

ON THE EXPONENTIAL MAPS AND THE TRIANGULAR 2-COHOMOLOGY OF GRADED LIE RINGS OF LENGTH THREE

HISASI MORIKAWA

1. Let H be a group. We mean by an N -series in H a decreasing series of subgroups $H_1 = H, H_2, \dots, H_{n+1} = \{e\}$ such that the commutator $xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$ of two elements x and y respectively in H_i and H_j belongs to H_{i+j} , where $H_s = \{e\}$ for $s \geq n+1$. We call n the length of the N -series (H_i) . We mean by a graded Lie ring of length n a Lie ring \mathfrak{L} which is a direct sum $A_1 + \dots + A_n$ of additive subgroups A_1, \dots, A_n such that $[A_i, A_j] \subset A_{i+j}$, where $A_s = \{0\}$ for $s \geq n+1$. For each N -series (H_i) of length n the graded Lie ring $\mathfrak{L}[(H_i)]$ is associated with (H_i) as follows¹⁾:

1) $\mathfrak{L}[(H_i)]$ is the direct sum of the additively written factor groups $A_i = H_i/H_{i+1}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), and this direct sum gives the addition in $\mathfrak{L}[(H_i)]$.

2) The Lie product $[a, b]$ of $a \in A_i$ and $b \in A_j$ is the group commutator $xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$ modulo H_{i+j+1} of the representatives x and y respectively of a and b in H_i . We shall call $\mathfrak{L}[(H_i)]$ the graded Lie ring associated with the N -series (H_i) .

In the present note we shall introduce triangular 2-cocycles of a graded Lie ring \mathfrak{L} of length three and shall show that for each triangular 2-cocycle τ of \mathfrak{L} we can define the Exponential Map Exp_τ of \mathfrak{L} (onto a group) that is a bijective map of \mathfrak{L} such that 1) $H_1 = \text{Exp}_\tau(A_1 + A_2 + A_3)$, $H_2 = \text{Exp}_\tau(A_2 + A_3)$, $H_3 = \text{Exp}_\tau(A_3)$, $H_4 = \{e\}$ form an N -series and 2) \mathfrak{L} is regarded as the graded Lie ring $\mathfrak{L}[(H_i)]$ associated with (H_i) . Two triangular 2-cocycles τ and τ' are called to be equivalent if the corresponding N -series (H_i) and (H'_i) are isomorphic. We shall call the equivalent classes of triangular 2-cocycles the triangular cohomology classes of \mathfrak{L} . We shall also show that for any N -series (H_i) of length three there exists a triangular 2-cocycle β of $\mathfrak{L}[(H_i)]$ such that the N -series corresponding to the pair $(\mathfrak{L}[(H_i)], \beta)$ is isomorphic to (H_i) . So

Received September 18, 1962.

¹⁾ See [1] 18. 4 p. 329.

we can conclude that the set of N -series of length three corresponds bijectively to the set of pairs consisting of a graded Lie ring \mathfrak{L} of length three and a triangular 2-cohomology class of \mathfrak{L} . This is a generalization of theory of central extensions of abelian groups by abelian groups.

2. Triangular 2-cocycles. Let $\mathfrak{L} = A_1 + A_2 + A_3$ be a graded Lie ring of length three. We regard A_j as an A_i -module on which A_i operates simply, and denote by $C^2(A_i, A_j)$ the additive group of 2-cochains of A_i with coefficients in A_j . We mean by a triangular 2-cochain a triangular matrix

$$\tau = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{21} & 0 \\ \gamma_{31} & \gamma_{32} \end{pmatrix}$$

with components γ_{ji} in $C^2(A_i, A_j)$, ($i < j$). We denote by $C^2(L)$ the set of triangular 2-cochains of L . For $a = a_1 + a_2 + a_3$, $b = b_1 + b_2 + b_3$ ($a_i, b_i \in A_i$; $i = 1, 2, 3$) and $\tau \in C^2(\mathfrak{L})$ we mean by $\tau(a, b)$ the triangular matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1), & 0 \\ \gamma_{31}(a_1, b_1), & \gamma_{32}(a_2, b_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

We shall now define triangular 2-cocycles of \mathfrak{L} :

Definition. A triangular 2-cocycle of \mathfrak{L} is a triangular 2-cochain τ of \mathfrak{L} satisfying

