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## 1ntroduction

In the theory of automorphic functions it is important to investigate the properties of the singular sets of the properly discontinuous groups. But we seem to know nothing about the size or structure of the singular sets of Kleinian groups except the results due to Myrberg and Akaza [1], which state that the singular set has positive capacity and there exist Kleinian groups whose singular sets have positive 1 -dimensional measure. In our recent paper [2], we proved the existence of Kleinian groups with fundamental domains bounded by five circles whose singular sets have positive 1-dimensional measure and presented the problem whether there exist or not such groups in the case of four circles. The purpose of this paper is to solve this problem. Here we note that, by Schottky's condition [4], the 1 -dimensional measure of the singular set is always zero in the case of three circles.

In §§1-3 we shall give the more extensive criterion than that of the former paper [2] for the singular sets of the Kleinian groups to have positive 1dimensional measure and define the general computing functions of order $\nu$ on a Kleinian group. In $\S 4$, using these computing functions we shall give the example which solves the problem.

## § 1. Kleinian groups and isometric circles of linear transformations

1. Consider the properly discontinuous groups $G$ of the linear transformations which have the fundamental domain $B_{0}$ bounded by $N$ mutually disjoint circles $\left\{K_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$. Then there exist two different kinds of generators. A generator $S_{i_{0}}$ of the first kind transforms the outside of a boundary circle $K_{i_{0}}$ onto the inside of a boundary circle $K_{i_{0}}^{\prime}$ different from $K_{i_{0}}$ and a generator $S_{j_{0}}$ of the second kind transforms the outside of $K_{j_{0}}$ onto the inside of $K_{j_{0}}$ itself. The former
is the hyperbolic or loxodromic transformation and the latter is the elliptic transformation with period 2.

Let us start from $B_{0}$ and form a properly discontinuous group of linear transformations with the fundamental domain $B_{0}$. Take $2 p(N \geq 2 p)$ boundary circles $\left\{H_{i}, H_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i=1}^{P}$ from $\left\{K_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$. Let $S_{i}$ be a hyperbolic or loxodromic generator which transforms the outside of $H_{i}$ onto the inside of $H_{i}^{\prime}$. We denote by $S_{i}^{-1}$ the inverse transformation of $S_{i}$. Then $\left\{S_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{P}$ generate a Schottky group $G_{1}$ whose fundamental domain $B_{1} \supset B_{0}$ is bounded by $\left\{H_{i}, H_{i}^{\prime}\right\rangle_{i=1}^{P}$. Let $\left\{T_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{q}$ be the elliptic transformations with period 2 corresponding to the remaining boundary circles $\left\{K_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{q}$, where $N-2 p=q$. Then $\left\{T_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{q}$ generate a properly discontinuous group $G_{2}$ whose fundamental domain $B_{2} \supset B_{0}$ is the outside of the boundary circles $\left\{K_{j}\right\rangle_{j=1}^{\boldsymbol{q}}$. By combining two groups $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, a new group $G=G_{1} \cdot G_{2}$, which is generated by $\left\{S_{i}\right\rangle_{i=1}^{P}$ and $\left\{T_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\boldsymbol{p}}$, is obtained and is called a Kleinian group. It is easily seen that the fundamental domain of $G$ coincides with $B_{0}=B_{1} \cap B_{2}$ and $G$ is properly discontinuous.
2. We denote by $S T$ the transformation obtained by composition of transformations $S$ and $T$ contained in $G$, that is,

$$
S T(z)=S(T(z))
$$

We put $S S=S^{2}$ and $S^{\lambda}=S \cdot S^{\lambda-1}$ inductively for any integer $\lambda(>1)$. For a negative integer $\lambda, S^{\lambda}$ denotes $\left(S^{-1}\right)^{|\lambda|}$. Then any element $S$ of $G$ has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& S=S_{\left(v_{k}\right)} T_{j_{k}} \cdots S_{\left(v_{1}\right)} T_{j_{1}} S_{\left(v_{0}\right)}, \text { viz., } \\
& S(z)=S_{\left(v_{k}\right)}\left(T_{j_{k}}\left(\cdots\left(T_{j_{1}}\left(S_{\left(v_{0}\right)}(z)\right) \cdots\right)\right), \cdots\right. \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nu_{i}(i=0, \ldots, k)$ are integers and $S_{\left(v_{i}\right)}$ denotes the $\left|\nu_{i}\right|$ product of generators of $G_{1}$ or their inverses and $T_{j_{i}}\left(T_{j_{i}}^{2}=\right.$ identity) denotes the generator of $G_{2}$. We call the sum

$$
m=\sum_{i=0}^{k}\left|\nu_{i}\right|+k
$$

the grade of $S$. The image $S\left(B_{0}\right)$ of the fundamental domain $B_{0}$ by $S(\in G)$ with grade $m(\geqq 1)$ is bounded by $N$ circles $S\left(H_{i}\right), S\left(H_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ and $S\left(K_{j}\right),(i=1, \ldots, p$, $j=1, \ldots, q, N=2 p+q)$. For simplicity, we call the outer boundary circle $C^{(m)}$ of $S\left(B_{0}\right)$, which is contained in the boundary of the image of $B_{0}$ under some $T(\in G)$ with grade $m-1$, a circle of grade $m$. Circles $\left\{H_{i}, H_{i}^{\prime}\right\rangle_{i=1}^{\infty} \cup\left\{K_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\boldsymbol{j}}$;
which bound $B_{0}$, are of grade 1 . The number of circles of grade $m$ is obviously equal to $N(N-1)^{m-1}$.

Denote by $D_{m}$ the $N(N-1)^{m-1}$.ply connected domain bounded by the whole circles of grade $m$. Evidently $\left\{D_{m}\right\}(m=0,1, \ldots)$ is a monotone increasing sequence of domains. The complementary set $D_{m}^{c}$ of $D_{m}$ with respect to the extended $z$-plane consists of $N(N-1)^{m-1}$ mutually disjoint closed discs. The set $E=\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} D_{m}^{c}$ is perfect and nowhere dense. We call $E$ the singular set of $G$. The group $G$ is properly discontinuous in the complementary set of $E$.
3. For a linear transformation of the form

$$
T(z)=\frac{a z+b}{c z+d}, \quad a d-b c=1, c \neq 0
$$

the circle $I:|c z+d|=1$ is called the isometric circle of the transformation (See Ford [3]). The radius of $I$ equals $1 /|c|$.

By a transformation lengths and areas inside its isometric circle are increased in magnitude and lengths and areas outside the isometric circle are decreased in magnitude. A transformation carries its isometric circle into the isometric circle of the inverse transformation. The radii of the isometric circles of a transformation and its inverse are equal.

Let $G$ denote a properly discontinuous group of linear transformations. We suppose that, if an element of $G$ transforms the point at infinity into itself, then the element is the identity of $G$. Consider two arbitrary transformations of $G$

$$
T: T(z)=\frac{a z+c}{c z+d}, \quad a d-b c=1, c \neq 0
$$

and

$$
S: S(z)=\frac{\alpha z+\beta}{\gamma z+\delta}, \quad \alpha \delta-\beta \gamma=1, \gamma \neq 0 .
$$

We assume that $S \neq T^{-1}$. The isometric circle of $S T=S(T(z))$ is the circle

$$
|(\gamma a+\delta c) z+\gamma b+\delta d|=1
$$

Denote by $I_{s}, I_{s}^{\prime}, I_{T}, I_{r}^{\prime}$ and $I_{S T}$ isometric circles of $S, S^{-1}, T, T^{-1}$ and $S T$, respectively. Let $g_{s}, g_{s}^{\prime}, g_{r}, g_{r}^{\prime}$ and $g_{s r}$ be their centers, and let $R_{s}, R_{T}$ and $R_{S T}$ be radii of $I_{S}, I_{T}$ and $I_{s T}$.

