# ON THE METRICAL THEOREMS OF CLUSTER SETS OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS 

CHUJI TANAKA

1. Introduction. Recently the important contributions to the cluster sets theory of the meromorphic functions in the unit-disc have been done by many authors. For its recent development, we refer to K. Noshiro [10]. Roughly speaking, these studies can be divided into two classes; the first one is topological, and the second one is metrical. As far as the author knows, there exist very few results on the metrical theorems on cluster sets of functions meromophic in an arbitrary connected domain, except for the case that its boundary is of logarithmic capacity zero. (K. Noshiro [10] pp. 5-31).

The object of this note is to supply this gap. Our method is based upon the systematic use of both the hyperbolic distance and the normal family in P. Montel's sense. In the case of the unit-disc, this method has been effectively employed by K. Noshiro [11], Lehto-Virtanen [7], [8], Bagemihl-Seidel [2], [3], [15] and C. M. Faust [6] (K. Noshiro [10] pp. 86-87).
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7. Notations and definitions. In the sequel, we use the following notations.
(1) Let $w=f(z)$ be uniform and meromorphic in the connected domain $D$, whose boundary $\Gamma$ has at least three points.
(2) Let $S$ be the sequence of points $\left\{z_{n}\right\}\left(z_{n} \in D\right)$ tending to the fixed boundary point $z_{0}$.
(3) Since $\Gamma$ has at least three points, we can introduce the element of

[^0]length in the hyperbolic metric ${ }^{1)}$ of $D: d_{\sigma_{z}}(z \in D)$ ([12] p. 49). Let $d\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ be the hyperbolic distance between two points $z_{i}(i=1,2)$ in $D$ :
$$
d\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\min \int_{z_{1}}^{z_{2}} d \sigma_{z}
$$
(4) $C(a, r)$ is the hyperbolic circle with the centre $a$ and hyperbolic radius $r$ :
$$
C(a, r)=E\{z ; \quad d(a, z)<r\}
$$
(5) We write $D(S, r)$ for the union of the hyperbolic circles with centres on the sequence $S$ and hyperbolic radius $r$ :
$$
D(S, r)=\bigcup_{n} C\left(z_{n}, r\right)
$$
(6) We define $C(r)$ as follows:
\[

C(r)=\varlimsup_{\substack{\left\{$$
\begin{array}{l}
z \rightarrow z \\
z \in D(s, r) \\
\in
\end{array}
$$\right.}} d s_{z} / d \sigma_{z},
\]

where $d s_{z}$ is the spherical element of length at $z \in D$, i.e.

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| /\left(1+|f(z)|^{2}\right) \cdot|d z|
$$

and $d \sigma_{z}$ is the hyperbolic element of length at $z \in D$. Now we introduce some definitions.

Definition 1. Since $C(r)$ is the non-decreasing function of $r$, we can define the normalcy radius $r(S)$ along the sequence $S$ as follows:
(i) If $C(r)<+\infty^{21}$ for $0<r<+\infty$, then we put $r(S)=+\infty$.
(ii) If $C(r)<+\infty$ for $0<r<r^{*}(<+\infty)$, and $C(r)=+\infty$ for $r^{*}<r$, then we put $r(S)=r^{*}$.
(iii) If $C(r)=+\infty$ for $0<r$, then we put $r(S)=0$.

If $r(S)=+\infty$ or 0 , then we call $S$ the normal or singular sequence respectively.
As usual, we associate with $z_{0}$ on $\Gamma$ the following sets. ( $[10] \mathrm{pp} .1-2$ )
Definition 2.
(1) The cluster set $C_{D}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$ is defined by

$$
C_{D}\left(f, z_{0}\right)=\bigcap_{r>0} \overline{\mathscr{L}}_{r} .
$$

[^1]where $\mathscr{D}_{r}$ is the set of values of $w=f(z)$ in $D \cap\left(\left|z-z_{0}\right|<r\right)$, and $\overline{\mathscr{D}}_{r}$ is the closure of $\mathscr{D}_{r}$. We define the range of values $R_{D}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$ as follows:
$$
R_{D}\left(f, z_{0}\right)==\bigcap_{r>0} \mathscr{D}_{r} .
$$
(2) Let $z_{0}$ be an accessible boundary point of $D$. If $f(z) \rightarrow \alpha$ as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$ along a path in $D$ terminating at $z_{0}$, then $\alpha$ is called the asymptotic value of $w=f(z)$ at $z_{0}$. The asymptotic set $A_{D}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$ is defined as the set of asymptotic values at $z_{0}$.

We classify $\left\{C\left(z_{n}, r\right)\right\}$ into following three classes.
Definition 3.
(1) If $f(z) \rightarrow$ a as $z \rightarrow z_{0}, z \in D(S, r)$, then we call $\left\{C\left(z_{n}, r\right)\right\}$ the asymptotic circles with the asymptotic value a.
(2) If $R_{D(s, r)}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$ contains the neighbourhood of $a$, then we call $\left\{C\left(z_{n}, r\right)\right\}$ the covering circles upon a.
(3) If $\mathscr{C} R_{D(s, r)}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$ consists of at most two points, then we call $\left\{C\left(z_{n}, r\right)\right\}$ the filling circles. ${ }^{3)}$
3. Cluster set in $\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{r})$. With these notations and definitions, we can establish the following metrical theorem on cluster sets in $D(S, r)$.

