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#### Abstract

We prove an analog of the classical Hartogs extension theorem for $C R L^{2}$ functions defined on boundaries of certain (possibly unbounded) domains on coverings of strongly pseudoconvex manifolds. Our result is related to a question formulated in the paper of Gromov, Henkin and Shubin [GHS] on holomorphic $L^{2}$ functions on coverings of pseudoconvex manifolds.


## §1. Introduction

1.1. In this paper, following our previous work [Br4], we continue to study holomorphic $L^{2}$ functions on coverings of strongly pseudoconvex manifolds. The subject was originally motivated by the paper [GHS] of Gromov, Henkin and Shubin. In [GHS] the von Neumann dimension was used to measure the space of holomorphic $L^{2}$ functions on regular (i.e., Galois) coverings of a strongly pseudoconvex manifold $M$. In particular, it was shown that the space of such functions is infinite-dimensional. It was also asked whether the regularity of the covering is relevant for the existence of many holomorphic $L^{2}$ functions on $M^{\prime}$ or it is just an artifact of the chosen method of the proof which requires a use of von Neumann algebras.

In an earlier paper [ Br 4$]$ we proved that actually the regularity of $M^{\prime}$ is irrelevant for the existence of many holomorphic $L^{2}$ functions on $M^{\prime}$. Moreover, we obtained an extension of some of the main results of [GHS]. The method of the proof used in $[\mathrm{Br} 4]$ is completely different and (probably) easier than that used in [GHS] and is based on $L^{2}$ cohomology techniques, as well as, on the geometric properties of $M$. Also, in $[\mathrm{Br} 1]-[\mathrm{Br} 3]$ the case of coverings of pseudoconvex domains in Stein manifolds was considered. Using the methods of the theory of coherent Banach sheaves together with

[^0]Cartan's vanishing cohomology theorems, we proved some results on holomorphic $L^{p}$ functions, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, defined on such coverings.
1.2. The present paper is related to one of the open problems posed in [GHS], a Hartogs type theorem for coverings of strongly pseudoconvex manifolds. Let us recall that for a bounded open set $D \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}(n>1)$ with a connected smooth boundary $b D$ the classical Hartogs theorem states that any holomorphic function in some neighbourhood of $b D$ can be extended to a holomorphic function on a neighbourhood of the closure $\bar{D}$. In [Bo] Bochner proved a similar extension result for functions defined on the $b D$ only. In modern language his result says that for a smooth function defined on the $b D$ and satisfying the tangential Cauchy-Riemann equations there is an extension to a holomorphic function in $D$ which is smooth on $\bar{D}$. In fact, this statement follows from Bochner's proof (under some smoothness conditions). However at that time there was not yet the notion of a $C R$ function. Over the years significant contributions to the area of Hartogs theorem were made by many prominent mathematicians, see the history and the references in the paper of Harvey and Lawson [HL, Section 5]. A general Hartogs-Bochner type theorem for bounded domains $D$ in Stein manifolds was proved by Harvey and Lawson [HL, Theorem 5.1]. The proof relies heavily upon the fact that for $n \geq 2$ any $\bar{\partial}$-equation with compact support on an $n$-dimensional Stein manifold has a compactly supported solution. In [ Br 2$]$ and $[\mathrm{Br} 3]$ we proved some extensions of the theorem of Harvey and Lawson for certain (possibly unbounded) domains on coverings of Stein manifolds. In the present paper we prove an analogous result for $C R L^{2}$ functions defined on boundaries of certain domains on coverings of strongly pseudoconvex manifolds. More general Hartogs type theorems for $C R$-functions of slow growth on boundaries of such domains will be presented in a forthcoming paper.
1.3. Let $M \subset \subset N$ be a domain with smooth boundary $b M$ in an $n$-dimensional complex manifold $N$, specifically,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\{z \in N: \rho(z)<0\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho$ is a real-valued function of class $C^{2}(\Omega)$ in a neighbourhood $\Omega$ of the compact set $\bar{M}:=M \cup b M$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \rho(z) \neq 0 \quad \text { for all } z \in b M . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$ be complex local coordinates in $N$ near $z \in b M$. Then the tangent space $T_{z} N$ at $z$ is identified with $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. By $T_{z}^{c}(b M) \subset T_{z} N$ we denote the complex tangent space to $b M$ at $z$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{z}^{c}(b M)=\left\{w=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right) \in T_{z}(N): \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z_{j}}(z) w_{j}=0\right\} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Levi form of $\rho$ at $z \in b M$ is a hermitian form on $T_{z}^{c}(b M)$ defined in local coordinates by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{z}(w, \bar{w})=\sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} \rho}{\partial z_{j} \partial \bar{z}_{k}}(z) w_{j} \bar{w}_{k} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The manifold $M$ is called pseudoconvex if $L_{z}(w, \bar{w}) \geq 0$ for all $z \in b M$ and $w \in T_{z}^{c}(b M)$. It is called strongly pseudoconvex if $L_{z}(w, \bar{w})>0$ for all $z \in b M$ and all $w \neq 0, w \in T_{z}^{c}(b M)$.

Equivalently, strongly pseudoconvex manifolds can be described as the ones which locally, in a neighbourhood of any boundary point, can be presented as strictly convex domains in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. It is also known (see $[\mathrm{C}],[\mathrm{R}]$ ) that any strongly pseudoconvex manifold admits a proper holomorphic map with connected fibres onto a normal Stein space. In particular, if $M$ is a strongly pseudoconvex non-Stein manifold of complex dimension $n \geq 2$, then the union $C_{M}$ of all compact complex subvarieties of $M$ of complex dimension $\geq 1$ is a compact complex subvariety of $M$.

Let $r: M^{\prime} \rightarrow M$ be an unbranched covering of $M$. Assume that $N$ is equipped with a Riemannian metric $g_{N}$. By $d$ we denote the path metric on $M^{\prime}$ induced by the pullback of $g_{N}$. Consider a domain $\widetilde{D} \subset \subset M$ with a connected $C^{1}$ smooth boundary $b \widetilde{D}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b \widetilde{D} \cap C_{M}=\emptyset . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $D$ be a connected component of $r^{-1}(\widetilde{D})$. By $b D$ we denote the boundary of $D$ and by $\bar{D} \subset M^{\prime}$ the closure of $D$. Also, by $\mathcal{O}(D)$ we denote the space of holomorphic functions on $D$. Now, recall that a continuous function $f$ on $b D$ is called $C R$ if for every smooth $(n, n-2)$-form $\omega$ on $M^{\prime}$ with compact support one has

$$
\int_{b D} f \cdot \bar{\partial} \omega=0
$$

If $f$ is smooth this is equivalent to $f$ being a solution of the tangential $C R$-equations: $\bar{\partial}_{b} f=0$ (see, e.g., $[\mathrm{KR}]$ ).

Let $d V_{M^{\prime}}$ and $d V_{b D}$ be the Riemannian volume forms on $M^{\prime}$ and $b D$ obtained by the pullback of the Riemannian metric $g_{N}$ on $N$. By $H^{2}(D)$ we denote the Hilbert space of holomorphic functions $g$ on $D$ with norm

$$
\left(\int_{z \in D}|g(z)|^{2} d V_{M^{\prime}}(z)\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Also, $L^{2}(b D)$ stands for the Hilbert space of functions $g$ on $b D$ with norm

$$
\left(\int_{z \in b D}|g(z)|^{2} d V_{b D}(z)\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

The following question was asked in [GHS, Section 4]:
Suppose that $D$ is a regular covering of a strongly pseudoconvex manifold $\widetilde{D} \subset \subset M$. Is it true that for every $C R$-function $f \in L^{2}(b D) \cap C(\bar{D})$ there exists $F \in H^{2}(D) \cap C(\bar{D})$ such that $\left.F\right|_{b D}=f$ ?