As to these values, the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{S T}=\frac{1}{|\gamma a+\delta c|}=\frac{R_{s} \cdot R_{r}}{\left|g_{r}^{\prime}-g_{s}\right|} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
If the grade of a transformation in $G$ is $m$, its isometric circle is called an isometric circle of grade $m$. The number of the isometric circles with grade $m$ is obviously equal to $N(N-1)^{m-1}$.

## § 2. Measure of the singular sets of Kleinian groups

4. Given a set $\varepsilon$ of points in the $z$-plane and a positive number $\delta$, we denote by $I(\delta, \varepsilon)$ a family of a countable number of closed discs $U$ of diameter $\ell_{U} \leqq \delta$ such that every point of $\varepsilon$ is an interior point of at least one $U$.

We call the quantity

$$
\Lambda^{\eta} \varepsilon=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0}\left[\inf _{\{(\langle\delta, \varepsilon)\}} \sum_{U \in L(\delta, \varepsilon)} l_{V}^{\eta}\right]
$$

the $\eta$-dimensional measure of $\varepsilon$.
In [2] we obtained the important criterion for the singular set $E$ of a Kleinian group $G$ to have the positive $\eta$-dimensional measure. But we need a more extensive one to get a deeper result about the property of the singular set $E$.
5. Denoting by $r_{j}^{(m)}$ and by $r_{i}^{(m+1)}(i=1, \ldots, N-1)$ the radius of the outer boundary circle $\dot{C}_{j}^{(m)}$, that is, a circle of grade $m$ and the radii of $N-1$ inner boundary circles $C_{i}^{(m+1)}(i=1, \ldots, N-1)$ of the image $B_{m}$ of the fundamental domain $B_{0}$ by a transformation $S^{(m)}(\in G)$ with grade $m$, we have the following (See [1]).

Proposition 1. There exist positive constants $K_{0}(<1)$ and $k_{0}$ depending only on $B_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{0} r_{j}^{(m)} \leqq r_{i}^{(m+1)} \leqq K_{0} r_{j}^{(m)}, \quad(i=1, \ldots, N-1) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $F_{n_{0}}$ the family of all closed discs bounded by circles of grade $n$ ( $\geqq n_{0}$ ). It is easy to see that $F_{n_{0}}$ is a covering of the singular set of our Kleinian group $G$ and by Proposition 1 that the diameter of any discs of $F_{n_{0}}$ is less than a given $\delta(>0)$ for sufficiently large $n_{0}$.

For such covering $F_{n_{0}}$ we have the following important
Proposition 2 ([1]). Let $F_{n_{0}}^{\delta / k_{0}}$ be a covering of $E$ constructed by discs in $F_{n_{0}}$
whose radii are not greater than $\delta / 2 k_{0}$ and let $r_{c}$ be the radius of a disc $C$ in $F_{n_{0}}^{\delta / k_{0}}$, where $k_{0}$ is a positive constant in Proposition 1. Then it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{\eta} E=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \inf _{\left\{r_{n_{0}}^{\delta / / 0_{0}}\right\}} \sum_{c \in r_{n_{0}}^{\delta / / k_{0}}}\left(2 r_{c}\right)^{\eta} \leqq \kappa\binom{k_{0}}{2}^{-\eta} \Lambda^{\eta} E, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa$ is an absolute constant.
6. Now we shall give a sufficient condition for the singular set of $G$ to be positive. For this purpose we need a following lemma.

Lemma 1. Let

$$
S^{(m)}: z^{\prime}=S^{(m)}(z)=\frac{a^{\prime m)} z+b^{(m)}}{c^{(m)} z+d^{(m)}}
$$

be a transformation of grade $m$ in $G$ and denote by $r_{i}^{(m)}$ the radius of a boundary circle $C_{i}^{(m)}$ of $S^{(m)}\left(B_{0}\right)$. Then there exist positive constants $k(G)$ and $K(G)$ depending only on $G$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(G)\left(R^{(m)}\right)^{\mu} \leqq\left(r_{i}^{(m}\right)^{\mu / 2} \leqq K(G)\left(R^{(m)}\right)^{\mu}, \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, N), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R^{(m)}=1 /\left|c^{(m)}\right|$ is the radius of isometric circle of $S^{(m)}$.
Proof. The radius $r_{i}^{(m)}$ of a circle $C_{i}^{(m)}$ of grade $m$ by $S^{\left(m^{\prime}\right.}(z)$ is given by

$$
2 \pi r_{i}^{(m)}=\int_{H}\left|\frac{d S^{(m)}(z)}{d z}\right||d z|=\int_{H} \frac{|d z|}{\left|c^{(m)} z+d^{(m)}\right|^{2}},
$$

where $H$ is a suitable one in $\left\{H_{i}, H_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i=1}^{p} \cup\left\{K_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{q}$ which $S^{(m)}$ carries into $C_{i}^{(m)}$.
Hence, we have

$$
2 \pi r_{i}^{(m)}=\frac{1}{\left|c^{(m)}\right|^{2}} \int_{H} \frac{|d z|}{\left|z+\left(d^{(m)} / c^{(m)}\right)\right|^{2}} .
$$

Again we note that the point $-d^{\left(m^{\prime}\right)} c^{\left(m_{)}\right)}$is outside of $B_{0}$. If we put

$$
\Delta=\max _{z \in H}\left|z+\left(d^{(m)} / c^{(m)}\right)\right| \quad \text { and } \quad \delta=\min _{z \in H}\left|z+\left(d^{(m)} / c^{(m)}\right)\right|,
$$

then

$$
-{ }_{-\Delta^{2}}^{r} \cdot \frac{1}{\left|c^{m}\right|^{2}} \leqq r_{i}^{(m)} \leqq \frac{r}{\delta^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\left|c^{(m)}\right|^{2}} .
$$

where $r$ is the radius of $H$.
Such inequalities hold for all circles of grade $m$. Hence, there exist positive constants $k(G)$ and $K(G)$ such that

$$
k(G)\left(R^{(m)}\right)^{\mu} \leqq\left(r_{i}^{(m)}\right)^{\mu / 2} \leqq K(G)\left(R^{(m)}\right)^{\mu}, \quad(\mu>0) .
$$

In fact, we may take $k(G)$ as the minimum of $\left(r / \Delta^{2}\right)^{\mu / 2}$ and $K(G)$ as the maximum of $\left(r / \delta^{2}\right)^{\mu / 2}$, when $H$ runs in $\left\{H_{i}, H_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i=1}^{p} \cup\left\{K_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{q}$ and $S^{(m)}(m>1)$ varies in $G$.
q.e.d.

By using this lemma, we can prove the following
Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a Kleinian group defined in §1. If there exists a positive integer $\nu$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{s^{(\nu)}}\left(R_{S^{(m+\nu)}}\right)^{\mu} \geqq\left(R_{S(m)}\right)^{\mu}, \quad\left(0<\mu<4, S^{(m+\nu)}=S^{(m)} \cdot S^{(\nu)}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for radius $\left.R_{s}{ }^{m}\right)$ of any isometric circle $I_{S^{( }(m)}$ of grade $m$ and radii $R_{s(m+\nu)}=R_{S(m)_{S}(\nu)}$ of $(N-1)^{\nu}$ isometric circles $I_{s(m) s(\nu)}$ of grade $m+\nu$, where the right element $T_{1}$ of $S^{(m)}$ does not equal to the inverse of the left element $T^{*}$ of $S^{(\nu)}$ in $S^{(m+\nu)}=S^{(m)} S^{(\nu)}$, then the ( $\mu / 2$ )-dimensional measure of the singular set $E$ of $G$ is positive.