Theorem 1. Under the notations in 2 , if $f\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow a$ as $z_{n} \rightarrow z_{0}$, then for any given positive $\varepsilon$, following two propositions hold:
(1) $\left\{C\left(z_{n}, r(S)+\varepsilon\right)\right\}$ are the filling circles.
(2) $\left\{C\left(z_{n}, r(S)-\varepsilon\right)\right\}$ are the asymptotic circles with the asymptotic value a or the covering circles upon $a$.

Remark. If $S$ is the normal sequence, i.e. $r(S)=+\infty$, then for any positive $r$, only proposition (2) holds with respect to $\left\{C\left(z_{n}, r\right)\right\}$. If $S$ is the singular sequence, i.e. $r(S)=0$, then for any positive $\varepsilon$, only proposition (1) holds with respect to $\left\{C\left(z_{n}, \varepsilon\right)\right\}$.

To establish this theorem, we need some lemmas.
Lemma 1. (F. Marty [9], [1] p. 169). A family $\mathfrak{F}$ of meromorphic functions is normal in a domain $D$ if and only if for every compact set $\Delta$ in $D$

[^2]there exists a positive constant $M(4)$ depending upon $\Delta$ such that
$$
\rho(f(z))<M(\Delta) \quad \text { for all } f(z) \in \mathscr{F} \text { and } z \in \Delta
$$
where $\rho(f(z))$ is the spherical derivative of $f(z)$, i.e. $\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| /\left(1+|f(z)|^{2}\right)$.
Lemma 2. Under the notations as in 2 , suppose that $z=T_{n}(t)(n=1,2, \ldots)$ is the function mapping $|t|<1$ conformally onto the universal covering surface of $D$ such that $z_{n}=\mathrm{T}_{n}(0)$. Then, the family $\mathfrak{F}:\left\{f_{n}(t)\right\}=\left\{f\left(T_{n}(t)\right)\right\}$ is normal in $|t|<R$, and not normal in $|t|<R+\varepsilon$ for any positive $\varepsilon$, where $R=\tanh (r(S))^{4}{ }^{4}$

Proof. Since $d \sigma_{z}=|d t| /\left(1-|t|^{2}\right)$, by the simple calculation we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(f_{n}(t)\right)=d s_{z} / d \sigma_{z} \cdot 1 /\left(1-|t|^{2}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $0<r(S) \leqq+\infty$. Then $0<R \leqq 1$. To the Euclidean circle: $|t| \leqq$ $R-\varepsilon$, there corresponds the hyperbolic circle : $C\left(z_{n}, r_{1}\right)(n=1,2, \ldots)$, where $r_{1}=\tanh ^{-1}(R-\varepsilon)^{4}$. Since $r_{1}<r(S)=\tanh ^{-1}(R)$, by (3.1) and the definition of $r(S)$,

$$
\rho\left(\dot{f_{n}}(t)\right)<\left(C\left(r_{1}\right)+\varepsilon\right) /\left(1-(R-\varepsilon)^{2}\right)<+\infty \text { for }|t| \leqq R-\varepsilon, n \geqq N(\varepsilon),
$$

$N(\varepsilon)$ being a sufficiently large integer. Hence, by Lemma 1, the family $\left\{f_{n}(t)\right\}$ is normal in $|t|<R$.

Suppose that $\left\{f_{n}(t)\right\}$ were normal in $|t|<R+\varepsilon$. Then, by Lemma 1, there would exist a constant $M(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$
\rho\left(f_{n}(t)\right)<M(\varepsilon)<+\infty \text { for }|t| \leqq R+\varepsilon / 2 .
$$

Hence, by (3.1)

$$
d s_{z} / d \sigma_{z}<M(\varepsilon)<+\infty \text { for } z \in D\left(S, r_{2}\right)
$$

where $r_{2}=\tanh ^{-1}(R+\varepsilon / 2)$, so that $C\left(r_{2}\right) \leqq M(\varepsilon)$, which is evidently impossible because of $r_{2}>r(S)$. Therefore $\left\{f_{n}(t)\right\}$ is not normal in $|t|<R+\varepsilon$. In the case that $r(S)=0$, i.e. $R=0$, by the similar arguments as above, we can prove that $\left\{f_{n}(t)\right\}$ is not normal in $|t|<\varepsilon$. Thus our lemma is completely established.

Lemma 3. Let $\left\{f_{n}(t)\right\}(n=1,2, \ldots)$ be the normal family of meromorphic functions in $|t|<1$. Suppose that $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} f_{n_{k}}\left(t_{0}\right)=a$ for $t_{0}\left(\left|t_{0}\right|<1\right)$ and a sub-

[^3]sequence $\left\{n_{k}\right\}$ of $\{n\}$. Then there exists the subsequence $\left\{n_{k_{i}}\right\}$ of $\left\{n_{k}\right\}$ such that
(1) $\lim _{i \rightarrow+\infty} f_{n_{k_{i}}}(t)=a$ uniformly in every compact set in $|t|<1$ or
(2) any value in the neighborhood of $a$ is taken infinitely many times by the family $\left\{f_{n_{k_{i}}}(t)\right\}(i=1,2, \ldots)$ in the neighborhood of $t_{0}$.