- (1) $\partial\gamma_{21} = 0, \partial\gamma_{32} = 0^2$,
- (2) $\partial\gamma_{31}(a_1, b_1, c_1) + [\alpha_1, \gamma_{21}(b_1, c_1)]$
 $+ \gamma_{32}(\gamma_{21}(b_1, c_1), \gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1 + c_1)) - \gamma_{32}(\gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1), \gamma_{21}(a_1 + b_1, c_1)) = 0,$
 $(a_1, b_1, c_1 \in A_1),$
- (3) $\tau(0, a) = \tau(a, 0) = 0, (a \in \mathfrak{L}),$
- (4) $\gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1) - \gamma_{21}(b_1, a_1) = [\alpha_1, b_1],$
 $(a_1, b_1 \in A_1),$
- (5) $\gamma_{32}(a_2, b_2) = \gamma_{32}(b_2, a_2), (a_2, b_2 \in A_2).$

LEMMA. Let τ be a triangular 2-cocycle of \mathfrak{L} . Then

- (6) $\gamma_{31}(a_1, -a_1) - \gamma_{31}(-a_1, a_1) = [\alpha_1, \gamma_{21}(-a_1, a_1)],$
 $(a_1 \in A_1),$

Proof. From (1), (2), (3) it follows

²⁾ We mean by ∂ the ordinary coboundary operator.

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \partial\gamma_{31}(a_1, -a_1, a_1) + [a_1, \gamma_{21}(-a_1, a_1)] \\
&\quad + \gamma_{32}(\gamma_{21}(-a_1, a_1), \gamma_{21}(a_1, 0)) - \gamma_{32}(\gamma_{21}(a_1, -a_1), \gamma_{21}(0, a_1)) \\
&= \gamma_{31}(-a_1, a_1) - \gamma_{31}(a_1, -a_1) \\
&\quad + [a_1, \gamma_{21}(-a_1, a_1)], (a_1 \in A_1).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (6).

3. The Exponential Map associated to a triangular 2-cocycle. Let τ be a triangular 2-cocycle of \mathfrak{L} . For $a_i, b_i \in A_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), put

$$\begin{aligned}
(7) \quad \eta_\tau(a_1 + a_2 + a_3, b_1 + b_2 + b_3) &= \gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1) + \gamma_{31}(a_1, b_1) + \gamma_{32}(a_2, b_2) \\
&\quad + [a_1, b_2] + \gamma_{32}(a_2 + b_2, \gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1))
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(8) \quad \rho_\tau(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) &= c_1 + c_2 + c_3, \\
c_1 &= -a_1, \quad c_2 = -a_2 - \gamma_{21}(a_1, c_1), \\
c_3 &= -a_3 - \gamma_{31}(a_1, c_1) - \gamma_{32}(a_2, c_2) - [a_1, c_2] - \gamma_{32}(a_2 + c_2, \gamma_{21}(a_1, c_1)).
\end{aligned}$$

We shall first show the following properties of η_τ and ρ_τ .

