## SOME NOTES ON EXCEPTIONAL VALUES OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

## KIKUJI MATSUMOTO

1. Let E be a totally-disconnected compact set in the z-plane and let  $\Omega$  be its complement with respect to the extended z-plane. Then  $\Omega$  is a domain and we can consider a single-valued meromorphic function w = f(z) on  $\Omega$  which has a transcendental singularity at each point of E. Suppose that E is a null-set of the class W in the sense of Kametani [4] (= the class  $N_{\mathfrak{B}}$  in the sense of Ahlfors and Beurling [1]). Then the cluster set of f(z) at each transcendental singularity is the whole w-plane, and hence f(z) has an essential singularity at each point of E. We shall say that a value E is exceptional for E0 at an essential singularity E1 at an essential singularity E2 at an essential singularity E3 at an essential singularity E4.

In our previous paper [6], we showed that, even if E is of capacity<sup>1)</sup> zero, the set of all exceptional values of f(z) at a point  $\zeta$  of E may be non-countable. From this fact, there arises the following problem: Is there a perfect set E such that each f(z) has at most a countable number of exceptional values at each essential singularity  $\zeta \in E$ ?

Recently, Carleson [3] and the author [7] have given positive answers to this problem, that is, they have given sufficient conditions for sets E to satisfy that every f(z) has at most a finite number of exceptional values. Particularly, Carleson's paper is very interesting. Carleson has shown that there exist sets E of positive capacity for which every f(z) has at most three exceptional values. His arguments are based on the fact that a set of linear measure zero is a null-set of the class W.

In this note, we shall give a sufficient condition, much better than Carleson's and the author's, using Carleson's arguments essentially.

2. Let  $\{\Omega_n\}_{n=0,1,2,...}$  be an exhaustion of  $\Omega$  with the following conditions:

Received March 26, 1962.

<sup>1)</sup> In this note, capacity is always logarithmic.

- 1)  $\Omega_{n+1} \supset \overline{\Omega}_n$  for every n,
- 2) for each n, the boundary  $\partial \Omega_n$  of  $\Omega_n$  consists of a finite number of closed analytic curves,
  - 3) each component of the open set  $\mathcal{E}_{\overline{\Omega}_n}^{(2)}$  contains points of E,
- 4) the open set  $\Omega_n \overline{\Omega}_{n-1}$   $(n \ge 1)$  consists of a finite number of doubly-connected domains  $R_{n,k}$   $(k = 1, 2, \ldots, N(n))$ .

We shall use in the sequel the graph associated with  $\{\Omega_n\}$  in the sense of Noshiro [9]. Let u(z) + iv(z) be the mapping function of  $\Omega - \Omega_0$  onto it and let R be its length.<sup>3)</sup>

Let  $\gamma_r$  be the niveau curve  $u(z) = r \ (0 < r < R)$  on  $\Omega$ . The niveau curve  $\gamma_r$  consists of a finite number of simple closed curves  $\gamma_{r,k} \ (k=1,2,\ldots,n(r))$ . We shall call each component of the open set  $\Omega_n - \overline{\Omega}_m \ (n > m \ge 0)$  an R-chain, consider for every  $\gamma_{r,k} \ (0 < r < R, \ 1 \le k \le n(r))$  the longest doubly-connected R-chain  $R(\gamma_{r,k})$  such that  $\gamma_{r,k}$  is contained in  $R(\gamma_{r,k})$  or is the one of the two boundary components of  $R(\gamma_{r,k})$ , and denote by  $\mu(\gamma_{r,k})$  the harmonic modulus of this R-chain. We set

$$\mu(\mathbf{r}) = \min_{1 \leq k \leq n(\mathbf{r})} \mu(\gamma_{\mathbf{r},k}).$$

Generally  $R_{n,k}$  may branch off into a finite number of  $R_{n+1,m}$ . If every  $R_{n,k}$   $(n=1,2,\ldots; k=1,2,\ldots,N(n))$  branches off into at most  $\rho$  domains  $R_{n+1,m}$ , we say that the exhaustion  $\{Q_n\}$  branches off at most  $\rho$ -times everywhere.

