# Note on Axiomatic Set Theory $I$. The Independence of Zermelo's "Aussonderungsaxiom" from Other Axioms of Set Theory 

By Toshio Nishimura

In this paper axioms of set theory mean Gödel's axioms of set theory in [2], which are modified so that the axiom C 2 postulates directly the existence of all elements of a given set and C 3 the existence of power set.

In what follows we prove that Zermelo's "Aussonderungsaxiom" is independent of other axioms of set theory. A fortiori, the independence of Gödel's axiom C 4 (Fraenkel's "axiom of substitution"), is proved since the axiom of substitution implies the "Aussonderungsaxiom".

The proof is carried out by constructing an inner model $\Lambda$ for the axioms $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{C} 3, \mathrm{D}$ and E under the axioms $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 2$ and C 4, which does not satisfy the "Aussonderungsaxiom". The idea appears already in [1]. However the proof in [1] is not formal.

In §1, we give the axioms of set theory.
In $\S 2$, we construct an inner model $\Lambda$ under the axioms $A, B, C 1$, C 2 and C 4 . Here we notice that the axioms do not imply the existence of power set of any set.

In $\S 3$, we prove some preliminary results with respect to the model $\Lambda$.

In $\S 4$, we prove that the model $\Lambda$ satisfies the axioms $A, B, C 1,2$, $3, \mathrm{D}$ and E .

In $\S 5$, we prove that the model $\Lambda$ does not satisfy the "Aussonderungsaxiom".

## § 1. The axiom system of set theory

In what follows we apply Gödel's notations in [2] in most cases. For logical notations we use following symbols, $\vee$ (or), • (and), ~ (not), $>$ (implies), $\equiv$ (equivalence), $=$ (identity), $(\exists X)$ (there is an $X$ ), $(X)$ (for all $X$ ) and ( $\exists!X$ ) (there is exactly one $X$ ). The system has three primitive notions: class, denoted by $\mathfrak{C l}$; set, denoted by $\mathfrak{M}$; and the diadic relation $\in$ between class and class, class and set, set and class, or
set and set. Variables for classes are denoted by capital Latin letters $A, B, X, Y$ etc. and those for sets by small Latin letters $a, b, x, y$ etc. The axioms fall into five groups $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}$.

Group A.

1. $(x) \mathfrak{C l} \mathfrak{Z}(x)$
2. $(X)(Y)[X \in Y .>. \mathfrak{M}(X)]$
3. $(X)(Y)[(u)(u \in X . \equiv . u \in Y) . D . X=Y]$
4. $(x)(y)(\exists z)(u)[u \in z . \equiv: u=x \cdot \vee \cdot u=y]$

Group B.

1. $(\exists A)(x)(y)[\langle x y\rangle \in A . \equiv . x \in y]$
2. $(A)(B)(\exists C)(u)[u \in C . \equiv: u \in A . u \in B]$
3. $(A)(\exists B)(u)[u \in B . \equiv . \sim(u \in A)]$
4. $(A)(\exists B)(x)[x \in B . \equiv$. $(\exists y)(\langle y x\rangle \in A)]$
5. $(A)(\exists B)(x)(y)[\langle x y\rangle \in B . \equiv . x \in A]$
6. $(A)(\exists B)(x)(y)[\langle y x\rangle \in B . \equiv .\langle y x\rangle \in A]$
7. $(A)(\exists B)(x)(y)(z)[\langle x y z\rangle \in B . \equiv .\langle y z x\rangle \in A]$
8. $(A)(\exists B)(x)(y)(z)[\langle x y z\rangle \in B . \equiv .\langle x z y\rangle \in A]$
where $\langle x y\rangle$ and $\langle x y z\rangle$ mean the ordered pair of $x$ and $y$ and the ordered triple of $x, y$ and $z$ (cf. [2], p. 4, 1. 12 and 1.14).

Group C.

1. ( $\exists a)[\sim \mathfrak{F m}(a) \cdot(x)(x \in a .>.(\exists y)(y \in a . x \subset y))]$
2. $(x)(\exists y)(u)[u \in y . \equiv .(\exists v)(u \in v . v \in x)]$
3. $(x)(\exists y)(u)[u \in y . \equiv .(w)(w \in u.\rangle . w \in x)]$
4. $(x)(A)[\mathfrak{U n}(A) .>.(\exists y)(u)(u \in y . \equiv .(\exists v)(v \in x \cdot\langle u v\rangle \in A))]$
where the notions $a \subset b$, $\mathfrak{G m}(a)$ and $\mathfrak{U n t}(A)$ mean " $a$ is a proper subset of $b$ ", " $a$ is empty" and " $A$ is unique" respectively (cf. [2], p. 4, 1.2, 1. 22 and p. 5, 1.3).