Proof. Take a covering $F_{n_{0}}^{\delta / k_{0}}$ of $E$ constructed by a finite number of closed discs $D_{s\left(m_{1}\right)}, \ldots, D_{s^{s}\left(m_{8}\right)}$, which are bounded by circles

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{s^{\left(m_{1}\right)}}, \ldots, C_{s^{( }\left(m_{Q}\right)}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively, where $C_{\left.s^{( } m_{j}\right)}(1 \leqq j \leqq Q)$ is a circle of grade $m_{j}$, that is, an outer boundary circle of the image $S^{\left(m_{j}{ }^{\prime}\right.}\left(B_{0}\right)$.

Denote $\min _{1 \leqq j \leqq 9}\left(m_{j}\right)$ by $m^{*}$. We amend the covering $F_{n_{0}}^{\delta / k_{0}}$ in the following manner: (i) if $m_{j}-m^{*}$ is a integral multiple of $\nu$, we leave the circle $C_{\left.s^{\prime} m_{j}\right)}$ untouched, and (ii) if $m_{j}-m^{*}=\nu \cdot p+\tau,(0<\tau<\nu)$, where $p$ is positive integer,
 of grade $m_{j}^{\prime}$ contained in $C_{s^{\prime}\left(m_{j}\right)^{\prime}}$, where $m_{j}^{\prime}-m^{*}=\nu(p+1)$. After such amendment we get a new covering ${ }^{*} F_{n_{0}}^{\delta / k_{0}}$ whose elements are all the discs bounded by the circles of grade $m^{*}+\nu \cdot p$. Denote such circles by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{s\left(m_{1^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)}, C_{s\left(m_{2}\right)}, \ldots, C_{S\left(m_{R^{\prime}}\right)}, \quad(Q \leqq R) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we get from (3) of Proposition 1 the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{Q}\left(r_{s}\left(m_{j}\right)\right)^{\mu / 2} \geqq K(\nu) \sum_{j=1}^{R}\left(r_{s\left(m_{j^{\prime}}\right)}\right)^{\mu / 2}, \quad(Q \leqq R), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{s\left(m_{j}\right)}$ and $r_{s^{\left(m_{j} j^{\prime}\right)}}$ are the radii of the circles (7) and (8), respectively and $K(\nu)$ is the constant depending only on $\nu$ and $B_{0}$. By using (5) of Lemma 1, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\sum_{j=1}^{R}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{s^{( }\left(m_{j}^{\prime}\right)}\right)^{\mu / 2} \geqq k(G) \sum_{j=1}^{R}\left(R_{S^{( } m_{j}^{\prime}}\right)\right)^{\mu} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{\left.s^{( } m_{j} j^{\prime}\right)}$ is the radius of the isometric circle of the transformation $S^{\left(m_{j^{\prime}}\right)}$.
From the construction of ${ }^{*} F_{n_{0}}^{\delta / k_{0}}$, there exist in (8) some systems $\left\{W_{m_{c}}\right\}$, each of which consists of $(N-1)^{2}$ boundary circles with the following properties: (i) $(N-1)^{\nu}$ circles of $W_{m_{k}}{ }^{*}$ have same grade number $m_{k}^{*}$, while the grade of circles of different systems are not necessarily equal, (ii) $(N-1)^{2}$ circles of each system $W_{m_{k}}$ are totality of inner boundary circles of $S^{\left.\left(m_{k^{*}}\right)^{2}\right)}\left(B_{0}\right)$ for a transformation $S^{\left(m_{k}^{* *-~}\right)}$ of grade $m_{k}^{*}-\nu$ so that they are bounded by a circle of grade $m_{k}^{*}-\nu$.

These $(N-1)^{\nu}$ circles in $W_{m_{k}}$ are arranged $N-1$ by $N-1$ and are replaced by circles of grade $m_{k}^{*}-1$ and after that, we repeat also such procedure and so on. After $\nu$ time procedure, we reach to the circle of grade $m_{k}^{*}-\nu$, that is, the outer boundary circle of $S^{\left(m_{\left.k^{*}-\nu\right)}\right.}\left(B_{0}\right)$. By the assumption (6), it holds, for each system,

$$
\sum_{s^{(\nu)}}\left(R_{s^{( }\left(m_{k^{*}}^{*-i}\right) s_{s}(\nu)}\right)^{\mu} \geqq R_{s\left(m_{k}^{*}-\omega\right)}^{\mu},
$$

where $\sum_{s(v)}$ denotes the sum when $S^{(v)}$ runs over all the transformations of grade $\nu$ whose left elements are not equal to the inverse of the right element of $S^{\left(m_{k^{*}}-\nu^{\prime}\right.}$. After replacing $(N-1)^{\nu}$ circles of each system $W_{m_{k} *}$ by a circle $C_{\left.s^{( } m_{k^{*}}-\nu\right)}$ of grade $m_{k}^{*}-\nu$ surrounding them, that is, an outer boundary circle of $S^{\left(m k^{*}-h\right)}\left(B_{0}\right)$, we have also a new covering of $E$ consisting of closed discs which are denoted by $D_{s^{\left(m_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right)}}, D_{\left.s^{( } m_{2^{\prime}}\right)}, \ldots, D_{\left.s^{\prime} m_{U^{\prime}}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)}$. They are bounded by circles

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{s^{( }\left(m_{1} 1^{\prime \prime}\right)}, C_{\mathbb{S}^{( }\left(m_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)}, \ldots, C_{\mathbb{s}^{\left(m_{U^{\prime}}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)}}, \quad(U<R) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exist in (11) some systems $\left\{W_{m_{k^{\prime}}}\right\}$ which satisfy the above conditions (i) and (ii) and hence, for each system of $\left\{W_{m_{k^{\prime}}}\right\}$, it holds also

$$
\sum_{s_{1}^{(\prime)}}\left(R_{\left.s\left(m_{k^{\prime}}^{*}-\right)_{s_{1}^{( }}^{(\nu)}\right)^{\mu} \geqq R_{s}^{\mu}\left(m_{k^{\prime}}^{*}-\nu\right)}\right.
$$

Repeating this procedure, we obtain the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{R}\left(R_{S^{( }\left(m^{\prime} j^{\prime}\right.}\right)^{u} \geqq \sum_{s^{\prime}\left(m^{*}\right)}\left(R_{S^{\left(m^{*}\right)}}\right)^{u} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m^{*}=\min _{1 \equiv j \equiv Q}\left(m_{j}\right)$ and the summation in the right hand side is taken over all transformations in $G$ with grade $m^{*}$. By a similar argument, if we put $m^{*}=\nu \cdot p_{0}+\tau_{0},\left(1 \leqq \tau_{0}<\nu\right)$, where $p_{0}$ is a positive integer, we see easily

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{s\left(m^{*}\right)}\left(R_{S}\left(m^{*}\right)\right)^{\mu} \geqq \sum_{s\left(\tau_{0}\right)}\left(R_{S}\left(\tau_{0}\right)\right)^{\mu} \geqq \min _{1 \equiv \xi_{0}<\nu}\left(\sum_{S\left(\tau_{0}\right)}\left(R_{S}\left(\tau_{0}\right)\right)^{\mu}\right), \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sum_{g\left(\tau_{0}\right)}$ denotes the sum with respect to all elements and their inverses of grade $\tau_{0}$ in $G$ and in particular $S^{(1)},\left(\tau_{0}=1\right)$, denotes a generator or its inverse. Here the quantity in the right hand side of (13) is a positive constant. Thus, for any covering $F_{n_{0}}^{\delta / k_{0}}$ of $E$, we have from (9), (10), (12) and (13)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{Q}\left(r_{s}\left(m_{j}\right)\right)^{\mu / 2} \geqq K^{*} \min _{1 \leqq \tau_{0}<\nu}\left(\sum_{s}\left(\tau_{0}\right)\left(R_{s}\left(\tau_{0}\right)\right)^{\mu}\right)>0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K^{*}=K(\nu) k(G)$. Putting $\eta=\frac{\mu}{2}$ in (4), we can prove our Theorem from (14) and Proposition 2. q.e.d.

