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Introduction. The aim of this paper is to prove two vanishing theorems for cohomology groups related to discrete uniform subgroups of semisimple Lie groups.

Let $\rho$ be a representation of a real linear semisimple Lie group $G$ and $\Gamma$ a discrete subgroup of $G$ such that $\Gamma \backslash G$ is compact. Assume that $\Gamma$ contains no elements of finite order. In §1 we give a criterion in terms of the highest weight of $\rho$ for the vanishing of $H^{p}(\Gamma, \rho)$, the $p^{t h}$ cohomology group of $\Gamma$ with coefficient in $\rho$. This criterion is a generalisation of a theorem of Matsushima and Murakami [3].

In §2 we prove the following theorem (Corollary to Theorem 3). Let $G$ be a complex semisimple Lie group without any simple component of rank 1. Then for any discrete subgroup $\Gamma$ such that $\Gamma \backslash G$ is compact, the canonical complex structure on the space $\Gamma \backslash G$ is rigid. (This question whether these complex structures are rigid was raised by Professor Matsushima).

## 1. A vanishing theorem for the cohomology of discrete uniform subgroups

Let $G$ be a connected real linear semisimple Lie group and $\Gamma$ a discrete subgroup such that the quotient $\Gamma \backslash G$ is compact. Let $g_{0}$ be the Lie algebra of left-invariant vector-fields of $G$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{0}=\mathfrak{f}_{0} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{0}$ a Cartan-decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$, $\mathfrak{f}_{0}$ being the algebra. Let $K$ be the (compact) Lie subgroup corresponding to $\mathfrak{f}_{0}$ and $X=G / K$ the corresponding symmetric space. To every representation of $G$ in a finite dimensional real (or complex) vector space $F$, Matsushima and Murakami [2] have associated certain cohomology groups: we follow their notation and denote these groups by $H^{p}(\Gamma, X, \rho)$. (In the case when $\Gamma$ has no elements of finite order $\Gamma$ acts freely on $X$ and $H^{p}(\Gamma, X, \rho)$ is isomorphic to the $p^{\text {th }}$ cohomology group of $\Gamma$ with coefficients in the restriction $\rho_{\Gamma}$ of $\rho$ to $\Gamma$ ). In the same article, they prove moreover the following result (see in particular §6, §7). (Proposition 1 below).

The vectorfields in $g_{0}$ project under the natural map $G \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash G$ into vectorfields on $\Gamma \backslash G$. We will from now on identify $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$ with this algebra of vectorfields on $\Gamma \backslash G$. Let $\varphi$ be the Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$ and $\left\{X_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ and $\left\{X_{w}\right\}_{N+1 \leq \alpha \leq n}$ be bases of $\mathfrak{p}_{0}$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{0}$ such that $\varphi\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$ and $\varphi\left(X_{a}, X_{\beta}\right)=-\delta_{a \beta}$. Let $A_{0}(\Gamma, X, \rho)$ be the vector space of $C^{\infty}-p$-forms $\eta$ on $\Gamma \backslash G$ satisfying i) $i_{X} \eta=0$ and ii) $\theta_{X} \eta=\rho(X) \eta$ for every $X \in \mathfrak{F}_{0}$ where $i_{X}$ (resp $\theta_{X}$ ) denotes interior derivation (resp. Lie derivation) of $\eta$ with respect to the vectorfield $X$. Because of i) and ii) $\eta$ is determined by its values $i_{1} \cdots i_{p}=\eta\left(X_{i_{1}} \cdots X_{i_{p}}\right)$. Finally, let $\Delta^{p}$ be the operator

$$
\Delta^{p}: A_{0}^{p}(\Gamma, X, \rho) \rightarrow A_{0}^{p}(\Gamma, X, \rho)
$$

defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta^{p} \eta\left(X_{i_{1}} \cdots X_{i_{p}}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left(-X_{k}^{2}+\rho\left(X_{k}\right)^{2}\right) \eta_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p}} \\
& \quad+\sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{u=1}^{p}(-1)^{u-1}\left\{\left(-\left[X_{i_{u}}, X_{k}\right]+\rho\left(\left[X_{i_{u}}, X_{k}\right]\right)\right)\right\} \eta_{k i_{1} \cdots \hat{i}_{u} \cdots i_{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

With this notation, we have
Proposition 1. $H^{p}(\Gamma, X, \rho)$ is canonically isomorphic to the vector space $\left\{\eta \mid \eta \in A_{0}^{p}(\Gamma, X, \rho) ; \Delta^{p} \eta=0\right\}$.

Again, following [2], we define two operators $\Delta_{D}^{p}$ and $\Delta_{\rho}^{p}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{D}^{\eta} \eta\left(X_{i_{1}} \cdots X_{i_{p}}\right)=-\sum_{k=1}^{N} X_{k}^{2} \eta_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p}}+\sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{u=1}^{p}(-1)^{u}\left[X_{i_{u}}, X_{k}\right] \eta_{k i_{1} \cdots i_{u} \cdots i_{p}} \\
& \Delta_{\rho}^{p}\left(X_{i_{1}} \cdots X_{i_{p}}\right)=+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \rho\left(X_{k}\right)^{2} \eta_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p}}-\sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{u=1}^{p}(-1)^{u} \rho\left(\left[X_{i_{u}}, X_{k}\right]\right) \eta_{k k i_{1} \cdots i_{u} \cdots i_{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\Delta^{p}=\Delta_{D}^{p}+\Delta_{\rho}^{p}$. In §7 [2], it is moreover proved that

$$
\sum_{i_{1}<\cdots<i_{p}} \int_{\Gamma / G}\left\langle\left(\Delta_{D}^{\eta} \eta\right)_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p}}, \eta_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p}}\right\rangle_{F} \geq 0
$$

where $\langle,\rangle_{F}$ is a positive definite scalar product on $F$ for which $\rho(X)$ is (hermitian) symmetric (resp. skew-symmetric (hermitian)) for $X \in \mathfrak{p}_{0}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{f}_{0}$ ). It follows therefore that if $\Delta^{p} \eta=0$,

$$
\sum_{i_{1}<\cdots<i_{p}} \int_{\Gamma / G}\left\langle\left(\Delta_{\rho}^{p} \eta\right)_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p}}, \eta_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p}}\right\rangle_{F} \geq 0
$$

We obtain therefore
Proposition 2. If the quadratic form on the space of exterior p-forms on $\mathfrak{p}_{0}$ with values in $F$ defined by

$$
\eta \rightarrow \sum_{i_{1}<\cdots<i_{p}}\left\langle\left(\Delta_{\rho}^{p} \eta\right)_{i_{1} \cdots 1 p}, \eta_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p}}\right\rangle_{F}
$$

is positive definite, then $H^{p}(\Gamma, X, \rho)=0$.