PROPOSITION 1. *Let τ be a triangular 2-cocycle of \mathfrak{L} . Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(9) \quad \eta_\tau(a, 0) &= \eta_\tau(0, a) = 0, \quad (a \in \mathfrak{L}), \\
(10) \quad \eta_\tau(b, c) - \eta_\tau(a + b + \eta_\tau(a, b), c) &+ \eta_\tau(a, b + c + \eta_\tau(b, c)) - \eta_\tau(a, b) = 0, \\
&\quad (a, b, c \in \mathfrak{L}), \\
(11) \quad a + \rho_\tau(a) + \eta_\tau(\rho_\tau(a), a) &= a + \rho_\tau(a) + \eta_\tau(a, \rho_\tau(a)) = 0, \quad (a \in \mathfrak{L}).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By virtue of (3), (7) it follows $\eta_\tau(0, a) = \eta_\tau(a, 0) = 0$, ($a \in \mathfrak{L}$). By virtue of (1), (2), (3), (6), (7) for $a = a_1 + a_2 + a_3$, $b = b_1 + b_2 + b_3$, $c = c_1 + c_2 + c_3$, ($a_i, b_i, c_i \in A_i$; $i = 1, 2, 3$), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\eta_\tau(b, c) - \eta_\tau(a + b + \eta_\tau(a, b), c) + \eta_\tau(a, b + c + \eta_\tau(b, c)) - \eta_\tau(a, b) \\
&= \partial\gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1, c_1) + \partial\gamma_{31}(a_1, b_1, c_1) + \gamma_{32}(b_2, c_2) - \gamma_{32}(a_2 + b_2 + \gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1), c_2) \\
&\quad + \gamma_{32}(a_2, b_2 + c_2 + \gamma_{21}(b_1, c_1)) - \gamma_{32}(a_2, b_2) + [b_1, c_2] - [a_1 + b_1, c_2] \\
&\quad + [a_1, b_2 + c_2 + \gamma_{21}(b_1, c_1)] - [a_1, b_2] \\
&\quad + \gamma_{32}((b_2 + c_2, \gamma_{21}(b_1, c_1)) - \gamma_{32}(a_2 + b_2 + c_2 + \gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1), \gamma_{21}(a_1 + b_1, c_1)) \\
&\quad + \gamma_{32}(a_2 + b_2 + c_2 + \gamma_{21}(b_1, c_1), \gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1 + c_1)) - \gamma_{32}(a_2 + b_2, \gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1)) \\
&= \partial\gamma_{31}(a_1, b_1, c_1) + [a_1, \gamma_{21}(b_1, c_1)] \\
&\quad + \gamma_{32}(a_2 + b_2 + c_2, \gamma_{21}(b_1, c_1)) - \gamma_{32}(a_2 + b_2 + c_2, \gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1)) \\
&\quad + \gamma_{32}(a_2 + b_2 + c_2 + \gamma_{21}(b_1, c_1), \gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1 + c_1)) - \gamma_{32}(a_2 + b_2 + c_2 + \gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1), \\
&\quad \gamma_{21}(a_1 + b_1, c_1))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \partial\gamma_{31}(a_1, b_1, c_1) + [a_1, \gamma_{21}(b_1, c_1)] \\
&\quad + \gamma_{32}(a_2 + b_2 + c_2, \gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1 + c_1) + \gamma_{21}(b_1 + c_1)) + \gamma_{32}(\gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1 + c_1), \\
&\quad \gamma_{21}(b_1, c_1)) \\
&\quad - \gamma_{32}(a_2 + b_2 + a_2, \gamma_{21}(a_1 + b_1, c_1) + \gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1)) - \gamma_{32}(\gamma_{21}(a_1 + b_1, c_1), \\
&\quad \gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1)) \\
&= \partial\gamma_{31}(a_1, b_1, c_1) + [a_1, \gamma_{21}(b_1, c_1)] \\
&\quad + \gamma_{32}(\gamma_{21}(b_1, c_1), \gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1 + c_1)) - \gamma_{32}(\gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1), \gamma_{21}(a_1 + b_1, c_1)) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (10). From the definition (8) of ρ_r , putting $\rho_r(a) = c_1 + c_2 + c_3$ ($c_i \in A_i : i = 1, 2, 3$), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a + \rho_r(a) + \eta_r(a, \rho_r(a)) &= (a_1 + a_2 + a_3) + (c_1 + c_2 + c_3) + \gamma_{21}(a_1, c_1) \\
&\quad + \gamma_{31}(a_1, c_1) + \gamma_{32}(a_2, c_2) + [a_1, c_2] + \gamma_{32}(a_2 + c_2, \gamma_{21}(a_1, c_1)) = 0, \\
a + \rho_r(a) + \eta_r(\rho_r(a), a) &= a + \rho_r(a) + \eta_r(a, \rho_r(a)) + \eta_r(\rho_r(a), a) - \eta_r(a, \rho_r(a)) \\
&= \eta_r(\rho_r(a), a) - \eta_r(a, \rho_r(a)) = \gamma_{21}(c_1, a_1) - \gamma_{21}(a_1, c_1) + \gamma_{32}(c_2, a_2) - \gamma_{32}(a_2, c_2) \\
&\quad + \gamma_{31}(c_1, a_1) - \gamma_{31}(a_1, c_1) + [c_1, a_1] - [a_1, c_2] + \gamma_{32}(a_2 + c_2, \gamma_{21}(c_1, a_1)) \\
&\quad - \gamma_{32}(a_2 + c_2, \gamma_{21}(a_1, c_1)).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $c_1 = -a_1$ and $c_2 = -a_2 - \gamma_{21}(a_1, -a_1)$, by virtue of (5), (6) we have

$$\gamma_{21}(a_1, c_1) = \gamma_{21}(c_1, a_1), \gamma_{32}(c_2, a_2) = \gamma_{32}(a_2, c_2),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\gamma_{31}(c_1, a_1) - \gamma_{31}(a_1, c_1) + [c_1, a_2] - [a_1, c_2] \\
&= \gamma_{31}(-a_1, a_1) - \gamma_{31}(a_1, -a_1) + [-a_1, a_2] - [a_1, -a_2 - \gamma_{21}(a_1, -a_1)] \\
&= \gamma_{31}(-a_1, a_1) - \gamma_{31}(a_1, -a_1) + [a_1, \gamma_{21}(a_1, -a_1)] = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $a + \rho_r(a) + \eta_r(\rho_r(a), a) = 0$. This completes the proof of Proposition 1.