Proof. Considering the family $\left\{1 / f_{n}(t)\right\}$ instead of $\left\{f_{n}(t)\right\}$, if necessary, without any loss of generality, we can assume that $a \neq \infty$. By the normalcy of $\left\{f_{n}(t)\right\}$, we can select the subsequence $\left\{\boldsymbol{n}_{k_{i}}\right\}$ of $\left\{\boldsymbol{n}_{k}\right\}$ such that $\boldsymbol{f}_{n_{k_{i}}}(t) \rightarrow f(\boldsymbol{t})$ uniformly in every compact set in $|t|<1$. Then two cases are possible:
(1) $f(t) \equiv a$,
(2) $f(t)$ is the meromorphic function not reducing to $a$ such that $f\left(t_{0}\right)=a$. In the case (1), $f_{n_{k i}}(t) \rightarrow a$ uniformly in every compact set in $|t|<1$. In the case (2), since $f(t)$ and $\left\{f_{n_{k_{i}}}(t)\right\}\left(n_{k_{i}} \geqq N\right)$ are regular in the neighborhood of $t_{0}$, it is seen by well-known Hurwitz's theorem that $t=t_{0}$ is the accumulation point of $\left\{t_{i}\right\}$ such that $f_{n_{k_{i}}}\left(t_{i}\right)=a$. Hence, $a$ is taken infinitely many times by the family $\left\{f_{n_{k i}}(t)\right\}$ in the neighborhood of $t_{0}$.

Let $b=f\left(t_{1}\right)$ be an arbitrary but fixed value in the neighborhood of $a$, where $t_{1}$ is the suitable point in the neighborhood of $t_{0}$. By the entirely similar arguments, $b$ is taken infinitely many times by the family $\left\{f_{n_{k}}(t)\right\}$ in the neighborhood of $t_{1}$. Hence every value in a neighborhood of $a$ is taken infinitely many times by the family $\left\{f_{n_{k_{i}}}(t)\right\}$ in the neighborhood of $t_{0}$, which proves our lemma.

Now we are able to establish Theorem 1.
Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose that $0<\boldsymbol{r}(S)<+\infty$. Then, by Lemma 2, $\left\{f_{n}(t)\right\}$ is not normal in $|t|<\tanh (r(S)+\varepsilon)$, so that every value, except perhaps two, is taken infinitely many times by the family $\left\{f_{n}(t)\right\}$ in $|t|<\tanh (r(S)$ $+\varepsilon)$, which proves that $\left\{C\left(z_{n}, r(S)+\varepsilon\right)\right\}$ are the filling circles. Again, by Lemma 2, $\left\{f_{n}(t)\right\}$ is normal in $|t|<\tanh (r(S))$. If $\left\{f_{n}(t)\right\}$ tends uniformly to $a$ in $|t| \leqq \tanh (r(S)-\varepsilon)$, then $C\left(z_{n}, r(S)-\varepsilon\right)$ are the asymptotic circles with the asymptotic value $a$. On the contrary, if $\left\{f_{n}(t)\right\}$ does not tend uniformly to $a$ in $|t| \leqq \tanh (r(S)-\varepsilon)$, then there exist two sequences $\left\{n_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{t\left(n_{i}\right)\right\}$ such that $\left|t\left(n_{i}\right)\right| \leqq \tanh (r(S)-\varepsilon)$ and $f_{n_{i}}\left(t\left(n_{i}\right)\right)$ tends to $b \neq a$ as $n_{i} \rightarrow+\infty$.

Since $\left\{f_{n}(t)\right\}$ is normal in $|t|<\tanh (r(S)-\varepsilon / 2)$, and $f_{n_{i}}(0) \rightarrow a$, it is verified by Lemma 3 that there exists a subsequence $\left\{\boldsymbol{n}_{k_{i}}\right\}$ of $\left\{n_{i}\right\}$ such that any value in the neighborhood of $a$ is taken infinitely many times by the family $\left\{f_{n_{k_{i}}}(t)\right\}$ in the neighborhood of $t=0$, a fortiori in $|t|<\tanh (r(S)-\varepsilon)$, so that $C\left(z_{n}\right.$, $r(S)-\varepsilon)$ are the covering circles upon $a$.

If $S$ is the normal or singular sequence i.e. $r(S)=+\infty$ or $r(S)=0$, then by the slight modification of the above arguments we can prove our theorem.
4. $A_{D}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$. As the first application of Theorem 1, we can prove

Theorem 2. By using the notations in 2, if following conditions are satisfied:
(1) $a \notin \mathscr{F} R_{D}\left(f, z_{0}\right)^{5)}$,
(2) $r(S)>\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow+\infty} d\left(z_{n}, z_{n+1}\right)$,
then $a \in A_{D}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$, provided that $f\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow a$.
Remark. In the classical theorem on $A_{D}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$ ([10] p. 14), the conditions on the boundary cluster sets are always necessary. It should be remarked that in Theorem 2, any conditions on the boundary cluster sets are not assumed.

