Wang, H.-J. Osaka J. Math. 44 (2007), 817–827

# COHEN-MACAULAY LOCAL RINGS OF EMBEDDING DIMENSION e + d - k

HSIN-JU WANG

(Received July 11, 2006, revised November 13, 2006)

#### Abstract

In this paper, we prove the following. Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a *d*-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with multiplicity *e* and embedding dimension v = e + d - k, where  $k \ge 3$  and e - k > 1. If  $\lambda(\mathfrak{m}^3/J\mathfrak{m}^2) = 1$  and  $\mathfrak{m}^3 \subseteq J\mathfrak{m}$ , where *J* is a minimal reduction of  $\mathfrak{m}$ , then  $3 \le s \le \tau + k - 1$ , where *s* is the degree of the *h*-polynomial of *R* and  $\tau$  is the Cohen-Macaulay type of *R*.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a *d*-dimensional Noetherian local ring of multiplicity *e*. The Hilbert function of *R* is by definition the Hilbert function of the associated graded ring of *R*:

$$G:=\bigoplus_{n\geq 0}\mathfrak{m}^n/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1},$$

i.e.,

$$H_R(n) = dim_{R/\mathfrak{m}}\mathfrak{m}^n/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$$

The Hilbert series of R is the power eries

$$P_R(z) = \sum_{n\geq 0} H_R(n) z^n.$$

It is known that there is a polynomial  $h(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$  such that  $P_R(z) = h(z)/(1-z)^d$  and h(1) = e. This polynomial  $h(z) = h_0 + h_1 z + \cdots + h_s z^s$  is called the *h*-polynomial of *R*.

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a *d*-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with embedding dimension v = e + d - k, where  $k \ge 3$ . Let J be a minimal reduction of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Let  $\tau$  be the Cohen-Macaulay type of R, h = v - d and  $v_i = \lambda(\mathfrak{m}^{i+1}/J\mathfrak{m}^i)$  for every i; then there are at least two possible Hilbert series of R/J:  $P_{R/J}(z) = 1 + hz + z^2 + \cdots + z^k$  and  $P_{R/J}(z) = 1 + hz + (k - 1)z^2$ . In the first case, R is stretched (cf. definition below) and we have  $\mathfrak{m}^k \nsubseteq J\mathfrak{m}$ ; in the second case, following [3], we say that R is short and we have  $\mathfrak{m}^3 \subseteq J\mathfrak{m}$  and  $v_1 = k - 1$ .

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 13D40, 13H10.

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a *d*-dimensional local Cohen-Macaulay ring of multiplicity *e* and embedding dimension *v*. If d = 0, then *R* is called *stretched* if e - v is the least integer *i* such that  $\mathfrak{m}^{i+1} = 0$ . If d > 0, then *R* is *stretched* if there is a minimal reduction *J* of  $\mathfrak{m}$  such that R/J is stretched (cf. [6]), or equivalently,  $(\mathfrak{m}^2 + J)/J$  is principal. Regular local rings are not stretched since fields are not stretched. However, for any *d*-dimensional local Cohen-Macaulay ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  having infinite residue field, if v =e + d - 1 with e > 1 or v = e + d - 2 with e > 2, then *R* is stretched. Moreover, if v = e + d - 3 and *R* is Gorenstein, then *R* is stretched. These stretched rings have been studied in [6], [7] and [8]. In [4], Rossi and Valla extended the notion *stretched*. There they defined, for each  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal *I*, *I* is *stretched* if there is a minimal reduction *J* of *I* such that  $I^2 \cap J = IJ$  and  $\lambda(I^2/(JI + I^3)) = 1$ .

In [6], Sally studied the structure of stretched local Gorenstein rings, and use it to show in [8] that if (R, m) is a *d*-dimensional Gorenstein local ring with embedding dimension v = e + d - 3, then the associated graded ring of *R* is Cohen-Macaulay. This result has been generalized by Rossi and Valla in [3] as follows.

**Theorem 1.1** ([3, Theorem 2.6]). If  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a d-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring of multiplicity e = h + 3 and  $\lambda(\mathfrak{m}^3/J\mathfrak{m}^2) = 1$ , then  $s \le \tau + 2$ , where s is the degree of the h-polynomial of R.

In [4], Rossi and Valla generalized Theorem 1.1 to stretched m-primary ideals. In this note, we are able to generalize Theorem 1.1 in a different manner in Section 4 as follows. In which, we do not assume R is stretched. In stead, we assume that R is short and  $v_2 = 1$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a d-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring of multiplicity e = h + k, where  $k \ge 3$  and e - k > 1. If  $\lambda(\mathfrak{m}^3/J\mathfrak{m}^2) = 1$  and  $\mathfrak{m}^3 \subseteq J\mathfrak{m}$ , where J is a minimal reduction of  $\mathfrak{m}$ , then  $3 \le s \le \tau + k - 1$ , where s is the degree of the h-polynomial of R.

In the final section, we provide several examples to answer some questions raised by Rossi and Valla in [3].

## 2. One dimensional local Cohen-Macaulay ring

We state several facts of one dimensional local Cohen-Macaulay rings. These results can be derived easily from [1] and [5].