## § 3. General computing function of a Kleinian group

7. Let us consider a transformation

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{(m+\nu)}=S^{(m)} S^{(\nu)}=S^{(m)} T_{\imath} T_{\nu-1} \cdots T_{2} T_{1}, \quad\left(S^{(m)}\right. & =S^{(m-1)} T_{k}, T_{1}^{-j} \neq T_{j+1} \\
& \left.(1 \leqq j \leqq \nu-1) \text { and } T_{\nu}^{-1} \neq T_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

of a Kleinian group, where $T_{k}$ and $T_{j}(1 \leqq j \leqq \nu-1)$ are generators or their inverses. Let $R_{s, k)}$ be the radius of the isometric circle of $S^{(k)}$. Then we have from (2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{S(m+\nu)} & =\frac{R_{T_{1}} R_{S(m+\nu-1)}}{\left|g_{T_{1}}^{\prime}-g_{s(m+\nu-1)}\right|}=\frac{R_{r_{1}} R_{T_{2}} R_{S(m+\nu-2)}}{\left|g_{T_{1}}^{\prime}-g_{S(m+\nu-1)}\right|\left|g_{T_{2}}^{\prime}-g_{s(m+\nu-2)}\right|}=\cdots \\
& =\frac{R_{T_{1}}}{\left|g_{T_{1}}^{\prime}-g_{s(m+\nu-1)}\right|} \cdot \frac{R_{r_{2}}}{\left|g_{T_{2}}^{\prime}-g_{s(m+\nu-2)}\right|} \cdots \frac{R_{T_{\nu}}}{\left|g_{T_{\nu}}^{\prime}-g_{s(m)}\right|} \cdot R_{S(m)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{R_{s}(m+\nu)}{R_{s}(m)}\right)^{\mu}=\prod_{i=1}^{\nu}\left\{\frac{R_{T_{i}}}{\mid g_{I_{i}}^{\prime}-g_{s^{(m+\nu-i)}}}\right\}^{\mu}, \quad(0<\mu<4) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $g_{s^{(m)}}=S^{-(m)}(\infty), g_{S^{(m) T T_{\nu}}}=T_{\nu}^{-1} S^{-(m)}(\infty), \ldots, g_{s^{(m)} T_{\nu} \tilde{r}_{\nu-1} \ldots T_{i+1}}$ $=g_{s(m+\nu-1)}=T_{i+1}^{-1} \cdots T_{\nu-1}^{-1} T_{\nu}^{-1} S^{-(m)}(\infty)$, (15) is also written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{R_{s(m+\nu)}}{R_{S^{(m)}}}\right)^{\mu}=\prod_{i=1}^{\nu}\left\{\frac{R_{T_{i}}}{\left|g_{r r_{i}^{-1}}-T_{i+1}^{-1} \cdots T_{v-1}^{-1} T_{i}^{-1} S^{-i m)}(\infty)\right|}\right\}^{\mu} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $g_{T_{i}^{-1}}=T_{i}(\infty), g_{s^{(m+\nu-i)}}=T_{i+1}^{-1} \cdots T_{\nu-1}^{-1} T_{\nu}^{-1} S^{-(m)}(\infty)$ and $T_{i} \neq T_{i+1}^{-1}, g_{r_{i}^{-1}}$ and $g_{s(m+\nu-i)}$ are contained in the different boundary circles of $B_{0}$ and hence each denominator of the product in the right hand side of (16) does not vanish.

If we replace $g_{s(m)}=S^{-(m)}(\infty)$ by $z$ in the denominator of (16) and form the summation with respect to all $S^{(\nu)}\left(T_{k} \neq T_{\nu}^{-1}\right)$ of grade $\nu$ in $G$, we obtain the following function

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{T_{k}}^{(\mu) \nu}(z)=\sum_{S(\nu)}[ & {\left[\prod_{i=1}^{\nu}\left\{\frac{R_{T_{i}}}{\left|g_{T_{\imath}^{-1}}-T_{i+1}^{-1} \cdots T_{\nu-1}^{-1} T_{\nu}^{-1}(z)\right|}\right\}^{\mu}\right] . }  \tag{17}\\
& \left(T_{\nu+1}: \text { identity, } T_{\nu}^{-1} \neq T_{k}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $z$ varies on the closed disc bounded by $H_{i_{1}}$, the boundary circle of $B_{0}$ mapped onto the boundary circle $H_{i_{1}}^{\prime}$ of $B_{0}$ by $T_{k}$. Since the $(N-1)^{\prime}$ denominators of (17) don't vanish, $f_{T_{k}}^{(1))^{v}}(z)$ is continuous in the closed disc $D_{i_{1}}$ bounded by $H_{i_{1}}$ and hence uniformly continuous. It is obvious that

$$
f_{T_{k}}^{(\mu) \nu}\left(g_{s(m)}\right)=\sum_{s(\nu)}\left(\frac{R_{\zeta}(m+\nu)}{R_{s(m)}}\right)^{\mu}
$$

We call $f_{T_{k}}^{(\mu) \nu}(z)$ the $\mu$ dimensional computing function of order $\nu$ on $T_{k}$ and there exist $N$ computing functions $f_{T_{k}}^{(\mu) v}(z)(k=1, \ldots, N)$ in all, since the last element $T_{k}$ of $S^{(m)}$ is any generator or its inverse of $G$. Such functions $\left\langle f_{r_{k}}^{(\mu) \nu}(z)\right\},(k=1, \ldots, N)$ are called the $\mu$-dimensional computing functions of order $\nu$ on a Kleinian group $G$.
8. We take a generator or its inverse $T_{i}$ and consider the $\mu$ dimensional computing function $f_{r_{i}}^{(\mu) \nu}(z)$ of order $\nu$ on $T_{i}$. Then $f_{T_{i}}^{(\mu) \nu}(z)$ is defined in the closed disc $D_{T_{i}}:\left|z-a_{T_{i}}\right| \leqq r_{T_{i}}$ bounded by $H_{T_{i}}$ which is a boundary circle of $B_{0}$ mapped onto $H_{r_{i}}^{\prime}$ by $T_{i}$. Since $f_{r_{i}}^{(\mu)}(z)$ is uniformly continuous in $D_{r_{i}}$, we can choose $\delta$ depending only on any small $\varepsilon$, so that it holds $\left|f_{T_{i}}^{(\mu) \nu}(z)-f_{Y_{i}}^{(\mu) \nu}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right|<\varepsilon$ for $z$ and $z^{\prime}$ satisfying $\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|<\delta$ in $D_{I_{i}}$.