Since the condition (1) of (4.1) follows immediately from

$$
a \notin R_{D}\left(f, z_{0}\right) \text { or } a \in R_{D}\left(f, z_{0}\right) \cap \mathscr{F} R_{D}\left(f, z_{0}\right),{ }^{5}
$$

we get
Corollary 1. If following conditions are satisfied:
(1) $a \notin R_{D}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$ or $a \in R_{D}\left(f, z_{0}\right) \cap \mathscr{F} R_{D}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$,
(2) $r(S)>\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow+\infty} d\left(z_{n}, z_{n+1}\right)$,
then $a \in A_{D}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$, provided that $f\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow a$.
Corollary 2. If following conditions are satisfied:
(1) $a \notin R_{D}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$,
(2) $S$ : the normal sequence (i.e. $r(S)=+\infty$ ),
(3) $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} d\left(z_{n}, z_{n+1}\right)<+\infty$,

[^4]then $a \in A_{D}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$, provided that $f\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow a$.
Bagemihl-Seidel's theorem ([2] p. 4, Theorem 1) is contained in Corollary 2. If three distinct values are omitted by $w=f(z)$ in a neighborhood of $z_{0}$, in view of Lemma 2 we have easily $r(S)=+\infty$. Hence we have

Corollary 3. Suppose that $f(z)$ is uniform and meromorphic in $D$, and that three distinct values $a, b, c$ are omitted by $f(z)$ in the neighborhood of $z_{0}$ on $\Gamma$. If $f\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow a$ as $z_{n \rightarrow z_{0}}, \quad z_{n} \in D$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} d\left(z_{n}, z_{n+1}\right)<+\infty$, then $a \in$ $A_{D}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$.

It contains W. Seidel's theorem ([14] p. 169, Corollary 4).
Proof of Theorem 2. In view of Theorem 1 and $a \notin \mathscr{G} R_{D}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$, for $r=$ $r(S)-\varepsilon>\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow+\infty} d\left(z_{n}, z_{n+1}\right),\left\{C\left(z_{n}, r\right)\right\}$ are the asymptotic circles with the asymptotic value $a$. Since $r>\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow+\infty} d\left(z_{n}, z_{n+1}\right), C\left(z_{n}, r\right) \cap C\left(z_{n+1}, r\right)$ is not empty for $n \geqq N$, where $N$ is a sufficiently large integer. Hence we can connect $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ by the Jordan arc contained in $D(S, r)$ and terminating at $z_{0} \in \Gamma$, so that $a \in$ $A_{D}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$, which proves our theorem.

If we replace (2) of (4.1) by $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} d\left(z_{n}, z_{n+1}\right)=0$, then without (1) of (4.1) we can establish

Theorfm 3. Under the notations in 2 , if $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} d\left(z_{n}, z_{n+1}\right)=0$, then for any $\varepsilon>0,\left\{C\left(z_{n}, \varepsilon\right\}\right.$ are the filling circles or $a \in A_{D}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$, provided that $f\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow a$.

To prove this theorem, we need the following lemma.
Lemma 4. Let $S$ be not the singular sequence, i.e. $r(S)>0$. If $f\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow a$ and there exists another sequence $S^{\prime}$ of points $\left\{z_{n}^{\prime}\right\}\left(z_{n}^{\prime} \in D\right)$ tending to $z_{0} \in \Gamma$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} d\left(z_{n}, z_{n}^{\prime}\right)=0$, then we have also

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} f\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right)=a
$$

Remark. This lemma was proved by Bagemihl-Seidel ([2] p. 10, Lemma 1) in the special case that $D$ is the unit disc and $r(S)=+\infty$. Our proof is entirely different from theirs.

Proof. We write $\chi(a, b)$ for the chordal distance between $a$ and $b$ :

$$
\chi(a, b)=|a-b| / \sqrt{1+|a|^{2}} \sqrt{1+|b|^{2}} .
$$

We have easily

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(w_{n}, w_{n}^{\prime}\right)<\int_{w_{n}}^{w_{n^{\prime}}}|d w| /\left(1+|w|^{2}\right)=\int_{z_{n}}^{z_{n^{\prime}}} d s_{z} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any integration path, where $w_{n}=f\left(z_{n}\right), w_{n}^{\prime}=f\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ and $d s_{z}$ is the spherical element of length at $z \in D$, i.e. $d s_{z}=\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| /\left(1+|f(z)|^{2}\right) \cdot|d z|$. Since $r(S)>0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} d\left(z_{n}, z_{n}^{\prime}\right)=0$, we can choose $r(0<r<r(S))$ such that $z_{n}^{\prime} \in D(S, r)$ for $n \geqq N$, and $\varlimsup_{\substack{z \rightarrow z_{0} \\ z \in D(s, r)}} d s_{z} / d \sigma_{z}=C(r)<+\infty$. Then, taking account of (4.2), we see that for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $N(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$
\chi\left(w_{n}, w_{n}^{\prime}\right) \leqq(C(r)+\varepsilon) \cdot \int_{z_{n}}^{z_{n^{\prime}}} d \sigma_{z} \quad \text { for } n \geqq N(\varepsilon)
$$

If we choose a suitable integration path, we can put

$$
\int_{z^{n}}^{z_{n}^{\prime}} d \sigma_{z}=d\left(z_{n}, z_{n}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Hence $\chi\left(w_{n}, w_{n}^{\prime}\right) \leqq(C(r)+\varepsilon) d\left(z_{n}, z_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ for $n \geqq N(\varepsilon)$, from which

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \chi\left(w_{n}, w_{n}^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

By the inequality $\chi\left(w_{n}^{\prime}, a\right) \leqq \chi\left(w_{n}, a\right)+\chi\left(w_{n}, w_{n}^{\prime}\right)$, our lemma is completely established.