In the main result of this section we give a sufficient criterion in terms of the "highest weight" of $\rho$ with respect to a suitable Cartan-subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$ in order that $\Delta_{\rho}^{p}$ define a positive definite quadratic form.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ denote the complexification of $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$ and $\mathfrak{f}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ those of $\mathfrak{f}_{0}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{0}$. We identify $\mathfrak{f}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ with subspaces of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{f}_{0}}$ be a Cartan-subalgebra of $\mathfrak{f}_{0}$ and $\mathfrak{H}_{0}$ a Cartan-subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$ such that $\mathfrak{g}_{0} \supset \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{f}_{0}}$. Let $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}_{0}}=\mathfrak{h}_{0} \cap \mathfrak{p}_{0}$. Let $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{f}} \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denote respectively the complexifications of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{g}_{0}} \mathfrak{g}_{0}$ amd $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}_{0}}$. Then $\mathfrak{h}$ is a Cartansubalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\Delta$ be the system of roots of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}$. For $\alpha \in \Delta$ let $H_{a} \in \mathfrak{h}$ be the unique element such that $\varphi\left(H_{a}, H\right)=\alpha(H)$ for all $H \in \mathfrak{h}$. Then, it is well known that the real subspace $\mathfrak{b}^{*}=\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} R H_{\infty}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ spanned by the $\left\{H_{a}\right\}_{\infty \in \Delta}$ is the same as $i \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{f}_{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{0}$. Moreover if $\theta$ is the extension to $g$ to the Cartan involution $\theta_{0}$ denfied by the Cartan-decomposition $\mathfrak{g}_{0}=\mathfrak{f}_{0} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{0}$, then $\theta$ is an automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ leaving $\mathfrak{g}$ invariant. Hence $\theta$ acts on the dual of $\mathfrak{h}$ and permutes the elements of $\Delta$. The set $\Delta$ may then be decomposed as the disjoint union $A \cup B \cup C$ of three subsets $A, B$ and $C$
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left\{\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta ; \theta(\alpha)=\alpha ; \theta\left(E_{a}\right)=E_{a}\right\} \\
& B=\{\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta ; \theta(\alpha) \neq \alpha\} \\
& C=\left\{\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta ; \theta(\alpha)=\alpha ; \theta\left(E_{a}\right)=-E_{\alpha}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

(In the sequel we sometimes write $\alpha^{\theta}$ for $\theta(\alpha)$ ).
We introduce next a lexicographic order on the (real) dual of $\mathfrak{G}^{*}$ as follows: let $H_{1}, \cdots, H_{l}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{h}^{*}$ with respect to $\varphi\left(\left.\varphi\right|_{\mathfrak{b}^{*}}\right.$ is positive definite) chosen so that $H_{1}, \cdots, H_{l}$ form a basis of $i \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{t}_{0}}$ and if the centre $\mathrm{c}_{0}$ of $\mathfrak{f}_{0}$ is non-zero, of dimension $r$, then $H_{1}, \cdots, H_{r}$ belong to $i c_{0}$; for $\alpha, \beta$ in the (real) dual of $\mathfrak{h}^{*}, \alpha>\beta$ if the first non-vanishing difference $\alpha\left(H_{i}\right)-\beta\left(H_{i}\right)$ is greater than zero. Let $\Delta^{+}$be the system of positive roots with respect to this order and let $A^{+}=A \cap \Delta^{+}, B^{+}=B \cap \Delta^{+1}, C=\cap C \Delta^{+}$. Then $\theta$ leaves $A^{+}, B^{+}$and $C^{+}$ invariant. Let $\sum_{1}=A^{+} U\left\{\alpha \mid \alpha \in B^{+} ; \theta(\alpha)>\alpha\right\}$ and $\sum_{2}=C^{+} U\left\{\alpha \mid \alpha \in B^{+} ; \theta(\alpha)\right.$ $>\alpha\}$.

Theorem 1. Let $\rho$ denote a finite dimensional representation of $G$ in a complex vector-space $F$, as also the induced representation of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\Lambda_{\rho}$ be the highest weight of $\rho$ with respect to the above defined Cartan-subalgebra and the order on the dual of $\mathfrak{G}^{*}$. Then if $\sum_{\rho}=\left\{\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Sigma_{2}, \varphi\left(\Lambda_{\rho}, \alpha\right) \neq 0\right\}$ contains more than $q$ elements, then the Hermitian quadratic form $Q_{\rho}$ defined by

$$
\eta \rightarrow \sum_{i_{1}<\cdots<i_{p}}\left\langle\left(\Delta_{\rho}^{\eta} \eta\right)_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p}}, \eta_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p}}\right\rangle_{F}
$$

is positive definite for $p \leq q$. Hence $H^{p}(\Gamma, X, \rho)=0$ for $1 \leq p \leq q$.
Before we proceed to the proof of the theorem, we will make a few preliminary simplifications:

Lemma 1. Let $E$ be the $q^{\text {th }}$ exterior power of $p$ and let $\alpha$ be the isomorphism onto $F \otimes E$ of the space of exterior $q$-forms on $p$ with values in $F$ defined by

$$
\eta \rightarrow \sum_{i_{1}<\cdots<i q} \eta_{i_{1} \cdots i q} \otimes\left(X_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{i_{q}}\right)
$$

Then

$$
T_{\rho}^{q}=2 \alpha \circ \Delta_{\rho}^{q} \circ \alpha^{-1}=2(\rho \otimes 1)(c)+(1 \otimes \sigma)\left(c^{\prime}\right)-(\rho \otimes 1)\left(c^{\prime}\right)-(\rho \otimes \sigma)\left(c^{\prime}\right)
$$

where

$$
c=\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}^{2}-\sum_{\alpha=N+1}^{n} X_{a}^{2}
$$

and $c^{\prime}=-\sum_{\alpha=N+1}^{n} X_{\alpha}^{2}$ are elements of the enveloping algebras of g and $\neq$ and $\sigma$ denotes the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{f}$ in $E$. Hence $T_{\rho}^{q}$ is a symmetric endomorphism of $F \otimes E$ with respect to the scalar product

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle\sum_{i_{1}<\cdots<i_{p}} \eta_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p}} \otimes X_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{i_{p}}, \sum_{j_{1}<\cdots<j_{p}} \eta_{j_{1} \cdots j_{p}} \otimes X_{j_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{j_{p}}\right\rangle \\
=\sum_{i_{1}<\cdots<i_{p}}\left\langle\eta_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p}}, \eta_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p}}\right\rangle{ }_{F}
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\left(\Delta_{\rho}^{q}\right)_{i_{1} \cdots i_{q}}=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \rho\left(X_{k}\right)^{2} \eta_{i_{1} \cdots i_{q}}+\sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{u=1}^{q}(-1)^{u-1} \rho\left(\left[X_{i_{u}}, X_{k}\right]\right) \eta_{k i_{1} \cdots \hat{i}_{u} \cdots i_{q}}
$$