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a one dimensional local Cohen-Macaulay ring; then  $\lambda(\mathfrak{m}^n/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}) = e - \lambda(\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}/J\mathfrak{m}^n)$ , where J is any minimal reduction of  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a one dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with embedding dimension 2. Then G(R) is Gorenstein.

**Corollary 2.3.** Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a d-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with embedding dimension d + 1. Then G(R) is Gorenstein.

#### 3. Cohen-Macaulay local rings of embedding dimension e + d - k

Let (R, m) be a *d*-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with embedding dimension v = e + d - k, where  $k \ge 3$  and e - k > 1. Let  $\tau$  be the Cohen-Macaulay type of R, h = v - d and  $v_i = \lambda(m^{i+1}/Jm^i)$  for every *i*. Let J be a minimal reduction of m; then one of the possible Hilbert series of R/J is  $1 + hz + (k - 1)z^2$ . In this case,  $m^3 \subseteq Jm$  and  $v_1 = k - 1$ . If k = 3, it is shown in [3, Theorem 2.6] that if  $v_2 = 1$  then  $s \le \tau + 2$ , where s is the degree of the *h*-polynomial of R. We are able to generalize this result in this section.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a d-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring of multiplicity e = h + k, where  $k \ge 3$  and e - k > 1. If  $\lambda(\mathfrak{m}^3/J\mathfrak{m}^2) = 1$  and  $\mathfrak{m}^3 \subseteq J\mathfrak{m}$ , where J is a minimal reduction of  $\mathfrak{m}$ , then  $3 \le s \le \tau + k - 1$ , where s is the degree of the h-polynomial of R.

REMARK 3.2. (i) Notice that the assumption  $v_2 = 1$  ensures that the depth of G is at least d - 1 (cf. [3]). Therefore to show Theorem 3.1, we need only to consider the case when d = 1.

(ii) If d = 1, then s is the least integer for which  $\lambda(\mathfrak{m}^s/\mathfrak{m}^{s+1}) = e$ . (iii) Notice that  $\lambda(\mathfrak{m}^2/J\mathfrak{m}) = k - 1$ . Moreover, if  $\mathfrak{m}^2 = J\mathfrak{m} + (u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1})$ , then  $\{u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1}\}$  is part of a generating set of the socle of R.

By Remark 3.2, we may assume from now on that d = 1 and  $v_2 = 1$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** Let r be the reduction number of  $\mathfrak{m}$  with respect to J. If  $r \leq 3$ , then Theorem 3.1 holds.

Proof. If  $r \leq 3$ , then  $\mathfrak{m}^4 = J\mathfrak{m}^3$ , so that  $\lambda(\mathfrak{m}^3/\mathfrak{m}^4) = e$ , it follows that  $s \leq 3 \leq \tau + k - 1$  by the choice of s.

By Lemma 3.3, we may assume in the sequel that  $r \ge 4$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** The following hold for R: (i) If  $\mathfrak{m}^3 = J\mathfrak{m}^2 + (ab)$  for some  $b \in mathfracm^2$  and  $a \in \mathfrak{m}$ , then  $\mathfrak{m}^{i+1} = J\mathfrak{m}^i + (a^{i-1}b)$ for every  $i \ge 2$ . (ii) If  $y\mathfrak{m}^2 \nsubseteq J\mathfrak{m}^2$  for some  $y \in \mathfrak{m}$ , then  $y^3 \notin J\mathfrak{m}^2$ . In particular, there is an element  $y \in \mathfrak{m}$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}^{i+1} = J\mathfrak{m}^i + (y^{i+1})$  for every  $i \ge 2$ .

Proof. (i) If  $\mathfrak{m}^{i+1} = J\mathfrak{m}^i + (a^{i-1}b)$  for some  $i \ge 2$ , then  $\mathfrak{m}^{i+2} = J\mathfrak{m}^{i+1} + a^{i-1}b\mathfrak{m} \subseteq J\mathfrak{m}^{i+1} + a^{i-1}\mathfrak{m}^3 = J\mathfrak{m}^{i+1} + (a^ib) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{i+2}$ .

(ii) Suppose that  $ym^2 \not\subseteq Jm^2$ . Then there are  $u, v \in m$  such that  $uvy \notin Jm^2$ and  $m^3 = Jm^2 + (yuv)$ . Therefore,  $m^4 = Jm^3 + (y^2uv)$ . It follows that  $y^2u \notin Jm^2$  and  $m^3 = Jm^2 + (y^2u)$ . Thus,  $m^4 = Jm^3 + (y^3u)$  and then  $y^3 \notin Jm^2$ . Now, choose  $y \in m$ such that  $ym^2 \not\subseteq Jm^2$ , then  $m^{i+1} = Jm^i + (y^{i+1})$  for every  $i \ge 2$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** Let J = (x) be a minimal reduction of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . If there is an element  $y \in \mathfrak{m}$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}^{i+1} = J\mathfrak{m}^i + (y^{i+1})$  for every  $i \ge 2$ , then  $y^l x^t$  is a generator of the module  $(J^t \mathfrak{m}^l + \mathfrak{m}^{l+t+1})/(J^{t+1}\mathfrak{m}^{l-1} + \mathfrak{m}^{l+t+1})$  whenever  $2 \le l < r$ , where r is the reduction number of  $\mathfrak{m}$  with respect to J.

