence, by the commutativity of the diagram (2.1) we see that  $f^*((\phi(g_{\sigma'}) - g_{\bar{\phi}_0(\sigma')}) \pmod{I(A)I(G)} = 0$  for any  $\sigma' \in \Pi'$ . Therefore, for each  $\sigma'$  there exists uniquely an element  $a(\sigma')$  of  $A$  such that

$$\phi(g_{\sigma'}) \equiv a(\sigma') g_{\bar{\phi}_0(\sigma')} \pmod{I(A)I(G)}, \quad (3.4)$$

and we see easily that

$$\phi^*(\alpha'(\sigma', \tau')) = a(\sigma') a(\tau')^{-1} \alpha(\bar{\phi}_0(\sigma'), \bar{\phi}_0(\tau'))$$

(this equality means  $H(\phi^*)(\alpha') = H(\bar{\phi}_0)(\alpha)$ ). Then the mapping

$$\Psi; a' g_{\sigma'} \rightarrow \phi^*(a') a(\sigma') g_{\bar{\phi}_0(\sigma')} \quad (3.5)$$

gives rise to an isomorphism  $\Psi; G' \xrightarrow{\sim} G$ , which is clearly an extension of  $\phi^*$ . Furthermore, by the congruence (3.4) and the definition (3.5) of  $\Psi$ , we verify the congruence  $\phi(g') \equiv \Psi(g') \pmod{I(A)I(G)}$  for any  $g' \in G'$ . This proves the lemma.

**Proof of Theorem 3.** Let  $G'$  be the group stated in the theorem and let  $A'$  be the abelian normal subgroup of exponent 2 such that the quotient  $\Pi' = G'/A'$  is the dihedral group of order 8. If  $\phi$  is an isomorphism of  $ZG'$  onto  $ZG$ , then there is a normal subgroup  $A$  of  $G$  which is isomorphic to  $A'$  and  $\phi$  induces an isomorphism  $\bar{\phi}; Z\Pi' \xrightarrow{\sim} Z\Pi$  of group rings of the quotients.

Let  $\Pi'_0$  be the center of  $\Pi'$ , and apply Lemma 8 to the isomorphism  $\bar{\phi}; Z\Pi' \xrightarrow{\sim} Z\Pi$  ( $\Pi'$  is metabelian). Then there exists an isomorphism  $\Psi; \Pi' \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi$  such that  $\bar{\phi}(\sigma') \equiv \Psi(\sigma') \pmod{I(\Pi'_0)I(\Pi)}$ ,  $\sigma' \in \Pi'$ , so that we get an automorphism  $\Psi^{-1}\bar{\phi}$  such that  $\Psi^{-1}\bar{\phi}(\sigma') \equiv \sigma' \pmod{I(\Pi'_0)I(\Pi')}$ . Thus, by Lemma 7 each  $\bar{\phi}(\sigma')$  is written as  $\Psi(\sigma') + 2S$  by some  $S$  of  $I(\Pi'_0)Z\Pi$ . This means that the operation of  $\Pi'$  by  $\Psi$  on  $A$  coincides with that by  $\bar{\phi}$ , and  $\Psi$  also coincides with  $\bar{\phi}$  on the cohomology group  $H^2(\Pi', A)$ , because  $A$  has exponent 2, so that  $H^2(\Pi', A)$  has also exponent 2. Consequently, by Proposition 6 we obtain an isomorphism of  $G'$  onto  $G$ , which proves the theorem.

**Theorem 4.** *Every automorphism of the group ring  $ZD_4$  is given as the composition  $\varphi_{r,s} \circ \Psi$  of an automorphism  $\Psi$  of  $D_4$  and the automorphism  $\varphi_{r,s}$  of  $ZD_4$  defined by a solution  $\{r, s\}$  consisting of even integers of the simultaneous equations (3.2).*

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be the center of  $D_4$ , and apply Lemma 8 to any automorphism  $\phi; ZD_4 \xrightarrow{\sim} ZD_4$ . Then there exists an automorphism  $\Psi$  of  $D_4$  such that  $\phi(x) \equiv \Psi(x) \pmod{I(A)I(D_4)}$ ,  $x \in D_4$ . Then the theorem is immediate from Lemma 7.