For every $q$-tuple $I_{q}=\left(i_{1}<\cdots<i_{q}\right)$, we write $X_{I_{q}}$ for $X_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{i q}$. In this notation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha(\eta)=\sum_{I q} \eta_{I q} \otimes X_{I_{q}} \\
& \frac{1}{2} T_{\rho}^{q} \alpha(\eta)= \sum_{I q}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{N} \rho\left(X_{k}\right)^{2} \eta_{I_{q}}+\sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{u=1}^{q}(-1)^{u_{-1}} \rho\left(\left[X_{i_{u}}, X_{k}\right]\right) \eta_{k i_{1} \cdots \hat{i}_{u} \cdots i q}\right\} \otimes X_{I_{q}} \\
&= \sum_{I q}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{N} \rho\left(X_{k}\right)^{2} \eta_{I q}+\sum_{J q \Delta I q=i_{u} j_{v}}(-1)^{u_{+v}} \rho\left(\left[X_{i_{u}}, X_{j_{v}}\right]\right) \eta_{J q}\right\} \otimes X_{I_{q}} \\
&= \sum_{I q}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{N} \rho\left(X_{k}\right)^{2} \eta_{I_{q}}+\sum_{J q \Delta I q=i_{u} j_{v}}(-1)^{u_{t v}} c_{i_{u j}}^{\alpha} \rho\left(X_{w}\right) \eta_{J q}\right\} \otimes X_{I_{q}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma\left(X_{a}\right) X_{J_{q}} & =\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{u=1}^{q}(-1)^{v-1} c_{c_{j_{v}}}^{k}\left(X_{k} \wedge X_{j_{1}} \cdots X_{j_{v}} \cdots \wedge X_{j q}\right) \\
& =\sum_{I_{q \Delta}, J_{q=j_{v^{i}}}}(-1)^{u_{+v}} c_{j_{v}}^{i_{v}} X_{I_{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} T_{\rho}^{q} \alpha(\eta) & =\sum_{I q} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \rho\left(X_{k}\right)^{2} \eta_{I q} \otimes X_{I q}+\sum_{T q} \rho\left(X_{a}\right) \eta_{J q} \otimes \sigma\left(X_{a}\right) X_{J q} \\
& \left.=\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{N} \rho\left(X_{k}\right)^{2} \otimes 1+\sum \rho\left(X_{x}\right) \otimes \sigma\left(X_{a}\right)\right\} \alpha(\eta)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now the required result follows from the fact

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \rho\left(X_{o}\right) \otimes \sigma\left(X_{a}\right) & =\left\{\rho\left(X_{a}\right) \otimes 1+1 \otimes \sigma\left(X_{a}\right)\right\}^{2}-\rho\left(X_{a}\right)^{2} \otimes 1-1 \otimes \sigma\left(X_{a}\right)^{2} \\
& =(\rho \otimes \sigma)\left(X_{a}\right)^{2}-\rho\left(X_{a}\right)^{2} \otimes 1-1 \otimes \sigma\left(X_{a}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

That $T_{\rho}^{q}$ is a hermitian symmetric endomorphism follows from the facts that $\rho\left(X_{i}\right)$ and $\sigma\left(X_{i}\right)$ are hermitian symmetric while $\rho\left(X_{w}\right)$ and $\sigma\left(X_{a}\right)$ are skewhermitian with respect to $\langle,\rangle_{F}$ and the extension to $E$ of the Killing form on $\mathfrak{p}_{0}$.

Lemma 2. a) If $\Lambda$ is the highest weight of an irreducible representation $\rho$ of g induced by a representation $\rho$ of $G$, then

$$
\rho(c)=\left\{\varphi\left(\Lambda, \Lambda_{2}\right)+\sum \varphi(\Lambda, \alpha)\right\} . \quad \text { Identity }
$$

b) when restricted to the (irreducible) $K$-subspace generated by the eigen-space corresponding to the highest weight $\Lambda$,

$$
\rho\left(c^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\frac{1}{4} \varphi\left(\Lambda+\Lambda^{\theta}, \Lambda+\Lambda^{\theta}\right)+\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_{1}} \varphi\left(\Lambda, \frac{\alpha+\alpha^{\theta}}{2}\right)\right\} . \quad \text { Identity } .
$$

For a proof see [4]: Lemmas 4 and 16(c).
Lemma 3. If $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ are the highest weights of two irreducible representations $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, such that $\Lambda_{1}-\Lambda_{2}$ is a non-negative linear combination of simple roots of $\mathfrak{g}$, then $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2}$ where $\rho_{k}(c)=\left(\lambda_{k} . \quad\right.$ Identity $)(k=1,2) . \quad$ Equality can occur only if $\Lambda_{1}=\Lambda_{2}$.

The same conclusions hold for $\mathfrak{f}$ and $c^{\prime}$ instead of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $c$ provided that $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ coincide on the center of ${ }^{\ell}$.

For the proof see Lemma 5 [4].
Proof of Theorem 1. We obtain the eigen-values of $T_{\rho}^{q}$ as follows: Let

$$
E=\sum_{\mu \in \boldsymbol{\mu}} E_{\mu} \quad \text { and } \quad F=\sum_{\lambda \in L} F_{\lambda} \quad \text { and } \quad F_{\lambda} \otimes E_{\mu}=\sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{M}_{\lambda \mu}} V_{\lambda \mu}^{\nu}
$$

be the decomposition of $E, F$ and $F_{\wedge} \otimes E_{\mu}$ into irreducible 1 -modules indexed by the highest weights (for the order defined by $H_{1}, \cdots, H_{p}$ on $i h_{k}$ ). Since $\rho$ is an irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $c$ is a central element of $U(\mathfrak{g}), \rho(c)$ is a scalar operator. Similarly, since $c^{\prime}$ is central in $U\left(\mathfrak{f}^{\prime}\right), \rho\left(c^{\prime}\right) \otimes 1,1 \otimes \sigma\left(c^{\prime}\right)$ and $(\rho \otimes \sigma)\left(c^{\prime}\right)$ are scalars on $F_{\lambda} E, F \otimes E_{\lambda}$ and $V_{\lambda \mu}^{\nu}$. Hence $T_{\rho}^{q}$ acts as a scalar on each $V_{\lambda \mu}^{\nu}$. We denote the corresponding eigen-value by $a(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$. Among $V_{\lambda \mu}^{\nu}$ there is a unique irreducible component with highest weight $\nu=\lambda+\mu$ we denote the corresponding scalar $a(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ by $a(\lambda, \mu)$ with this notation, we have

Assertion I. $a(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \geq a(\lambda, \mu)$; equality occurs only if $\nu=\lambda+\mu$.
Proof. We denote the representation in $V_{\lambda \mu}^{\nu}$ by $\rho_{\lambda \mu}^{\nu}$. Then since $(\rho \otimes 1)(c)$, $(\rho \otimes 1)\left(c^{\prime}\right)$ and $(1 \otimes \sigma)\left(c^{\prime}\right)$ all define the same scalar operator in $F_{\lambda} \otimes E_{\mu}$,

$$
a(\lambda, \mu)+a(\lambda, \mu, \nu)=\rho_{\lambda \mu}^{\lambda+\mu}\left(c^{\prime}\right)-\rho_{\lambda \mu}^{\nu}\left(c^{\prime}\right)
$$

(Here we have let $\rho_{\lambda \mu}^{\nu}\left(c^{\prime}\right)$ stand for the scalar). Now any weight in $F_{\lambda} \otimes E_{\mu}$ has the form $\lambda_{1}+\mu_{1}$ where $\lambda_{1}$ and $\mu_{1}$ are weights of $F_{\lambda}$ and $E_{\mu}$; on the other hand $\lambda-\lambda_{1}$ and $\mu-\mu_{1}$ are non-negative linear combination of simple roots of $k$; hence so is $(\lambda+\mu)-\left(\lambda_{1}+\mu_{1}\right)$. It follows then from Lemma 3 that

$$
a(\lambda, \mu) \geq a(\lambda, \mu, \nu)
$$

Equality can occur only if $\lambda+\mu=\lambda_{1}+\mu_{1}$ and there is only one component of $F_{\lambda} \otimes E_{\mu}$ with $\lambda+\mu$ as the highest weight. (Note that if $\mathfrak{f}$ has a centre, then the central elements act as scalars on $F_{\lambda}$ and $E$ hence in all of $F_{\lambda} \otimes E_{\mu}$ ).