Zermelo's "Aussonderungsaxiom" is postulated as follows :
$4^{\prime}$.

$$
(x)(A)(\exists y)(u)[u \in y . \equiv: u \in x . u \in A]
$$

Axiom D.

$$
(A)[\sim \mathfrak{F m}(A) \cdot>\cdot(\exists u)(u \in A \cdot \mathfrak{F x}(u, A))]
$$

where the notion $\mathfrak{f x}(u, A)$ means " $u$ and $A$ are exclusive" (cf. [2], p.4, (1.23).

Axiom E.
$(\exists A)[\mathfrak{U n}(A) \cdot(x)(\sim \mathscr{G m}(x) \cdot>\cdot(\exists y)(y \in x \cdot\langle y x\rangle \in A))]$

## § 2. The model $\Lambda$

In this section we construct the model $\Lambda$ under the axioms $A, B$, $\mathrm{C} 1,2$ and 4.

## 1. Preliminary Definitions

We develop the theory of ordinal numbers under the axioms $A, B$, $\mathrm{C} 1,2$ and 4. (It is well known that is possible.) Ordinal numbers are denoted by small Greek letters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ etc. When $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are ordinal numbers, $\alpha \bullet \beta$ and $\alpha^{\beta}$ are the product and power in arithmetic of ordinal numbers. The existence of these functions are easily ensured. $\omega$ is the smallest infinite ordinal number.

We can define the function $j$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:
(1) $j \mathfrak{F n} 3 \times \omega^{\left(\omega^{\omega}\right)} \times \omega^{(\omega \omega)}$,
where 1,2 , and 3 are $O+\{0\}, 1+\{1\}$ and $2+\{2\}$ respectively, and $A \times B$ is the direct product of $A$ and $B$ (cf. [2], p. 14, 4.1).
(2) $\mu, \nu\left\langle 3 \cdot>\cdot(\langle\mu \alpha \beta\rangle S\langle\nu \gamma \delta\rangle \cdot\rangle \cdot j^{*}\langle\mu \alpha \beta\rangle\langle j ‘\langle\nu \gamma \delta\rangle)\right.$
where $S$ is the relation given in [2], p. 36, 9.2 , in which 9 is replaced by 3 . And $A^{\prime} x$ is the function given in [2] p. 16, 4. 65.
(3) $\mathfrak{W}(j)$ is an ordinal number, where $\mathfrak{W}(j)$ is the set of all values of $j$ (cf. [2] p. 15, 4.44).

Then it is clear that the function $j$ is a set.
Then in the similar way as in [2] p. 36, 9.24 we can easily give the functions (to be a set) $k_{0}, k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ which satisfy the following: if $\mu, \nu<4$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{0}{ }^{‘} \alpha=O \vee k_{0}{ }^{\prime} \alpha=1 \vee k_{0}{ }^{\circ} \alpha=2 \text {, } \\
& j^{‘}\left\langle k_{0}{ }^{`} \alpha, k_{1}{ }^{`} \alpha, k_{2}{ }^{\prime} \alpha\right\rangle=\alpha,
\end{aligned}
$$

and if $O<\mu<3$ then

$$
k_{i}{ }^{`} j^{\prime}\langle\mu \alpha \beta\rangle<j^{‘}\langle\mu \alpha \beta\rangle \quad i=1,2 .
$$

The existence of such functions are easily ensured.
2. First we define the function ' $f$ ' by transfinite induction simultaneously, which is defined over $\omega^{\omega}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{0}{ }^{\prime} \alpha=1 \cdot \supset \cdot f^{\prime} \alpha=\left\{f^{\iota} k_{1}{ }^{\prime} \alpha, f^{\prime} k_{2}{ }^{\prime} \alpha\right\} \\
& k_{0}{ }^{\prime} \alpha=2 \cdot>\cdot f^{\prime} \alpha=\mathfrak{S}\left(f^{\prime} k_{1}^{\prime} \alpha\right) \\
& k_{0}{ }^{\prime} \alpha=0 . \alpha<\omega .>: f^{\prime} \alpha=0 \\
& k_{0}{ }^{‘} \alpha=O . \alpha \geqq \omega . \supset: f^{\iota} \alpha=\omega
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\{a, b\}$ is the non-ordered pair of $a$ and $b$, and $\mathscr{S}(a)$ the sum of all elements of $a$.

Now we give the model $\Lambda$. The universal class of the model $\Lambda$ is $\mathfrak{M}(f)$ which is a set denoted by $v_{\lambda}$, i.e.

$$
v_{\lambda}=\mathfrak{W}(f) .
$$

$\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(a)$ means that $a$ is a set of the model $\Lambda$ and is given by the formula

$$
\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(a) \equiv . a \in v_{\lambda} .
$$

©) $\mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}(A)$ means that $A$ is a class of the model $\Lambda$ and is given by the formula

$$
\mathfrak{C l} \mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}(A) . \equiv . A \subseteq v_{\lambda} .
$$

$\epsilon_{\lambda}$ means the $\epsilon$-relation in the model $\Lambda$ and is defined by the formula

$$
A \in_{\lambda} B . \equiv: A \in B \cdot \mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(A) \cdot \mathfrak{c l}_{\lambda}(B) .
$$

Then operations and notions can be relativized for the model $\Lambda$ in the similar way as in [2], p. 41 and p. 42. The relativization of an operation $A$ is denoted by $A_{\lambda}$ and that of a notion $B$ by $B_{\lambda}$.