Denote by $E_{i}$ the subset of $E$ contained in $D_{T_{i}}$. Since, from Proposition 1, any radius $r^{(m)}$ of circles of grade $m$ is equal or less than $K_{0}^{m-1} r^{(1)}\left(K_{0}<1\right)$, which tends to zero for $m \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a grade number $m_{0}$ depending only on $\delta$ so that for any $S^{(m)}=S^{(m-1)} T_{i}\left(m \geqq m_{0}\right)$ there is $z_{0} \in E_{i}$ such that $g_{s^{(m)}} \in D_{\delta}\left(z_{0}\right)$, where $D_{i}\left(z_{0}\right)$ denotes the disc with center $z_{0}$ and with radius $\delta$. Hence it can be seen that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{r_{i}}^{(\mu) \nu}\left(z_{0}\right)-f_{f_{i}}^{(\mu) \nu}\left(g_{s(m)}\right)\right|<\varepsilon, \quad\left(m \geqq m_{0}\right) . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{r_{i}}^{(\mu) \nu}(z)>\lambda_{i}, \quad \text { for any } z \in E_{i} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have from (18) and (19)

$$
\left|f_{T_{i}}^{(\mu) \nu}\left(g_{s}(m)\right)\right| \geqq\left|f_{T_{i}}^{(\mu) \nu}\left(z_{j}\right)\right|-\left|f_{T_{i}}^{(\mu) \nu}\left(g_{s_{s}(m)}\right)-f_{T_{i}}^{(\mu) \nu}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|>\lambda_{i}-\varepsilon .
$$

Now we prove the following
Theorem 2. Let $G$ be a Kleinian group whose fundamental domain is bounded by $N$ boundary circles as in §1. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{x_{i}}^{(\mu) \nu}(z)>\lambda_{i}>1, \quad(i=1, \ldots, N) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the singular subset $E_{i}$ of $E$ contained in the boundary circle $H_{T_{i}}(i=1, \ldots, N)$ of $B_{0}$ respectively, then the singular set $E$ of $G$ has the positive $\left(\frac{\mu}{2}\right)$-dimensional measure.

Proof. For any $i$, take $\varepsilon$ so small that it may hold $\lambda_{i}-\varepsilon>1(i=1, \ldots, N)$. Then we can determine the grade number $m_{0}$ such that the inequalities

$$
f_{i_{i}}^{(\mu) v}\left(g_{s_{s}(m)}\right)>\lambda_{i}-\varepsilon>1,\left(S^{(m)}=S^{(m-1)} T_{i}, m \geqq m_{0} ; i=1, \ldots, N\right)
$$

hold. Hence we have the following inequalities

$$
\sum_{s(\nu)}\left(R_{s(m+\nu)}\right)^{\mu} \geqq\left(R_{S(m)}\right)^{\mu},\left(S^{(m+\nu)}=S^{(m)} S^{(\nu)}, 0<\mu<4\right)
$$

for radius $R_{s^{\prime} m}$ of any isometric circle $I_{s^{(m)}}$ of grade $m$ and radii $R_{\left.S^{(m)}\right)_{S}(\nu)}$ of the $(N-1)^{2}$ isometric circles $I_{s(m) s(m)}$ of grade $(m+\nu)$. Thus, by Theorem 1, we get the theorem.
q.e.d.
9. In order to determine the positiveness of the $\mu$-dimensional measure of $E$, it is important to seek for the values $\lambda_{i}(i=1, \ldots, N)$ as sharp as possible one can.

If we put $z_{i+1}(z)=T_{i+1}^{-1} \cdots T_{v-1}^{-1} T_{1}^{-2}(z)(i=1, \ldots, \nu-1)$ in (17), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{T_{k}}^{(\mu) \nu}(z)=\sum_{s(v)}\left[\frac{R_{T_{1}}}{\left|g_{T_{1}^{-1}}-z_{2}(z)\right|} \cdots \frac{R_{T_{i-1}}}{\mid g_{T_{i-1}^{-1}-z_{j}(z) \mid}} \cdots \frac{R_{T_{v}}}{\left|g_{r_{\nu}^{-1}}-z\right|}\right]^{\mu} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $D_{s}^{*}$ the minimum closed subdomain, which is contained in $D_{s}$ bounded by the boundary circle $H_{s}$ mapped onto $H_{s}^{\prime}$ by $S$ and further contains the
singular subset of $E$ contained in $H_{s}$. We put $z_{i-1}\left(D_{T_{k}}^{*}\right)=\widetilde{D}_{t+1}^{*}$ and note that $\widetilde{D}_{i+1}^{*} \subset D_{T_{i+}}^{*}$.

Let
(22)

$$
\left\{z_{2}(z), \ldots, z_{j}(z), \ldots, z_{i}(z), z\right\}
$$

be a coordinate of $\nu$ complex numbers, where $z \in D_{T_{k}}^{*}$ and $z_{j}(z) \in \widetilde{D}_{j}^{*},(2 \leqq j \leqq \nu)$. Since the number of $S^{-(\nu)}=T_{1}^{-1} S^{-(\nu-1)}=T_{1}^{-1} T_{2}^{-1} \cdots T_{\nu}^{-1}$ with $T_{\nu}^{-1} \neq T_{k}$ is $(N-1)^{\nu-1}$, there are $(N-1)^{\nu-1}$ number of coordinates in all.

Let only the first component $z_{2}$ in (22) move freely in $\widetilde{D}_{2}^{*}$ for fixed $z_{j}(z)$ $(3 \leqq j \leqq \nu)$. Then we have
on $D_{x_{k}}^{*}$, where $\left(z_{2}\right) \in\left(\tilde{D}_{2}^{*}\right)$ denotes that the first component $z_{2}$ of each coordinate with the form (22) moves in each minimum closed subdomain $\widetilde{D}_{2}^{*}$. We note that there are such $(N-1)^{\nu-1}$ closed subdomains.

After this procedure, let only the second component $z_{3}$ of each coordinate with the form (22) move freely in $\widetilde{D}_{3}^{*}$ for fixed $z_{j}(z)(4 \leqq j \leqq \nu)$. Then we have

$$
f_{r_{k}}^{(u) \nu}(z) \geqq \min _{\left(z_{3}\right) \in\left(\tilde{D}_{3}^{*}\right)}\left\{\min _{\left(z_{2}\right) \in\left(\tilde{D}_{2}^{*}\right)} \sum_{s^{( }(\nu)}[\quad " \quad]^{\mu}\right\} \text { on } D_{l_{k}}^{\star}
$$

where $\left(z_{3}\right) \in\left(\widetilde{D}_{3}^{*}\right)$ denotes that the second component $z_{3}$ of each coordinate with the form (22) moves in each minimum closed subdomain $\widetilde{D}_{3}^{*}$. There are such $(N-1)^{\nu-2}$ closed subdomains. Repeating this procedure, we obtain the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\tilde{r}_{k}}^{(\mu) \nu}(z) \geqq \min _{z \leqslant D_{\tilde{r}_{k}}^{*}}\left\{\min _{(z, y) \in\left(\tilde{n}^{*}\right)}\left\{\cdots\left\{\min _{(z z) \in\left(\tilde{p}_{2}^{*}\right)} \sum_{s((z)}[\quad \| \quad]^{\mu}\right\} \cdots\right\}\right. \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $D_{T_{k}}^{*}$, where $\left(z_{i}\right) \in\left(\widetilde{D}_{i}^{*}\right),(i=2, \ldots, \nu)$ denote that $z$ moves in a minimum closed subdomain $\widetilde{D}_{i}^{*}$. If we denote the right hand side of (24) by $\lambda_{k}$, we get the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2_{k}^{\prime}(\nu) \nu}(z) \geqq \lambda_{k}, \quad(k=1, \ldots, N) . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

## § 4. Examples of Kleinian groups whose singular sets have positive 1-dimensional measure

10. In our recent paper [2], we proved the existence of Kleinian groups with fundamental domains bounded by five circles whose singular sets have
positive 1-dimensional measure and presented the problem whether there exist or not such groups in the case of four circles. Here we note that, by Schottky's condition [4], the 1 -dimensional measure of the singular set is always zero in the case of three circles.

In this chapter, by using the conditions (for $\mu=2$ ) of Theorem 2 and the method of No. 9, we shall show the existence of Kleinian groups with fundamental domains bounded by four circles whose singular sets have positive 1-dimensional measure.

As the preliminary to give our example, at first we shall show how to construct a transformation $T$ which maps the outside of a circle $H$ onto the inside of another circle $H^{\prime}$, where $H$ and $H^{\prime}$ have equal radii, though in generally we can set up infinitely many such transformations.