Assertion II. Let $f_{\lambda}$ be a highest weight vector of $F$ such that $\left\|f_{\lambda}\right\|_{F}^{2}=1$. For $\alpha \in \Delta$, let $E_{\alpha}$ be a root vector of $\alpha$. Suppose that $E_{a_{0}} f_{\lambda}=0$ for $\alpha \in A^{+}$. If there is an $\alpha_{0} \in B^{+}$with $E_{\alpha_{0}} f_{\lambda} \neq 0$, then $E_{\alpha_{0}} f_{\lambda} \in F_{\lambda_{1}}$ for some $\lambda_{1}$ and $a(\lambda, \mu)<$ $a\left(\lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}\right)$

Proof. Using the fact that $\theta$ is an involution, we have
and the order chosen on $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathfrak{t}}^{*}=i \mathfrak{b}_{\mathrm{t}_{0}}$ has precisely $\left\{\alpha \mid \alpha \in A^{+}\right\}$and $\left\{\left.\frac{\alpha+\alpha^{\theta}}{2} \right\rvert\, \alpha \in B^{+}\right\}$ as the positive roots. The roots of $\mathfrak{f}$ are necessarily zero on the centre of $\mathfrak{f}$. It follows that the weights $\lambda$ and $\lambda+\alpha_{0}$ (which is the weight corresponding to $\left.E_{a_{0}} f_{\lambda}\right)$ have the same values on the centre. On the other hand, since $\lambda+\alpha_{0}$ and $\lambda_{1}$ are weights of the same irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{f}, \lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda+\alpha_{0}$ have the same values on the centre of $\notin$. It follows that $\lambda_{1}=\lambda$ on the centre of $\mathfrak{f}$. Now $\lambda_{1}-\lambda=\lambda_{1}-\left(\lambda+\alpha_{0}\right)+\alpha_{0}$ and $\lambda_{1}-\left(\lambda+\alpha_{0}\right)$ is a non-negative linear combination of simple roots. Hence $\lambda_{1}-\lambda$ is a non-negative linear combination of simple roots and $\lambda_{1} \neq \lambda$. A similar remark holds for $\lambda_{1}+\mu$ and $\lambda+\mu$. It follows then from Lemma 3 above that

$$
\rho_{\lambda}\left(c^{\prime}\right)<\rho_{\lambda_{1}}\left(c^{\prime}\right)
$$

and

$$
\rho_{\lambda \mu}^{\lambda+\mu}\left(c^{\prime}\right)<\rho_{\lambda_{1} \mu^{\mu}}^{\lambda_{1}+\mu}\left(c^{\prime}\right)
$$

The operators $(\rho \otimes 1))(c)$ and $(1 \otimes \sigma)\left(c^{\prime}\right)$ on the other hand are scalars on the whole of $F \otimes E$. Hence from the expression for $T_{\rho}^{q}$, the Assertion follows.

Assertion III. Suppose that $E_{\alpha} F_{\lambda}=0$ for $\alpha \in A^{+} \cup B^{+}$but that there is an $\alpha_{0} \in C^{+}$such that $E_{\alpha_{0}} f_{\lambda} \neq 0$. Then $a(\lambda, \mu)>0$.

Proof. If $\left\{E_{a}\right\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ are root vectors so chosen that $\varphi\left(E_{\alpha}, E_{-a}\right)=1$, then, it is well known that

$$
c=\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^{+}} E_{\alpha} E_{-\infty}+\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^{+}} E_{-\infty} E_{\infty}+\sum_{i=1}^{1} H_{i}^{2}
$$

It follows that

$$
\rho(c) f_{\lambda}=\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^{+}} \rho\left(E_{\infty} E_{-\infty}+E_{-\infty} E_{\alpha}\right) f_{\lambda}+\sum_{i=1}^{1} \rho\left(H_{i}\right)^{2} f
$$

Using the facts, $E_{\alpha} f_{\lambda}=0$ for $\alpha \in A^{+} \cup B^{+}$and that $\left[E_{\alpha}, E_{-\infty}\right]=H_{a}$, we have

$$
\rho(c) f_{\lambda}=\sum_{a \in A^{+} \cup B^{+}} \lambda\left(H_{a}\right) f_{\lambda}+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \wedge\left(H_{i}\right)^{2} f_{\lambda}+\sum_{\alpha \in C} \rho\left(E_{a} E_{-\infty}+E_{-\infty} E_{a}\right) f_{\lambda}+\sum_{i=p+1}^{1} \rho\left(H_{i}\right)^{2} f_{\lambda}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle\rho(c) f_{\Lambda}, f_{\lambda}\right\rangle_{F}=\sum_{\alpha \in A^{-} \cup B^{+}} \lambda\left(H_{a s}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda\left(H_{i}\right)^{2}+\sum_{\alpha \in C^{+}}\left\langle\rho\left(E_{\alpha} E_{-\infty}+E_{-\infty} E_{a s}\right) f_{\lambda}, f_{\lambda}\right\rangle \\
+\sum_{i=p+1}^{1}\left\langle\rho\left(H_{i}\right)^{2} f_{\lambda}, f_{\lambda}\right\rangle_{F}
\end{gathered}
$$