## § 3. Preliminary results

In this section we prove some lemmas with respect to the model $\Lambda$.

1. Following lemmas are concerning arithmetic of ordinal numbers.
1.1. Lemma. Let $\eta$ be an ordinal number such that $\omega \leqq \eta$ and $n$ such that $n<\omega$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\eta+1)^{2}=\eta^{2}+\eta \dot{+} 1 \\
& (\eta+1)^{3}=\eta^{3}+\eta^{2}+\eta+1
\end{aligned}
$$

And in case that $\eta \in K_{\text {II }}, n \bullet \eta=\eta$ and in case that $\eta=\xi \dot{+} m$ where $\xi \in K_{\text {II }}$ and $m<\omega, n \bullet \eta=\xi \dot{+} m n<\eta \bullet 2$.
1.2. Lemma. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be ordinal numbers such that $\alpha, \beta<\omega^{\left(\omega^{\omega)}\right)}$ and $\eta(=\operatorname{may}(\{\alpha, \beta\})) \geqq \omega$. If $\eta=\xi+1$ and $\mu<3$, then

$$
j^{‘}\langle\mu \alpha \beta\rangle \leqq i^{‘}\langle 2 \xi \xi\rangle \dot{+} 3 \odot \eta \dot{+} 3 \odot \eta \dot{+} \mu .
$$

If $\eta$ is a limit number and $\mu<3$, then

$$
j^{\dot{c}}\langle\mu \alpha \beta\rangle \leqq \lim _{\xi<\eta} j^{*}\langle 3 \xi \xi\rangle \dot{+} 4 \bullet \eta \dot{+} 4 \odot \eta \dot{+} \mu,
$$

where $\operatorname{Rim}_{\xi<\eta} j^{‘}\langle 2 \xi \xi\rangle$ is the limit of values $j\langle 2 \xi \xi\rangle$ for $\xi(<\eta)$.
1.3. Lemma. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be ordinal numbers such that $\alpha, \beta<\omega^{(\omega \omega)}$ and $\eta(=\max (\{\alpha \beta\})) \geqq \omega$. Then

$$
j^{\dot{c}}\langle\mu \alpha \beta\rangle<(\eta \dot{+1})^{3} .
$$

Proof. In case that $\eta=\omega, j^{‘}\langle\mu \alpha \beta\rangle \leqq \omega \oplus 3 \dot{+} \mu<(\omega \dot{+} 1)^{3}$. If $\eta=\xi \dot{+} 1$, then by Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.2

$$
j^{\mathrm{c}}\langle\mu \alpha \beta\rangle \leqq j^{\prime}\langle 2 \xi \xi\rangle+3 \odot \eta \dot{+} 3 \odot \eta \dot{+} \mu<\eta^{3}+\eta \bullet 3<(\eta \dot{+} 1)^{3} .
$$

If $\eta$ is a limit ordinal number, then by Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{+} \because \bullet 4 \leqq \eta^{3}+\eta \bullet 4<(\eta \dot{+} 1)^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

1.4. Lemma. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be ordinal numbers such that $\alpha, \beta<\omega^{(\omega n)}$, $n=0,1,2, \cdots$. Then $j^{\prime}\langle\mu \alpha \beta\rangle<\omega^{(\omega n)}$.

Proof. In case that $n=O$, we have Lemma in the same way in [2] p. 37, 9.26. Let $\eta(=\operatorname{Max}(\{\alpha, \beta\})) \geqq \omega$ and $\eta$ be of the form

$$
\omega^{\gamma_{1}}+\omega^{\gamma_{2}}+\cdots+\omega^{\gamma_{l}}, \quad \omega^{n}>\gamma_{1} \geqq \gamma_{2} \geqq \cdots \geqq \gamma_{l} .
$$

Then $\eta \dot{+} 1 \leqq \omega^{\gamma_{1}}(l \dot{+1})$ and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\eta \dot{+} 1)^{3} & \leqq\left(\omega^{\gamma_{1}} \odot(l \dot{+1})\right) \bullet\left(\omega^{\gamma_{1}} \odot(l \dot{+})\right) \bullet\left(\omega^{\gamma_{1}} \odot(l \dot{+} 1)\right) \\
& \leqq \omega^{\gamma_{1} \dot{+1}} \odot \omega^{\gamma_{1} \dot{+}+1} \odot \omega^{\gamma_{1} \dot{+}}=\omega^{\gamma_{1} \dot{+}+\dot{+}+\gamma_{1} \dot{+}+\gamma_{1} \dot{\gamma_{1}} \dot{+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

However $\omega^{n}>\gamma_{1}$. Hence $\gamma_{1} \dot{+} 1 \dot{+} \gamma_{1} \dot{+} 1 \dot{+} \gamma_{1} \dot{+} 1<\omega^{n}$. Therefore $(\eta \dot{+} 1)^{3}<\omega^{\omega n}$ and so we obtain Lemma by Lemma 1.3, q.e.d.
2. Following lemmas are derived from the definition of the function $f$ and lemmas in 1 in this section.
2.1. Lemma. $\omega \leq f " \omega$.