For each triangular 2-cocycle r of \mathfrak{L} we shall define the set of symbols $\{\text{Exp}_r(a) \mid a \in \mathfrak{L}\}$ with the following law of composition:

$$(12) \quad \text{Exp}_r(a) \text{Exp}_r(b) = \text{Exp}_r(a + b + \eta_r(a, b)), \quad (a, b \in \mathfrak{L}).$$

We call the map Exp_r the Exponential Map of \mathfrak{L} with a base r , and call r the basic triangular 2-cocycle of the Exponential Map Exp_r .

PROPOSITION 2. $\text{Exp}_r(\mathfrak{L}) = \{\text{Exp}_r(a) \mid a \in \mathfrak{L}\}$ is a group and Exp_r is a bijective map of \mathfrak{L} onto $\text{Exp}_r(\mathfrak{L})$.

Proof. By virtue of (9) we have $\text{Exp}_r(a) \text{Exp}_r(0) = \text{Exp}_r(0) \text{Exp}_r(a) = \text{Exp}_r(a)$, and thus $\text{Exp}_r(0)$ is the unit element. From (11) it follows $\text{Exp}_r(a) \cdot$

$\text{Exp}_r(\rho_r(a)) = \text{Exp}_r(\rho_r(a)) \text{Exp}_r(a) = \text{Exp}_r(0)$. This shows that $\text{Exp}_r(\rho_r(a))$ is the inverse element of $\text{Exp}_r(a)$. From (10) it follows

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Exp}_r(a) \text{Exp}_r(b)) \text{Exp}_r(c) &= \text{Exp}_r(a + b + \eta_r(a, b)) \text{Exp}_r(c) \\ &= \text{Exp}_r(a + b + c + \eta_r(a, b) + \eta_r(a + b + \eta_r(a, b), c)) \\ &= \text{Exp}_r(a + b + c + \eta_r(b, c) + \eta_r(a, b + c + \eta_r(b, c))) \\ &= \text{Exp}_r(a) (\text{Exp}_r(b + c + \eta_r(b, c)) \text{Exp}_r(c)) = \text{Exp}_r(a) (\text{Exp}_r(b) \text{Exp}_r(c)). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\text{Exp}_r(\mathfrak{Q})$ satisfies the associative law. Therefore $\text{Exp}_r(\mathfrak{Q})$ is a group. Since $\text{Exp}_r(a)$ are symbols, we have $\text{Exp}_r(a) = \text{Exp}_r(b)$ if and only if $a = b$. This completes the proof of Proposition 2.

We mean by the Logarithmic Map Log_r the inverse map of the Exponential Map Exp_r . Namely Log_r is a bijective map of $\text{Exp}_r(\mathfrak{Q})$ onto \mathfrak{Q} such that

$$(13) \quad \text{Log}_r(\text{Exp}_r(a)) = a, \quad (a \in \mathfrak{Q}).$$

PROPOSITION 3. For any $a_i, b_i \in A_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) we have

$$(14) \quad \text{Log}_r(\text{Exp}_r(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) \text{Exp}_r(b_1 + b_2 + b_3)) = (a_1 + b_1) + (a_2 + b_2 + \gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1)) \\ + (a_3 + b_3 + \gamma_{31}(a_1, b_1) + \gamma_{32}(a_2, b_2) + [a_1, b_2] + \gamma_{32}(a_2 + b_2, \gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1))),$$

$$(15) \quad \text{Log}_r(\text{Exp}_r(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)^{-1}) = \text{Log}_r(\rho_r(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)) \\ = -a_1 - (a_2 + \gamma_{21}(a_1, -a_1) - (a_3 + \gamma_{31}(a_1, -a_1) \\ + \gamma_{32}(a_2, -a_2 - \gamma_{21}(a_1, -a_1)) \\ + [a_1, -a_2 - \gamma_{21}(a_1, a_1)] + \gamma_{32}(-\gamma_{21}(a_1, a_1), \gamma_{21}(a_1, -a_1))).$$

Proof. Since $\text{Exp}_r(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) \text{Exp}_r(b_1 + b_2 + b_3) = \text{Exp}_r(a_1 + b_1 + a_2 + b_2 + a_3 + b_3 + \eta_r(a_1 + a_2 + a_3, b_1 + b_2 + b_3))$, (14) follows from (7). (15) is an immediate consequence from (8).