Proof of Theorem 3. Suppose first that $r(S)=0$. Then, by Theorem 1, for any $\varepsilon>0,\left\{C\left(z_{n}, \varepsilon\right)\right\}$ are the filling circles. Next suppose that $r(S)>0$. If we choose $r$ such that $0<r<r(S)$, then by $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} d\left(z_{n}, z_{n+1}\right)=0$, we can connect $z_{n}$ and $z_{n+1}$ by the hyperbolic segment $l_{n}$ contained in $C\left(z_{n}, r\right) \cup C\left(z_{n+1}, r\right)$. By putting $l=\bigcup_{n} l_{n}, l$ is a Jordan arc contained in $D(S, r)$ and terminating at $z_{0}$. Let $S^{\prime}$ be an arbitrary sequence of points $\left\{z_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ such that $z_{n}^{\prime} \in l_{n}$. Then, by the inequality $d\left(z_{n}, z_{n}^{\prime}\right) \leqq d\left(z_{n}, z_{n+1}\right)$ and Lemma 4 , we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} f\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right)=a
$$

Since $S^{\prime}$ is arbitrary, we have $\lim f(z)=a$ as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$ along $l$. Hence $a \in A_{D}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$. Thus, our theorem is completely established.

As its immediate corollary, we obtain
Corollary 4. Under the notations in 2 , if $r(S)>0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} d\left(z_{n}, z_{n+1}\right)$
$=0$, then $a \in A_{D}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$, provided that $f\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow a$.
Bagemihl-Seidel's theorem ([2] p. 10, Theorem 2) is contained in this corollary.

As the second application of Theorem 1, we can prove
Theorem 4. Let $f(z)$ be uniform and meromorphic in D. Suppose that there exist a value a and two sequences of points $\left\{z_{n}\right\},\left\{z_{n}^{\prime}\right\}\left(z_{n}, z_{n}^{\prime} \in D\right)$ tending to $z_{0}$ on $\Gamma$ such that
(1) $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} f\left(z_{n}\right)=a$,
(2) ${\underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{n \rightarrow+\infty}}_{\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}} \chi\left(f\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right), a\right)>0$, ,
(3) $a \notin \mathscr{F} R_{D}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$,
(4) $\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow+\infty} d\left(z_{n}, z_{n}^{\prime}\right)=r<+\infty$.

Under these conditions, for any $\varepsilon>0,\left\{C\left(z_{n}, r+\varepsilon\right)\right\}$ are the filling circles.
Proof. We have
(4.4)

$$
r(S) \leqq r,
$$

where $S$ is the sequence of points $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$. On the contrary, we can choose $\varepsilon(>0)$ such that $r+\varepsilon<r(S)$. In view of Theorem 1 and (1), (3) of (4.3), $\left\{C\left(z_{n}\right.\right.$, $r+\varepsilon)\}$ are the asymptotic circles with the asymptotic value a, which is contrary to (2) of (4.3). Hence (4.4) is proved. Agagin by Theorem 1 and (4.4), $\left\{C\left(z_{n}, r+\varepsilon\right)\right\}$ are the filling circles, which is to be proved.
5. Theorems of P. Montel type [I]. Let $L$ be the Jordan arc lying in $D$ and terminating at the accessible boundary point $z_{0} \in \Gamma$. We represent $L$ parametrically by

$$
z=z(x) \quad(0 \leqq x<+\infty), \lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} z(x)=z_{0} .
$$

Similary as in 2, we use the following notations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D(L, r)=\bigcup_{\substack{0 \leqslant x<+\infty}} C(z(x), r), \\
& C^{*}(r)=\prod_{\substack{z \rightarrow z_{0} \\
z \in D(L, r)}} d s_{z} / d \sigma_{z} .
\end{aligned}
$$

[^5]In Definition 1, using $C^{*}(r)$ instead of $C(r)$, we can define the normalcy radius $r(L)$ along $L$. With these notations and definition, we can establish

Theorem 5. Suppose that $f(z)$ is uniform and meromorphic in $D$, and that it has the asymptotic value a along L. Then following propositions hold:
(1) For $0<r<r(L), f(z) \rightarrow a$ uniformly as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$ inside $D(L, r)$.
(2) For $r(L)<r, \mathscr{C} R_{D(L, r)}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$ consists of at most two points.