Now it is well known that $F$ admits an orthogonal decomposition with respect to $\langle,\rangle_{F}$ into irreducible representations of the algebra $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{E}_{\infty} \oplus \boldsymbol{C} E_{-\infty} \oplus \boldsymbol{C} H_{\infty}$ for $\alpha \in C^{+}$so that to prove that $\left\langle\rho\left(E_{\infty} E_{-\infty}+E_{-\infty} E_{\alpha}\right) f_{\lambda}, f_{\lambda}\right\rangle \geq\left|\lambda\left(H_{\alpha}\right)\right|$ equality occurring only if $E_{a} f_{\lambda}=0$, we may assume that the $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}$-invariant subspace $W$ spanned by $f_{\lambda}$ is irreducible with respect to the three dimensional algebra. Now by Lemma 2,

$$
\rho\left\{E_{\infty} E_{-\infty}+E_{-\infty} E_{a}+\frac{H_{\alpha}^{2}}{\varphi\left(H_{a} H_{a s}\right)}\right\} f_{\lambda}=\left\{\frac{(\lambda+k \alpha)\left(H_{a}\right)^{2}}{\varphi\left(H_{a}, H_{a}\right)}+(\lambda+k \alpha)\left(H_{a}\right)\right\} f_{\lambda}
$$

where $\lambda+k \alpha, k \geq 0$ is the highest weight in $W$ (of $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ ). Hence

$$
\rho\left(E_{a} E_{-a}+E_{-\infty} E_{a}\right) f_{\lambda}=\frac{k \alpha\left(H_{a}\right)^{2}}{\varphi\left(H_{a}, H_{a}\right)}+(\lambda+k \alpha)\left(H_{a}\right) f_{\lambda}
$$

so that

$$
\left\langle\rho\left(E_{\infty} E_{-\infty}+E_{-\infty} E_{a}\right) f_{\lambda}, f_{\lambda}\right\rangle_{F}=(\lambda+k \alpha)\left(H_{a s}\right)+\frac{\alpha\left(H_{a s}\right)}{\varphi\left(H_{a}, H_{a x}\right)} \geq\left|\lambda\left(H_{a s}\right)\right|
$$

(It is well known that $(\lambda+k \alpha)\left(H_{a}\right) \geq\left|\lambda\left(H_{a}\right)\right|$ since $\lambda+k \alpha$ is the highest weight). Moreover equality occurs only if $k=0$; if $k=0$, however, $\lambda$ is the highest weight so that $E_{a} f_{\lambda}=0$. We have thus shown that

$$
\left\langle\rho\left(E_{\infty} E_{-\infty}+E_{-\infty} E_{a}\right) f_{\lambda}, f_{\lambda}\right\rangle \geq\left|\lambda\left(H_{a}\right)\right|
$$

equality occurring only if $E_{a} f_{\lambda}=0$. We have therefore,

$$
\left\langle\rho(c) f_{\lambda}, f_{\lambda}\right\rangle \geq \sum_{\alpha \in A^{+} \cup B^{+}} \lambda\left(H_{a}\right)+\sum_{i=1} \lambda\left(H_{i}\right)^{2}+\sum_{\alpha \in \sigma^{+}}^{p}\left|\lambda\left(H_{a}\right)\right|+\sum_{i=p+1}^{1}\left\langle\rho\left(H_{i}\right)^{2} f_{\lambda}, f_{\lambda}\right\rangle_{F}
$$

equality occurring only if $E_{a} f_{\lambda}=0$ for all $\alpha \in C^{+}$. Moreover $S=\sum_{i=p+1}^{1} \rho\left(H_{i}\right)^{2}$ is
a non-negative symmetric operator so that

$$
\left.\rho(c) f_{\lambda}, f_{\lambda}\right\rangle \geq \sum_{\alpha \in A^{+} \cup B^{+}}\left|\lambda\left(H_{w}\right)\right|+\sum \lambda\left(H_{i}\right)^{2}+\left\langle S f_{\lambda}, f_{\lambda}\right\rangle+\sum_{\alpha \in G^{+}}\left|\lambda\left(H_{w}\right)\right|
$$

with $S \geq 0$ (Note that for $\alpha \in A^{+} \cup B^{+}, E_{a} f_{\lambda}=0$ so that $\lambda\left(H_{a}\right) \geq 0$ ).
Using b) of Lemma 2, we have also

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\rho\left(c^{\prime}\right) \otimes 1\right|_{F_{\lambda} \otimes E}=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda\left(H_{i}\right)^{2}+\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_{1}} \lambda\left(H_{\infty}+H_{\infty} \theta\right) / 2\right\} \text {. Identity } \\
\left.(\rho \otimes \sigma)\left(c^{\prime}\right)\right|_{v_{\lambda \mu}^{\lambda+\mu}}=\sum_{i=1}^{p}(\lambda+\mu)\left(H_{i}\right)^{2}+\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_{1}}(\lambda+\mu)\left(H_{a}+H_{a} \theta\right) / 2 \text {. Identity }
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left.(1 \otimes \sigma)\left(c^{\prime}\right)\right|_{F \otimes E_{\mu}}=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \mu\left(H_{i}\right)^{2}+\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_{1}} \mu\left(H_{a}+H_{a^{\theta}}\right) / 2 . \quad \text { Identity }
$$

so that if $e_{\mu} \otimes E_{\mu}$ is a unit weight vector of weight $\mu$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle T_{\rho}^{q}\left(f_{\lambda} \otimes e_{\mu}\right), f_{\lambda} \otimes e_{\mu}\right\rangle & \geq 2 \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in B_{+}^{+} \\
\alpha>\alpha \theta}}\left|\lambda\left(H_{\infty}+H_{\infty} \theta\right) / 2\right|+2 \sum_{\alpha \in C^{+}} \lambda\left(H_{\infty}\right) \\
& +2\left\langle S\left(f_{\lambda}\right), f_{\lambda}\right\rangle-2 \sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda\left(H_{i}\right) \mu\left(H_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $\mu$ being a weight of $\sigma_{q}$ it is the sum of $q$ of the weights of the adjoint representation of $k_{0}$ in $p_{0}$. Hence

$$
\mu=\sum_{i=1}^{q}\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{2}^{\theta}\right)!2
$$

where all the $\alpha_{i}$ belong to $\sum_{2}$. Hence

$$
\left\langle T_{\rho}^{q}\left(f_{\lambda} \otimes e_{\mu}\right), f_{\lambda} \otimes e_{\mu}\right\rangle \geq 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_{2}} \lambda\left(H_{a s}+H_{a s} \theta\right) / 2-2 \sum_{i=1}^{q} \lambda\left(H_{\omega_{i}}+H_{\omega_{i} \theta}\right) / 2
$$

Here equality can occur only if $E_{\alpha} f_{\lambda}=0$ for $\alpha \in \Delta^{+}$and $\left\langle S f_{\lambda}, f_{\lambda}\right\rangle=0$. It follows therefore that $a(\lambda, \mu)>0$ if there exists $\alpha_{0} \in C^{+}$with $E_{w_{0}} f_{\lambda} \neq 0$.

In view of Assertions I, II and III, we see that $T$ is positive definite if and only if $a\left(\lambda_{0}, \mu\right)>0$ where $\lambda_{0}$ is the greatest of the dominant weights $\{\lambda \mid \lambda \in L\}$ : this follows from the fact that $E_{a} f_{\lambda_{0}}=0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta^{+}$if and only if $f_{\lambda_{0}}$ is the highest weight vector for $\rho$; it follows that any weight of $\left.\rho\right|_{k}$ is of the form $\lambda_{0}-\sum m_{i} r\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$ where $m_{i} \geq 0$ and $r\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$ are the restriction of positive roots of g ; finally $r\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \neq 0$ hence greater than zero (see Lemma 16 (f) [4]).