For the sake of simplicity we denote by B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4 the ideals of $\mathfrak{Q} = A_1 + A_2 + A_3, A_2 + A_3, A_3, \{0\}$, respectively.

PROPOSITION 4. If $a \in B_i$ and $b \in B_j$, we have

$$(16) \quad \text{Log}_r(\text{Exp}_r(a) \text{Exp}_r(b)) \in B_{\min(i, j)},$$

$$(17) \quad \text{Log}_r(\text{Exp}_r(a)^{-1}) \in B_i,$$

$$(18) \quad \text{Log}_r(\text{Exp}_r(a) \text{Exp}_r(b) \text{Exp}_r(a)^{-1} \text{Exp}_r(b)^{-1}) \equiv [a, b] \pmod{B_{i+j+1}},$$

where $B_s = \{0\}$ for $s \geq 4$.

Proof. (16) and (17) are immediate consequences of (14) and (15), respectively. By virtue of (14), if $a \in \mathfrak{Q}$ and $b_3 \in A_3$, we have $\text{Exp}_r(a) \text{Exp}_r(b_3)$

$= \text{Exp}_\tau(a + b_3) = \text{Exp}_\tau(b_3) \text{Exp}_\tau(a)$. This shows that $\text{Exp}_\tau(A_3)$ is a subgroup contained in the center of $\text{Exp}_\tau(\mathfrak{L})$. Using this fact and (1), (3), (4), (14), (15) we have for $a = a_1 + a_2 + a_3$, $b = b_1 + b_2 + b_3$ ($a_i, b_i \in A_i$)

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Log}_\tau(\text{Exp}_\tau(a) \text{Exp}_\tau(b) \text{Exp}_\tau(a)^{-1} \text{Exp}_\tau(b)^{-1}) \\ & \equiv \gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1) - \gamma_{21}(a_1, -a_1) \gamma_{21}(b_1, -b_1) + \gamma_{21}(-a_1, -b_1) + \gamma_{21}(a_1 + b_1, -a_1 - b_1) \\ & \equiv \gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1) - \gamma_{21}(b_1, a_1) \equiv [a_1, b_1] \pmod{A_3}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (16) for $i = j = 1$. Since $\text{Exp}_\tau(A_3)$ is contained in the center, (16) is also true for $i = 3$ or $j = 3$. So it is sufficient to prove (16) for $a = a_2$ and $b = b_1 + b_2$ ($a_2, b_2 \in A_2, b_1 \in A_1$). Using (14), (15), (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Log}_\tau(\text{Exp}_\tau(a_2) \text{Exp}_\tau(b_1 + b_2) \text{Exp}_\tau(a_2)^{-1} \text{Exp}_\tau(b_1 + b_2)^{-1}) = \text{Log}_\tau(\text{Exp}_\tau(a_2) \text{Exp}_\tau \\ & \quad (b_1 + b_2) (\text{Exp}_\tau(b_1 + b_2) \text{Exp}_\tau(a_2))^{-1}) \\ & = \text{Log}_\tau(\text{Exp}_\tau(b_1 + a_2 + b_2 + \gamma_{32}(a_2, b_2)) \text{Exp}_\tau(b_1 + a_2 + b_2 + \gamma_{32}(b_2, a_2) + [b_1, a_2])^{-1}) \\ & = \text{Log}_\tau(\text{Exp}_\tau(b_1 + a_2 + b_2 + \gamma_{32}(a_2, b_2)) \text{Exp}_\tau(b_1 + a_2 + b_2 + \gamma_{32}(a_2, b_2))^{-1} \\ & \quad \text{Exp}_\tau([b, a])^{-1}) \\ & = -[b_1, a_2] = [a_2, b_1]. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the prove of (16).