Remark. (1) If $r(L)=0$, the case (1) does not occur, and if $r(L)=+\infty$, the case (2) does not happen.
(2) W. Seidel's theorem ([14] p. 170, Corollary 5) is contained in this theorem.
(3) Theorem 5 is also a generalization of Lehto-Virtanen's theorem. ([7] pp. 49-50, Theorem 1 ; p. 53, Theorem 2, K. Noshiro [10] p. 86)

To prove this theorem, we need the following lemma.
Lemma 5. Let $z=T_{x}(t)(0 \leqq x<+\infty)$ be the function mapping $|t|<1$ conformally onto the universal covering surface of $D$ such that $z(x)=T_{x}(0)$. Then, the family $\mathfrak{F}:\left\{f_{x}(t)\right\}=\left\{f\left(T_{x}(t)\right)\right\}$ is normal in $|t|<R$, but not normal in $|t|<R+\varepsilon$ for any positive $\varepsilon$, where $R=\tanh (r(L))$ and $r(L)$ is the normalcy radius along $L$.

Its proof is entirely similar to that of Lemma 2, so that we omit its proof.
Proof of Theorem 5. Contrary to the assertion, suppose that $f(z)$ does not tend uniformly to $a$ as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$ inside $D(L, r)$ for $0<r<r(L)$. Then there exists a sequence of points $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ such that
(i) $z_{n} \rightarrow z_{0}, z_{n} \in D(L, r)$,
(ii) $f\left(z_{n}\right)$ does not tend to $a$.

We may suppose that there exist a positive $\varepsilon$ and a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}\left(x_{1}<x_{2}<\right.$ $\left.\cdots<x_{n} \rightarrow+\infty\right)$ such that

$$
z_{n} \in C\left(z\left(x_{n}\right), r+\varepsilon\right), r+\varepsilon<r(L)
$$

Since $\left\{f_{x}(t)\right\}$ is normal in $|t|<R=\tanh (r(L))$ by Lemma 5 , we can select the subsequence $\left\{f_{x_{n}}(t)\right\}$ which tends uniformly to the meromorphic function $F(t)$ in $|t| \leqq \tanh (r+\varepsilon)<R$. By the inverse transformation $t=T_{x}^{-1}(z)\left(0=T_{x}^{-1}(z(x))\right)$, the image of the part of $L$ contained in $C\left(z\left(x_{n_{i}}\right), r+\varepsilon\right)$ is mapped on the curve
passing through $t=0$, and contained in $|t| \leqq \tanh (r+\varepsilon)<R$, which accumulates to the continuum $r$ passing through 0 . On $\gamma$, we have easily $F(t)=a$, so that $F(t) \equiv a$, which is contrary to (ii) of (5.1). Thus, the part (1) is proved.

On account of Lemma 5, there exists at least one not-normal point $t_{1}$ on $|t|=R$. Hence the family $\left\{f_{x}(t)\right\}$ takes every value, except at most two values, infinitely many times in $\left|t-t_{1}\right|<\varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon>0$. Therefore, $\mathscr{C} R_{D(L, r)}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$ consists of at most two points for $r=\tanh ^{-1}(R+\varepsilon)$. Since $\varepsilon$ is arbitrary, the part (2) is proved.

We shall now study the case that there exists the sequence $S:\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ on $L$ such that $f\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow a$ as $z_{n} \rightarrow z_{0}$.

Theorem 6. Suppose that $f(z)$ is uniform and meromorphic in $D$, and that there exists the sequence $S:\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ on $L$ such that
(i) $f\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow a$ as $z_{n} \rightarrow z_{0}$,
(ii) $l\left(z_{n}, z_{n+1}\right)<M<+\infty \quad(n=1,2, \ldots)$, where $l\left(z_{n}, z_{n+1}\right)$ is the hyperbolic arc-length between $z_{n}$ and $z_{n+1} .{ }^{\text {." }}$

If $a \in \mathscr{G} R\left(f, z_{0}\right)$, then following propositions hold:
(1) For any $r$ satisfying $r(L)>r, f(z)$ tends uniformly to a as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$ inside $D(L, r)$.
(2) For any $r>r(L), \mathscr{C} R_{D(L, r)}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$ consists of at most two points.

Similar remarks as in Theorem 5 should also be mentioned here. As its immediate consequence of Theorem 6, we get

Corollary 5. Under the same conditions as in Theorem 6, if

$$
a \bar{\in} R\left(f, z_{0}\right) \text { or } a \in R\left(f, z_{0}\right) \cap \mathscr{F} R\left(f, z_{0}\right),
$$

then $f(z)$ tends uniformly to $a$ as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$ inside $D(L, r)$ for any $r$ satisfying $r(L)>r>0$.

This corollary contains C. M. Faust's theorem ([6] p. 96).
Proof of Theorem 6. We assume first that $r(L)>0$. Since we have easily $\boldsymbol{r}(S) \geqq \boldsymbol{r}(L)$, we get $r(S)>r>0$ for any $r$ satisfying $0<r<r(L)$. Hence, by

$$
\text { 7) } l\left(z_{n}, z_{n+1}\right)=\int_{z_{n} z_{n+1}} d \sigma_{z}
$$