Proof. We prove by induction. $O=f^{\iota} O \in f^{"} \omega$. We prove that $n \dot{+} 1$ $\in f^{\prime \prime} \omega$ under the assumption $n \in f^{\prime \prime} \omega . \quad n \dot{+} 1=n+\{n\}=\mathfrak{S}(\{n,\{n\}\})$. When

$\mathfrak{S}(\{n,\{n\}\})=f^{‘} j^{‘}\left\langle 2 j^{‘}\left\langle 1 m j^{‘}\langle 1 m m\rangle\right\rangle, O\right\rangle$, where $j^{‘}\left\langle 2 j^{\bullet}\left\langle 1 m j^{‘}\langle 1 m m\rangle\right\rangle, O\right\rangle<\omega$ by Lemma 1.4, q.e.d.
2.2. Lemma. $\operatorname{Comp}\left(f^{\prime \prime} \alpha\right)$ for every ordinal number $\alpha$ such that $\alpha<\omega^{\omega}$. That is $(u)\left(u \in f^{\prime \prime} \alpha .>. u \leq f^{\prime \prime} \alpha\right)$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that $f^{\prime} \alpha \leq f^{\prime \prime} \alpha$ for every ordinal number $\alpha$ such that $\alpha<\omega^{\omega}$. Let $\alpha$ be the smallest ordinal number such that $f^{\prime} \alpha \Phi f^{\prime \prime} \alpha$.

When $k_{0}{ }^{\prime} \alpha=0$ and $\alpha<\omega, f^{\prime} \alpha=0 \subseteq f^{\prime \prime} \alpha$. When $\alpha \geqq \omega, f^{\prime} \alpha=\omega \subseteq f^{\prime \prime} \omega$ by Lemma 2.1.
 $\in f^{\prime \prime} \alpha$ from $k_{1} \alpha, k_{2} \alpha<\alpha$. Hence $f^{\prime} \alpha \leq f^{\prime \prime} \alpha$.
 the assumption of the induction. Therefore $v \in f^{\star} k_{1}{ }^{\prime} \alpha \cdot>\cdot(\exists \gamma)\left(v=f^{\prime} \gamma\right.$. $\gamma<k_{1}{ }^{\prime} \alpha$ ). Hence $v=f^{\prime} \gamma \leq f^{\prime \prime} \gamma \leq f^{\prime \prime} k_{1}{ }^{\prime} \alpha$ by the assumption of the induction. Hence $f^{\prime} k_{1}{ }^{\prime} \alpha=\mathfrak{S}\left(f^{\prime} k_{1}{ }^{\prime} \alpha\right) \subseteq f^{\prime \prime} k_{1}{ }^{\prime} \alpha \subseteq f^{\prime \prime} \alpha$.
2.3. Lemma. (Gomp $\left(v_{\lambda}\right)$, i.e. $(u)\left(u \in v_{\lambda} \cdot>\cdot u \subseteq v_{\lambda}\right)$.

Proof. If $u \in v_{\lambda}$, we have an ordinal number $\alpha$ such that $\alpha<\omega^{\omega}$ and $u=f^{\iota} \alpha$. From Lemma 2.2

$$
u=f^{\prime} \alpha \leq f^{\prime \prime} \alpha \leq v_{\lambda}, \quad \text { q.e.d. }
$$

2.4. Lemma. $\{a, b\} \in v_{\lambda}$. $\equiv: a \in v_{\lambda}, b \in v_{\lambda}$.

Proof. $\{a, b\} \in v_{\lambda} . D: a \in v_{\lambda} . b \in v_{\lambda}$ is followed from Lemma 2.3. Now let $a \in v_{\lambda}$ and $b \in v_{\lambda}$ i.e. $a=f^{\iota} \alpha, b=f^{\iota} \beta$ and $\alpha, \beta<\omega^{\omega}$. Then $\{a, b\}=f^{\star} j^{‘}\langle 1 \alpha \beta\rangle \in v_{\lambda}$, since $j^{\star}\langle 1 \alpha \beta\rangle<\omega^{\omega}$ from Lemma 1.4, q.e.d.
2.5. Lemma. $\langle a b\rangle \in v_{\lambda} . \equiv: a \in v_{\lambda} \cdot b \in v_{\lambda}$.

This follows from Lemma 2.4.
2. 6. Lemma. $v_{\lambda}^{2} \subseteq v_{\lambda}$.