Now we state our theorem.

Theorem 1. Let E be a totally-disconnected compact set in the z-plane and let  $\Omega$  be its complementary domain. If there exists an exhaustion  $\{\Omega_n\}$  of  $\Omega$  which satisfies the conditions 1), 2), 3) and 4) stated above, branches off at most  $\rho$ -times everywhere and has the graph satisfying the condition that

$$\lim_{r\to R}\,\mu(r)=+\infty,$$

then every function which is single-valued and meromorphic in  $\Omega$  and has an

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2)</sup> We denote the complement of a set A with respect to the extended complex plane by  $\mathscr{C}A$ .

<sup>3)</sup> Cf. K. Matsumoto [7], § 2.

essential singularity at each point of  $E^{(4)}$  has at most  $\rho + 1$  exceptional values at each singularity.

This is an amelioration of the theorem given in [7]. In fact, we proved the same assertion under the additional conditions that the length R is infinite and further

$$\overline{\lim_{r\to\infty}} \ \frac{n(r)}{r} < + \infty.$$

3. We shall prove a little stronger theorem than Theorem 1. Let f(z) be a single-valued meromorphic function in  $\mathcal Q$  possessing at least one essential singularity in E, not necessarily at each point of E. We shall say that f(z) omits a value w in  $\mathcal Q$  at an essential singularity  $\zeta \in E$  if there is a neighborhood  $U(\zeta)$  of  $\zeta$  such that f(z) does not take this value w in  $\mathcal Q \cap U(\zeta)$ . Such a value may be taken by f(z) only at points of E near  $\zeta$ .

We shall prove the following

Theorem 2. Under the same conditions as Theorem 1, every function, which is single-valued and meromorphic in  $\Omega$  and has at least one essential singularity in E, omits at most  $\rho+1$  values in  $\Omega$  at each singularity.

In the case where  $\rho = 1$ , E consists of just one point and hence our assertion is true from Picard's theorem. We shall give a proof only in the case where  $\rho = 2$ . In the same way, we can prove in general cases.

4. Before proving the theorem, we give two lemmas. We shall consider the Riemann sphere  $\Sigma$  with radius 1/2 touching the w-plane at the origin. For w and w' in the w-plane we denote by [w, w'] the chordal distance between them, that is,

$$[w, w'] = \frac{|w - w'|}{\sqrt{(1+|w|^2)(1+|w'|^2)}}.$$

Further we denote by  $C(w; \delta)$  ( $\delta > 0$ ) the spherical open disc with center at w and with chordal radius  $\delta$ .

Let w = f(z) be a single-valued meromorphic function in an annulus

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4)</sup> We shall see in the proof of the theorem that E is a null set of the class W and hence every transcendental singularity of single-valued meromorphic functions in  $\Omega$  is always an essential singularity.

 $1 < |z| < e^{\gamma}$  ( $\sigma > 0$ ) omitting four values  $w_1$ ,  $w_2$ ,  $w_3$  and  $w_4$ , and let  $\delta > 0$  be so small that spherical discs  $C(w_i; \delta)$  (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) are mutually disjoint. Now we prove the following lemma which is a consequence of Bohr-Landau's theorem [2]:

If g(z) is regular in |z| < 1 and  $g(z) \neq 0$ , 1 there, then

$$\max_{|z| = r} |g(z)| \le \exp\left(\frac{K \log(|g(0)| + 2)}{1 - r}\right) \quad \text{for all } r < 1,$$

where K is a positive constant (a precise form of Schottky's theorem).

Lemma 1. There is a positive constant  $\delta'$  such that, if f(z) takes a value outside  $C(w_i; \delta)$  for some i  $(1 \le i \le 4)$  at a point on  $|z| = e^{\sigma/2}$ , then the image of  $|z| = e^{\sigma/2}$  by f(z) lies completely outside the concentric spherical disc  $C(w_i; \delta')$ . Here  $\delta'$  depends only on  $\sigma$ ,  $w_i$   $(1 \le i \le 4)$  and  $\delta$ , and does not depend on f(z).