In the present paper we give a particular answer to this question. To formulate our results we require the following definitions.

For every $x$ from the closure of $\widetilde{D}$ we introduce the Hilbert space $l_{2, x}(D)$ of functions $g$ on $r^{-1}(x) \cap \bar{D}$ with norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g|_{x}:=\left(\sum_{y \in r^{-1}(x) \cap \bar{D}}|g(y)|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we introduce the Banach space $\mathcal{H}_{2}(D)$ of holomorphic on $D$ functions $f$ with norm

$$
|f|_{D}:=\sup _{x \in \widetilde{D}}|f|_{x} .
$$

Similarly, we introduce the Banach space $\mathcal{L}_{2}(b D)$ of continuous on $b D$ functions $g$ with norm

$$
|g|_{b D}:=\sup _{x \in b \widetilde{D}}|f|_{x} .
$$

Let $\mathcal{U}=\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ be a finite open cover of $b \widetilde{D}$ by open simply connected sets $U_{i} \subset \subset M$. Then $r^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right) \cap b D$ is homeomorphic to $\left(U_{i} \cap b \widetilde{D}\right) \times Q$ where $Q$ is the fibre of the covering $r: D \rightarrow \widetilde{D}$. In what follows we identify $r^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right) \cap b D$ with $\left(U_{i} \cap b \widetilde{D}\right) \times Q$.

Suppose that $f \in C(b D)$ is a $C R$-function satisfying the following conditions
(1) $f \in \mathcal{L}_{2}(b D)$;
(2) for any $i \in I$ and any $z_{1}, z_{2} \in b \widetilde{D} \cap U_{i}$ there is a constant $L_{i}$ such that

$$
\left(\sum_{q \in Q}\left|\frac{f\left(z_{1}, q\right)-f\left(z_{2}, q\right)}{d\left(\left(z_{1}, q\right),\left(z_{2}, q\right)\right)}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq L_{i}
$$

(It is easy to show that condition (2) is independent of the choice of the cover.)

ThEOREM 1.1. For any $C R$-function $f$ on bD satisfying conditions (1) and (2) there exists $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{H}_{2}(D) \cap C(\bar{D})$ such that

$$
\left.\hat{f}\right|_{b D}=f \quad \text { and } \quad|\hat{f}|_{D}=|f|_{b D}
$$

Remark 1.2. (A) If, in addition, $b D$ is smooth of class $C^{k}, 1 \leq k \leq \infty$, and $f \in C^{s}(b D), 1 \leq s \leq k$, then the extension $\hat{f}$ belongs to $\mathcal{O}(D) \cap C^{s}(\bar{D})$. This follows from [HL, Theorem 5.1].
(B) From the Cauchy integral formula it follows that the hypotheses of the theorem are true if $f$ is the restriction to $b D$ of a holomorphic function from $\mathcal{H}_{2}(W)$ where $\widetilde{W}:=r(W) \subset \subset M$ is a neighbourhood of $b \widetilde{D}$ and $W$ is a connected component of $r^{-1}(\widetilde{W})$ containing $b D$ (see [ Br 1 , Proposition 2.4] for similar arguments).
(C) It was shown in $[\operatorname{Br} 4$, Theorem 1.1] that holomorphic functions from $\mathcal{H}_{2}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ separate points on $M^{\prime} \backslash C_{M}^{\prime}$ where $C_{M}^{\prime}:=r^{-1}\left(C_{M}\right)$. Thus there are sufficiently many $C R$-functions $f$ on $b D$ satisfying conditions (1) and (2).

As before by $\mathcal{L}_{2}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ we denote the Banach space of continuous functions $f$ on $M^{\prime}$ with norm

$$
|f|_{M^{\prime}}:=\sup _{x \in M}|f|_{x}
$$

where $|\cdot|_{x}, x \in M$, is defined as in (1.6) with $M^{\prime}$ substituted for $\bar{D}$. Also, for a measurable locally bounded $(0,1)$-differential form $\eta$ on $M^{\prime}$ by $|\eta|_{z}$, $z \in M^{\prime}$, we denote the norm of $\eta$ at $z$ defined by the natural hermitian metric on the fibres of the cotangent bundle $T^{*} M^{\prime}$ on $M^{\prime}$. We say that such $\eta$ belongs to the space $\mathcal{E}_{2}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\eta|_{M^{\prime}}:=\sup _{x \in M}\left(\sum_{z \in r^{-1}(x)}|\eta|_{z}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}<\infty \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Note that this definition does not depend on the choice of the Riemannian metric on $N$, and that the expression in the brackets is correctly defined for almost all $x \in M$.)
By supp $\eta$ we denote support of $\eta$, i.e., the minimal closed set $K \subset M^{\prime}$ such that $\eta$ equals zero almost everywhere on $M^{\prime} \backslash K$.

As mentioned above, the proof of the classical Hartogs theorem is based on the fact that for $n \geq 2$ any $\bar{\partial}$-equation with compact support on an $n$ dimensional Stein manifold has a compactly supported solution. Similarly our proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on the following result.

THEOREM 1.3. Let $O \subset \subset M \backslash C_{M}$. Assume that a $(0,1)$-form $\eta$ on $M^{\prime}$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}_{2}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$, is $\bar{\partial}$-closed (in the distributional sense) and

$$
r(\operatorname{supp} \eta) \subset O
$$

Then there are a function $F \in \mathcal{L}_{2}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ and a neighborhood $U \subset M$ of bM such that $\bar{\partial} F=\eta$ (in the distributional sense) and $\left.F\right|_{r^{-1}(U)}=0$.
(Since $M^{\prime}$ can be thought of as a subset of a covering $L^{\prime}$ of a neighbourhood $L$ of $\bar{M}$, the boundary $b M^{\prime}$ of $M^{\prime}$ is correctly defined.)

Remark 1.4. (A) Condition (2) in the formulation of Theorem 1.1 means that $f$ is a Lipschitz section of a Hilbert vector bundle on $b \widetilde{D}$ with fibre $l_{2}(Q)$ associated with the natural action of the fundamental group $\pi_{1}(b \widetilde{D})$ of $b \widetilde{D}$ on $l_{2}(Q)$ (see [Br1, Example $\left.2.2(\mathrm{~b})\right]$ for a similar construction). This condition is required by the method of the proof. It would be interesting to know to what extent it is necessary.
(B) Another interesting question is whether a general extension theorem for $C R$-functions on $b D$ without growth condition might hold.
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## §2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1 modulo Theorem 1.3. Then in the next section we prove Theorem 1.3.

Since $b \widetilde{D}$ is a compact $C^{1}$ smooth manifold, there are a neighbourhood $O \subset \subset M \backslash C_{M}$ of $b \widetilde{D}$ and a $C^{1}$ retraction $p: O \rightarrow b \widetilde{D}$. (As such $O$ one can
take, e.g., a neighbourhood of the zero section of the normal vector bundle on $b \widetilde{D}$.) Without loss of generality we may assume also that fundamental groups $\pi_{1}(O)$ and $\pi_{1}(b \widetilde{D})$ are isomorphic. Let $O^{\prime}$ be a connected component of $r^{-1}(O) \subset M^{\prime}$ containing $b D$. Then by the covering homotopy theorem there is a $C^{1}$ retraction $p^{\prime}: O^{\prime} \rightarrow b D$ such that $r \circ p^{\prime}=p \circ r$.