**REMARK.** In this proof of the theorem, automorphisms are assumed implicitly to be commutative with the augmentation (recall our assumption at the beginning of section 2). If  $\phi$  is not commutative with the augmentation  $\varepsilon; ZD_4 \rightarrow Z$ , then we get a non-trivial map  $\phi_\varepsilon; x \rightarrow \varepsilon(\phi(x)) \cdot x$  ( $x \in D_4$ ), which is clearly extended to an automorphism of  $ZD_4$ , and  $\phi \circ \phi_\varepsilon^{-1}$  is commutative with the augmentation  $\varepsilon$ . Therefore it suffices to determine the automorphisms  $\phi_\varepsilon$ . But this is easy, because each  $\varepsilon(\phi(x))$  is a unit of  $Z$ , so that  $\varepsilon(\phi(x)) = \pm 1$ . Indeed, such automorphisms are given by the mappings:  $a \rightarrow \pm a$ ,  $b \rightarrow \pm b$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  denote generators of  $D_4$  with  $a^4 = b^2 = 1$ ,  $ab = ba^3$ .

**4.** T. Y. Lam ([6]) showed that the Whitehead group  $\text{Wh}(S_3)$  of the symmetric group  $S_3$  is trivial. His proof consists of following two parts: the reduced norm of  $\text{Wh}(S_3)$  is equal to  $(1, 1, 1)$ , and  $\text{SK}^1(ZS_3)$  is trivial. But his

computation of the reduced norm is complicated, so that it seems impossible to apply his method to other cases. In this section, we shall give a simpler technique to compute the reduced norm of  $\text{Wh}(S_3)$ , and apply the technique to the  $\text{Wh}(D_4)$  of the dihedral group  $D_4$  of order 8. For notations used here, see ([6]) or (H. Bass [1]).

Let  $G$  be a finite group with a normal subgroup  $A$  and let the Whitehead group  $\text{Wh}(G/A)$  of the quotient  $G/A$  be trivial. Since  $ZG$  has 'stable range 2', we may regard  $K^1(ZG)$  as to be generated by 2 by 2 invertible matrices over  $ZG$ . Let

$$K^1(ZG) \rightarrow K^1(Z(G/A))$$

be the homomorphism of  $K^1$ -groups which is induced from the natural epimorphism  $ZG \rightarrow ZG/I(A)ZG \cong Z(G/A)$ . Then, by the assumption that  $\text{Wh}(G/A) = K^1(Z(G/A))/\pm(G/A)$  is trivial  $K^1(ZG)$  is generated by  $\pm G$  and invertible matrices  $X$  such that

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1+\alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & 1+\delta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in I(A)ZG.$$

Consider elementary matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ (-1)^{i-1}\gamma\alpha^{i-2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & (-1)^{i-1}\alpha^{i-2}\beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , then we see easily that

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ (-1)^{n-1}\gamma\alpha^{n-2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\gamma & 1 \end{pmatrix} X \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 1 & (-1)^{n-1}\alpha^{n-2}\beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1+\alpha & (-\alpha)^{n-1}\beta \\ \gamma(-\alpha)^{n-1} & 1+\delta + \sum_{i=2}^n \gamma\alpha^{2(i-2)}(\alpha-1)\beta \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, for any positive integer  $n$ , any element of  $K^1(ZG)$  may be regarded as to be represented by an element of  $\pm G$  and an invertible matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1+\alpha & \beta_n \\ \gamma_n & 1+\delta \end{pmatrix}$  such that  $\alpha, \delta \in I(A)ZG, \beta_n, \gamma_n \in I(A)^n ZG$ .