This follows from Lemma 2.5.
2.7. Definition. The function od is defined by the following postulate. $\langle y x\rangle \in o d . \equiv:\langle x y\rangle \in f \cdot(z)[z \in y.\rangle . \sim\langle x z\rangle \in f] . o d \leq v_{\lambda}^{2}$.
2.8. Lemma. If $x \in y$ and $x, y \in v_{\lambda}$, then $o d^{d} x<o d^{d} y$.

Proof. Let $\alpha=o d^{\prime} x \quad \beta=o d^{\prime} y$. From Lemma $2.2 f^{\prime} \alpha \in f^{‘} \beta \subseteq f^{\prime \prime} \beta$. Hence $\alpha<\beta$,
q.e.d.
2.9. Lemma. If $x \in v_{\lambda}$, then $\sim(x \in x)$.

Proof. If $x \in x$, then $o d^{*} x<o d^{*} x$ by Lemma 2.8, q.e.d.
2.10. Lemma. If $\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(x)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(y)$, then $\{x y\}_{\lambda}=\{x y\}$ and $\langle x y\rangle_{\lambda}=$
 and ほfx $(A, B) \equiv \mathfrak{F r x}(A, B)$.
3. We can obtain the following lemmas from discussions in $\S 5$.
3.1. Lemma. $f^{\prime} \alpha$ is the form $O$, $\left\{f^{\prime} \alpha_{1}, \cdots, f^{\prime} \alpha_{n}\right\}, f^{\prime \prime} \omega$ or $f^{\prime \prime} \omega+$ $\left\{f^{\prime} \alpha_{1}^{\prime} \cdots, f^{\prime} \alpha_{n}\right\}$.
3. 2. Lemma. If $f^{\prime} \alpha=f^{\prime \prime} \omega$ and $f^{\prime} \beta \subseteq f^{\prime} \alpha$, then $f^{\prime} \beta \in f^{\prime \prime} \omega$ or $f^{‘} \beta=f^{\prime \prime} \omega$. If $f^{‘} \alpha=f^{\prime \prime} \omega+\left\{f^{\prime} \alpha_{1}, \cdots, f^{\prime} \alpha_{n}\right\}$, and $f^{\prime} \beta \subseteq f^{\prime} \alpha$, then $f^{‘} \beta \in f^{\prime "} \omega$, $f^{\prime} \beta=f^{\prime \prime} \omega$ or $f^{\prime} \beta$ is of the form $f^{\prime \prime} \omega+\left\{f^{\prime} \alpha_{i_{1}}, \cdots, f^{\prime} \alpha_{i_{m}}\right\}$.
§4. In this section we prove that the model $\Lambda$ satisfies the axiom groups A, B, D, E and C $1, \mathrm{C} 2$ and C 3 .

Group $\mathrm{A}_{\lambda}$
$1_{\lambda} .(x)\left[\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(x)>\mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{I}_{\lambda}(x)\right]$ is obtained from Lemma 2.3.
$2_{\lambda}$. $(x)(y)\left[\mathfrak{C l \mathfrak { F } _ { \lambda }}(x) . \mathfrak{C l} \mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}(y) \cdot x \in_{\lambda} y:>. \mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(x)\right]$
$3_{\lambda} .(A)(B)\left[\mathbb{I}_{\lambda}(A) . \subseteq \mathfrak{I}_{\lambda}(B) . \supset:(u)\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(u)>\left(u \in_{\lambda} A \equiv u \epsilon_{\lambda} B\right)\right)\right]:>:$. $A=B]$ is obtained from the facts that $A \subseteq v_{\lambda}$ and $B \subseteq v_{\lambda}$
which are derived from $\mathfrak{C l F}_{\lambda}(A)$ and $\mathfrak{C l z}_{\lambda}(B)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
4_{\lambda} \cdot & (x)(y)\left[\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(x) \cdot \mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(y) \cdot>:(\exists z)\left(\mathfrak { M } _ { \lambda } ( z ) \cdot ( u ) \left(\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(u) \cdot>: u \in_{\lambda} z .\right.\right.\right. \\
& \equiv \cdot(u=x \vee u=y)))]
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. When $x=f^{‘} \alpha$ and $y=f^{‘} \beta, f^{\prime} j^{‘}\langle 1 \alpha \beta\rangle$ satisfies the formula, q.e.d.