Let $\rho, 0 \leq \rho \leq 1$, be a $C^{\infty}$ function on $M$ equals 1 in a neighbourhood of $b \widetilde{D}$ with $\operatorname{supp} \rho \subset \subset O$. Consider the $C^{\infty}$ function $\rho^{\prime}:=\rho \circ r$ on $M^{\prime}$.

Let $\mathcal{V}=\left(V_{j}\right)_{j \in J}$ be a finite open cover of $\widetilde{D} \cup b \widetilde{D}$ by simply connected coordinate charts $V_{j} \subset \subset M$. We naturally identify $r^{-1}\left(V_{j}\right)$ with $V_{j} \times S$ where $S$ is the fibre of $r: M^{\prime} \rightarrow M$. Then in these local coordinates on $M^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{\prime}(z, s)=(p(z), s), \quad \rho^{\prime}(z, s)=\rho(z), \quad(z, s) \in O^{\prime} \cap r^{-1}\left(V_{j}\right), \quad j \in J . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, for a $C R$-function $f$ satisfying the assumptions of the theorem we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(z):=\rho^{\prime}(z) \cdot f\left(p^{\prime}(z)\right), \quad z \in \bar{D} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.1. In the above local coordinates on $M^{\prime}$ one has

$$
\left(\sum_{s \in S}\left|\frac{f_{1}\left(z_{1}, s\right)-f_{1}\left(z_{2}, s\right)}{d\left(\left(z_{1}, s\right),\left(z_{2}, s\right)\right)}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq C_{j}, \quad\left(z_{1}, s\right),\left(z_{2}, s\right) \in \bar{D} \cap r^{-1}\left(V_{j}\right), \quad j \in J
$$

for some numerical constants $C_{j}$.

Proof. By $d_{N}$ we denote the path metric on $N$ determined by the Riemannian metric $g_{N}$. Since the path metric $d$ on $M^{\prime}$ is obtained by the pullback of $g_{N}$, we have $d\left(\left(z_{1}, s\right),\left(z_{2}, s\right)\right)=d_{N}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$. Also, by the definition of $p^{\prime}$ and $\rho^{\prime}$ we clearly have for some $C>0$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
d\left(p^{\prime}\left(z_{1}, s\right), p^{\prime}\left(z_{2}, s\right)\right) \leq C d_{N}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \quad \text { for all } z_{1}, z_{2} \in \operatorname{supp} \rho, \text { and } \\
\left|\rho^{\prime}\left(z_{1}, s\right)-\rho^{\prime}\left(z_{2}, s\right)\right| \leq C d_{N}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \text { for all } z_{1}, z_{2} \in M .
\end{gathered}
$$

Using these inequalities, condition (2) of the theorem and the triangle inequality for $l_{2}$ norms we obtain that there is $A>0$ such that for $z_{1}, z_{2} \in$
$\operatorname{supp} \rho$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{s \in S}\left|\frac{f_{1}\left(z_{1}, s\right)-f_{1}\left(z_{2}, s\right)}{d_{N}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq\left(\sum _ { s \in S } \left\{\left|\frac{\rho\left(z_{1}\right)-\rho\left(z_{2}\right)}{d_{N}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)}\right| \cdot\left|f\left(p\left(z_{1}\right), s\right)\right|\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+\left|\rho\left(z_{2}\right)\right| \cdot\left|\frac{f\left(p\left(z_{1}\right), s\right)-f\left(p\left(z_{2}\right), s\right)}{d_{N}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)}\right|\right\}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq C\left\{\left(\sum_{s \in S}\left|f\left(p\left(z_{1}\right), s\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(\sum_{s \in S}\left|\frac{f\left(p\left(z_{1}\right), s\right)-f\left(p\left(z_{2}\right), s\right)}{d\left(\left(p\left(z_{1}\right), s\right),\left(p\left(z_{2}\right), s\right)\right)}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\leq A$.
Suppose now that, e.g., $z_{1} \in \operatorname{supp} \rho$ and $z_{2} \notin \operatorname{supp} \rho$. Then the term with $\left|\rho\left(z_{2}\right)\right|$ in the second line of the above inequalities disappears and again we get the require estimate. Finally, the case $z_{1}, z_{2} \notin \operatorname{supp} \rho$ is obvious.

This lemma in particular implies that $f_{1}$ is a bounded Lipschitz function on $\bar{D}$. Now, using the McShane extension theorem $[\mathrm{M}]$ we extend $f_{1}$ to a Lipschitz function $\widetilde{f}$ on $M^{\prime}$.

Further, since locally the metric $d$ is equivalent to the Euclidean metric and since $\tilde{f}$ is Lipschitz on $M^{\prime}$, by the Rademacher theorem, see, e.g., [Fe, Section 3.1.6], $\widetilde{f}$ is differentiable almost everywhere. In particular, $\bar{\partial} \widetilde{f}$ is a ( 0,1 )-form on $M^{\prime}$ whose coefficients in its local coordinate representations are $L^{\infty}$-functions. Let $\chi_{D}$ be the characteristic function of $D$. Consider the $(0,1)$-form on $M^{\prime}$ defined by

$$
\omega:=\chi_{D} \cdot \bar{\partial} \tilde{f}
$$

Then repeating word-for-word the arguments of [Br3, Lemma 3.3] we get
Lemma 2.2. $\omega$ is $\bar{\partial}$-closed in the distributional sense.
Also, the inequality of Lemma 2.1 implies immediately that $\omega \in \mathcal{E}_{2}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$, see (1.7). Moreover, by our construction $r(\operatorname{supp} \omega) \subset \subset M \backslash C_{M}$. Thus according to Theorem 1.3 there is a continuous function $F \in \mathcal{L}_{2}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\bar{\partial} F=\omega$ and $\left.F\right|_{r^{-1}(U)}=0$ for a neighbourhood $U \subset M$ of $b M$. Since
$D \subset M^{\prime}$ is a domain with a connected boundary, and $F$ is holomorphic outside $\bar{D}$ (by the definition of $\omega$ ), the latter implies that $\left.F\right|_{b D}=0$.

We set

$$
\hat{f}(z):=f_{1}(z)-F(z), \quad z \in \bar{D}
$$

Using the above properties of $f_{1}$ and $F$ one obtains easily that

$$
\hat{f} \in \mathcal{O}(D) \cap C(\bar{D}) \quad \text { and }\left.\quad \hat{f}\right|_{b D}=f
$$

Since $f_{1}$ and $\left.F\right|_{\bar{D}}$ belong to $\mathcal{L}_{2}(\bar{D}), \hat{f} \in \mathcal{H}_{2}(D)$. Now, the identity $|\hat{f}|_{D}=$ $|f|_{b D}$ follows from the fact that the function $z \mapsto|f|_{z}, z \in \widetilde{D} \cup b \widetilde{D}$, see (1.6), is continuous and plurisubharmonic on $\widetilde{D}$.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

## §3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

3.1. In Sections $3.1-3.6$ we collect some auxiliary results required in the proof. Then in Section 3.7 we prove the theorem.