Proof. If not,  $y^l x^t \in J^{t+1}\mathfrak{m}^{l-1} + \mathfrak{m}^{l+t+1}$ , so that  $y^r x^t \in x^{t+1}\mathfrak{m}^{r-1}$ , it follows that  $y^r \in J\mathfrak{m}^{r-1}$ , a contradiction. Therefore, the conclusion holds.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a one dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring of multiplicity e = h + k, where  $k \ge 3$  and e - k > 1. Assume that  $\lambda(\mathfrak{m}^3/J\mathfrak{m}^2) = \lambda(\mathfrak{m}^4/J\mathfrak{m}^3) = 1$  and  $\mathfrak{m}^3 \subseteq J\mathfrak{m}$ , where J = (x) is a minimal reduction of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Then there is a basis  $\{x, y_1, \ldots, y_{\tau}, z_1, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k}\}$  of  $\mathfrak{m}$ , elements  $u_{i+1}, \ldots, u_{k-1}$  contained in  $\mathfrak{m}$  and elements  $\{c_{ij} \mid i = 1, \ldots, k-1, j = 1, \ldots, j_i\}$  contained in the ideal  $(y_2, \ldots, y_{k-1}, z_1, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k})$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} j_i(k-i) = e - \tau - k$  such that J = (x) and the following hold:

(i)  $\mathfrak{m}^{i+1} = J\mathfrak{m}^i + (y_1^{i+1})$  for every  $i \ge 2$ .

(ii)  $\mathfrak{m}^2 = J\mathfrak{m} + (y_1^2, y_1 y_2, \dots, y_1 y_t, y_{t+1} u_{t+1}, \dots, y_{k-1} u_{k-1})$ , where  $t = \lambda((y_1\mathfrak{m} + J\mathfrak{m})/J\mathfrak{m})$ . (iii)  $\{y_1^2, y_1 y_2, \dots, y_1 y_t, y_{t+1} u_{t+1}, \dots, y_{k-1} u_{k-1}, y_k, \dots, y_{\tau}\}$  is a generating set of the socle of R.

(iv)  $y_1y_i \in J\mathfrak{m}$  for  $i \ge t+1$  and  $y_1z_i \in J\mathfrak{m}$  for every i.

(v)  $y_i \mathfrak{m}^3 \subseteq J\mathfrak{m}^3$  for every  $i \geq 2$  and  $z_i \mathfrak{m}^3 \subseteq J\mathfrak{m}^3$  for every  $i \geq 1$ . (vi)  $\{z_1, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k}\} = \bigcup_{i,j,k} \{z_{ij}^{(l)}\}, \lambda((c_{ij}\mathfrak{m}+J\mathfrak{m})/J\mathfrak{m}) = k-i \text{ and } \mathfrak{m}^2 = J\mathfrak{m} + \sum_{l=1}^{k-i} z_{ij}^{(l)} c_{ij}$ for every  $i = 1, \ldots, k-1$  and  $j = 1, \ldots, j_i$ . (vii)  $c_{ij} z_{i'j'}^{(l)} \in J\mathfrak{m}$  if i < i' or i = i' but j < j'. (viii)  $y_1^3 \notin J(z_1, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k}) + J\mathfrak{m}^2$ .

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, there is an element  $y_1 \in \mathfrak{m}$  such that (i) hold. Let  $t = \lambda((y_1\mathfrak{m} + J\mathfrak{m})/J\mathfrak{m})$ ; then there are  $y_2, \ldots, y_{k-1}, u_{t+1}, \ldots, u_{k-1} \in \mathfrak{m}$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}^2 = J\mathfrak{m} + (y_1^2, y_1y_2, \ldots, y_1y_t, y_{t+1}u_{t+1}, \ldots, y_{k-1}u_{k-1})$  and  $y_1\mathfrak{m} + J\mathfrak{m} = (y_1^2, y_1y_2, \ldots, y_1y_t) + J\mathfrak{m}$ . We may assume that  $y_1^2y_i \in J\mathfrak{m}^2$  for  $2 \le i \le t$  by replacing  $y_i$  by  $y_i + \lambda y_1$  if necessary, and assume that  $y_1y_j \in J\mathfrak{m}$  for  $t + 1 \le j \le k - 1$  by replacing  $y_j$  by  $y_j + \lambda_1y_1 + \cdots + \lambda_ty_t$  if necessary. It follows that  $y_i\mathfrak{m}^3 = (y_iy_1^3) + J\mathfrak{m}^3 = J\mathfrak{m}^3$  for every  $i \le k - 1$ . Since the Cohen-Macaulay type of R is  $\tau$  and  $\{y_1^2, y_1y_2, \ldots, y_1y_t, y_{t+1}u_{t+1}, \ldots, y_{k-1}u_{k-1}\}$  is part of a generating set of the socle of R, we may choose  $y_k, \ldots, y_{\tau}, z_1, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k} \in \mathfrak{m}$  such that  $\{y_k, \ldots, y_{\tau}, z_1, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k}\}$  is part of a generating set of the socle of R. If  $z_iy_1 \notin J\mathfrak{m}$  for some i, then we may replace  $z_i$  by

 $z_i + \alpha_1 y_1 + \cdots + \alpha_t y_t$  if necessary and assume that  $z_i y_1 \in J\mathfrak{m}$  for every *i*. Therefore  $z_i\mathfrak{m}^3 \subseteq J\mathfrak{m}^3 + z_i y_1\mathfrak{m}^2 \subseteq J\mathfrak{m}^3$ . Hence, the basis  $\{x, y_1, \ldots, y_\tau, z_1, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k}\}$  of  $\mathfrak{m}$  satisfies (i) to (v) so far.