Group $B_{\lambda}$
$1_{\lambda} .(\exists A)\left[\mathbb{C} \mathfrak{\mathfrak { F } _ { \lambda }}(A) \cdot(x)(y)\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(x) \cdot \mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(y) \cdot\right\rangle:\left(\langle x y\rangle_{\lambda} \in_{\lambda} A . \equiv\right.\right.$. $\left.\left.\left.x \in_{\lambda} y\right)\right)\right]$

Proof. $E \cdot v_{\lambda}$ satisfies the formula, where $E$ is the class by the axiom $B_{1}$,
$2_{\lambda} .(A)(B)\left[\mathfrak{C l} \mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}(A) \cdot \mathfrak{C l F}_{\lambda}(B) \cdot>\cdot(\exists C)\left(\mathfrak{C l} \mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}(C) \cdot(u)\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(u) \cdot>:\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.\left(u \in_{\lambda} C . \equiv: u \in_{\lambda} A . u \in_{\lambda} B\right)\right)\right)\right]$

Proof. $A \cdot B$ satisfies $B 2_{\lambda}$.
$3_{\lambda} .(A)\left[\mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}(A)>(\exists B)\left(\mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}(B) \cdot(u)\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(u) \cdot>:\left(u \in_{\lambda} B . \equiv\right.\right.\right.\right.$. $\left.\left.\left.\sim\left(u \in_{\lambda} A\right)\right)\right)\right]$

Proof. Consider $v_{\lambda}-A$ as $B$, where $v_{\lambda}-A$ is the complement of $A$ with respect to $v_{\lambda}$. Then $v_{\lambda}-A$ satisfies $\mathrm{B} 3_{\lambda}$,
q.e.d.
$4_{\lambda} \cdot(A)\left[\mathbb{C} \mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}(A) \cdot>\cdot(\exists B)\left(\mathbb{C l} \mathfrak{I}_{\lambda}(B) \cdot(x)\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(x) \cdot>\cdot\left(x \in_{\lambda} B \cdot \equiv:\right.\right.\right.\right.$
$\left.\left.\left.\left.(\exists y)\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(y) \cdot\langle y x\rangle_{\lambda} \in_{\lambda} A\right)\right)\right)\right)\right]$
Proof. This is equivalent to
$(A)\left[\mathfrak{C l} \mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}(A) \cdot>\cdot(\exists B)\left(\mathfrak{C l} \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda}(B) \cdot(x)\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(x) \cdot>\cdot(x \in B \cdot \equiv:\right.\right.\right.$
$\left.\left.\left.\left.(\exists y)\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(y) \cdot\langle y x\rangle \in A\right)\right)\right)\right)\right]$
Consider $\mathfrak{D}\left(A \cdot v_{\lambda}^{2}\right)$ as $B$. Then $A \cdot v_{\lambda}^{2} \subseteq v_{\lambda}^{2} \cdot \mathfrak{D}\left(A \cdot v_{\lambda}^{2}\right) \subseteq v_{\lambda}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{I}_{\lambda}\left(\mathfrak{D}\left(A \cdot v_{\lambda}^{2}\right)\right)$. Moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
x \in \mathfrak{D}\left(A \cdot v_{\lambda}^{2}\right) & \equiv \cdot(\exists y)\left(\langle y x\rangle \in A \cdot v_{\lambda}^{2}\right) \\
& \equiv \cdot(\exists y)\left(\langle y x\rangle \in A \cdot\langle y x\rangle \in v_{\lambda}^{2}\right) \\
& \equiv \cdot(\exists y)\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(y) \cdot\langle y x\rangle \in A\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$5_{\lambda} .(A)\left[\mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{E}_{\lambda}(A) \cdot\right] \cdot(\exists B)\left(\mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}(B) \cdot(x)(y)\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(x) \cdot \mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(y) \cdot>\right.\right.$.
$\left.\left.\left.\left(\langle y x\rangle_{\lambda} \in_{\lambda} B . \equiv . x \in_{\lambda} A\right)\right)\right)\right]$.
Proof. This is equivalent to
$(A)\left[\mathfrak{C l} \mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}(A) \cdot>\cdot(\exists B)\left(\mathfrak{C l} \mathfrak{I}_{\lambda}(B) \cdot(x)(y)\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(x) \cdot \mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(y) \cdot>\cdot\right.\right.\right.$
$\left.\left.\left.\left(\langle y x\rangle_{\lambda} \in_{\lambda} B . \equiv . x \in_{\lambda} A\right)\right)\right)\right]$.
Consider $v_{\lambda} \times A$ as B. Since $A \subseteq v_{\lambda}$ and $v_{\lambda}^{2} \subseteq v_{\lambda}, v_{\lambda} \times A \subseteq v_{\lambda}$ and so $\mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}\left(v_{\lambda} \times A\right) . \quad\langle y x\rangle \in v_{\lambda} \times A$ is equivalent to $y \in v_{\lambda} \cdot x \in A$. Therefore if $\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(x)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(y)$, then $\langle y x\rangle \in v_{\lambda} \times A$ is equivalent to $x \in A$, q.e.d.

$$
\begin{aligned}
6_{\lambda} \cdot & (A)\left[\mathfrak { C } \mathfrak { \mathfrak { B } _ { \lambda } } ( A ) \cdot \supset \cdot ( \exists B ) \left(\mathfrak { G l } \mathfrak { E } _ { \lambda } ( B ) \cdot ( x ) ( y ) \left(\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(x) \cdot \mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(y) \cdot>\cdot\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left(\langle x y)_{\lambda} \in_{\lambda} B \cdot \equiv \cdot\langle y x\rangle_{\lambda} \in_{\lambda} A\right)\right)\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$


Then it is clear that $A^{-1}$ satisfies $B 6_{\lambda}$.
q.e.d.