Let $X$ be a complete Kähler manifold of dimension $n$ with a Kähler form $\omega$ and $E$ be a hermitian holomorphic vector bundle on $X$ with curvature $\Theta$. Let $L_{2}^{p, q}(X, E)$ be the space of $L^{2} E$-valued $(p, q)$-forms on $X$ with the $L^{2}$ norm, and let $W_{2}^{p, q}(X, E)$ be the subspace of forms such that $\bar{\partial} \eta$ is $L^{2}$. (The forms $\eta$ may be taken to be either smooth or just measurable, in which case $\bar{\partial} \eta$ is understood in the distributional sense.) The cohomology of the resulting $L^{2}$ Dolbeault complex $\left(W_{2}^{\cdot}, \cdot \bar{\partial}\right)$ is the $L^{2}$ cohomology

$$
H_{(2)}^{p, q}(X, E)=Z_{2}^{p, q}(X, E) / B_{2}^{p, q}(X, E)
$$

where $Z_{2}^{p, q}(X, E)$ and $B_{2}^{p, q}(X, E)$ are the spaces of $\bar{\partial}$-closed and $\bar{\partial}$-exact forms in $L_{2}^{p, q}(X, E)$, respectively.

If $\Theta \geq \epsilon \omega$ for some $\epsilon>0$ in the sense of Nakano, then the $L^{2}$ KodairaNakano vanishing theorem, see [D], [O], states that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{(2)}^{n, r}(X, E)=0 \quad \text { for } r>0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume now that $\Theta \leq-\epsilon \omega$ for some $\epsilon>0$ in the sense of Nakano. Then using a duality argument and the Kodaira-Nakano vanishing theorem (3.1) one obtains, see [L, Corollary 2.4],

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{(2)}^{0, r}(X, E)=0 \quad \text { for } r<n \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

3.2. Let $M \subset \subset N$ be a strongly pseudoconvex manifold. Without loss of generality we will assume that $\pi_{1}(M)=\pi_{1}(N)$ and $N$ is strongly pseudoconvex, as well. Then there exist a normal Stein space $X_{N}$, a proper holomorphic surjective map $p: N \rightarrow X_{N}$ with connected fibres and points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{l} \in X_{N}$ such that

$$
p: N \backslash \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq l} p^{-1}\left(x_{i}\right) \longrightarrow X_{N} \backslash \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq l}\left\{x_{i}\right\}
$$

is biholomorphic, see $[\mathrm{C}],[\mathrm{R}]$. By definition, the domain $X_{M}:=p(M) \subset X_{N}$ is strongly pseudoconvex, and so it is Stein. Without loss of generality we may assume that $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{l} \in X_{M}$. Thus $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq l} p^{-1}\left(x_{i}\right)=C_{M}$.

Next, we introduce a complete Kähler metric on the complex manifold $M \backslash C_{M}$ as follows.

First, according to $[\mathrm{N}]$ there is a proper one-to-one map $i: X_{M} \hookrightarrow$ $\mathbb{C}^{2 n+1}, n=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} X_{M}$, which is an embedding in regular points of $X_{M}$. Then $i\left(X_{M}\right)$ is a complex subvariety of $\mathbb{C}^{2 n+1}$. By $\omega_{e}$ we denote the $(1,1)$ form on $M$ obtained as the pullback by $i \circ p$ of the Euclidean Kähler form on $\mathbb{C}^{2 n+1}$. Clearly, $\omega_{e}$ is $d$-closed and positive outside $C_{M}$.

Similarly we can embed $X_{N}$ into $\mathbb{C}^{2 n+1}$ as a closed complex subvariety. Let $j: X_{N} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 n+1}$ be an embedding such that $j\left(X_{M}\right)$ belongs to the open Euclidean ball $B$ of radius $1 / 4$ centered at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{2 n+1}$. Set $z_{i}:=j\left(x_{i}\right), 1 \leq$ $i \leq l$. By $\omega_{i}$ we denote the restriction to $M \backslash C_{M}$ of the pullback with respect to $j \circ p$ of the form $-\sqrt{-1} \cdot \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(\log \left\|z-z_{i}\right\|^{2}\right)^{2}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{2 n+1} \backslash\left\{z_{i}\right\}$. (Here $\|\cdot\|$ stands for the Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{C}^{2 n+1}$.) Since $j\left(X_{M}\right) \subset B$, the form $\omega_{i}$ is Kähler. Its positivity follows from the fact that the function $-\log \left(\log \|z\|^{2}\right)^{2}$ is strictly plurisubharmonic for $\|z\|<1$. Also, $\omega_{i}$ is extended to a smooth form on $M \backslash p^{-1}\left(x_{i}\right)$. Now, let us introduce a Kähler form $\omega_{M}$ on $M \backslash C_{M}$ by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{M}:=\omega_{e}+\sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} \omega_{i} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.1. The path metric d on $M \backslash C_{M}$ induced by $\omega_{M}$ is complete.

Proof. Assume, on the contrary, that there is a sequence $\left\{w_{j}\right\}$ convergent either to $C_{M}$ or to the boundary $b M$ of $M$ such that the sequence $\left\{d\left(o, w_{j}\right)\right\}$ is bounded (for a fixed point $\left.o \in M \backslash C_{M}\right)$. Then, since $\omega_{L} \geq \omega_{e}$,
the sequence $\left\{i\left(p\left(w_{j}\right)\right)\right\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2 n+1}$ is bounded. This implies that $\left\{w_{j}\right\}$ converges to $C_{M}$. But since $\omega_{L} \geq \sum \omega_{i}$, the latter is impossible. One can check this using single blow-ups of $\mathbb{C}^{2 n+1}$ at points $z_{i}$ and rewriting the pullbacks to the resulting manifold of $(1,1)$-forms $-\sqrt{-1} \cdot \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(\log \left\|z-z_{i}\right\|^{2}\right)^{2}$ in local coordinates near exceptional divisors, see, e.g., $[\mathrm{GM}]$ for similar arguments.

Similarly one obtains complete Kähler metrics on unbranched coverings of $M \backslash C_{M}$ induced by pullbacks to these coverings of the Kähler form $\omega_{M}$ on $M \backslash C_{M}$.
3.3. We retain the notation of the previous section.

Let $r: N^{\prime} \rightarrow N$ be an unbranched covering. Consider the corresponding covering $\left(M \backslash C_{M}\right)^{\prime}:=r^{-1}\left(M \backslash C_{M}\right)$ of $M \backslash C_{M}$. We equip $\left(M \backslash C_{M}\right)^{\prime}$ with the complete Kähler metric induced by the form $\omega_{M}^{\prime}:=r^{*} \omega_{M}$. Next we consider the function $f:=\sum_{0 \leq s \leq l} f_{s}$ on $\left(M \backslash C_{M}\right)^{\prime}$ such that $f_{0}$ is the pullback by $i \circ p \circ r$ of the function $\|z\|^{2}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{2 n+1}$ and $f_{s}$ is the pullback by $j \circ p \circ r$ of the function $-\log \left(\log \left\|z-z_{s}\right\|^{2}\right)^{2}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{2 n+1} \backslash\left\{z_{s}\right\}, 1 \leq s \leq l$. Clearly we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{M}^{\prime}:=\sqrt{-1} \cdot \partial \bar{\partial} f \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $E:=\left(M \backslash C_{M}\right)^{\prime} \times \mathbb{C}$ be the trivial holomorphic line bundle on $\left(M \backslash C_{M}\right)^{\prime}$. Let $g$ be the pullback to $\left(M \backslash C_{M}\right)^{\prime}$ of a smooth plurisubharmonic function on $M$. We equip $E$ with the hermitian metric $e^{f+g}$ (i.e., for $z \times v \in$ $E$ the square of its norm in this metric equals $e^{f(z)+g(z)}|v|^{2}$ where $|v|$ is the modulus of $v \in \mathbb{C})$. Then the curvature $\Theta_{E}$ of $E$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{E}:=-\sqrt{-1} \cdot \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(e^{f+g}\right)=-\omega_{M}^{\prime}-\sqrt{-1} \cdot \partial \bar{\partial} g \leq-\omega_{M}^{\prime} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From here by (3.2) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{(2)}^{0, r}\left(\left(M \backslash C_{M}\right)^{\prime}, E\right)=0 \quad \text { for } r<n . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