Theorem 1 and $a \bar{\in} \mathscr{F} R\left(f, z_{0}\right),\left\{C\left(z_{n}, r\right)\right\}$ are the asymptotic circles with the asymptotic value $a$. On the arc $z_{n} z_{n+1}$ we select $z_{n}^{(1)}$ such that $z_{n}^{(1)} \in C\left(z_{n}, r\right)$. Denoting by $S^{(1)}$ the sequence $\left\{z_{n}^{(1)}\right\}$, we can see $r\left(S^{(1)}\right) \geqq r(L)$, so that we have also $r\left(S^{(1)}\right)>r>0$. By the similar arguments as above, $\left\{C\left(z_{n}^{(1)}, r\right)\right\}$ are the asymptotic circles with the asymptotic value $a$. On the $\operatorname{arc} z_{n}^{(1)} z_{n+1}$, we select $z_{n}^{(2)}$ such that $z_{n}^{(2)} \in C\left(z_{n}^{(1)}, r\right)$. Then as above $\left\{C\left(z_{n}^{(2)}, r\right)\right\}$ are the asymptotic circles with the asymptotic value a. By (ii) of (5.4), after finite $k$-steps, we have
(i) $z_{n+1} \in C\left(z_{n}^{(k)}, r\right)$
(ii) $C\left(z_{n}^{(k)}, r\right)$ : the asymptotic circles with the asymptotic value $a$.

Hence, $L$ is the asymptotic path with the asymptotic value $a$, so that, by (1) of Theorem 5, the part (1) is proved.

By Lemma 5, $\left\{f_{x}(t)\right\}$ is not normal in $|t|<\tanh (r)$ for $r>r(L)$. Hence every value, except for two values, is taken infinitely many times by the family $\left\{f_{x}(t)\right\}$ in $|t|<\tanh (r)(r>r(L))$, so that part (2) is proved.

Next suppose that $r(L)=0$. Then the same argument as above proves the part (2).
6. Theorems of $\mathbf{P}$. Montel type [II]. In this section, we shall study the case that $f(z)$ tends to $a$ as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$ along the general pointset $E$ contained in $D$. For this purpose, we shall introduce some definitions.

Definition 4. Let e be the point set completely contained in D. In the definition of Carathéodory's linear measure ([14] p. 53), using the hyperbolic distance instead of the Euclidean distance, we can define the hyperbolic Caratheodory's linear measure of $e$, which we denote by $\Lambda_{h}(e)$.

Definition 5. We write E for the point set in D, whose closure contains the accessible boundary point $z_{0} \in \Gamma$. Let $L$ be the Jordan arc lying in $D$, and terminating at $z_{0}$. We define the mean hyperbolic linear mesure of $E$ with respect to $D(L, r)$ as follows:

$$
M(E, L, r)=\frac{\lim _{\substack{z \rightarrow z_{0} \\ z \in L}} A_{h}(E \cap C(z, r)) . . . . . .}{}
$$

Now we can state our theorem.

Theorem 7. Suppose that $f(z)$ is uniform and meromorphic in $D$, and that $f(z)$ tends to $a$ as $z$ tends to the accessible boundary point $z_{0}$ along $E$, where $E$ is the point set in $D$, whose closure contains $z_{0}$. Let $L$ be the Jordan arc lying in $D$, and terminating at $z_{0}$. If $r(L)>0$, and $M\left(E, L, r_{0}\right)>0$ for fixed $r_{0}$ satisfying $0<r_{0}<r(L)$, then $f(z)$ tends to a uniformly as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$ inside $D(L, r)$ for any $r<r(L)$.

Remark. Generalizations of P. Montel's theorem such as Theorem 7 are discussed by M. L. Cartwright [4] and Ohtsuka [13].

To prove this theorem, we prepare the following lemma.
Lemma 6. Suppose that the family $\left\{f_{n}(t)\right\}$ of the meromorphic functions is normal in $|t|<1$. Let $\left\{E_{n}\right\}$ be the sequence of point sets such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (1) } E_{n} \text { is contained in }|t| \leqq 1-\delta(0<\delta<1) \quad(n=1,2, \ldots) \text {, } \\
& \text { (2) } \Lambda_{h}\left(E_{n}\right)>d>0 \quad(n=1,2, \ldots) \text {, }  \tag{6.1}\\
& \text { (3) } \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} f_{n}\left(E_{n}\right)=a \text {. }^{8)}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $f_{n}(t)$ tends uniformly to $a$ in the wider sense in $|t|<1 .^{8)}$
Proof. Considering $f_{n}(t)-a$ (if $a=\infty, 1 / f_{n}(t)$ ) instead of $f_{n}(t)$, if necessary, we can assume without any loss of generality that $a=0$.

Assume that $\left\{f_{\boldsymbol{n}}(t)\right\}$ does not tend uniformly to 0 in the wider sense in $|t|<1$. Then we can select out a subsequence $\left\{f_{n_{i}}(t)\right\}$ such that $\left\{f_{n_{i}}(t)\right\}$ tends uniformly to the non-constant meromorphic function in the wider sense in $|t|<1$. Let $\left\{t_{1}\left(n_{i}\right)\right\}$ be a seuqence of points such that $t_{1}\left(n_{i}\right) \in E_{n_{i}}$. If necessary, selecting a suitable subsequence of $\left\{t_{1}\left(n_{i}\right)\right\}$, we can assume that $\left\{t_{1}\left(n_{i}\right)\right\}$ tends to $t_{1}\left(\left|t_{1}\right| \leqq 1-\delta\right)$. Since $\lambda_{h}\left(E_{n_{i}}\right)>d>d / 2(i=1,2, \ldots)$, there exists a sequence of points $\left\{t_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{n}_{i}\right)\right\}$ such that
(i) $t_{2}\left(n_{i}\right)$ is outside of $C\left(t_{1}, d / 2^{2}\right)$,
(ii) $t_{2}\left(n_{i}\right) \in E_{n_{i}}$.