We shall now sum up the results in this paragraph in the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let τ be a triangular 2-cocycle of a graded Lie ring of length three $\mathfrak{L} = A_1 + A_2 + A_3$. Then $H_1 = \text{Exp}_\tau(A_1 + A_2 + A_3)$, $H_2 = \text{Exp}_\tau(A_2 + A_3)$, $H_3 = \text{Exp}_\tau(A_3)$, $H_1 = \langle e \rangle$ form an N -series such that \mathfrak{L} is regarded as the graded Lie ring associated with (H_i) .*

Proof. (16), (17) and (18) in Proposition 4 show that H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4 form an N -series. Identifying $\text{Exp}_\tau(a_i) \pmod{H_{i+1}}$ with $a_i \pmod{B_{i+1}}$, we get the identification of $\mathfrak{L}[(H_i)]$ with \mathfrak{L} .

4. In this paragraph we shall prove the following theorem:

THEOREM 2. *Let $H_1 = H$, $H_2, H_3, H_4 = \langle e \rangle$ be an N -series. Then there exists a triangular 2-cocycle τ of the graded Lie ring $\mathfrak{L}[(H_i)]$ associated with (H_i) such that the N -series associated with the pair $(\mathfrak{L}[(H_i)], \tau)$ (in the sense of Theorem 1) is isomorphic to the N -series (H_i) .*

In the proof of Theorem 2, we shall also show the structural meaning of the triangular 2-cocycle τ .

The proof of Theorem 2: We denote by $\mathfrak{L}[(H_i)] = A_1 + A_2 + A_3$ the graded Lie ring associated with (H_i) . We shall identify H_i/H_{i+1} with A_i and shall use the both notations (the additive one and the multiplicative one) freely for the sake of simplicity. Let us choose a family of representatives $\{v_{ji}(\xi) \in H_i/H_{j+1} \mid \xi \in H_1/H_{i+1}; 1 \leq i \leq j \leq 3\}$ such that

$$(19) \quad v_{i,i}(\xi) = \xi,$$

$$(20) \quad v_{k,j}(v_{j,i}(\xi)) = v_{k,i}(\xi), \quad (\xi \in H_1/H_{i+1}; 1 \leq i \leq j \leq k \leq 3),$$

$$(21) \quad v_{k,j}(\eta v_{ji}(\xi)) = v_{k,j}(\eta) v_{k,i}(\xi), \quad (\xi \in H_1/H_{i+1}; \eta \in H_l/H_{i+1}; 1 \leq i < l \leq j \leq k \leq 3),$$

$$(22) \quad v_{j,i}(e) = \text{the unit element } e \text{ of } H_1/H_{j+1}.$$

Put

$$(23) \quad c_{i-1}(\xi, \xi') = v_{i,i-1}(\xi) v_{i,i-1}(\xi') v_{i,i-1}(\xi \xi')^{-1}, \quad (\xi, \xi' \in H_1/H_i; i = 2, 3),$$

$$(24) \quad \gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1) = c_1(a_1, b_1), \quad \gamma_{32}(a_2, b_2) = c_2(a_2, b_2), \quad (a_i, b_i \in A_i; i = 1, 2)$$

and

$$(25) \quad \gamma_{31}(a_1, b_1) = c_2(v_{2,1}(a_1), v_{2,1}(b_1)), \quad (a_1, b_1 \in A_1).$$

We shall prove that the triangular 2-cochain

$$\mathbf{r} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{21} & 0 \\ \gamma_{31} & \gamma_{32} \end{pmatrix}$$

is a triangular 2-cocycle of $\mathfrak{L}[(H_i)]$. Since γ_{21} (resp. γ_{32}) are the 2-cocycles associated with the extension H_1/H_3 (resp. H_2/H_4) of A_2 (resp. A_3) by A_1 (resp. A_2), we have $\partial\gamma_{21} = 0$ (resp. $\partial\gamma_{32} = 0$). Since $v_{j,i}(e)$ = the unit element e of H_1/H_{j+1} , we have $\gamma_{ji}(0, a_j) = \gamma_{ji}(a_i, 0) = 0$ for $a_i \in A_i$. Namely $\mathbf{r}(0, \mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{a}, 0) = 0$ for $\mathbf{a} \in \mathfrak{L}$. Since H_3 is contained in the center of H , from the definition of γ_{31} we have for $a_1, b_1, c_1 \in A_1$