3.4. In the proof we also use the following result.

Lemma 3.2. Let $h$ be a nonnegative piecewise continuous function on $M$ equals 0 in some neighbourhood of $C_{M}$ and bounded on every compact subset of $M \backslash C_{M}$. Then there exists a smooth plurisubharmonic function $\hat{g}$ on $M$ such that

$$
\hat{g}(z) \geq h(z) \quad \text { for all } z \in M
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we identify $M \backslash C_{M}$ with $X_{M} \backslash$ $\bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq l}\left\{x_{j}\right\}$. Also, we identify $X_{M}$ with a closed subvariety of $\mathbb{C}^{2 n+1}$ as in Section 3.2. Let $U$ be a neighbourhood of $\bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq l}\left\{x_{j}\right\}$ such that $\left.h\right|_{U} \equiv 0$. By $\Delta_{r} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2 n+1}$ we denote the open polydisk of radius $r$ centered at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{2 n+1}$. Assume without loss of generality that $0 \in X_{M} \backslash U$. Consider the monotonically increasing function

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(r):=\sup _{\Delta_{r} \cap X_{M}} h, \quad r \geq 0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $v_{1}$ we denote a smooth monotonically increasing function satisfying $v_{1} \geq$ $v$ (such $v_{1}$ can be easily constructed by $v$ ). Let us determine

$$
v_{2}(r):=\int_{0}^{r+1} 2 v_{1}(2 t) d t, \quad r \geq 0
$$

By the definition $v_{2}$ is smooth, convex and monotonically increasing. Moreover,

$$
v_{2}(r) \geq \int_{\frac{r+1}{2}}^{r+1} 2 v_{1}(2 t) d t \geq(r+1) v(r+1)
$$

Next we define a smooth plurisubharmonic function $v_{3}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{2 n+1}$ by the formula

$$
v_{3}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{2 n+1}\right):=\sum_{j=1}^{2 n+1} v_{2}\left(\left|z_{j}\right|\right)
$$

Then the pullback of $v_{3}$ to $M$ is a smooth plurisubharmonic function on $M$. This is the required function $\hat{g}$. Indeed, under the identification described at the beginning of the proof for $|z|_{\infty}:=\max _{1 \leq i \leq 2 n+1}\left|z_{i}\right|$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{g}(z)=v_{3}(z) & \geq\left(|z|_{\infty}+1\right) v\left(|z|_{\infty}+1\right) \\
& \geq \sup _{\Delta_{|z| \infty+1} \cap X_{M}} h \geq h(z) \quad \text { for all } z \in M
\end{aligned}
$$

3.5. In the proof of Theorem 1.3 we will assume without loss of generality that $C_{M}$ is a divisor with normal crossings. Indeed, according to the Hironaka theorem, there is a modification $m: N_{H} \rightarrow N$ of $N$ from Section 1.3 such that $m^{-1}\left(C_{M}\right)$ is a divisor with normal crossings and $m: N_{H} \backslash m^{-1}\left(C_{M}\right) \rightarrow N \backslash C_{M}$ is biholomorphic. By the definition $M_{H}:=m^{-1}(M) \subset N_{H}$ is strongly pseudoconvex. Further, since $M$ is a complex manifold, $m$ induces an isomorphism of fundamental groups
$m_{*}: \pi_{1}\left(M_{H}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(M)$. Thus for an unbranched covering $r: M^{\prime} \rightarrow M$ of $M$ there are a covering $r_{H}: M_{H}^{\prime} \rightarrow M_{H}$ and a modification $m^{\prime}: M_{H}^{\prime} \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ such that $r \circ m^{\prime}=m \circ r_{H}$ and $m^{\prime}$ induces an isomorphism of the corresponding fundamental groups.

Assume now that a $(0,1)$-form $\eta \in \mathcal{E}_{2}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3. Consider its pullback $\widetilde{\eta}:=\left(m^{\prime}\right)^{*} \eta$ on $M_{H}^{\prime}$. Clearly, $\widetilde{\eta}$ also satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3 with $M$ replaced by $M_{H}$. Now, suppose that Theorem 1.3 is valid for $M_{H}^{\prime}$, i.e., there is a continuous function $\widetilde{f} \in \mathcal{L}_{2}\left(M_{H}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\bar{\partial} \tilde{f}=\widetilde{\eta}$ and $\widetilde{f}$ vanishes in a neighbourhood of $b M_{H}^{\prime}$. Since by the definition of $\eta$ the function $\widetilde{f}$ is holomorphic in a neighbourhood of $\left(r \circ m^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(C_{M}\right) \subset M_{H}^{\prime}$ and $m^{\prime}: M_{H^{\prime}} \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ is a modification of $M^{\prime}$, there is a function $f \in \mathcal{L}_{2}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\widetilde{f}=\left(m^{\prime}\right)^{*} f$. Obviously, $f$ satisfies the required statements of the theorem.
3.6. Let $U_{q} \subset \subset M$ be a simply connected coordinate chart of $q \in C_{M}$ with complex coordinates $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right), n=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M$, such that $z_{1}(q)=$ $\cdots=z_{n}(q)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{M} \cap U_{q}=\left\{f_{q}(z)=0\right\}, \quad f_{q}(z):=z_{1} \cdots z_{k} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Such coordinates exist by the definition of a divisor with normal crossings.)
Let $\hat{f}$ be a function on $M \backslash C_{M}$ such that $r^{*} \hat{f}=f$, see Section 3.3. From the definition of $f$ we obtain

LEMMA 3.3. $e^{\hat{f}}$ extended by 0 to $C_{M}$ is a continuous function on $M$ such that $e^{\hat{f}} /\left|f_{q}\right|$ is unbounded on $U_{q} \backslash C_{M}$.

Let $\omega$ be the associated $(1,1)$-form of a hermitian metric $g_{N}$ on $N$. Since by the definition $\omega_{M} \geq \omega_{e}$ and the latter form vanishes on $C_{M}$, we have locally near $C_{M} \cap U_{q}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{M}^{n} \geq c^{\prime}\left|f_{q}\right|^{2 m^{\prime}} \omega^{n} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $c^{\prime}>0, m^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$. This and Lemma 3.3 imply that locally near $C_{M} \cap U_{q}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\hat{f} \omega_{M}^{n} \geq c\left|f_{q}\right|^{2 m} \omega^{n}} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $c>0, m \in \mathbb{N}$.
By $E_{n}(M)$ we denote a holomorphic line vector bundle on $M$ determined by the divisor $n C_{M}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $s_{1}$ be a holomorphic section of $E_{1}(M)$
defined in local coordinates on $U_{q}$ by functions $f_{q}$ from (3.8). Then $\left(r^{*} s_{1}\right)^{n}$ is a holomorphic section of the bundle $E_{n}^{\prime}(M):=r^{*} E_{n}(M)$ on $M^{\prime}$.