*Proof.* From Bohr-Landau's theorem, we can see easily that if w = g(z) is a regular function in  $1 < |z| < e^{\sigma}$  such that

$$g(z) \neq 0$$
, 1 and  $\min_{|z|=e^{\sigma/2}} |g(z)| < M$  for a positive  $M$ ,

then there is a positive constant M' depending only on M and  $\sigma$  and satisfying

$$\max_{|z|=e^{\sigma/2}}|g(z)|\leq M'.$$

We denote by  $\zeta = T^i_{j,\,m}(w)$   $(1 \leq i,\,j,\,m \leq 4,\,i \neq j,\,m$  and  $j \neq m)$  the linear transformation which transforms  $w_i,\,w_j$  and  $w_m$  to the point at infinity, the origin and the point  $\zeta = 1$  respectively. Since the number of such  $T^i_{j,\,m}$  is finite, we can find a positive M so large that, for each  $T^i_{j,\,m}$ , its image of the outside of  $C(w_i;\delta)$  is contained completely in  $|\zeta| < M$ . For this  $M,\,\zeta = T^i_{j,\,m}(f(z))$  has the same properties as g(z) stated above, and hence it holds that

$$|T_{j,m}^i(f(z))| \leq M'$$
 on  $|z| = e^{\sigma/2}$ ,

where M' > 0 depends only on M and  $\sigma$ . The image of the outside V of  $|\zeta| \le M'$  by  $(T^i_{j,m})^{-1}$  is an open disc containing  $w_i$ . If we denote by  $d^i_{j,m}$  the chordal distance between  $w_i$  and the boundary of  $(T^i_{j,m})^{-1}(V)$  and set

$$\delta' = \min_{\substack{1 \leq i, j, m \leq 4 \\ i \neq j, m \\ i \neq m}} d^i_{j, m},$$

then  $\delta' > 0$  and obviously satisfies all conditions of the lemma.

Next lemma is a revised form of Carleson's [3].

Lemma 2. Let w = f(z) be a single-valued meromorphic function on an annulus  $1 \le |z| \le e^{\mu}$  ( $\mu > 0$ ). If f(z) takes there no value in a spherical disc  $C(w_0; \delta)$ , then there exists a positive constant A depending only on  $\delta$  such that the diameter of the image of  $|z| = e^{\mu/2}$  by f(z) with respect to the chordal distance is dominated by  $Ae^{-\mu/2}$  for sufficiently large  $\mu$ .

In particular, if  $\delta$  is sufficiently close to 1, that is, the complementary sherical disc  $C(-1/\overline{w}_0;d)$  of  $C(w_0;\delta)$  has a radius d sufficiently small, we have

$$A < Bd$$
.

where B is a positive constant.

*Proof.* We may assume without loss of generality that the center  $w_0$  of  $C(w_0; \delta)$  is the point at infinity, for otherwise we can transform  $w_0$  to the point at infinity by the linear transformation  $(1+\overline{w}_0w)/(w-w_0)$ , under which the chordal distance remains invariant. Let |w| > M be the domain in the w-plane corresponding to  $C(w_0; \delta)$ . Then

$$|f(z)| \le M$$
 on  $1 \le |z| \le e^{\mu}$ .

By Cauchy's integral theorem, we have

$$f'(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left\{ \int_{|\zeta| = e^{\mu}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^2} d\zeta - \int_{|\zeta| = 1} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^2} d\zeta \right\}$$

for every z on  $|z| = e^{\mu/2}$  and hence, if  $\mu \ge 2$ ,

$$|f'(z)| \le \frac{M}{2\pi} \left\{ \frac{2\pi e^{\mu}}{(e^{\mu} - e^{\mu/2})^2} + \frac{2\pi}{(e^{\mu/2} - 1)^2} \right\} \le \frac{2e^2}{(e-1)^2} Me^{-\mu}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\int_{|z|=e^{u/2}} |f'(z)| |dz| \leq \frac{2e^2}{(e-1)^2} M e^{-\mu \cdot 2} \pi e^{\mu/2} = \frac{4\pi e^2}{(e-1)^2} M \cdot e^{-\mu/2}.$$

The left side shows the length of the image curve  $f(|z|=e^{\mu/2})$ , and hence the diameter of the image of  $|z|=e^{\mu/2}$  by f(z) with respect to the metric |dw|, consequently with respect to the chordal distance, is dominated by  $(2\pi e^2/(e-1)^2)Me^{-\mu/2}$ . We can take  $(2\pi e^2/(e-1)^2)M$  as A, for M depends only on  $\delta$ .