**Claim.** For any integer i = 1, ..., k-1, there is an integer  $j_i$ , a basis  $\{x, y_1, ..., y_{\tau}, z_1, ..., z_{e-\tau-k}\}$  of  $\mathfrak{m}$  and elements  $\{c_{ij} \mid j = 1, ..., j_i\}$  contained in the ideal  $(y_2, ..., y_{k-1}, z_1, ..., z_{e-\tau-k})$  such that not only (i) to (v) but also the following hold: (a)  $\lambda((c_{ij}\mathfrak{m} + J\mathfrak{m})/J\mathfrak{m}) = k - i, \mathfrak{m}^2 = J\mathfrak{m} + (z_{ij}^{(1)}c_{ij}, ..., z_{ij}^{(k-i)}c_{ij}).$ 

(b)  $c_{ij}z_{ij'}^{(l)} \in J\mathfrak{m}$  for every l if j < j' and  $c_{ij}z \in J\mathfrak{m}$  for every generator of the ideal generated by  $S_i$ , where  $S_i = \{z_1, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k}\} - \{z_{i'j}^{(l)} \mid 1 \le i' \le i, 1 \le j \le j_i, 1 \le l \le k-i\}$ .

Note that (vi) and (vii) follows from the Claim.

Proof of the Claim. We proceed by induction on *i*. Let *z* be any generator of the ideal  $(z_1, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k})$ . Since  $y_1z$ ,  $y_iz \in Jm$  for every  $i \ge k$ , there is an element  $c \in (y_2, \ldots, y_{k-1}, z_1, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k})$  such that  $cz \notin Jm$ . If for any generating set  $\{z'_1, \ldots, z'_{e-\tau-k}\}$  of the ideal  $(z_1, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k})$  there is no element  $c \in (y_2, \ldots, y_{k-1}, z_1, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k})$  such that  $m^2 = (cz'_1, \ldots, cz'_{k-1}) + Jm$ , then the Claim holds for i = 1. If not, we may assume that  $m^2 = (c_{11}z_1, \ldots, c_{11}z_{k-1}) + Jm$  for some  $c_{11} \in (y_2, \ldots, y_{k-1}, z_1, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k})$ . Set  $z_{11}^{(l)} = z_l$ . Let *z* be any generator of the ideal  $(z_k, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k})$ . If  $c_{11z} \notin Jm$ , then there are elements  $\alpha_i$  such that  $c_{11z} - (\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} c_{11}z_{11}^{(i)}) \in Jm$ , so that we may replace *z* by  $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} z_{11}^{(i)}$  if necessary and assume that  $c_{11z} \in Jm$ . If for any generating set  $\{z'_k, \ldots, z'_{e-\tau-k}\}$  of the ideal  $(z_k, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k})$  there is no element  $c \in (y_2, \ldots, y_{k-1}, z_1, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k})$ . If  $c_{11z} \notin Jm$ , then ideal  $(z_1, \ldots, z'_{e-\tau-k})$  of the ideal  $(z_k, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k})$  there is no element  $c \in (y_2, \ldots, y_{k-1}, z_1, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k})$  and  $z_1, \ldots, z'_{e-\tau-k}$  of the ideal  $(z_k, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k})$  there is no element  $c \in (y_2, \ldots, y_{k-1}, z_1, \ldots, z'_{e-\tau-k})$  such that  $m^2 = (cz'_k, \ldots, cz'_{2k-2}) + Jm$ , then again the Claim holds for i = 1. If not, we may use the same trick to find  $c_{12}, c_{13}, \ldots$  so that the Claim holds for i = 1.

Suppose now we have shown that the Claim holds for any integer  $i' \leq i$  for some  $i \geq 1$ . Let  $m = \sum_{i'=1}^{i} j_{i'}(k-i')$  and  $S_i = \{z_{m+1}, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k}\}$ . If for any generating set  $\{z'_{m+1}, \ldots, z'_{e-\tau-k}\}$  of the ideal generated by  $S_i$  there is no element  $c \in (y_2, \ldots, y_{k-1}, z_1, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k})$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}^2 = (cz'_{m+1}, \ldots, cz'_{m+k-i-1}) + J\mathfrak{m}$ , then the Claim holds for i + 1. If not, we may assume that for some  $c_{i+1,1} \in (y_2, \ldots, y_{k-1}, z_1, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k})$ ,  $\mathfrak{m}^2 = (c_{i+1,1}z_{m+1}, \ldots, c_{i+1,1}z_{m+k-i-1}) + J\mathfrak{m}$ . Set  $z_{i+1,1}^{(l)} = z_{m+l}$ . As before, we may assume that  $c_{i+1,1}z \in J\mathfrak{m}$  for every generator z of the ideal  $(z_{m+k-i}, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k})$ . If for any generating set  $\{z'_{m+k-i}, \ldots, z'_{e-\tau-k}\}$  of the ideal  $(z_{m+k-i}, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k})$  there is no element  $c \in (y_2, \ldots, y_{k-1}, z_1, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k})$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}^2 = (cz'_{m+k-i}, \ldots, cz'_{m+2k-2i-2}) + J\mathfrak{m}$ , then again the Claim holds for i + 1. If not, we may use the same trick to find  $c_{i+1,2}, c_{i+1,3}, \ldots$  so that the Claim holds for i + 1. The Claim is now fulfilled.