Since the hermitian bundle $E$ from Section 3.3 is holomorphically trivial, we naturally identify sections of $E$ with functions on $\left(M \backslash C_{M}\right)^{\prime}$. Here and below we set $X^{\prime}:=r^{-1}(X)$ for $X \subset M$. Also, the Banach space $\mathcal{L}_{2}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ of continuous functions on $X^{\prime}$ is defined similarly to $\mathcal{L}_{2}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$, see Section 1.3.

Let $\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ be a finite open cover of a neighbourhood $\bar{M}(\subset \subset N)$ by simply connected coordinate charts $U_{i} \subset \subset N$.

Proposition 3.4. Suppose $h \in L_{2}\left(\left(M \backslash C_{M}\right)^{\prime}, E\right)$ is such that for any $U_{i}^{\prime}$ there is a continuous function $h_{i} \in \mathcal{L}_{2}\left(U_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ so that $c_{i}:=h-h_{i} \in \mathcal{O}\left(\left(U_{i} \backslash\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.C_{M}\right)^{\prime}\right)$. Then there is an integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ independent of $h$ such that $h \cdot\left(r^{*} s_{1}\right)^{n}$ admits an extension $\hat{h} \in C\left(M^{\prime}, E_{n}^{\prime}(M)\right)$. Moreover, $\left.h\right|_{O^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{L}_{2}\left(O^{\prime}\right)$ for every $O \subset \subset M \backslash C_{M}$.

Proof. Let $U_{q}$ be a simply connected coordinate chart of $q \in C_{M}$ with the local coordinates satisfying (3.8). We naturally identify $U_{q}^{\prime}$ with $U_{q} \times S$ where $S$ is the fibre of $r$. Then the hypotheses of the proposition imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{z \in U_{q} \backslash C_{M}}\left(\sum_{s \in S}|h(z, s)|^{2}\right) e^{\hat{f}(z)+\hat{g}(z)} \omega_{M}^{n}(z)<\infty \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{g}$ is a smooth plurisubharmonic function on $M$ such that $r^{*} \hat{g}=g$. Diminishing if necessary $U_{q}$ assume that $\hat{f}, \omega_{M}^{n}$ satisfy (3.10) there. Also, on $U_{q}$ we clearly have $\hat{g} \sim 1$. From here and (3.11) we obtain on $U_{q}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{z \in U_{q} \backslash C_{M}}\left(\sum_{s \in S}|h(z, s)|^{2}\right)\left|f_{q}(z)\right|^{2 m} \omega^{n}(z)<\infty \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{q}$ is defined by (3.8).
Further, according to the hypothesis of the proposition, there is a continuous function $h_{q} \in \mathcal{L}_{2}\left(U_{q}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $c_{q}:=h-h_{q} \in \mathcal{O}\left(\left(U_{q} \backslash C_{M}\right)^{\prime}\right)$. This and (3.12) imply that every $f_{q}^{m} \cdot c_{q}(\cdot, s), s \in S$, is $L^{2}$ integrable with respect to the volume form $(\sqrt{-1})^{n} \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{i}$. Using these facts and the Cauchy integral formulas for coefficients of the Laurent expansion of $f_{q}^{m} c_{q}(\cdot, s)$, one obtains easily that every $f_{q}^{m} c_{q}(\cdot, s)$ can be extended holomorphically to $U_{q}$. In turn, this gives a continuous extension $\hat{h}$ of $h \cdot\left(r^{*} f_{q}\right)^{m}$ to $U_{q}^{\prime}$.

Let $V_{q} \subset \subset U_{q}$ be another connected neighbourhood of $q$. By the Bergman inequality for holomorphic functions, see, e.g., [GR, Chapter 6, Theorem 1.3], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|h(y, s) f_{q}^{m}(y)\right|^{2} \leq A \int_{z \in U_{q}}\left|h(z, s) f_{q}^{m}(z)\right|^{2} \omega^{n}(z) \quad \text { for all }(y, s) \in W_{q}^{\prime} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some constant $A$ depending on $U_{q}, W_{q}$ and $\omega$ only. Therefore from (3.12) and (3.13) we obtain

$$
\sup _{z \in V_{q}}\left(\sum_{s \in S}|\hat{h}(z, s)|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}<\infty
$$

Equivalently, $\left.\hat{h}\right|_{V_{q}^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{L}_{2}\left(V_{q}^{\prime}\right)$.
Next assume that $U_{q} \subset\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ is a simply connected coordinate neighbourhood of a point $q \in M \backslash C_{M}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that all such $U_{q}$ are relatively compact in $M \backslash C_{M}$. Identifying $U_{q}^{\prime}$ with $U_{q} \times S$ we have anew inequality of type (3.11) for $\left.h\right|_{U_{q}^{\prime}}$. Since $U_{q} \subset \subset M \backslash C_{M}$ and $\hat{f}, \hat{g}$ and $\omega_{M}^{n}$ are smooth on $M \backslash C_{M}$ by their definitions, we obviously have on $U_{q}$

$$
e^{\hat{f}+\hat{g}} \cdot \omega_{M}^{n} \sim \omega^{n}
$$

Similarly to (3.12)-(3.13) (with $f_{q}=1$ ) this implies that $\left.h\right|_{V_{q}^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{L}_{2}\left(V_{q}^{\prime}\right)$ for any connected neighbourhood $V_{q} \subset \subset U_{q}$ of $q$. Choose the above neighbourhoods $V_{q}$ so that they form a finite cover of a set $O \subset \subset M \backslash C_{M}$. Then from the implications $\left.h\right|_{V_{q}^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{L}_{2}\left(V_{q}^{\prime}\right)$ we obtain that $\left.h\right|_{O^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{L}_{2}\left(O^{\prime}\right)$. Now, choosing the neighbourhoods $V_{q}, q \in C_{M}$, so that they form a finite cover of $C_{M}$ and taking as the $n$ the maximum of the numbers $m$ in the powers of $f_{q}$, see (3.10), we obtain that $h \cdot\left(r^{*} s_{1}\right)^{n}$ admits an extension $\hat{h} \in C\left(M^{\prime}, E_{n}^{\prime}(M)\right)$. By our construction $n$ is independent of $h$.

### 3.7. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Assume that a $(0,1)$-form $\eta$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}_{2}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$, is $\bar{\partial}$-closed and $r(\operatorname{supp} \eta) \subset O \subset \subset M \backslash C_{M}$.

Let us define the function $g$ in the definition of the bundle $E$ from Section 3.3 by Lemma 3.2. Namely, fix a neigbourhood $U \subset \subset M$ of $C_{M}$ and consider the function $h$ on $M$ defined by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z):=\frac{\chi_{U^{c}}(z)}{\operatorname{dist}(z, b M)} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi_{U^{c}}$ is the characteristic function of $U^{c}:=M \backslash U$ and the distance to the boundary is defined by the path metric $d_{N}$ on $N$ induced by the Riemannian metric $g_{N}$. Further, according to Lemma 3.2 we can find a $C^{\infty}$ plurisubharmonic function $\hat{g}$ on $M$ such that $\hat{g}(z) \geq h(z)$ for all $z \in M$. Then in the definition of the metric on $E$ we choose $g:=r^{*} \hat{g}$.