$$\begin{aligned} (v_{31}(a_1) v_{31}(b_1)) v_{31}(c_1) &= v_{32}(v_{21}(a_1)) v_{32}(v_{21}(b_1)) v_{31}(c_1) \\ &= c_3(v_{21}(a_1), v_{21}(b_1)) v_{32}(v_{21}(a_1) v_{21}(b_1)) v_{31}(c_1) \\ &= \gamma_{31}(a_1, b_1) v_{32}(c_{21}(a_1, b_1)) v_{31}(a + b) v_{31}(c_1) \\ &= \gamma_{31}(a_1, b_1) v_{32}(c_{21}(a_1, b_1)) \gamma_{31}(a_1 + b_1, c_1) v_{32}(c_{21}(a_1 + b_1, c_1)) \\ &\quad v_{31}(a_1 + b_1 + c_1) \\ &= \gamma_{31}(a_1, b_1) \gamma_{31}(a_1 + b_1, c_1) \gamma_{32}(\gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1), \gamma_{21}(a_1 + b_1, c_1)) \\ &\quad v_{32}(\gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1) + \gamma_{21}(a_1 + b_1, c_1)) v_{31}(a_1 + b_1 + c_1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
v_{31}(a_1)(v_{31}(b_1)v_{31}(c_1)) &= v_{31}(a_1)\gamma_{31}(b_1, c_1)v_{32}(c_2(b_1, c_1))v_{31}(b_1 + c_1) \\
&= \gamma_{31}(b_1, c_1)v_{31}(a_1)v_{32}(c_2(b_1, c_1))v_{31}(a_1)^{-1}v_{31}(c_2(b_1, c_1))^{-1} \\
&\quad v_{32}(c_2(b_1, c_1))v_{31}(a_1)v_{31}(b_1 + c_1) \\
&= \gamma_{31}(b_1, c_1)[a_1, \gamma_{21}(b_1, c_1)]v_{32}(c_2(b_1, c_1))\gamma_{31}(a_1, b_1 + c_1) \\
&\quad v_{32}(c_2(a_1, b_1 + c_1))v_{31}(a_1 + b_1 + c_1) \\
&= \gamma_{31}(b_1, c_1)\gamma_{31}(a_1, b_1 + c_1)[a_1, \gamma_{21}(b_1, c_1)]\gamma_{32}(\gamma_{21}(b_1, c_1), \gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1 + c_1)) \\
&\quad v_{32}(\gamma_{21}(b_1, c_1) + \gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1 + c_1))v_{31}(a_1 + b_1 + c_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence by the associative law and the equality $\partial\gamma_{21} = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial\gamma_{31}(a_1, b_1, c_1) + [a_1, \gamma_{21}(b_1, c_1)] + \gamma_{32}(\gamma_{21}(b_1, c_1), \gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1 + c_1)) \\
- \gamma_{32}(\gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1), \gamma_{21}(a_1 + b_1, c_1)) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

in the additive notation. This shows that γ is a triangular 2-cocycle of $\mathfrak{V}[(H_i)]$.

We denote by σ the map of H_1 onto $\text{Exp}_\gamma(\mathfrak{V}[(H_i)])$ defined by

$$\sigma(v_{33}(a_3)v_{32}(a_2)v_{31}(a_1)) = \text{Exp}_\gamma(a_1 + a_2 + a_3), \quad (a_i \in A_i).$$

We shall show that σ is an isomorphism. From the definition of γ and $\{v_{ji}(\xi)\}$ it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&(v_{33}(a_3)v_{32}(a_2)v_{31}(a_1))(v_{33}(b_3)v_{32}(b_2)v_{31}(b_1)) \\
&= v_{33}(a_3)v_{33}(b_3)v_{32}(a_2)v_{32}(b_2)v_{32}(b_2)^{-1}v_{31}(a_1)v_{32}(b_2)v_{31}(a_1)^{-1}v_{31}(a_1)v_{31}(b_1) \\
&= v_{33}(a_3 + b_3 + [-b_2, a_1])c_2(a_2, b_2)v_{32}(a_2 + b_2)v_{32}(v_{21}(a_1))v_{32}(v_{21}(b_1)) \\
&= v_{33}(a_3 + b_3 + [a_1, b_2] + \gamma_{32}(a_2, b_2))v_{32}(a_2 + b_2)c_2(v_{21}(a_1), v_{21}(b_1)) \\
&\quad v_{32}(v_{21}(a_1)v_{21}(b_1)) \\
&= v_{33}(a_3 + b_3 + [a_1, b_2] + \gamma_{32}(a_2, b_2)\gamma_{31}(a_1, b_1))v_{32}(a_2 + b_2)v_{32}(c_1(a_1, b_1)) \\
&\quad v_{21}(a_1 + b_1)) \\
&= v_{33}(a_3 + b_3 + \gamma_{31}(a_1, b_1) + \gamma_{32}(a_2, b_2) + [a_1, b_2] + \gamma_{32}(a_2 + b_2, \gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1))) \\
&\quad v_{32}(a_2 + b_2 + \gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1))v_{31}(a_1 + b_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence by virtue of (14) in Proposition 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(v_{33}(a_3)v_{32}(a_2)v_{31}(a_1)v_{33}(b_3)v_{32}(b_2)v_{31}(b_1)) \\
&= \text{Exp}_\gamma(a_1 + b_1 + a_2 + b_2 + \gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1) + a_3 + b_3 + \gamma_{31}(a_1, b_1) + \gamma_{32}(a_3, b_2) \\
&\quad + \gamma_{32}(a_2 + b_2, \gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1))) \\
&= \text{Exp}_\gamma(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)\text{Exp}_\gamma(b_1 + b_2 + b_3) = \sigma(v_{33}(a_3)v_{32}(a_2)v_{31}(a_1)) \\
&\quad \sigma(v_{33}(b_3)v_{32}(b_2)v_{31}(b_1)).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves that σ is a homomorphism. Since obviously σ is bijective, σ is an