Next, we consider the natural epimorphism  $f; ZG \rightarrow ZG/I(A)I(G)$  and the induced homomorphism  $f^*; K^1(ZG) \rightarrow K^1(ZG/I(A)I(G))$ . Since  $\beta_n, \gamma_n \in I(A)I(G)$  for any positive integer  $n \geq 2$ , we get

$$f^* \begin{pmatrix} 1+\alpha & \beta_n \\ \gamma_n & 1+\delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1+f(\alpha) & 0 \\ 0 & 1+f(\delta) \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other hand, the map:  $a \bmod [A, A] \rightarrow a-1 \bmod I(A)I(G)$  gives rise to an isomorphism  $A/[A, A] \simeq I(A)ZG/I(A)I(G)$  (in the case where  $A$  is abelian, this isomorphism has been seen in Lemma 1). Thus, there

exist elements  $a$  and  $d$  of  $A$  such that  $f(\alpha) = a - 1 \pmod{I(A)I(G)}$  and  $f(\delta) = d - 1 \pmod{I(A)I(G)}$ , respectively, so that  $f^*\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1+\alpha & \beta_n \\ \gamma_n & 1+\delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & d^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Therefore, we have obtained the following proposition

**Proposition 9.** *If  $G$  is a finite group with a normal subgroup  $A$  and if the Whitehead group  $\text{Wh}(G/A)$  is trivial, then, for any positive integer  $n \geq 2$ , any element of  $\text{Wh}(G)$  is represented by an invertible matrix  $X$  such that*

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1+\alpha & \beta_n \\ \gamma_n & 1+\delta \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } \alpha, \delta \in I(A)I(G), \beta_n, \gamma_n \in I(A)^n ZG.$$

Using this proposition, we shall compute the reduced norm of  $\text{Wh}(S_3)$ . Let  $G$  be the symmetric group  $S_3$  and set  $a = (1\ 2\ 3)$  and  $b = (1\ 2)$ . Then,  $G$  is generated by  $a$  and  $b$ . If  $A$  is the subgroup generated by  $a$ , then the quotient  $G/A$  is of order 2, so that  $\text{Wh}(G/A)$  is trivial ([1], [4]). Hence, we can apply the proposition to this case, and to determine the reduced norm of  $\text{Wh}(G)$ , it suffices to compute the reduced norms of invertible matrices  $X = \begin{pmatrix} 1+\alpha & \beta_2 \\ \gamma_2 & 1+\delta \end{pmatrix}$  such that  $\alpha, \delta \in I(A)I(G)$ ,  $\beta_2, \gamma_2 \in I(A)^2 ZG$ .

Since  $A$  is the commutator of  $G$ , any element of  $I(A)ZG$  is represented to 0 by any representation of degree 1, hence each component of degree 1 of the reduced norm of  $X$  is equal to 1. The irreducible representation of  $G$  of degree 2 is given by

$$\rho(a) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is known that the reduced norm of  $\text{Wh}(S_3)$  of the symmetric group  $S_3$  is of the form  $(\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1)$  ([1]), therefore it is harmless to carry out mod 3 the computation of the component  $\det(\rho(X))$  of degree 2 of the reduced norm of  $X$ . Since  $A$  is a cyclic group generated by the element  $a$ , we see easily that  $I(A)^2 ZG = (a-1)^2 ZG$ . But  $\rho$  represents the element  $(a-1)^2$  to the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ -3 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $\det(\rho(X)) \equiv \det \begin{pmatrix} 1+\rho(\alpha) & 0 \\ 0 & 1+\rho(\delta) \end{pmatrix} \pmod{3}$ .

On the other hand, we can easily see that any element of  $I(A)I(G)/I(A)^2 ZG$  is written as  $(x-1)(b-1) \pmod{I(A)^2 ZG}$  for some element  $x$  of  $A$ . Therefore, it suffices to compute

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1+\rho((x-1)(b-1)) & 0 \\ 0 & 1+\rho((x'-1)(b-1)) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Indeed,

$$\det(1+\rho((a-1)(b-1))) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\det(1 + \rho((a^2 - 1)(b - 1))) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \equiv 1 \pmod{3}.$$

Consequently,  $\det(\rho(X)) = 1$ , and the reduced norm of  $\text{Wh}(S_3)$  is equal to  $(1, 1, 1)$ .