LEMMA 3.5. The form $\eta$ belongs to $L_{2}^{0,1}\left(\left(M \backslash C_{M}\right)^{\prime}, E\right)$.
Proof. We retain the notation of Proposition 3.4. Consider the set $U_{q}^{\prime} \cong U_{q} \times S$ on $M^{\prime}$ for some $q \in M$ such that $U_{q} \subset \subset M \backslash C_{M}$. Since $\eta \in \mathcal{E}_{2}\left(M^{\prime}\right), r(\operatorname{supp} \eta) \subset O \subset \subset M \backslash C_{M}$ and $\hat{g}, \hat{f}$ and $\omega_{M}^{n}$ are bounded on $O$, for every such $U_{q}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{z \in U_{q} \backslash C_{M}}\left(\sum_{s \in S}|\eta|_{(z, s)}^{2}\right) e^{\hat{f}(z)+\hat{g}(z)} \omega_{M}^{n}(z)<\infty \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Recall that $|\eta|_{(z, s)}^{2}$ stands for the norm of $\eta$ at $(z, s) \in M^{\prime}$ defined by the natural hermitian metric on the fibres of the cotangent bundle $T^{*} M^{\prime}$ on $M^{\prime}$.) Taking a finite open cover of $O$ by such sets $U_{q}$ we get the required statement.

From Lemma 3.5 and the fact that $\bar{\partial} \eta=0$ we obtain by (3.6) that there exists a function $F^{\prime} \in L_{2}\left(\left(M \backslash C_{M}\right)^{\prime}, E\right)$ such that $\bar{\partial} F^{\prime}=\eta$. Moreover, by the definition of $\eta$, this function is holomorphic on $\left(M \backslash C_{M}\right)^{\prime} \backslash r^{-1}(\bar{O})$. Also, since $\eta \in \mathcal{E}_{2}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ the equation $\bar{\partial} G=\eta$ has local (continuous) solutions $f_{U} \in \mathcal{L}_{2}\left(U^{\prime}\right)$ for every $U \subset \subset M$ biholomorphic to an open Euclidean ball of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. (In fact, since $U^{\prime} \cong U \times S$, we can rewrite the equation $\bar{\partial} G=\eta$ on $U^{\prime}$ as a $\bar{\partial}$-equation on $U$ with a measurable Hilbert valued $(0,1)$-form on the right-hand side. Then we apply the formula presented in the proof of Lemma 3.4 of [Br3] (see also [H, Section 4.2]) to solve this equation and to get a solution from $\mathcal{L}_{2}\left(U^{\prime}\right)$, for similar arguments see [Br1, Appendix A].)

Let us prove now
Lemma 3.6. There is a neighbourhood $U \subset M$ of bM such that $\left.F^{\prime}\right|_{r^{-1}(U)}=0$.

Proof. Let $q \in b M$ and $U_{q} \subset \subset N \backslash C_{M}$ be a simply connected coordinate chart of $q$. Since $\pi_{1}(M)=\pi_{1}(N)$ by our assumption, the covering $M^{\prime}$ of $M$ is contained in the corresponding covering $r: N^{\prime} \rightarrow N$ of $N$. Thus
$r^{-1}\left(U_{q}\right) \subset N^{\prime}$ can be naturally identified with $U_{q} \times S$ where $S$ is the fibre of $r$. Further, without loss of generality we may identify $U_{q}$ with an open Euclidean ball $B$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. In this identification, on each component $U_{q} \times\{s\}$, $s \in S$, the path metric $d$ on $N^{\prime}$ is equivalent to the Euclidean metric on $B$ with the constants of equivalence independent of $s$.

Next, for some $s \in S$ let us consider the restriction $F_{s}^{\prime}$ of $F^{\prime}$ to $U_{q} \times\{s\}=$ $B$. We set $M_{s}^{\prime}:=M^{\prime} \cap\left(U_{q} \times\{s\}\right)$ and $b M_{s}^{\prime}:=b M^{\prime} \cap\left(U_{q} \times\{s\}\right)$. Diminishing if necessary $U_{q}$, without loss of generality we may assume that these sets are connected. Also by $d v$ we denote the Euclidean volume form on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. By the constructions of $\omega_{M^{\prime}}$, see Section 3.2, and $f$, see Section 3.3, we clearly have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.f\right|_{U_{q} \times\{s\}} \geq c \quad \text { and }\left.\quad \omega_{M^{\prime}}^{n}\right|_{U_{q} \times\{s\}} \geq c d v \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $c>0$ independent of $s \in S$.
Further, by the definition $F_{s}^{\prime} \in L_{2}\left(M_{s}^{\prime}, E\right)$. So by the choice of $g$ in the definition of the hermitian metric on $E$ using (3.16) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{z \in M_{s}^{\prime}}\left|F_{s}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} e^{\frac{1}{\mathrm{dist}\left(z, b M_{s}^{\prime}\right)}} d v(z)<\infty . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that $U_{q} \cap r(\operatorname{supp} \eta)=\emptyset$. Thus $F_{s}^{\prime}$ is holomorphic on $M_{s}^{\prime}$ for each $s \in S$. Now, from (3.17) using the meanvalue property for the plurisubharmonic function $\left|F_{s}^{\prime}\right|^{2}$ defined on $M_{s}^{\prime}$ we easily obtain that for any $y \in b M_{s}^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{z \rightarrow y} F_{s}^{\prime}(z)=0 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, for a point $z$ sufficiently close to $y \in b M_{s}^{\prime}$ consider a Euclidean ball $B_{z}$ centered at $z$ of radius $r_{z}:=\operatorname{dist}\left(z, b M_{s}^{\prime}\right) / 2$. Choosing $z$ closer to $y$ we may assume that $B_{z} \subset \subset M_{s}^{\prime}$. Then by the triangle inequality for the metric $d_{N}$ we have

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(w, b M_{s}^{\prime}\right) \leq 3 r_{z} / 2 \quad \text { for all } w \in B_{z} .
$$

Now from (3.17) by the mean-value property we get for some $c_{n}>0$ depending on $n$ only:

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{n} r_{z}^{2 n} e^{2 /\left(3 r_{z}\right)}\left|F_{s}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} & \leq e^{2 /\left(3 r_{z}\right)} \int_{w \in B_{z}}\left|F_{s}^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} d v(w) \\
& \leq \int_{w \in B_{z}}\left|F_{s}^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} e^{\overline{\operatorname{dist}\left(w, b M_{s}^{\prime}\right)}} d v(w) \leq A<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow y}\left|F_{s}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \leq \lim _{z \rightarrow y} \frac{A e^{-2 /\left(3 r_{z}\right)}}{c_{n} r_{z}^{2 n}}=0
$$

Thus (3.18) is true for any $y \in b M_{s}^{\prime}$.
Next, since $M_{s}^{\prime}$ is connected, (3.18) implies that $F_{s}^{\prime} \equiv 0$ on $M_{s}^{\prime}$ for each $s \in S$. Actually, let $z \in b M_{s}^{\prime}$. Consider a complex line $l_{z}$ passing through $z$ and containing the normal to $b M_{s}^{\prime}$ at $z$ (recall that $b M_{s}^{\prime}$ is smooth). Then $l_{z}$ intersects $b M_{s}^{\prime}$ transversely in a neighbourhood of $z$ in $b M_{s}^{\prime}$. This implies that there is a simply connected domain $W_{z} \subset l_{s} \cap M_{s}^{\prime}$ whose boundary $b W$ contains $z$ such that $\left.F_{s}^{\prime}\right|_{W_{z}} \in C\left(\bar{W}_{z}\right)$ and it equals 0 on an open subset of $b W_{z}$. Thus by the uniqueness property for univariate holomorphic functions we have $F_{s}^{\prime}=0$ on $W_{z}$. Observe that if $z$ varies along $b M_{s}^{\prime}$ the union of the connected components of $l_{z} \cap M_{s}^{\prime}$ containing $W_{z}$ contains an open subset of $M_{s}^{\prime}$. This implies that $F_{s}^{\prime} \equiv 0$ on $M_{s}^{\prime}$.