Denote two circles by

$$
H:|z-q|=r, \quad H^{\prime}:\left|z-q^{\prime}\right|=r .
$$

If $T$ is restricted by the conditions: $q^{\prime}=T(\infty)$ and $q=T^{-1}(\infty)$, it is easily seen that $T$ has the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}=T(z)=\frac{q^{\prime} z-\left(q q^{\prime}+r^{2} e^{i 0}\right)}{z-q}, \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta$ is any real number and the isometric circles $I_{T}$ and $I_{T^{\prime}}$ are $H$ and $H^{\prime}$ respectively.
11. Secondly we shall give two lemmas which we shall need later.

Lemma 2. Let $P_{1}=P(R, 0)$ and $P_{2}=P(R, \pi)$ be fixed on real axis in the complex $z$-plane and $P=P(r, \theta)$ move on the fixed circle $C_{r}:|z|=r$. Then the function $f(P)=\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{1}{\overline{P P_{t}^{2}}}$ of $P$ attains its minimum at the points on the imaginary axis, where $\overline{P P_{i}}$ denote the distances between $P$ and $P_{i}$.

Proof. By using the polar coordinates, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(r, \theta) & =\frac{1}{R^{2}+r^{2}-2 R r \cos \theta}+\frac{1}{R^{2}+r^{2}+2 R r \cos \theta} \\
& =\frac{2\left(R^{2}+r^{2}\right)}{\left(R^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2}-4 R^{2} r^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta} . \quad(r ; \text { fixed }) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the minimum is attained at $\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}$ or $\frac{3}{2} \pi$. q.e.d.

Lemma 3. Let $P_{1}=P\left(R,-\frac{\pi}{3}\right), P_{2}=P\left(R, \frac{\pi}{3}\right)$ and $P_{3}=P(R, \pi)$ be fixed in the complex $z$-plane, and $P=P(r, \theta)$ move in the fixed closed disc $U:|z| \leqq \frac{R}{2}$. Then the function $f(P)=\sum_{t=1}^{3} \frac{1}{\overline{P P_{t}^{2}}}$ attains its minimum at the origin.

Proof. As in Lemma 2, we obtain the following representation of $f(P)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(r, \theta)=\frac{1}{R^{2}+r^{2}-2 R r \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{3}-\theta\right)}+\frac{1}{R^{2}+r^{2}-2 R r \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{3}+\theta\right)} \\
&+\frac{1}{R^{2}+r^{2}+2 R r \cos \theta}
\end{aligned}
$$

If we differentiate with respect to $\theta$ for fixed $r$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}=\frac{2 R r}{\left(R^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2}}\left[\frac{\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{3}-\theta\right)}{\left\{1-\frac{2 R r}{R^{2}+r^{2}} \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{3}-\theta\right)\right\}^{2}}-\frac{\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{3}+\theta\right)}{\left\{1-\frac{2 R r}{R^{2}+r^{2}} \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{3}+\theta\right)\right\}^{2}}\right. \\
\left.\quad+\frac{\sin \theta}{\left\{1+\frac{2 R r}{R^{2}+r^{2}} \cos \theta\right\}^{2}}\right]=\frac{9}{16} a^{2}(2+a)(2-a) \sin 3 \theta
\end{array}
$$

where $a=\frac{2 R r}{R^{2}+r^{2}}(\leqq 1)$. Hence the values which satisfy the equation $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}=0$ in $0 \leqq \theta \leqq \frac{\pi}{3}$, are 0 and $\frac{\pi}{3}$.

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}\right]_{\theta=\pi / 6} } & =\frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} a\right)^{2}}+\frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} a\right)^{2}}-1\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{3}{4} a^{2}\right)^{2}}-1\right\}>0, \quad\left(a=\frac{2 R r}{R^{2}+r^{2}} \leqq 1\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$P(r, 0)\left(\right.$ or $\left.P\left(r, \frac{\pi}{3}\right)\right)$ is the point at which $f(r, \theta)$ attains the minimum (or the maximum) for any fixed $r\left(0 \leqq r \leqq \frac{R}{2}\right)$.

We differentiate $f(r, 0)$ with respect.to $r$, and see that $\frac{d f(r, 0)}{d r}$ has only one zero point in $0<r<\frac{R}{2}$, at which $f(r, 0)$ takes the maximum value in $0 \leqq r \leqq \frac{R}{2}$. Hence the inequality

$$
f(0,0)=\frac{3}{R^{2}}<f\left(\frac{R}{2}, \quad 0\right)=\frac{28}{9 R^{2}},
$$

implies that $f(0,0)$ is the minimum value of $f(r, 0)$ in $0 \leqq r \leqq \frac{R}{2}$ and hence that of $f(r, \theta)$ in $U$.
q.e.d.
12. Example. The case of $N=4$.

Consider the three circles $H_{j}(j=1,2,3)$ with centers $a_{j}=2 e^{i\left(\frac{14 j-1)}{6} \pi\right.}$ ( $j=1,2,3 ; i^{2}=-1$ ) and equal radii $\sqrt{3}-\varepsilon$, respectively. We let these three circles $H_{j}(j=1,2,3)$ correspond to the elliptic transformations $S_{j}(j=1,2,3)$ with period 2.

Then we obtain a Fuchsian group $G_{1}$ of the second kind with the fixed circle $|z|=1+\varepsilon_{1}$. The singular set of $G_{1}$ is on the circle $|z|=1+\varepsilon_{1}$ and is nowhere dense. Next we describe a circle $H_{4}$ with center at the origin and the radius $2-\sqrt{3}$ and let it correspond to the elliptic transformation $S_{4}$ with period 2.

Combining the Fuhcsian group $G_{1}$ with $G_{2}$ generated by $S_{4}$ only, we obtain a Kleinian group $G$, that is, a combination group $G_{1} \cdot G_{2}$, whose fundamental domain $B_{0}$ is connected and bounded by four circles $H_{j}(j=1,2,3,4)$.

For convenience of the calculation, we consider the limit case $\varepsilon=0$. Then $B_{0}$ is no more connected and the fixed circle of $G_{1}$ is $|z|=1$.

Denote by $D_{j}(j=1,2,3,4)$ the closed discs bounded by $H_{j}(j=1,2,3,4)$ and by $V$ the closed unit disc. Then the singular set $E$ of $G$ lies in the inside of $V \cap\left\{\bigcup_{j=1}^{4} D_{j}\right\}$. The generating transformations of $G$ have the following forms (see (26)):

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
S_{j}(z)=\frac{\frac{2 i}{\sqrt{3}} z+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} e^{i \frac{2(j-1)}{3} \pi}}{(-1)^{j-1} \frac{e^{i\left(\frac{(j-1)}{3}\right.} \pi}{\sqrt{3}} z+\frac{2 i}{\sqrt{3}}}, & (j=1,2,3)  \tag{27}\\
S_{4}(z)=\frac{(2-\sqrt{3})^{2} e^{i \theta}}{z}, & (\theta ; \forall \text { real number }) .
\end{array}
$$

By the symmetricity of the figure, it is sufficient to calculate the values of the computing functions $f_{s_{1}}^{(2) \nu}(z)$ and $f_{s_{1}}^{(2) \nu}(z)$ of order $\nu$ in $V \cap D_{1}$ and $D_{4}$, respectively.
(I) Case of order $\nu=1$.
(a) It holds that in $V \cap D_{1}$

$$
f_{S_{1}}^{(2),}(z)=\sum_{j=2}^{3} \frac{(\sqrt{3})^{2}}{\left|z-a_{j}\right|^{2}}+\frac{(2-\sqrt{3})^{2}}{|z|^{2}}
$$

We see from Lemma 2 that it attains the minimum at $z=i$ in $V \cap D_{1}$. Hence

$$
f_{s_{1}}^{(2)_{1}}(z)>\frac{6}{7}+(2-\sqrt{3})^{2}>0.928 \text { on } V \cap D_{1}
$$

The condition (20) of Theorem 2 is not satisfied.
(b) It holds that in $D_{4}$

$$
f_{s_{i}}^{(2)_{1}}(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{(\sqrt{3})^{2}}{\left|z-a_{j}\right|^{2}}
$$

Since $f_{S_{4}}^{(2)_{1}}(z)$ attains the minimum in $D_{4}$ at the origin from Lemma 3 , it holds

$$
f_{s_{i}}^{(2)_{1}}(z) \geqq\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)^{2} \times 3=\frac{9}{4}=2.25
$$

In the cases of order $\nu=2,3,4$, we can not obtain the desired results. But in the case of order $\nu=5$, we do succeed as shown below.
(II) Case of order $\nu=5$.