Finally, we compute the reduced norm of  $\text{Wh}(D_4)$ . Let  $G$  be the dihedral group  $D_4$  of order 8, and let  $a$  and  $b$  be generators of  $G$  with relations:  $a^4 = b^2 = 1$ ,  $ab = ba^3$ . Set  $A = \{1, a^2\}$ , then the quotient  $G/A$  is an abelian group of type  $(2, 2)$ , so that  $\text{Wh}(G/A)$  is trivial ([1], [4]). Hence, we can also apply Proposition 9, and it suffices to compute the reduced norms of invertible matrices  $X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \alpha & \beta_3 \\ \gamma_3 & 1 + \delta \end{pmatrix}$  such that  $\alpha, \delta \in I(A)I(G)$ ,  $\beta_3, \gamma_3 \in I(A)^3 ZG$ .

Since  $A$  is the commutator of  $G$ , each component of degree 1 of the reduced norm of  $X$  is equal to 1. The irreducible representation of degree 2 is given by

$$\rho(a) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

To begin with, we try to compute the component of degree 2 mod 4, that is,  $\det(\rho(X)) \pmod{4}$ .  $A$  is generated by the element  $a^2$ , then we see that  $I(A)^3 ZG = 4I(A)ZG$ . Therefore, it suffices to compute

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \rho(\alpha) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + \rho(\delta) \end{pmatrix} \pmod{4}.$$

Set  $\alpha = r_1(1 - a^2) + r_a(1 - a^2)a + r_b(1 - a^2)b + r_{ab}(1 - a^2)ab$ . Then, we see easily that

$$\det(1 + \rho(\alpha)) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 2(r_1 - r_{ab}) & 2(-r_a + r_b) \\ 2(r_a + r_b) & 1 + 2(r_1 + r_{ab}) \end{pmatrix},$$

and this is congruent to 1 mod 4. Thus,  $\det(\rho(X))$  is also congruent to 1 mod 4, so that  $\det(\rho(X))$  can not be equal to  $-1$ . But it is known that the reduced norm of  $\text{Wh}(D_4)$  is of the form  $(\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1)$  ([1]), hence  $\det(\rho(X)) = 1$ . Consequently, we have shown

**Theorem 5.** *The reduced norm of the Whitehead group  $\text{Wh}(D_4)$  of the dihedral group  $D_4$  of order 8 is equal to  $(1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ , so that  $\text{Wh}(D_4)$  is isomorphic to the special Whitehead group  $\text{SK}^1(ZD_4)$ .*

REMARK. Apply the Witt-Berman and Swan-Lam's induction theorem ([1], [6]) to the Whitehead group  $\text{Wh}(S_4)$  of the symmetric group  $S_4$ , then, from Lam's result on  $S_3$  and the above theorem, we can see that  $\text{Wh}(S_4)$  is isomorphic to the special Whitehead group  $\text{SK}^1(ZS_4)$ .

---

**References**

- [1] H. Bass: Algebraic K-theory, Benjamin, Inc., 1968.
- [2] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg: Homological Algebra, Princeton Univ. Press, 1956.
- [3] J.A. Cohn and D. Livingstone: *On the structure of group algebras I*, Canad. J. Math. **17** (1965), 583–593.
- [4] G. Higman: *The units of group rings*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **46** (1940), 231–248.
- [5] D.A. Jackson: *The groups of units of the integral group rings of finite metabelian and finite nilpotent groups*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford, **20** (1969), 319–331.
- [6] T.Y. Lam: *Induction theorems for Grothendieck groups and Whitehead groups of finite groups*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Normale Sup. (4) **1** (1968), 91–148.
- [7] S. Lang: Rapport sur la Cohomologie des Groupes, Benjamin, Inc., 1966.
- [8] T. Obayashi: *Solvable groups with isomorphic group algebras*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **18** (1966), 394–397.
- [9] D.S. Passman: *Isomorphic groups and group rings*, Pacific J. Math. **15** (1965), 561–583.