$\left.\left.\left.\left.\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(y) \cdot \mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(z) \cdot\right\rangle^{\prime}\left(\langle x y z\rangle_{\lambda} \epsilon_{\lambda} B . \equiv .\langle y z x\rangle_{\lambda} \in_{\lambda} A\right)\right)\right)\right]$.
 so $\int_{\mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}}\left(\mathbb{C o w}_{2}(A)\right)$. Then $\int_{0_{0}}(A)$ satisfies $\mathrm{B} 7_{\lambda}$, q.e.d.
$8_{\lambda} \cdot(A)\left[\mathfrak{C l}_{\lambda}(A) \cdot>\cdot(\exists B)\left(\mathfrak{C l} \mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}(B) \cdot(x)(y)(z)\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(x) \cdot \mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(y)\right.\right.\right.$
$\left.\left.\left.\left.\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(z) \cdot\right\rangle \cdot\left(\langle x y z\rangle_{\lambda} \in_{\lambda} B \cdot \equiv \cdot\langle x z y\rangle_{\lambda} \in_{\lambda} A\right)\right)\right)\right]$.

Proof. Take $\int_{\mathfrak{o v}_{3}(A)}$ (cf. [2], p. 15, 4.411) as B. $\int_{\mathfrak{o b}_{3}(A) \subseteq v_{\lambda}}$ and so $\left.\mathfrak{C l}_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{C o b})_{3}(A)\right)$. Then $\mathfrak{C o b}_{3}(A)$ satisfies $B 8_{\lambda}$, q.e.d.

Group $C_{\lambda}$.
1 $\cdot \quad(\exists a)\left[\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(a) . \sim \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{m}_{\lambda}(a) .(x)\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(x) . x \in_{\lambda} a: \supset .(\exists y)\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(y)\right.\right.\right.$. $\left.\left.\left.y \in_{\lambda} a \cdot x<_{\lambda} y\right)\right)\right]$.

Proof. This is equivelent to

$$
(\exists a)\left[\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(a) \cdot \sim \mathfrak{F m}(a) \cdot(x)\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(x) \cdot x \in a:>\cdot(\exists y)\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(y) \cdot y \in a \cdot x<y\right)\right)\right] .
$$

Take $\omega$ as $a \cdot f^{\prime} \omega=\omega$ and so $\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(\omega)$. Then $\omega$ satisfies $C 1_{\lambda}$, q.e.d.
2. $\quad(x)\left[\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(x) \cdot>\cdot(\exists y)\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(y) \cdot(u)\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(u) \cdot>: u \in_{\lambda} y . \equiv\right.\right.\right.$.
$\left.\left.\left.(\exists v)\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(v) \cdot u \epsilon_{\lambda} v \cdot v \epsilon_{\lambda} x\right)\right)\right)\right]$.
Proof. Consider $\mathfrak{S}(x)$ as $y$. Then $\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(x) \cdot \supset \cdot \mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(\mathscr{S}(x))$. For $u$ such that $\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(u)$

$$
u \in_{\lambda} \mathfrak{S}(x) . \equiv \cdot u \in \mathbb{S}(x) . \equiv \cdot(\exists y)(u \in y \cdot y \in x)
$$

When $u \in y, y \in x \in v_{\lambda} .>. y \in v_{\lambda}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
u \in_{\lambda} \subseteq(x) & \equiv \cdot(\exists y)\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(y) \cdot u \in y \cdot y \in x\right) \\
& \equiv \cdot(\exists y)\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(y) \cdot u \in_{\lambda} y \cdot y \in_{\lambda} x\right), \quad \text { q.e.d. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$3_{\lambda} . \quad(x)\left[\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(x) \cdot>\cdot(\exists y)\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(y) \cdot(u)\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(u) \cdot>\cdot\left(u \in y \cdot \equiv . u S_{\lambda} y\right)\right)\right)\right]$
We easily obtain $\mathrm{C} 3_{\lambda}$ from Lemma 3.1 and 3.2 in $\S 3$.

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\mathfrak{j}}{ }_{\lambda}(A)$ and $\sim \mathfrak{G m}(A), A \subseteq v_{\lambda}$ and $(\exists y)\left(y \in A \subseteq v_{\lambda}\right)$. Hence we consider that with the smallest order of such $y$. Let it be $u$. Then $\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(u)$ as $u \in A \subseteq v_{\lambda}$. Now if $x \in u \cdot A$, then $o d^{\prime} x<o d^{\prime} u$. This contradicts the definition of $u$,

Axiom $\mathrm{E}_{\lambda} . \quad(\exists A)\left[\Subset \mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}(A) \cdot(x)\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(x) \cdot \sim \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{m}_{\lambda}(x) \cdot>:(\exists y)\left(\mathfrak{M}{ }_{\lambda}(y)\right.\right.\right.$. $\left.\left.\left.y \in_{\lambda} x \cdot\langle y x\rangle_{\lambda} \in_{\lambda} A\right)\right)\right]$.