If d < 1/2, then M < 2 d. Hence

$$B = 4 \pi e^2/(e-1)^2$$

is one of the wanted. Thus our lemma is established.

5. Proof of the theorem. Contrary to our assertion, let us suppose that there exists a function f(z) which is single-valued and meromorphic in  $\Omega$ , has at least one essential singularity in E and omits more than three values in  $\Omega$  at an essential singularity  $\zeta_0 \in E$ . Then there is a neighborhood  $U(\zeta_0)$  of  $\zeta_0$  such that f(z) omits four values  $w_i$ ,  $w_i$ ,  $w_i$ ,  $w_i$  and  $w_i$  in  $U(\zeta_0) \cap \Omega$ . We take a positive  $\delta$  so small that spherical discs  $C(w_i; \delta)$   $(1 \le i \le 4)$  are mutually disjoint. For this  $\delta$  and a  $\sigma > 0$ , Lemma 1 determines  $\delta' > 0$ .

Next we consider this  $\delta'$  as  $\delta$  in Lemma 2 and take  $\mu_0$  so large that

$$Ae^{-\mu_0/2} < \min \{1/24, \delta'/3\}$$
 and  $Be^{-\mu_0/2} < 1/12$ ,

where A and B are constants in Lemma 2. From the assumption

$$\lim_{r\to R}\mu(r)=+\infty,$$

there is an  $r_0 > 0$  such that

$$\mu(r) > \mu_0 + 2 \sigma$$
 for all  $r : r_0 < r < R$ .

The niveau curve  $\gamma_r: u(z) = r \ (r_0 < r < R)$  consists of a finite number of simple closed curves  $\gamma_{r,k}$   $(k=1,2,\ldots,n(r))$ , and one of them, say  $\gamma_{r,1}$ , encloses  $\zeta_0$ . For r sufficiently near R, the longest doubly-connected R-chain  $R(\gamma_{r,1}) = S_{1,1}$  for  $\gamma_{r,1}$ , which we defined in § 2, is contained in  $U(\zeta_0)$ . The harmonic modulus of  $S_{1,1}$  is of course greater than  $\mu_0 + 2\sigma$  but is not infinite, for, if so,  $\zeta_0$  must be isolated and f(z) cannot omit four values at  $\zeta_0$ . Therefore  $S_{1,1}$  must branch off. Now suppose that  $S_{1,1}$  is a component of the open set  $\Omega_n - \bar{\Omega}_{n'}$  (n > n'), and branches off into two domains  $R_{n+1,k}$  and  $R_{n+1,k'}$ , and consider the longest doubly-connected R-chains  $S_{2,1}$  and  $S_{2,2}$  containing  $R_{n+1,k}$  and  $R_{n+1,k'}$  respectively. Then they have harmonic moduli greater than  $\mu_0 + 2\sigma$ , one of them, say  $S_{2,1}$ , separates  $\zeta_0$  from  $S_{1,1}$  and its harmonic modulus is finite by the same reason as above. Hence  $S_{2,1}$  is a component of the open set  $\Omega_m - \Omega_n$  for some m and branches off into two domains  $R_{m+1,k}$  and  $R_{n+1,k'}$ . We shall denote by  $S_{3,1}$  and  $S_{3,2}$  the longest doubly-connected R-chains containing them. On the other hand, the harmonic modulus of  $S_{2,2}$  may be infinite. If it is infinite, one of the boundary components

of  $S_{2,2}$  is a point  $\eta \in E$  and f(z) is meromorphic at  $\eta$ . If it is finite, we obtain two R-chains  $S_{3,3}$  and  $S_{3,4}$  in the same manner as above. Thus we have at most  $2^2$  R-chains  $S_{3,q}$  such that their harmonic moduli are greater than  $\mu_0 + 2 \sigma$ , one of them encloses  $\zeta_0$  and each of them branches off into two domains, if its harmonic modulus is finite, or has a point  $\eta \in E$  as one of its boundary components at which f(z) is meromorphic, if its harmonic modulus is infinite. Continuing inductively, we obtain a set of R-chains  $S_{p,q}$   $(p=1, 2, 3, \ldots; q=1, 2, \ldots, Q(p) \leq 2^{p-1})$  with the following properties:

- 1)  $\bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{q=1}^{Q(p)} \overline{S}_{p,q} \supset \Delta$ , where  $\Delta$  denotes the intersection of the inside of the simple closed curve  $\gamma_{r,1}$  and  $\Omega$ ,
  - 2) their harmonic moduli are greater than  $\mu_0 + 2 \sigma$ ,
- 3) each  $S_{p,q}$  branches off into two  $S_{p+1,q}$  if its harmonic modulus is finite, or
- 4) it has a point  $\eta \in E$  as one of its boundary components and f(z) is meromorphic at  $\eta$  if its harmonic modulus is infinite. In this case we shall denote the point  $\eta$  and the value  $f(\eta)$  by  $\eta_{p,q}$  and  $w_{p,q}$  respectively.

Each  $S_{p,\,q}$  is conformally equivalent to the annulus  $1<|\zeta|< e^{\mu}$ , where  $\mu$  is the harmonic modulus of  $S_{p,\,q}$ . In the case where  $\mu<+\infty$ , we denote by  $S_{p,\,q}^1$ ,  $S_{p,\,q}^2$  and  $S_{p,\,q}^3$  subdomains of  $S_{p,\,q}$  corresponding to the annuli  $1<|\zeta|< e^{\gamma}$ ,  $e^{\sigma}<|\zeta|< e^{\mu-\sigma}$  and  $e^{\mu-\sigma}<|\zeta|< e^{\mu}$  respectively and by  $\Gamma_{p,\,q}^1$ ,  $\Gamma_{p,\,q}^2$  and  $\Gamma_{p,\,q}^3$  closed curves corresponding to  $|\zeta|=e^{\sigma/2}$ ,  $|\zeta|=e^{\mu/2}$  and  $|\zeta|=e^{\mu-\sigma/2}$  respectively. We observe that for each  $\Gamma_{p,\,q}^2$  the diameter of its image by f(z) with respect to the chordal distance is dominated by  $K=\min\{1/24,\,\delta'/3\}$ . In fact, for  $z'\in\Gamma_{p,\,q}^1$  and  $z''\in\Gamma_{p,\,q}^3$ , the images f(z') and f(z'') lie in the outside of at least one  $C(w_i;\delta)$ , say  $C(w_1;\delta)$ , and hence, applying Lemma 1 in  $S_{p,\,q}^1$  and  $S_{p,\,q}^3$ , we see that the images of  $\Gamma_{p,\,q}^1$  and  $\Gamma_{p,\,q}^2$ , consequently the image of the ring domain bounded by them by the maximum principle, lie completely outside  $C(w_1;\delta')$ . Thus our assertion follows from Lemma 2, because the harmonic modulus of  $S_{p,\,q}^2$  is greater than  $\mu_0$ .

Every  $S_{p+1,q'}$   $(p \ge 1)$  has in common with another  $S_{p+1,q''}$  an  $S_{p,q}$  branching off into them, and we shall denote by  $A_{p,q}$  the triply-connected domain bounded by  $\Gamma^2_{p,q}$ ,  $\Gamma^2_{p+1,q'}$  and  $\Gamma^2_{p+1,q''}$ , where we consider  $\Gamma^2_{p+1,q'} = \eta_{p+1,q'}$  or  $\Gamma^2_{p+1,q'} = \eta_{p+1,q''}$  if  $S_{p+1,q'}$  or  $S_{p+1,q''}$  has infinite harmonic modulus. For  $w \in f(\Gamma^2_{p,q})$ ,  $w' \in f(\Gamma^2_{p+1,q'})$  and  $w'' \in f(\Gamma^2_{p+1,q'})$  we consider spherical discs C(w; K), C(w'; K) and