To finish the proof, assume that  $y_1^3 \in J(z_1, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k}) + J\mathfrak{m}^2$ . Then there are  $\delta_i \in R$  not all in  $\mathfrak{m}$  such that  $y_1^3 - \sum_{i=1}^{e-\tau-k} \delta_i z_i x \in J\mathfrak{m}^2$ . Let t be the smallest integer

for which  $\delta_t$  is a unit; then  $y_1^3 - \sum_{i=t}^{e-\tau-k} \delta_i z_i x \in J\mathfrak{m}^2$ . Let  $z = c_{ij}$  if  $z_t = z_{ij}^{(l)}$  for some l; then  $z \cdot \left(\sum_{i=t}^{e-\tau-k} \delta_i z_i\right) x - zy_1^3 \in J\mathfrak{m}^3$ , so that  $z \cdot \left(\sum_{i=t}^{e-\tau-k} \delta_i z_i\right) \in \mathfrak{m}^3 \subseteq J\mathfrak{m}$ as  $z \in (y_2, \ldots, y_{k-1}, z_1, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k})$ . However,  $z \cdot \left(\sum_{i=t}^{e-\tau-k} \delta_i z_i\right) \notin J\mathfrak{m}$  by the Claim, a contradiction. Therefore (viii) holds.

Now, we are ready for:

Proof of Theorem 3.1. From the above, we may assume that d = 1,  $\tau \ge 2$  and  $r \ge 4$ , where r is the reduction number of some minimal reduction J of m. By Theorem 3.6, there is a basis  $\{x, y_1, \ldots, y_{\tau}, z_1, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k}\}$  of  $\mathfrak{m}$ , elements  $u_{t+1}, \ldots, u_{k-1}$ contained in m and elements  $\{c_{ij} \mid i = 1, ..., k - 1, j = 1, ..., j_i\}$  contained in the ideal  $(y_2, \ldots, y_{k-1}, z_1, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k})$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} j_i(k-i) = e - \tau - k$  such that J = (x)and the following hold: (i)  $\mathfrak{m}^{i+1} = J\mathfrak{m}^i + (y_1^{i+1})$  for every  $i \ge 2$ . (ii)  $\mathfrak{m}^2 = J\mathfrak{m} + (y_1^2, y_1 y_2, \dots, y_1 y_t, y_{t+1} u_{t+1}, \dots, y_{k-1} u_{k-1})$ , where  $t = \lambda((y_1 \mathfrak{m} + J\mathfrak{m})/J\mathfrak{m})$ . (iii)  $\{y_1^2, y_1 y_2, \dots, y_1 y_t, y_{t+1} u_{t+1}, \dots, y_{k-1} u_{k-1}, y_k, \dots, y_t\}$  is a generating set of the socle of R. (iv)  $y_1 y_i \in J\mathfrak{m}$  for  $i \ge t+1$  and  $y_1 z_i \in J\mathfrak{m}$  for every *i*. (v)  $y_i \mathfrak{m}^3 \subseteq J \mathfrak{m}^3$  for every  $i \ge 2$  and  $z_i \mathfrak{m}^3 \subseteq J \mathfrak{m}^3$  for every  $i \ge 1$ . (vi)  $\{z_1, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k}\} = \bigcup_{i, j, k} \{z_{ij}^{(l)}\}, \ \lambda((c_{ij}\mathfrak{m} + J\mathfrak{m})/J\mathfrak{m}) = k - i \text{ and } \mathfrak{m}^2 = J\mathfrak{m} + \sum_{l=1}^{k-i} z_{ij}^{(l)} c_{ij}$ for every  $i = 1, \ldots, k - 1$  and  $j = 1, \ldots, j_i$ . (vii)  $c_{ij} z_{i'i'}^{(l)} \in J\mathfrak{m}$  if i < i' or i = i' but j < j'. (viii)  $y_1^3 \notin J(z_1, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k}) + J\mathfrak{m}^2$ . If  $\tau \ge h$ , then  $s \le e - 1 = h + k - 1 \le \tau + k - 1$  by [2] and we are done. Therefore, we may assume that  $\tau < h$ . To show that  $s \leq \tau + k - 1$ , it is enough to show that  $\lambda(\mathfrak{m}^{\tau+k-1}/\mathfrak{m}^{\tau+k}) = e$  by Remark 3.2 (ii). Moreover, by Lemma 3.5,  $\{y_1^{\tau+k-1}, y_1^{\tau+k-2}x, \ldots, \}$  $y_1^2 x^{\tau+k-3}$  are generators of the module  $\mathfrak{m}^{\tau+k-1}/(J^{\tau+k-2}\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{m}^{\tau+k})$ , therefore to show that  $\lambda(\mathfrak{m}^{\tau+k-1}/\mathfrak{m}^{\tau+k}) = e$  it is enough to show that

$$\{y_1x^{\tau+k-2}, x^{\tau+k-1}, z_1x^{\tau+k-2}, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k}x^{\tau+k-2}\}$$

is a linearly independent set in  $(x^{\tau+k-2}\mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{m}^{\tau+k})/\mathfrak{m}^{\tau+k}$ .