Then we can assume that $\left\{t_{2}\left(n_{i}\right)\right\}$ tends to $t_{2}$ distinct from $t_{1}$. Since $\Lambda_{h}\left(E_{n_{i}}\right)$ $>d>d / 2+d / 2^{2}$, we can find a sequence $\left\{t_{3}\left(\boldsymbol{n}_{i}\right)\right\}$ such that
(i) $t_{3}\left(n_{i}\right)$ is outside of $C\left(t_{1}, d / 2^{2}\right) \cup C\left(t_{2}, d / 2^{3}\right)$,
(ii) $t_{3}\left(n_{i}\right) \in E_{n_{i}}$.

[^6]Then we can assume that $\left\{t_{3}\left(n_{i}\right)\right.$ \} tends to $t_{3}$ distinct from $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$. Repeating the similar arguments as above, we can find the sequence of points $\left\{t_{n}\right\}\left(t_{i} \neq t_{j}\right.$ for $i \neq j$ ) such that
(i) $\left\{t_{n}\right)$ tends to a point $t_{0}\left(\left|t_{0}\right| \leqq 1-\delta\right)$,
(ii) $t_{m}$ is the limiting point of $\left\{t_{m}\left(n_{i}\right)\right\}$ such that $t_{m}\left(n_{i}\right) \in E_{n_{i}}$.

Now we shall prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow+\infty} f_{n_{i}}\left(t_{m}\right)=0 \text { for any fixed } m(m=1,2, \ldots) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3) of (6.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow+\infty} f_{n_{i}}\left(t_{m}\left(n_{i}\right)\right)=0 \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well-known that $\left\{f_{n}(t)\right\}$ is equi-continuous in $|t| \leqq 1-\delta$, provided that $\left\{f_{n}(t)\right\}$ is normal in $|t|<1$. Hence, by (ii) of (6.2)

$$
f_{n_{i}}\left(t_{m}\right)-f_{n_{i}}\left(t_{m}\left(n_{i}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

as $i \rightarrow+\infty$, so that, by the inequality

$$
\left|f_{n_{i}}\left(t_{m}\right)\right| \leqq\left|f_{n_{i}}\left(t_{m}\left(n_{i}\right)\right)\right|+\left|f_{n_{i}}\left(t_{m}\right)-f_{n_{i}}\left(t_{m}\left(n_{i}\right)\right)\right|
$$

and (6.4),

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow+\infty} f_{n_{i}}\left(t_{m}\right)=0,
$$

which proves (6.3), Therefore, by (i) of (6.2), (6.3) and Vitali's theorem, $\left\{f_{n_{i}}(t)\right\}$ tends uniformly to zero in the wider sense in $|t|<1$, which is contrary to the assumption. Thus our lemma is completely established.

Now we can prove Theorem 7.
Proof of Theorem 7. Let $L$ be defined by

$$
z=z(x) \quad(0 \leqq x<+\infty), \lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} z(x)=z_{0}
$$

By Lemma 5. $\left\{f_{x}(t)\right\}$ is normal in $|t|<R=\tanh (r(L))$. Let us denote by $E_{x}$ the image of $E \cap C^{\prime}\left(z(x), r_{0}\right)$ by $t=T_{x}^{-1}(z)\left(0=T_{x}^{-1}(z(x))\right)$. Then $E_{x}$ is contained in $|t| \leqq \tanh \left(r_{0}\right)<R$. Since hyperbolic metric is conformally invariant, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{h}\left(E_{x}\right)>\rho / 2>0 \quad \text { for } x_{\rho} \leqq x<+\infty, \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M\left(E, L, r_{0}\right)=\rho>0$ and $x_{\rho}$ is a constant depending upon $\rho$. Because $f(z)$ $\rightarrow a$ as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$ along $E$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} f_{x}\left(E_{x}\right)=a \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by (6.5), (6.6) and Lemma 6, $f_{x}(t)$ tends uniformly to $a$ in the wider sense in $|t|<R$, which proves that $f(z) \rightarrow a$ uniformly as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$ inside $D(L, r)$ for $r<r(L)$. Thus our theorem is established.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1)}$ For the interesting applications of hyperbolic metric to the value-distribution theory, we refer to C. Constantinescu [5].
    ${ }^{2)}$ It does not mean that $C(r)$ is uniformly bounded.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3)} \mathscr{C} E$ is the complement of $E$ with respect to the whole $w$-plane.

[^3]:    4) $\tanh x=\left(e^{2 x}-1\right) /\left(e^{2 x}+1\right), \tanh ^{-1} x=1 / 2 \cdot \log \{(1+x) /(1-x)\}$.
[^4]:    ${ }^{5)}$ We write $\mathscr{F} E$ and $\mathscr{F} E$ for the set of inner points of $E$ and the frontier of $E$ respectively.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6)} \chi(a, b)$ is the chordal distance between $a$ and $b$.

[^6]:    ${ }^{8)}$ The convergence is spherical one.