Thus to complete the proof of the Theorem, we need only prove
Assertion IV. If $\lambda_{0}$ is the restriction $r(\Lambda)$ of the highest weight $\Lambda$ of $\rho$, then $a\left(\lambda_{0}, \mu\right)>0$ for all $\mu \in M$ provided there are at least $(q+1)$ roots $\alpha \in \sum_{2}$ such that $\Lambda\left(H_{\infty}+H_{\infty} \theta\right)>0$.

Proof. By evaluation on the highest weight $f_{\lambda_{0}} \otimes e_{\mu}$ we have (Lemma 2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{\rho}\left(f_{\lambda_{0}} \otimes e_{\mu}\right) & =\left\{2 \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_{2}} \Lambda\left(H_{\infty}+H_{\omega^{\theta}}\right) / 2+2 \sum_{i=1}^{p} \Lambda\left(H_{i}\right)^{2}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{p} \Lambda\left(H_{i}\right) \mu\left(H_{i}\right)\right\}\left(f_{\lambda_{0}} \otimes e_{\mu}\right) \\
& =\left\{2 \sum_{\alpha \Sigma_{2}} \Lambda\left(H_{\infty}+H_{\infty} \theta\right) / 2-2 \sum_{i=1}^{q}\left(H_{\alpha_{i}}+H_{\omega_{i}} \theta\right) / 2+2 \sum_{i=1}^{q} \Lambda\left(H_{i}\right)^{2}\right\}\left(f_{\lambda_{0}} \otimes e_{\mu}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where
$\mu=r\left(\sum_{i=1}^{q}\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{i}^{\theta}\right) / 2\right) . \quad$ It follows that $a\left(\lambda_{0}, \mu\right)>0 \quad$ under our hypothesis,
since $\sum_{i=1}^{p} \Lambda\left(H_{i}\right)^{2} \geq 0$.

This completes the proof of the Theorem.
Remark 1. Theorem 1 generalises Theorem 12.1 of [3] where only the case when $G / K$ is hermitian symmetric, is considered. In fact, the present theorem is more general than Theorem 12.1 of [3] even in this case: $H^{n}(\Gamma, X, \rho)$ admits a type decomposition (see [3])

$$
H^{n}(\Gamma, X, \rho) \simeq \underset{r+s=n}{\amalg} H^{r s}(\Gamma, X, \rho)
$$

so that under the hypothesis of Theorem 1, we have

$$
H^{r s}(\Gamma, X, \rho)=0
$$

for $r+s \leq q$. Theorem 12.1 of [3] is the special case $q=\operatorname{dim} G / K$. In section §2, we will give an interpretation of the groups $H^{r s}(\Gamma, X, \rho)$. In [4] all the representations for which $T_{\rho}^{1}$ is positive definite are determined.

Remark 2. The author has checked in a number of classical cases, that if $G$ is simple and non-compact and $\rho$ is any nontrivial irreducible representation, then the number of elements in $\sum_{\rho}$ is greater than or equal to the rank of the associated symmetric space.

## 2. Compact quotients of complex semisimple Lie groups

Let $X$ be a complex manifold and $\widetilde{X} \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ be the universal covering of $X$. Let $\Gamma$ be the fundamental group of $X$ acting fixed point free on $\tilde{X}$. Let $\rho$ be a representation of $\Gamma$ in a finite dimensional complex vector space. Let $L_{\rho}$ denote the local system associated to $\rho$ and $W_{\rho}$ the holomorphic vector bundle associated to $\rho$. Let $\underline{L}_{\rho}$ and $\underline{W}_{\rho}$ denote respectively the sheaf of germs of sections of $L_{\rho}$ and holomorphic sections of $W_{\rho}$. By the de Rham theorem, the cohomology groups $H^{p}\left(X, L_{\rho}\right)$ of $X$ with coefficients in the local system $L_{\rho}$ are the cohomology groups of the complex

$$
A=\sum_{p} A^{p}(\Gamma, \tilde{X}, \rho)
$$

defined as follows: $A^{p}(\Gamma, X, \rho)$ is the vector space of $C^{\infty}$-exterior $p$-forms $\eta$ on $X$ with values in $F$ satisfying the condition

$$
\eta\left(\gamma_{*}\left(t_{1}\right), \gamma_{*}\left(t_{2}\right), \cdots, \gamma_{*}\left(t_{p}\right)\right)=\rho(\gamma)^{-1} \eta\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{p}\right)
$$

where $t_{1}, \cdots, t_{p}$ are tangent vectors to $\tilde{X}$ and $\gamma_{*}(t)$ denotes the image by $\gamma$ of the tangent vector $t$ to $X$; the boundary operator in the complex is the exterior differentiation of $F$-valued forms on $\tilde{X}$. The complex structure on $X$ gives a decomposition of each of the space $A^{p}(\Gamma, \tilde{X}, \rho)$ as a direct sum $\sum_{r+s=p} A^{r s}(\Gamma, \tilde{X}, \rho)$ according to the bidegree. Moreover $d=d^{\prime}+d^{\prime \prime}$ where $d^{\prime}$ and $d^{\prime \prime}$ are of bidegree $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ respectively. This gives $A$ a structure of a double complex. The term $E_{1}^{p q}$ of the spectral sequence associated to this double complex is clearly the $q^{\text {th }}$ cohomology of the complex

$$
0 \rightarrow A^{p, 0}(\Gamma, \tilde{X}, \rho) \rightarrow A^{p, 1}(\Gamma, \tilde{X}, \rho) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A^{p, n}(\Gamma, X, \rho) \rightarrow 0
$$

$(n=\operatorname{dim} X)$. Again, by the Dolbeault theorem, the $q^{t h}$ cohomology of this complex is $H^{q}\left(X, \underline{\Omega}^{p} \otimes \underline{W}_{\rho}\right)$ where $\underline{\Omega}^{p}$ is the holomorphic bundle of holomorphic $p$-forms, and $\underline{\Omega}^{p} \otimes \underline{W}$ is the sheaf of germs of holomorphic $p$-forms on $X$ with coefficients in $W$. Moreover, the derivation $d_{1}$ in the term $E_{1}$ is clearly the map induced by the exterior differentiation

$$
d: \underline{\Omega}_{\underset{O}{p}}^{\mathcal{O}} \underset{\rho}{W_{\rho}} \rightarrow \underline{\Omega}^{p+1}{\underset{\mathcal{O}}{ }}_{\otimes}^{W_{\rho}}
$$

(since we have $\underline{\Omega}^{p}{\underset{O}{O}}_{\otimes}^{W_{\rho}} \simeq \underline{\Omega}^{p}{\underset{C}{C}}_{\otimes}^{L_{\rho}}$, the operator $d$ above makes sence: $\underline{\Omega}^{p} \underset{\boldsymbol{C}}{\otimes} \underline{L}_{\rho} \rightarrow$ $\left.\underline{\Omega}_{c}^{p+1} \otimes \underline{L}_{\rho}\right)$.
We have thus
Proposition 1. There is a convergent spectral sequence $\left\{E_{r}^{p q}\right\}_{c \leq r \leq \infty}$ converging to $H^{*}(\Gamma, \widetilde{X}, \rho)$ such that $E_{1}^{p q}=H^{q}\left(X, \underline{\Omega}^{p}{\underset{O}{O}}^{W} \underline{W}_{\rho}\right)$ and $d_{1}$ is induced by the $\operatorname{map} d: \underline{\Omega}^{p} \otimes{\underset{O}{W}}^{\underline{W}} \rightarrow \underline{\Omega}^{p+1} \otimes \underline{W}_{\rho}$.