Proof. We define the relation $A s$ by the formula: $\langle y x\rangle \in A s . \equiv$ : $y \in x .(z)\left[o d^{\prime} z<o d^{4} y . \subset \cdot \sim z \in x\right]: \Re \operatorname{lel}(A s) . A s \subseteq v_{\lambda}^{2}$. Then $A s$ satisfies axiom $\mathrm{E}_{\lambda}$,
q.e.d.
§5. In this section we prove that the model $\Lambda$ does not satisfy the axiom C $4_{\lambda}$ (Zermelo's 'Aussonderungsaxiom'). This is implied by C $4_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ (Fraenkel's axiom of substitution). Therefore, of course, the model $\Lambda$ does not satisfy the axiom $\mathrm{C} 4_{\lambda}$.

## 1. Some Lemmas.

1.1. Lemma. $\mathfrak{S}(\omega)=\omega$.
1.2. Lemma. If $\omega \leq f^{\prime} \alpha$, then $\omega \leq \subseteq\left(f^{\prime} \alpha\right)$.

Proof. If $\omega \subseteq f^{\prime} \alpha$, then $\mathfrak{S}\left(f^{\prime} \alpha\right)=\mathfrak{S}\left(f^{\prime} \alpha-\omega\right)+\mathfrak{S}(\omega)=\mathfrak{S}\left(f^{\prime} \alpha-\omega\right)$ $+\omega \geq \omega$ by Lemma 1.1, q.e.d.

1. 3. Lemma. $(u)\left[\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(u) \cdot>:((\exists i)(i<\omega . i \simeq u) \vee \omega \leq u)\right]$, where $a \simeq b$ means that sets $a$ and $b$ are equivalent (cf. [2], p.30, 8.13).

Proof. Let $u=f^{‘} \alpha$. We prove by transfinite induction on $\alpha$. If $\alpha=O$, then it is clear. If $k_{0}{ }^{\prime} \alpha=O$ and $\alpha<\omega$, then it is clear. In case that $k_{0}{ }^{\prime} \alpha=0$ and $\alpha \geqq \omega$, then $f^{\prime} \alpha=\omega \geq \omega$. In case that $k_{0}{ }^{\prime} \alpha=1, f^{\prime} \alpha=$ $\left\{f^{\prime} k_{1}{ }^{\prime} \alpha, f^{\prime} k_{1}{ }^{\prime} \alpha\right\}$ and so $f^{\prime} \alpha \simeq 2$. However

$$
2=\{0,\{0\}\}=j^{‘}\left\langle 1, O, j^{‘}\langle 100\rangle\right\rangle
$$

 $\left.\supset . \mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(x)\right)$.

First if $\omega \leq f^{\prime} k_{1}{ }^{\prime} \alpha$, then $\omega \leq f^{\prime} \alpha$. Second let $\sim \omega \leq f^{\prime} k_{1}{ }^{\prime} \alpha$. Then $(\exists i)\left(i<\omega . i \simeq f^{\prime} k_{1}{ }^{\prime} \alpha\right)$.

If $(x)\left(x \in f^{\prime} k_{1}^{\prime} \alpha>. \sim \omega \leq x\right)$, then $(x)(\exists j)\left(x \in f^{\prime} k_{1}^{\prime} \alpha \cdot>. j \simeq x\right)$ and so $(E j)\left(j<\omega . j \simeq f^{\prime} \alpha\right)$.

If $(\exists x)\left(x \in f^{\prime} k_{1}{ }^{\prime} \alpha \cdot \omega \subseteq x\right)$, then $\omega \subseteq \subseteq\left(f^{\prime} k_{1}{ }^{\prime} \alpha\right)=f^{\prime \prime} \alpha$, q.e.d.
1.4. Lemma. The $\omega-\{0\}$ does not belong to the model $\Lambda$.

Proof. From Lemma 1.4, if $\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(\omega-\{0\})$, then

$$
(\exists i)(i<\omega . i \simeq \omega-\{O\}) \vee \omega \leq \omega-\{O\}
$$

However $(i)(i<\omega . \supset . \sim(i \simeq \omega-\{O\}))$ and $\sim(\omega \leq \omega-\{O\})$. Therefore $\sim_{M_{\lambda}}(\omega-\{O\})$,
2. Theorem. The axiom $\mathrm{C} 4_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ does not hold.

Proof. We have the formula

$$
(x)\left[\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(x) \cdot>\cdot(x \in \omega-\{O\} \cdot \equiv \cdot x \in \omega \cdot \sim x=0)\right] .
$$

Therefore, if the axiom $C 4_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ holds, then $\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}(\omega-\{o\})$ and this contradicts Lemma 1.4,
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