C(w''; K), which of course contain  $f(\Gamma_{p,q}^2)$ ,  $f(\Gamma_{p+1,q'}^2)$  and  $f(\Gamma_{p+1,q''}^2)$  respectively. Since  $K < \delta'/3$ , they cannot contain at least one of  $w_i$   $(1 \le i \le 4)$ , say  $w_1$ , and hence each one of them cannot be disjoint from the union of the others, for, if so for some one, there is  $z_0 \in \mathcal{A}_{p,q}$  such that  $f(z_0)$  is contained and can be joined to  $w_1$  with a curve  $\Lambda$  in the outside of the union of these three discs, and we are led to a contradiction that the element of the inverse function  $f^{-1}$  corresponding to  $z_0$  can be continued analytically along  $\Lambda$  up to a point arbitrarily near  $w_1$  so that f(z) takes the value  $w_1$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{p,q}$ . Therefore we can conclude that

(1°) for every  $\Delta_{p,q}$ , there is a spherical disc with the chordal radius 3 K containing its image  $f(\Delta_{p,q})$ .

Next we shall consider  $\Gamma_{p,q}^2$  for  $p \ge 2$ . Then  $\Delta_{p,q}$  and some  $\Delta_{p-1,q'}$  have  $\Gamma_{p,q}^2$  as the common boundary and  $\Delta_{p,q} \cup \Gamma_{p,q}^2 \cup \Delta_{p-1,q'} \supset S_{p,q}$ . From (1°) the images of  $\Delta_{p,q} \cup \Gamma_{p,q}^2 \cup \Delta_{p-1,q'}$ , consequently of  $S_{p,q}^2 \subset S_{p,q}$ , are contained in a spherical disc with the chordal radius 6 K < 1/2, so that, applying Lemma 2 in  $S_{p,q}^2$  for d=6 K, we see that the diameter of  $f(\Gamma_{p,q}^2) < 6 KBe^{-\mu_0/2} < K/2$ . For  $p \ge 2$ , each boundary component of  $\Delta_{p,q}$  thus has the image with diameter less than K/2. By the same reasoning as above we now conclude that

(2°) for  $p \ge 2$ , the image of any  $\Delta_{p,q}$  is contained in a spherical disc with chordal radius 3 K/2.

By induction we also see for every n that

 $(n^{\circ})$  for  $p \ge n$ , the image of any  $\Delta_{p,q}$  is contained in a spherical disc with chordal radius  $3K/2^{n-1}$ .

Let  $\Delta'$  be the intersection of the inside of the simple closed curve  $\Gamma_{1,1}^2$  and  $\Omega$  and let  $z_0$  be a point of  $\Gamma_{1,1}^2$ . Then it follows from the property 1) of  $\{S_{p,q}\}$  that

$$\bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty}\bigcup_{q=1}^{Q(p)}\overline{\Delta}_{p,q}\supset\Delta',$$

and hence, for any  $z' \in \Delta'$ , there is  $\Delta_{p',q'}$  whose closure contains z'. From  $(n^{\circ})$  obtained above, we have for a chain of  $\Delta_{p,q}$  joining  $\Delta_{1,1}$  and  $\Delta_{p',q'}$ 

$$[f(z'), f(z_0)] \le \sum_{p=1}^{p'} \text{diam. of } f(\Delta_{p,q}) \text{ w.r.t. the chordal distance}$$
  
 $< 2 \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} 3 K/2^{p-1} = 12 K < 1/2.$ 

By means of a linear transformation we can consider from the above that

f(z) is bounded in  $\Delta'$ . On the other hand, only applying Pfluger-Mori's criterion ([10], [8]) to the ring domains  $\{S_{p,q}\}$ , we can see easily that the part E' of E contained in the inside of  $\Gamma^2_{1,1}$  is a null-set of the class W. Hence each point of E' must be a removable singularity of a bounded function f(z). This contradicts our assumption that  $\zeta_0 \in E'$  is an essential singularity of f(z), and hence f(z) cannot omit four values in  $\Omega$  at  $\zeta_0$ . Thus our theorem is proved completely.