The 2-dimensional computing function of order 5 is as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \cdot \frac{R_{T_{3}}^{2}}{\left|g_{T_{3}^{-1}}-T_{4}^{-1} T_{5}^{-1}(z)\right|^{2}} \cdot \frac{R_{T_{4}}^{2}}{\left|g_{T_{4}^{-1}}-T_{5}^{-1}(z)\right|^{2}} \cdot \frac{R_{T_{5}}^{2}}{\left|g_{T_{5}^{-1}}-z\right|^{2}},  \tag{28}\\
& \left(S^{(5)}=T_{5} T_{4} T_{3} T_{2} T_{1}, T_{j}^{-1} \neq T_{j+1}(1 \leqq j \leqq 5)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $z \in D_{i_{6}}^{*}\left(T_{6} \neq T_{5}^{-1}\right)$.
By the symmetricity of the figure, it is sufficient to calculate the values of $f_{s_{1}}^{(2) 5}(z)$ and $f_{S_{4}}^{(2)_{5}}(z)$ in $V \cap D_{1}$ and $D_{4}$, respectively, according as $T_{0}$ is $S_{1}$ or $S_{4}$.

Now we shall give some preliminary appreciations.
(a) We denote by $\Sigma^{(1)}$ the sum taken over all the $S^{(5)}=S^{(4)} T_{1}$ with the same $S^{(4)}=T_{5} T_{4} T_{3} T_{2} . \quad B y$ (I) we have

$$
\Sigma^{(1)} \frac{R_{T_{1}}^{2}}{\left|g_{T_{1}^{-1}}-\left(S^{(4)}\right)^{-1}(z)\right|^{2}} \geqq 0.928 \text { or } 2.25
$$

according as $T_{2}$ is one of $S_{1}, S_{2}$ and $S_{3}$ or $T_{2}=S_{4}$.
(b) We denote by $\Sigma^{(2)}$ the sum taken over all the $S^{(5)}=S^{(5)} T_{2} T_{1}$ with the same $S^{(3)}=T_{5} T_{4} T_{3}$. See the table from above.
(b. 1) The case of $T_{3}=S_{1}$. By (a) and Lemma 2, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum^{(2)} \frac{R_{T_{1}}^{2}}{\left|g_{T_{1}^{-1}}-\left(S^{(1)}\right)^{-1}(z)\right|^{2}} \cdot \frac{R_{T_{2}}^{2}}{\left|g_{T_{2}^{-1}}-\left(S^{(3)}\right)^{-1}(z)\right|^{2}} \geqq 0.928 \sum_{r_{2}=S_{2}, s_{3} \cdot \frac{R_{T_{2}}^{2}}{\left|g_{r_{2}^{-1}}-\left(S^{(3)}\right)^{-1}(z)\right|^{2}}}^{+2.25 \times \frac{R_{s_{4}}^{2}}{\left|g_{s_{4}^{-1}}-\left(S^{(3)}\right)^{-1}(z)\right|^{2}} \geqq 0.928 \times \frac{6}{7}+2.25 \times(2-\sqrt{3})^{2} .}
\end{array}
$$

In the cases of $T_{3}=S_{2}$ or $S_{3}$ we have the same.
(b.2) The case of $T_{3}=S_{4}$. From (a) and (I) we have

$$
\geqq 0.928 \times 2.25
$$

Thus we obtain the last column of the table.

| $T$ | $T_{2}$ |  |  |  | $T_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $T_{2}$ | $R_{T_{2}}^{2}$ | $g r t_{1}{ }^{-1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(S^{(3)}\right)^{-1}(z) \\ \text { moves in } \end{gathered}$ | $T_{1}$ | $R_{r_{1}}^{2}$ | $g_{r_{1}-1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(S^{(4)}\right)^{-1}(z) \\ & \text { moves in } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | 3 | $a$ a | $D_{1} \cap \mathrm{~V}$ | S $S_{1}$ $S_{3}$ $S_{4}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}3 \\ 3 \\ (2-\sqrt{3})^{2}\end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & a_{1} \\ & a_{3} \\ & a_{4} \end{aligned}$ | $D_{2} \cap \mathrm{~V}$ | $c_{1}$ $c_{1}$ $c_{2}$ |
|  | $S_{3}$ | 3 | $a_{3}$ |  | $S_{1}$ $S_{1}$ $S_{2}$ $S_{4}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}3 \\ 3 \\ (2-\sqrt{3})^{2}\end{gathered}\right.$ | $a_{1}$ $a_{2}$ $a_{4}$ | $D_{3} \cap \mathrm{~V}$ | $c_{1}$ $c_{1}$ $c_{2}$ |
|  | S4 | $(2-\sqrt{3})^{2}$ | $a_{4}$ |  | S $S_{1}$ $S_{2}$ $S_{3}$ | 3 3 3 3 | $a_{1}$ $a_{2}$ $a_{3}$ | $D_{4}$ | $c_{1}$ $c_{1}$ $c_{1}$ $c_{1}$ |
| $S_{4}$ | $S_{1}$ | 3 | $a_{1}$ | D4 | $S_{2}$ $S_{3}$ $S_{4}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}3 \\ 3 \\ (2-\sqrt{3})^{2}\end{array}\right\|$ | $a_{2}$ $a_{3}$ $a_{6}$ | $D_{1} \cap \mathrm{~V}$ | $c_{1}$ $c_{1}$ $c_{1}$ |
|  | $S_{2}$ | 3 | $a_{2}$ |  | $S_{1}$ $S_{3}$ $S_{4}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}3 \\ 3 \\ (2-\sqrt{3})^{2}\end{array}\right\|$ | $a_{1}$ $a_{3}$ $a_{4}$ | $D_{2} \cap \mathrm{~V}$ | $c_{1}$ $c_{1}$ $c_{2}$ |
|  | $S_{3}$ | 3 | $a_{3}$ |  | $S_{1}$ <br> $S_{2}$ <br> $S_{4}$ <br>  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}3 \\ 3 \\ (2-\sqrt{3})^{2}\end{array}\right\|$ | $a_{1}$ $a_{2}$ $a_{4}$ | $D_{3} \cap \mathrm{~V}$ | $c_{1}$ $c_{1}$ $c_{2}$ |
| $T$ s | $T$. | $R_{T}^{2}$ | $g_{r_{4}-1}$ | $\begin{gathered} T_{t}^{-1}(z) \\ \text { moves in } \end{gathered}$ | T3 | $R_{T_{2}}^{2}$ | $g_{r_{3}-1}$ | $\begin{gathered} T_{4}^{-1} T_{b^{-1}}(z) \\ \text { moves in } \end{gathered}$ | $J$ is not less than |
|  | $T 4$ |  |  |  | $T_{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $J=\Sigma^{(2)} \frac{R_{T_{1}}^{2}}{\left\|g_{T_{1}^{-1}}-\left(S^{(1)}\right)^{-1}(z)\right\|^{2}} \cdot \frac{R_{T_{2}}^{2}}{\left\|g_{r_{2}^{-1}}-\left(S^{(3)}\right)^{-1}(z)\right\|^{2}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & c_{1}=0.928 \times \frac{6}{7}+2.25 \times(2-\sqrt{3})^{2} . \\ & c_{2}=0.928 \times 2.25 . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(c) We denote by $\Sigma^{(4)}$ the sum taken over all the $S^{(5)}$ with the same $T_{5}$. See the table from below.
(c. 1) The case of $T_{5}=S_{1}$. Using (a), (b), Lemma 2 and (I), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{T_{5}}=\sum^{(4)} \frac{R_{T_{1}}^{2}}{\left|g_{r_{1}^{-1}}-\left(S^{(4)}\right)^{-1}(z)\right|^{2}} \cdot \frac{R_{T_{2}}^{2}}{\left|g_{r_{2}^{-1}}-\left(S^{(3)}\right)^{-1}(z)\right|^{2}} \cdot \frac{R_{T_{4}}^{2}}{\left|g_{r_{3}^{-1}}-T_{4}^{-1} T_{5}^{-1}(z)\right|^{2}} \\
& \bullet \frac{R_{T_{4}}^{2}}{\mid g_{T_{4}^{-1}-\left.T_{5}^{-1}(z)\right|^{2}}} \\
& \geqq\left(c_{1} \times \sum_{T_{3}=S_{1}, s_{3}} \frac{R_{s_{5}}^{2}}{\left|g_{T_{3}^{-1}}-T_{4}^{-1} T_{5}^{-1}(z)\right|^{2}}+c_{2} \times \frac{R_{s_{4}}^{2}}{\left.\mid g_{s_{4}^{-1}-\left.T_{4}^{-1} T_{5}^{-1}(z)\right|^{2}}\right) \times}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\times \frac{R_{s_{2}}^{2}}{\left|g_{s_{2}^{-1}}-T_{5}^{-1}(z)\right|^{2}}
$$