Suppose not, there are  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\delta_i$  in R not all in m such that

$$\alpha y_1 x^{\tau+k-2} + \beta x^{\tau+k-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{e-\tau-k} \delta_i z_i x^{\tau+k-2} \in \mathfrak{m}^{\tau+k}.$$

Then

$$\alpha y_1^r x^{\tau+k-2} + \beta y_1^{r-1} x^{\tau+k-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{e-\tau-k} \delta_i z_i x^{\tau+k-2} y_1^{r-1} \in \mathfrak{m}^{\tau+r+k-1},$$

so that  $\alpha y_1^r x^{\tau+k-2} \in x^{\tau+k-1} \mathfrak{m}^{r-1}$  as  $y_1 z_i \in J\mathfrak{m}$ , it follows that  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}$  by the choice of r. Therefore  $\beta x^{\tau+k-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{e^{-\tau}-k} \delta_i z_i x^{\tau+k-2} \in \mathfrak{m}^{\tau+k}$ . If  $\delta_i \in \mathfrak{m}$  for every *i*, then  $x^{\tau+k-1} \in \mathfrak{m}$  $\mathfrak{m}^{\tau+k}$ , which is impossible. So, there is an integer *i* such that  $\delta_i$  is a unit. By replacing

*z<sub>i</sub>* by  $z_i + \beta/\delta_i x$ , we may assume that  $\beta \in \mathfrak{m}$ . Hence  $\sum_{i=1}^{e^{-\tau}-k} \delta_i z_i x^{\tau+k-2} \in \mathfrak{m}^{\tau+k}$ . Let *t* be the smallest integer for which  $\delta_t$  is a unit; then  $\sum_{i=t}^{e^{-\tau}-k} \delta_i z_i x^{\tau+k-2} \in \mathfrak{m}^{\tau+k}$ . Let *t*  $\sum_{i=t}^{e^{-\tau}-k} \delta_i z_i x^{\tau+k-2} \in \mathfrak{m}^{\tau+k}$ . Let  $z \leq \tau + k$  be the integer such that  $\sum_{i=t}^{e^{-\tau}-k} \delta_i z_i x^{\tau+k-2} \in J^{\tau+k-\alpha} \mathfrak{m}^{\alpha} - J^{\tau+k+1-\alpha} \mathfrak{m}^{\alpha-1}$ . If  $\sum_{i=t}^{e^{-\tau}-k} \delta_i z_i x^{\tau+k-2} \in J^{\tau+k-3} \mathfrak{m}^3$ , then  $\sum_{i=t}^{e^{-\tau}-k} \delta_i z_i x \in \mathfrak{m}^3 = (y_1^3) + J\mathfrak{m}^2$ , so that  $\sum_{i=t}^{e^{-\tau}-k} \delta_i z_i x \in J\mathfrak{m}^2$  by (viii), it follows that  $\sum_{i=t}^{e^{-\tau}-k} \delta_i z_i \in \mathfrak{m}^2$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $\alpha \geq A$ . fore,  $\alpha \geq 4$ . Since  $\mathfrak{m}^{\alpha} = (y^{\alpha}) + J\mathfrak{m}^{\alpha-1}$  and  $\lambda(\mathfrak{m}^{\alpha}/J\mathfrak{m}^{\alpha-1}) = 1$ , there is a *unit*  $\lambda_1$ such that

(1) 
$$\sum_{i=t}^{e-\tau-k} \delta_i z_i x^{\alpha-2} - \lambda_1 y_1^{\alpha} \in J\mathfrak{m}^{\alpha-1}.$$

Let  $z = c_{ij}$  if  $z_t = z_{ij}^{(l)}$ ; then  $z \cdot \left(\sum_{i=t}^{e^{-\tau}-k} \delta_i z_i\right) \notin J\mathfrak{m}$  by (vi) and (vii). Moreover,

$$z\left(\sum_{i=t}^{e-\tau-k}\delta_i z_i x^{\alpha-2}\right)-\lambda_1 y_1^{\alpha} z\in J\mathfrak{m}^{\alpha}.$$

Furthermore,  $y_1^3 z \in J\mathfrak{m}^3$  by (v), we have  $z(\sum_{i=t}^{e^{-\tau-k}} \delta_i z_i x^{\alpha-3}) \in \mathfrak{m}^{\alpha}$ . Therefore, there is an element  $\lambda_2$  of *R* such that

(2) 
$$z\left(\sum_{i=t}^{e-\tau-k}\delta_i z_i x^{\alpha-3}\right) - \lambda_2 y_1^{\alpha} \in J\mathfrak{m}^{\alpha-1}.$$