Finally, taking a finite open cover of $b M$ by the above sets $U_{q}$ and using similar arguments we obtain the required neighbourhood $U$ of $b M$ (as the union of such $U_{q}$ intersected with $M$ ). This completes the proof of the lemma.

Let us finish the proof of the theorem. As established above, the function $F^{\prime}$ satisfies conditions of Proposition 3.4. According to this proposition there is a number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ independent of $F^{\prime}$ such that $F^{\prime} \cdot\left(r^{*} s_{1}\right)^{n}$ is extended to a continuous section of $E_{n}^{\prime}(M)$ equals 0 on $r^{-1}(U)$. Moreover, $\left.F^{\prime}\right|_{O} \in \mathcal{L}_{2}\left(O^{\prime}\right)$ for any $O \subset \subset M \backslash C_{M}$.

We set

$$
\widetilde{F}:=e^{F^{\prime}}-1 \quad \text { and } \quad \widetilde{\eta}:=\bar{\partial} \widetilde{F}=\widetilde{F} \eta .
$$

By the definitions of $\eta$ and $F^{\prime}$ we have $\operatorname{supp} \widetilde{\eta}=\operatorname{supp} \eta$ and $\widetilde{F}$ is bounded on supp $\eta$. In particular, $\widetilde{\eta}$ is $\bar{\partial}$-closed and belongs to $L_{2}^{0,1}\left(\left(M \backslash C_{M}\right)^{\prime}, E\right)$, as well. Then by (3.6) there is a function $\widetilde{F}^{\prime} \in L_{2}\left(\left(M \backslash C_{M}\right)^{\prime}, E\right)$ such that $\bar{\partial} \widetilde{F}^{\prime}=\widetilde{\eta}$. Applying to $\widetilde{F}^{\prime}$ the same arguments as to $F^{\prime}$ we conclude that $\left.\widetilde{F}^{\prime}\right|_{r^{-1}(U)} \equiv 0$ for some neigbourhood $U \subset M$ of $b M$. Since by the definition $\widetilde{F}-\widetilde{F}^{\prime}$ is holomorphic on $\left(M \backslash C_{M}\right)^{\prime}$ and equals zero on a neighbourhood of $b M^{\prime}$, from the connectedness of $M^{\prime}$ we get $\widetilde{F}=\widetilde{F}^{\prime}$. Also, as in the case of $F^{\prime}, \widetilde{F}^{\prime} \cdot\left(r^{*} s_{1}\right)^{n}$ is extended to a continuous section of $E_{n}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$.

Let $q$ be a regular point of $C_{M}^{\prime}:=r^{-1}\left(C_{M}\right)$. The above properties of $F^{\prime}$ and $\widetilde{F}$ imply that for suitable complex coordinates $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ in a
neighbourhood $U_{q}$ of $q$ we have $C_{M}^{\prime} \cap U_{q}=\left\{z_{1}=0\right\}$ and

$$
e^{F^{\prime}(z)}=z_{1}^{-n} A(z), \quad F^{\prime}(z)=z_{1}^{-n} B(z), \quad z \in U_{q} \backslash C_{M},
$$

where $A, B \in \mathcal{O}\left(U_{q}\right)$. Suppose that $A(z)=z_{1}^{l} A^{\prime}(z)$ for some $0 \leq l<n$ with $A^{\prime} \in \mathcal{O}\left(U_{q}\right)$ not identically 0 on $C_{M}^{\prime} \cap U_{q}$. Then there is a point $p \in C_{M}^{\prime} \cap U_{q}$ and its neighbourhood $W \subset U_{q}$ so that $A^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \bar{W}$. Thus we can introduce complex coordinates $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ on $W$ by $y_{1}:=z_{1}\left(A^{\prime}(z)\right)^{1 /(n-l)}, y_{2}=z_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}=z_{n}$. In these coordinates we have $e^{F^{\prime}(y)}=y_{1}^{l-n}, y \in W \backslash C_{M}$. Since $F^{\prime} \in \mathcal{O}\left(W \backslash C_{M}\right)$, the latter is impossible. This contradiction shows that $l \geq n$ and so $e^{F^{\prime}}$ admits a holomorphic extension to $U_{q}$. From here we obtain easily that $F^{\prime}$ admits a holomorphic extension to $U_{q}$, as well.

Taking an open cover of regular points of $C_{M}^{\prime}$ by such neighbourhoods $U_{q}$, from the above arguments we obtain that $F^{\prime}$ is extended holomorphically to $C_{M}^{\prime}$ (it is extended to nonregular points of $C_{M}^{\prime}$ by the Hartogs theorem because the complex codimension of the set of such points in $M^{\prime}$ is $\geq 2$ ).

Finally, the extended function $F$ (i.e., the extension of $F^{\prime}$ ) belongs to $\mathcal{L}_{2}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$. Indeed, by the definition $\left.F\right|_{O^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{L}_{2}\left(O^{\prime}\right)$ for every $O \subset \subset N \backslash C_{M}$. Assume now that $q \in C_{M}$. Let $U$ be a simply connected coordinate chart of $q$ with complex coordinates $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ such that $z_{1}(q)=\cdots=z_{n}(q)=0$, $C_{M} \cap M=\left\{z_{1} \cdots z_{k}=0\right\}$ and $\bar{U}=\left\{z \in M: \max _{1 \leq k \leq n}\left|z_{k}\right| \leq 1\right\}$. We identify $\bar{U}$ with the unit polydisk in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and by $\mathbb{T}^{n}$ we denote its boundary torus. Also, we naturally identify $(\bar{U})^{\prime} \subset M^{\prime}$ with $\bar{U} \times S$ where $S$ is the fibre of $r: M^{\prime} \rightarrow M$. Diminishing, if necessary, $U$ we will assume that $F$ is holomorphic in a neighbourhood $O^{\prime}:=r^{-1}(O)$ of $(\bar{U})^{\prime}$ where $O$ is a neighbourhood of $\bar{U}$.

Let $\left\{S_{l}\right\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \subset S$ be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of $S$ such that $\bigcup_{l} S_{l}=S$. Then from the Cauchy integral formula we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{l \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sum_{s \in S_{l}}|F(y, s)|^{2}\right) \\
& \quad \leq\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right)^{n} \int_{x \in \mathbb{T}^{n}} \sum_{s \in S} \frac{|F(x, s)|^{2}}{\left(1-\left|z_{1}(y)\right|\right) \cdots\left(1-\left|z_{n}(y)\right|\right)} d x, \quad y \in U,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $d x$ is the volume form on $\mathbb{T}^{n}$. Since $\mathbb{T}^{n} \subset \subset M \backslash C_{M},\left.F\right|_{\mathbb{T}^{n}} \in$ $\mathcal{L}_{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{n} \times S\right)$. This implies that $\left.F\right|_{r^{-1}(y)} \in l_{2}(S)$ for all $y \in V_{q}:=\{z \in$ $\left.U: \max _{1 \leq k \leq n}\left|z_{k}\right| \leq 1 / 2\right\}$ and the $l_{2}$ norms $|\cdot|_{y}$ of these functions are uniformly bounded. Choosing a finite cover of $C_{M}$ by such $V_{q}$ and taking into
account that $\left.F\right|_{O^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{L}_{2}\left(O^{\prime}\right)$ for every $O \subset \subset N \backslash C_{M}$, from the above we obtain that $F \in \mathcal{L}_{2}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$. Also, $\bar{\partial} F=\eta$.

This completes the proof of the theorem.
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