$$
+\left(c_{1} \times \sum_{T_{3}=S_{1}, S_{2}} \frac{R_{T_{3}}^{2}}{\left|g_{r_{3}^{-1}}-T_{4}^{-1} T_{5}^{-1}(z)\right|^{2}}+c_{2} \times \frac{R_{S_{4}}^{2}}{\mid g_{S_{4}^{-1}-\left.T_{4}^{-1} T_{5}^{-1}(z)\right|^{2}}}\right) \times
$$

$$
\times \frac{R_{s_{3}}^{2}}{\left|g_{s_{3}^{-1}}-T_{5}^{-1}(z)\right|^{2}}
$$

$+c_{1} \times \sum_{T_{3}=S_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}} \frac{R_{T_{3}}^{2}}{\left|g_{T_{3}^{-1}}-T_{4}^{-1} T_{5}^{-1}(z)\right|^{2}} \times \frac{R_{s_{4}}^{2}}{\left|g_{s_{4}^{-1}}-T_{5}^{-1}(z)\right|^{2}}$
$\geqq\left\{\frac{6}{7} \times c_{1}+(2-\sqrt{3})^{2} \times c_{2}\right\}_{T_{4}=s_{2}, s_{3}} \frac{R_{T_{4}}^{2}}{\left|g_{r_{4}^{-1}}-T_{5}^{-1}(z)\right|^{2}}+2.25(2-\sqrt{3})^{2} \times c_{1}$
$\geqq\left\{\left(\frac{6}{7}\right)^{2}+2.25(2-\sqrt{3})^{2}\right\} c_{1}+\frac{6}{7}(2-\sqrt{3})^{2} c_{2}$.
In the cases of $T_{5}=S_{2}$ or $S_{3}$ we have the same.
(c.2) The case of $T_{5}=S_{4}$. Similarly we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{r_{s}} \geqq\left\{\frac{6}{7} \times c_{1}+(2-\sqrt{3})^{2} c_{2}\right\}_{T_{4}=s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}} \frac{R_{T_{4}}^{2}}{\left|g_{T_{4}^{-1}}-T_{5}^{-1}(z)\right|^{2}} \\
& \geqq 2.25 \times \frac{6}{7} \times c_{1}+2.25 \times(2-\sqrt{3})^{2} \times c_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we can show that $f_{T_{6}}^{(2)_{5}}(z)>1$ for any $T_{6}$.
(A) The case of $T_{6}=S_{1}$. In this case $T_{5}$ takes on $S_{2}, S_{3}$ and $S_{4}$. Hence, by (c) and Lemma 2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{s_{1}}^{(2) \cdot}(z) & \geqq I_{s_{2}} \times \frac{R_{s_{2}}^{2}}{\mid g_{s_{2}^{-1}-\left.z\right|^{2}}}+I_{s_{3}} \times \frac{R_{s_{s}}^{2}}{\left|g_{s_{3}^{-1}}^{-1}-z\right|^{2}}+I_{s_{4}} \times \frac{R_{s_{4}}^{2}}{\left|g_{s_{4}^{-1}}^{-1} z\right|^{2}} \\
& \left.\geqq \left\lvert\,\left\{\left(\frac{6}{7^{-}}\right)^{2}+2.25 \times(2-\sqrt{3})^{2}\right\} \times c_{1}+\frac{6}{7}(2-\sqrt{3})^{2} \times c_{2}\right.\right] \times \sum_{r_{6} * s_{2}, s_{s}} \frac{R_{T_{5}}^{2}}{\left|g_{r_{5}^{-1}}-z\right|^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +(2-\sqrt{3})^{2} \times\left\{2.25 \times \frac{6}{7} \times c_{1}+2.25 \times(2-\sqrt{3})^{2} \times c_{2}\right\} \\
\geqq & \frac{6}{7}\left[\left\{\left(\frac{6}{7}\right)^{2}+2.25 \times(2-\sqrt{3})^{2}\right\} \times c_{1}+\frac{6}{7}(2-\sqrt{3})^{2} \times c_{2}\right]+(2-\sqrt{3})^{2} \times \\
& \times\left\{2.25 \times \frac{6}{7} \times c_{1}+2.25 \times(2-\sqrt{3})^{2} \times c_{2}\right\}>1.002004 .
\end{aligned}
$$

(B) The case of $T_{6}=S_{4} . \quad T_{5}$ takes on $S_{1}, S_{2}$ and $S_{3}$, so that we have

$$
f_{s_{4}}^{(2) / 5}(z) \geqq\left[\left\{\left(\frac{6}{7}\right)^{2}+2.25 \times(2-\sqrt{3})^{2}\right\} \times c_{1}+\frac{6}{7}(2-\sqrt{3})^{2} c_{2}\right] \times 2.25>2.218873
$$

Thus we see that the condition of Theorem 2 is satisfied and have
Theorem 3. Under Kleinian groups whose fundamental domains are bounded by mutually disjoint $N(\geqq 4)$ circles, there exist ones whose singular sets have positive 1-dimensional measure.

Recalling our result about Poincaré theta-series [1], we have the following
Corollary. Under Kleinian groups whose fundamental domains are bounded by mutually disjoint $N(\geqq 4)$ circles, there exist one, the ( -2 )-dimensional Poincare theta-series $\Theta_{2}(z)$ with respect to which does not converge in $D^{*}$, where $D^{*}$ denotes the compact subdomain of $E^{c}$ given by deleting the suitable neighbourhoods of the poles of $\Theta_{2}(z)$ and their transforms on $G$ from any compact subdomain $D \subset E^{c}$.
13. Considering the Schottky subgroups $G^{*}$ of $G$ given by inversion method (see [2]), we have the following

Theorem 4. There exist Schottky groups whose fundamental domains are bounded by 6 boundary circles and whose singular sets have positive 1-dimensional measure. The $(-2)$-dimensional Poincare theta-series $\otimes_{2}(z)$ with respect to such Schottky group does not converge in $D^{*}$.
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