From (1) and (2), we see that there is an element  $\lambda_3$  of R such that

$$(z-\lambda_3 x)\left(\sum_{i=t}^{e-\tau-k}\delta_i z_i\right)x^{\alpha-4}\in\mathfrak{m}^{\alpha-1}.$$

Let  $\beta \leq \alpha - 4 \leq \tau + k - 4$  be the non-negative integer such that

$$(z-\lambda_3 x)\left(\sum_{i=t}^{e-\tau-k}\delta_i z_i\right)x^{\beta}\in\mathfrak{m}^{\beta+3}\setminus J\mathfrak{m}^{\beta+2}.$$

Since  $z \cdot \left(\sum_{i=t}^{e^{-\tau-k}} \delta_i z_i\right) \notin J\mathfrak{m}$ ,  $(z - \lambda_3 x) \left(\sum_{i=t}^{e^{-\tau-k}} \delta_i z_i\right) \notin J\mathfrak{m}^2$ ,  $\beta$  exists. Moreover, there is a *unit*  $\lambda_4$  of *R* such that

(3) 
$$(z-\lambda_3 x)\left(\sum_{i=t}^{e-\tau-k}\delta_i z_i\right)x^{\beta}-\lambda_4 y_1^{\beta+3}\in J\mathfrak{m}^{\beta+2}.$$

On the other hand, from (1), we have

$$y_1^{r-\alpha+1}\left(\sum_{i=t}^{e-\tau-k}\delta_i z_i\right)x^{\alpha-2}-\lambda_1 y_1^{r+1}\in J\mathfrak{m}^r,$$

or equivalently,

$$y_1^{r-\alpha+1}\left(\sum_{i=t}^{e-\tau-k}\delta_i z_i\right)x^{\alpha-3}\in\mathfrak{m}^r.$$

Since  $\mathfrak{m}^r = (y_1^r) + J\mathfrak{m}^{r-1}$ , there is an element  $\lambda_5$  of R such that

(4) 
$$y_1^{r-\alpha+1}\left(\sum_{i=t}^{e-\tau-k}\delta_i z_i\right)x^{\alpha-3}-\lambda_5 y_1^r\in J\mathfrak{m}^{r-1}.$$

However, from (1), we have

(5) 
$$y_1^{r-\alpha} \left( \sum_{i=t}^{e-\tau-k} \delta_i z_i \right) x^{\alpha-2} - \lambda_1 y_1^r \in J\mathfrak{m}^{r-1}$$

Thus, from (4) and (5), we obtain that

(6) 
$$y_1^{r-\alpha}(y_1-\lambda_6 x)\left(\sum_{i=t}^{e-\tau-k}\delta_i z_i\right)x^{\alpha-4}\in\mathfrak{m}^{r-1},$$

for some element  $\lambda_6$  of *R*. Now, if we can show that

(7) 
$$\widetilde{y_1^{r-\beta-3}} \left( \sum_{i=t}^{e-\tau-k} \delta_i z_i \right) x^{\beta} \in \mathfrak{m}^{r-1}$$

for some element  $\widetilde{y_1^{r-\beta-3}} \in \mathfrak{m}^{r-\beta-3} \setminus J\mathfrak{m}^{r-\beta-4}$ , then from (3) and (7), we see that

$$(z-\lambda_3 x)\widetilde{y_1^{r-\beta-3}} \left(\sum_{i=t}^{e-\tau-k} \delta_i z_i\right) x^{\beta} - \lambda_4 y_1^{\beta+3} \widetilde{y_1^{r-\beta-3}} \in J\mathfrak{m}^{r-1}$$

and  $(z - \lambda_3 x) \widetilde{y_1^{r-\beta-3}} \left( \sum_{i=t}^{e-\tau-k} \delta_i z_i \right) x^{\beta} \in z\mathfrak{m}^{r-1} + J\mathfrak{m}^{r-1} = J\mathfrak{m}^{r-1}$  by (v), therefore  $\lambda_4 y_1^{\beta+3} \widetilde{y_1^{r-\beta-3}} \in J\mathfrak{m}^{r-1}$ , which contradicts to the choice of r. Hence, we conclude that  $\{y_1 x^{\tau+k-2}, x^{\tau+k-1}, z_1 x^{\tau+k-2}, \ldots, z_{e-\tau-k} x^{\tau+k-2}\}$  is a linearly independent set in  $(x^{\tau+k-2}\mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{m}^{\tau+k})/\mathfrak{m}^{\tau+k}$ .

824

Finally, by (6), we may prove (7) by reverse induction. Suppose we have shown that for some  $\delta$ ,  $\beta < \delta \le \alpha - 4$ ,

$$\widetilde{y_1^{r-\delta-3}}\left(\sum_{i=t}^{e-\tau-k}\delta_i z_i\right)x^{\delta}\in\mathfrak{m}^{r-1}$$

for some element  $\widetilde{y_1^{r-\delta-3}} \in \mathfrak{m}^{r-\delta-3} \setminus J\mathfrak{m}^{r-\delta-4}$ . Then there is an element  $\lambda_6 \in R$  such that

(8) 
$$y_1 \widetilde{y_1^{r-\delta-3}} \left( \sum_{i=t}^{e-\tau-k} \delta_i z_i \right) x^{\delta} - \lambda_6 y_1^r \in J\mathfrak{m}^{r-1}.$$

From (5) and (8), we see that

$$\widetilde{y_1^{r-\delta-2}}\left(\sum_{i=t}^{e-\tau-k}\delta_i z_i\right)x^{\delta} \in J\mathfrak{m}^{r-1}$$

for some element  $\widetilde{y_1^{r-\delta-2}} \in \mathfrak{m}^{r-\delta-2} \setminus J\mathfrak{m}^{r-\delta-3}$ , it follows that

$$\widetilde{y_1^{r-\delta-2}} \left( \sum_{i=t}^{e-\tau-k} \delta_i z_i \right) x^{\delta-1} \in \mathfrak{m}^{r-1}.$$

We end this section by providing the following example.