6. For Cantor sets, we have the following which is an immediate consequence of our theorem.

THEOREM 3. Let E be a Cantor set on the closed interval [0, 1] with the successive ratios  $\xi_n$  satisfying the condition

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\,\xi_n=0.$$

Then every function, which is single-valued and meromorphic in  $\Omega = \mathcal{C}E$  and has at least one essential singularity in E, omits at most three values in  $\Omega$  at each essential singularity.

Carleson proved in his paper [3] the same assertion under a stronger condition

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\log\,\xi_n^{-1}}{\log\,n}=+\,\infty.$$

As he remarked there, there is a set E of positive capacity because E is of capacity zero if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log \xi_n^{-1}}{2^n} = + \infty.$$

7. In our paper [7], we showed, by using its Theorem 1, that there is a set E such that all f(z) possessing E as the set of essential singularities have at most three exceptional values and some one of them indeed has just three exceptional values at each point of E. But the condition

$$\overline{\lim}_{r\to\infty}\frac{n(r)}{r}<+\infty,$$

which gave at that time some difficulties in constructing E, is unnecessary as we saw above and so for each  $\rho \ge 2$  we can give easily in the similar manner

a set E such that all f(z) have at most  $\rho$  exceptional values and some one of them indeed has just  $\rho$  exceptional values.

8. In the last section we shall be concerned with single-valued meromorphic functions which have as the set of essential singularities a set E satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1 for  $\rho=2$  and have three exceptional values at a point  $\zeta \in E$ . We begin with

Lemma 3. Let f(z) be a single-valued meromorphic function on the closure of a triply-connected domain  $\Delta$  omitting three values  $w_1$ ,  $w_2$  and  $w_3$  there, let  $\Gamma_1$ ,  $\Gamma_2$  and  $\Gamma_3$  be the boundary components of  $\Delta$  and let  $\delta > 0$  be so small that the discs  $C(w_i; \delta)$   $(1 \le i \le 3)$  are mutually disjoint. If  $f(\Gamma_i) \subset C(w_i; \delta)$  for all i, f(z) takes in  $\Delta$  each value outside  $\bigcup_{i=1}^3 C(w_i; \delta)$  once and only once.

Proof. Contrary, suppose that f(z) takes a value  $w_0$  outside  $\bigcup_{i=1}^3 C(w_i; \delta)$  at two points  $z' \in \Delta$  and  $z'' \in \Delta$ . We can join  $w_0$  to  $C(w_1; \delta)$  and  $C(w_2; \delta)$  with curves  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$ , respectively, which lie outside  $\bigcup_{i=1}^3 C(w_i; \delta)$ , do not intersect each other except at  $w_0$  and do not pass through any projection of branch points of the Riemannian image of  $\Delta$  by f(z). The elements of the inverse function  $f^{-1}$  corresponding to z' and z'' can be continued analytically along these curves to their end points and further from them along radii of  $C(w_1; \delta)$  and  $C(w_2; \delta)$  so that the curves in  $\Delta$  corresponding to these continuations join each of z' and z'' to  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  and with parts of  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  bound a domain not containing  $\Gamma_3$ . Since  $\Delta$  has no boundary other than  $\Gamma_1$ ,  $\Gamma_2$  and  $\Gamma_3$ , this domain must be a subdomain of  $\Delta$  and f(z) must take the value  $w_3$  in it; this contradiction proves the lemma.

Let E be a compact set in the z-plane satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1 for  $\rho=2$  and let f(z) be a single-valued meromorphic function which has E as the set of essential singularities. Of course, f(z) has at most three exceptional values at any point of E. From Kuroda's theorem [5] we can easily see that the complementary domain  $\mathcal Q$  of E belongs to the class  $O_{AB}^\circ$  as a Riemann surface and hence the covering surface, which is the Riemannian image of  $\mathcal Q$  by w=f(z), has Inversen's property. Consequently, any exceptional value  $\alpha$  of f(z) at an essential singularity  $\zeta \in E$  is an asymptotic value at  $\zeta$  or there is a sequence  $\{\zeta_n\}$  of points of E such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \zeta_n = \zeta$  and  $\alpha$  is an asymptotic

value at every  $\zeta_n$ . Now, suppose that f(z) has indeed three exceptional values  $w_1$ ,  $w_2$  and  $w_3$  at  $\zeta \in E$ . We prove