Now let $\tilde{X}=G$ be a simply connected complex Lie group and $\Gamma \subset G$ a discrete subgroup; then $X=\Gamma \backslash G$. Let $g$ be the Lie algebra of left invariant vectorfields on $G$. (Then elements of $g$ may be regarded as vectorfields on $\Gamma \backslash G$ as well). Let $\mathfrak{g}^{C}$ denote the complexification of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then $\mathfrak{g}^{\boldsymbol{C}} \simeq \mathfrak{u}_{1} \oplus \mathfrak{I}_{2}$ where $\mathfrak{u}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{H}_{2}$ are respectively the complex ideals of holomorphic and antiholomorphic left-invariant vectorfields. The natural projections $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{l}_{2}$ define isomorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathfrak{u}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{H}_{2}$ respectively.

Suppose now that $\rho$ is the restriction of a representation of $G$ in a finite dimensional vector space $F$. In this special case we can compute the term $E_{2}$ as well.

In the first place, there is a canonical (holomorphic) isomorphism of the vector bundle $W_{\rho}$ on $X$ with the trivial bundle. In fact the vector bundle $W_{\rho}$ is obtained as follows: the group $\Gamma$ acts $G \times F$ by diagonal action:

$$
\gamma(g, f)=(\gamma g, \rho(\gamma) f) \quad \text { for } \gamma \in \Gamma .
$$

This is an (holomorphic) automorphism of the vector bundle $G \times F$ on itself covering the left translation by $\gamma$ and hence this action defines a vector bundle on $\Gamma \backslash G$. Now let $\Phi: G \times F \rightarrow G \times F$ be the isomorphism

$$
\Phi(g, f)=\left(g, \rho(g)^{-1} f\right)
$$

Then

$$
\Phi(\gamma g, \rho(\gamma) f)=\left(\gamma g, \rho(g)^{-1} f\right)
$$

Hence $\Phi$ defines an isomorphism $\Phi_{0}$ of $W_{\rho}$ on the trivial bundle $X \times F$.
Now, for left-invariant holomorphic vectorfields $Z_{1}, \cdots, Z_{p+1}$ and a holomorphic $p$-form $\eta$ with values in $F$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \eta\left(Z_{1}, \cdots, Z_{p+1}\right)= & \sum_{i=1}^{p+1}(-1)^{i+1} Z_{i} \eta\left(Z_{1}, \cdots, \hat{Z}_{i}, \cdots, Z_{p+1}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j} \eta\left(\left[Z_{i}, Z_{j}\right], Z_{1} \cdots \hat{Z}_{i} \cdots \hat{Z}_{j} \cdots Z_{p+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\Phi d \Phi^{-1}\right)(\eta)\left(Z_{1}, \cdots, Z_{p+1}\right)_{g_{0}} & =\sum_{i=1}^{p+1}(-1)^{i+1}\left\{\rho\left(g_{0}\right)^{-1} Z_{i} \rho(g) \eta\left(Z_{1}, \cdots, Z_{i}, \cdots, Z_{p+1}\right)\right\}_{g_{0}} \\
& +\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j}\left\{\rho\left(g_{0}\right)^{-1}\left(\left[Z_{i}, Z_{j}\right], Z_{1} \cdots Z_{i} \cdots Z_{j} \cdots Z_{p+1}\right)\right\}_{g_{0}} \\
& =\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{p+1}(-1)^{i+1} \rho\left(Z_{i}\right) \eta\left(Z_{1} \cdots \hat{Z}_{i} \cdots Z_{p+1}\right)\right. \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{p+1}(-1)^{i+1} Z_{i} \eta\left(Z_{1} \cdots Z_{i} \cdots Z_{p+1}\right) \\
& \left.+\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j} \eta\left(\left[Z_{i}, Z_{j}\right], Z_{1} \cdots \hat{Z}_{i} \cdots \hat{Z}_{j} \cdots Z_{p+1}\right)\right\}_{g_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

( $\rho$ has a natural extension to $g^{c}$ hence to $u_{1}$ )
It follows that if we identify germs of holomorphic $W$-valued forms on $\Gamma \backslash G$ with germs of holomorphic $F$-valued forms on $\Gamma \backslash G$ through the isomorphism $\Phi_{0}$, the operator $d$ is transformed into the operator $d_{0}$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{0} \eta\left(Z_{1}, \cdots, Z_{p+1}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{p+1}(-1)^{i+1}\left(Z_{i}+\rho\left(Z_{i}\right)\right) \eta\left(Z_{1}, \cdots, \hat{Z}_{i}, \cdots, Z_{p+1}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j} \eta\left(\left[Z_{i}, Z_{j}\right], Z_{1} \cdots \hat{Z}_{i} \cdots \hat{Z}_{j} \cdots Z_{p+1}\right) \cdots \tag{I}
\end{align*}
$$

Now the map which associates to each $W_{\rho}$-valued holomorphic $p$-form $\eta$, the $F$-valued holomorphic form $\Phi_{0}(\eta)$ defined by

$$
\left(\Phi_{0} \eta\right)\left(Z_{1}, \cdots, Z_{p}\right)=\Phi_{0}\left(\eta\left(Z_{1}, \cdots, Z_{p}\right)\right)
$$

for every $p$-tuple ( $Z_{1}, \cdots, Z_{p}$ ) of projections of left invariant holomorphic vectorfields on $G$, defines an isomorphism $\Phi_{p}$ of the sheaf $\underline{\Omega}^{p} \otimes_{O} \underline{W}_{\rho}$ on the sheaf $\operatorname{Hom}_{C}\left(\stackrel{p}{\wedge} \mathfrak{u}_{1}, \mathcal{O} \underset{\sigma}{\otimes} F\right)$. Moreover clearly the diagram

where $d_{0}$ is defined by equation (I) above, is commutative. Now $\mathcal{O}$ is a sheaf of $\mathfrak{H}_{1}$-modules: the map $f \longrightarrow Z f$ for the projection on $X$ of a left invariant holomorphic vectorfield $Z$ on $G$ defines a representation $\mathfrak{r}_{1}(\simeq \mathfrak{g})$ in the Lie algebra of endomorphism of $\mathcal{O}$. The stalks at a point $x \in X$ of the complex of sheaves

$$
0 \rightarrow \underset{\mathcal{O}}{\underset{C}{\otimes}} \underset{\otimes}{ } F \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{C}\left(\mathfrak{n}_{1}, \underset{\boldsymbol{O}}{\mathcal{O}} \underset{\boldsymbol{C}}{\otimes}\right) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Lambda^{n_{\mathfrak{n}_{1}},} \underset{\boldsymbol{O}}{\otimes} F\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