EXAMPLE 3.7. Let K be a field and  $R = K[[x, y, z_1, ..., z_{k-1}]]/I$ , where I is the ideal of R generated by the set

$$\{z_1^3 - xy, y^2, yz_1, \dots, yz_{k-1}, z_1z_2, \dots, z_1z_{k-1}\} \cup \{z_iz_j \mid 2 \le i \le j \le k-1\}.$$

The it is easy to see the following hold:

(i) *R* is a 1-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{k-1})/I$ . (ii) *x* is a regular element of *R* and *xR* is a minimal reduction of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . (iii) v = k + 1, h = k and e = 2k. (iv)  $\mathfrak{m}^3 \subseteq x\mathfrak{m}$ ,  $\{z_1^3\}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{m}^3/x\mathfrak{m}^2$  and  $\{z_1^2, z_1z_2, \dots, z_1z_{k-1}\}$  is a basis of  $\lambda(\mathfrak{m}^2/x\mathfrak{m})$ . (v)  $H_R(z) = 1 + (k+1)z + (2k-1)z^2 + \sum_{i=3}^{\infty} 2kz^i = (1 + kz + (k-2)z^2 + z^3)/(1-z)$  and  $H_{R/xR}(z) = 1 + kz + (k-1)z^2$ . (vi) s = r = 3. (vii) depth G = 0.

# 4. Examples

In [3], Rossi and Valla raised the following questions:

QUESTION 1. Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a *d*-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with embedding dimension v = e + d - 3. If  $\tau \ge h$ , then is depth  $G \ge d - 1$ ?

QUESTION 2. If (R, m) is a *d*-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local stretched domain with multiplicity e = h + 3 and  $\tau = 2$ , then is *G* Cohen-Macaulay?

We give counterexamples to these questions as follows.

EXAMPLE 4.1. Let K be a field and  $R = K[[x, y, z, u, v]]/(u^3 - xz, v^3 - yz, u^4, v^4, uv, z^2, zu, zv)$ ; then  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a 2-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring and x, y is a regular sequence of  $\mathfrak{m}$ , where  $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y, z, u, v)R$ . Moreover, h = 3, e = 6 and  $\tau = 3$  as  $\{u^2, v^2, z\}$  generates the socle of R. However,  $z \in (\mathfrak{m}^3 : (x, y))$  and  $z \notin \mathfrak{m}^2$ , therefore the depth of G is 0.

EXAMPLE 4.2. Let K be a field and  $R = K[[t^5, t^6, t^{14}]]$ ; then  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a onedimensional Cohen-Maculay local domain, where  $\mathfrak{m} = (t^5, t^6, t^{14})R$ . Let  $x = t^5$ ,  $y = t^6$ and  $z = t^{14}$ ; then h = 2, e = 5 = h + 3 and  $\tau = 2$  as  $\{z, y^3\}$  generates the socle of R. Moreover,

$$P_{R/xR}(z) = 1 + 2z + z^2 + z^3$$

and

$$P_R(z) = \frac{1 + 2z + z^2 + z^4}{1 - z}$$

Hence R is stretched and G is not Cohen-Macaulay. In fact,  $zx \in (\mathfrak{m}^4 : x)$  and  $zx \notin \mathfrak{m}^3$ .

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. I am grateful to the referee for a number of valuable suggestions that improved the paper a lot.

#### References

- [1] W. Heinzer, M.-K. Kim and B. Ulrich: *The Gorenstein and complete intersection properties of associated graded rings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **201** (2005), 264–283.
- [2] J. Herzog and R. Waldi: A note on the Hilbert function of a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay ring, Manuscripta Math. 16 (1975), 251–260.
- [3] M.E. Rossi and G. Valla: Cohen-Macaulay local rings of embedding dimension e+d-3, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **80** (2000), 107–126.

826

- [4] M.E. Rossi and G. Valla: *Stretched* m-primary ideals, Beiträge Algebra Geom. 42 (2001), 103–122.
- [5] J.D. Sally: Super-regular sequences, Pacific J. Math. 84 (1979), 465-481.
- [6] J.D. Sally: Stretched Gorenstein rings, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 20 (1979), 19-26
- [7] J.D. Sally: Tangent cones at Gorenstein singularities, Compositio Math. 40 (1980), 167–175.
- [8] J.D. Sally: Good embedding dimensions for Gorenstein singularities, Math. Ann. 249 (1980), 95–106.

Department of Mathematics National Chung Cheng University Chiayi 621 Taiwan e-mail: hjwang@math.ccu.edu.tw