isomorphism. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Two triangular 2-cocycles γ and γ' of $\mathfrak{L} = A_1 + A_2 + A_3$ are called to be equivalent if the N -series associated with the pairs (\mathfrak{L}, γ) and (\mathfrak{L}, γ') (in the means of Theorem 1) are isomorphic. We call the equivalent classes of triangular 2-cocycles the triangular 2-cohomology classes of \mathfrak{L} . Then by virtue of Theorems 1 and 2 we can conclude that the set of pairs consisting of a graded Lie ring \mathfrak{L} of length three and a triangular 2-cohomology class of \mathfrak{L} corresponds bijectively to the set of N -series of length three by means of the Exponential Maps.

REFERENCE

- [1] M. Hall, The Theory of Groups (1959), New York.

Supplement: Let $\mathfrak{L} = A_1 + A_2 + A_3$ be a graded Lie ring of length three. Let γ_{21} be a 2-cocycle of A_1 with coefficients in A_2 and γ_{32} be a 2-cocycle of A_2 with coefficients in A_3 such that the extended group N_3 of N_2 with respect to γ_{32} is abelian, i.e.

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow A_3 \rightarrow N_2 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence of abelian groups. We denote by $H_a^2(A_2, A_3)$ the group of all the abelian extensions of A_3 by A_2 . We denote by $\delta^{\gamma_{32}}$ the coboundary operation of $H^2(A_1, A_2)$ into $H^3(A_1, A_3)$ with respect to the exact sequence $(*)$, then we have the following identity

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{\gamma_{32}}(\gamma_{21})(a_1, b_1, c_1) &= \gamma_{32}(\gamma_{21}(b_1, c_1), \gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1 + c_1)) \\ &\quad - \gamma_{32}(\gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1), \gamma_{21}(a_1 + b_1, c_1)), \quad (a_1, b_1, c_1 \in A_1). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand if we put $\gamma'_{32} = \gamma_{32} + \partial\beta$ with a 1-cochain β of A_2 , we have

$$\delta^{\gamma'_{32}}(\gamma_{21}) = \delta^{\gamma_{32}}(\gamma_{21}) + \partial g, \quad g(a_1, b_1) = \beta(\gamma_{21}(a_1, b_1)), \quad (a_1, b_1 \in A_1).$$

These identities show that the mapping $(\gamma_{32}, \gamma_{21}) \rightarrow \delta^{\gamma_{32}}(\gamma_{21})$ induces the zero-map of $H_a^2(A_2, A_3) \times H^2(A_1, A_2)$ into $H^3(A_1, A_3)$. The map $\gamma_{21} \rightarrow [a_1, \gamma_{21}(b_1, c_1)]$ induces a homomorphism χ of $H^2(A_1, A_2)$ into $H^3(A_1, A_3)$. We denote by K the kernel of χ , then we can parametrize by $K \times H_a^2(A_2, A_3) \times H^2(A_1, A_3)$ all N -series $\{N_1, N_2, N_3\}$ such that associated Lie ring of $\{N_i\}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathfrak{L} = A_1 + A_2 + A_3$.

*Mathematical Institute,
Nagoya University*