from then clearly the standard complex of the Lie algebra $u$ with values in $\mathcal{O}_{x} \otimes F$, where $\mathcal{O}_{x}$ is the stalk at $x$ of $\mathcal{O}$. Passing then to the $q^{t h}$-cohomology groups of this sheaves, we see that, we obtain the standard complex
$0 \rightarrow H^{q}(X, \mathcal{O}) \underset{\boldsymbol{c}}{\otimes} F \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\boldsymbol{C}}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{1}, H^{q}(X, \mathcal{O}) \underset{\boldsymbol{C}}{\otimes} F\right) \cdots \operatorname{Hom}_{\boldsymbol{C}}\left(\Lambda^{n} \mathfrak{u}_{1}, H^{q}(X, \mathcal{O}) \underset{\boldsymbol{C}}{\otimes} F\right) \rightarrow 0$
where $H^{q}(X, \mathcal{O})$ carries the $\mathfrak{n}_{1}$-module structure defined by the action of $\mathfrak{n}_{1}$ on $\mathcal{O}$ defined above and $H^{q}(X, \mathcal{O}) \otimes F$ is the tensor product of this representation and $\rho$.

Combining the preceding, with Proposition 1, we obtain
Theorem 2. Let $G$ be a connected complex Lie group and $\Gamma$ a discrete subgroup. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the sheaf of germs of holomorphic functions on $X=\Gamma \backslash G$. Let $\rho$ be a representation of $G$ in a finite dimensional complex vector space $F$ and $L_{\rho}$ the associated local system. Then there is a convergent spectral sequence $\left\{E_{r}\right\}_{0 \leq r \leq \infty}$ converging to $H^{*}\left(X, L_{\rho}\right)$ such that $E_{2}^{p q}=H^{p}\left(g, H^{q}(X, \mathcal{O}) \underset{\sigma}{\otimes} F\right)$ where $H^{q}(X, \mathcal{O})$ and $F$ are considered as $g$-modules as follows: a left-invariant vectorfield $Y$ on $G$ projects on $X$ as a vectorfield whose 1-parameter group is a group of holomorphic automorphisms of $X$; hence $f \longrightarrow X f$ defines an endomorphism of $\mathcal{O}$ and hence a representation of $g$; in $F$ we have the representation $\rho$.

Proof. The argument above is incomplete only in two details, under the isomorphism $\mathrm{g} \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{p}_{1}} \mathfrak{u}_{1}$, we must show the following:
i) If $\rho^{c}$ is the extension to g of $\rho$, then $\rho^{c} \circ p_{1}$ and $\rho$ are equivalent.
ii) $\quad X f=p_{1}(X) \cdot f$

The former is a well known fact; the latter follows from the fact that if $p_{2}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{u t}_{2}$ is the projection onto antiholomorphic vectorfields, then, $p_{2}(X) f=0$ for holomorphic $f$.

A corollary is the following
Theorem 3. Let $G$ be a connected complex semisimple Lie group and $\Gamma a$
discrete subgroup such that $\Gamma \backslash G$ is compact. Then, $H^{1}(\Gamma \backslash G, \mathcal{O})$ where $\mathcal{O}$ is the sheaf of germs of holomorphic functions on $\Gamma \backslash G$ vanishes provided that $G$ has no 3-dimensional components.

Proof. Since $\Gamma \backslash G$ is compact $H^{q}(X, \mathcal{O})$ are finite dimensional so that, in view of the Whitehead Lemma for semisimple Lie algebras, we have, for any finite dimensional representation $\rho$ of $G$ in a vector space $F$, in the spectral sequence of Theorem 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{2}^{10}=E_{2}^{20}=0 . \quad \text { On the other hand, } \\
& E_{\infty}^{01}=E_{3}^{01}
\end{aligned}
$$

is the homology of

$$
0 \rightarrow E_{2}^{01} \rightarrow E_{2}^{20}=0
$$

Hence $E_{\infty}^{01}=E_{2}^{01}=H^{0}\left(\mathrm{~g}, H^{1}(X, \mathcal{O}) \otimes F\right)$. Now if $H^{1}(X, \mathcal{O}) \neq 0$, and if we choose $F$ to be the dual of this module, then, $H^{0}\left(\mathrm{~g}, H^{1}(X, \mathcal{O}) \otimes F\right) \neq 0$. On the other hand since the spectral sequence converges to $H^{*}\left(X, L_{\rho}\right)$, this implies that $H^{1}\left(X, L_{\rho}\right) \neq 0$. But according to [1a] and [4] under the hypothesis of the theorem, viz., that $G$ has no 3-dimensional components, $H^{1}\left(X, L_{\rho}\right)=0$, a contradiction. Hence the theorem.

Corollary. If $\Gamma \subset G$ is a discrete subgroup of a connected complex semisimple Lie group $G$ such that $\Gamma \backslash G$ is compact, then the natural complex structure on $\Gamma \backslash G$ is locally rigid.

Proof. $\Gamma \backslash G$ is holomorphically parallelisable. Hence the sheaf $\Theta$ of germs of holomorphic vectorfields is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of $\mathcal{O}$. From Theorem 3, therefore, $H^{1}(\Gamma \backslash G, \Theta)=0$. It is well known that this last implies that the complex structure is locally rigid.

Remark. Reverting to the notation of $\S 1$, when $K \backslash G$ is hermitian symmetric, Matsushima and Murakami have given a type decomposition

$$
H^{q}(\Gamma, X, \rho) \simeq \sum_{r+s=q} H^{r s}(\Gamma, X, \rho)
$$

The groups $H^{r s}(\Gamma, X, \rho)$ have an interpretation in terms of the spectral sequence of Proposition 1 of this section. In fact, according to proposition 1, there is a spectral sequence converging to $H^{*}(\Gamma, X, \rho)$ with $E_{1}^{p q}$ as $H^{q}\left(X, \underline{\Omega}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\otimes_{\rho}} \underline{W}_{\rho}\right)$. A simple calculation using Lemma 4.1 of [3] shows that $E_{2}^{n q}$ is isomorphic to $H^{p q}(\Gamma, X, \rho)$ and that the spectral sequence degenerates from the $E_{2}$ stage onwards.

[^0]
## Bibliography

[1] Y. Matsushima: On the first Betti number of compact quotient spaces of higherdimensional symmetric spaces, Ann. of Math. 75 (1962) 312-330.
[1a] Y. Matsushima: On the cohomology groups of locally symmetric compact, Riemannian manifolds, 'Differential Analysis', Papers presented at the Bombay Colloquium, 1964, 237-242.
[2] Y. Matsushima and S. Murakami: On vector bundle valued harmonic forms and automorphic forms on symmetric riemannian manifolds, Ann. of Math. 78 (1963), 365-416.
[3] Y. Matsushima and S. Murakami: On certain cohomology groups attached to hermitian symmetric spaces, Osaka J. Math. 2 (1965), 1-35.
[4] M.S. Raghunathan: On the first cohomology of discrete subgroups of semisimple Lie groups, Amer. J. Math. 87 (1965), 103-139.


[^0]:    Tata Institute of Fundamental Research
    and Université de Grenoble