THEOREM 4. There exists a sequence  $\{\zeta_n\}$  of points of E such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \zeta_n = \zeta$  and for any curve terminating at  $\zeta_n$  the cluster set of f(z) along it contains always all exceptional values  $w_1$ ,  $w_2$  and  $w_3$  at  $\zeta$ .

*Proof.* It is sufficient to prove that in any neighborhood  $U(\zeta)$  of  $\zeta$  there is a  $\zeta' \in E$ , different from  $\zeta$ , having the property stated in the theorem. shall take  $\delta > 0$  so small that discs  $C(w_i; 2\delta)$   $(1 \le i \le 3)$  are mutually disjoint, use the notations in § 5 considering 2  $\delta$  as  $\delta$  there and note that in the present case all  $S_{p,q}$  branch off into two  $S_{p+1,q}$ , because every point of E is an essential singularity of f(z). Further, we can prove by the same reasoning as used in §5 that if  $f(\Delta_{p,q})$  lies completely outside some  $C(w_i; \delta)$ , then there is a spherical disc  $C_{p,q}$  with the chordal radius 3 K containing  $f(\Delta_{p,q})$ . fact, if one of the spherical discs C(w; K), C(w'; K) and C(w''; K) $(w \in f(\Gamma_{p,q}^2), w' \in f(\Gamma_{p+1,q'}^2)$  and  $w'' \in f(\Gamma_{p+1,q''}^2)$  is disjoint from the union of the others, there is a point  $z_0 \in \Delta_{p,q}$  with the image  $f(z_0)$  outside these three discs,  $f(z_0)$  can be joined to the center  $w_i$  of  $C(w_i; \delta)$  with a curve  $\Lambda$ outside them and hence f(z) takes the value  $w_i$  in  $\Delta_{b,q}$ ; this is a contradiction. Thus if, for every  $\Delta_{p,q}$  there is one in  $C(w_i; \delta)$   $(1 \le i \le 3)$  which is disjoint from  $f(\Delta_{p,q})$ , then the assertion (1°) in §5 holds and we can repeat the arguments there to reach the contradiction that all points of E near  $\zeta$  are removable singularities of f(z). Thus we can conclude that there is an infinite number of  $\Delta_{p,q}$  such that three discs  $C(w_i; 2\delta)(1 \le i \le 3)$  contain the images of its three boundary components one by one.

Let  $\delta_{\nu}$  be a sequence of positive numbers decreasing to zero. Then from the above there is a  $\mathcal{L}_{p,q}$  such that three discs  $C(w_i; 2\delta_1)$  contain the images of its three boundary components one by one. We denote by  $\Gamma_0$  the boundary component of  $\mathcal{L}_{p,q}$  with the image  $f(\Gamma_0)$  contained in  $C(w_1; 2\delta_1)$  and consider all  $\mathcal{L}_{p,q}$  contained in the inside of  $\Gamma_0$ . Among these  $\mathcal{L}_{p,q}$  there is one such that three discs  $C(w_i; 2\delta_2)$  contain the images of its three boundary components one by one and we denote by  $\Gamma_1$  its boundary component with the image  $f(\Gamma_1)$  contained in  $C(w_2; 2\delta_2)$ . Next we consider all  $\mathcal{L}_{p,q}$  contained in the inside of  $\Gamma_1$  and obtain  $\Gamma_2$  with the image  $f(\Gamma_2)$  contained in  $C(w_3; 2\delta_3)$ . We

proceed inductively and obtain a sequence of closed curves  $\{\Gamma_{\nu}\}$  with the following conditions: 1) the inside of  $\Gamma_{\nu}$  contains  $\Gamma_{\nu+1}$ , 2) the image of  $\Gamma_{3\kappa+\tau}$  ( $\kappa=0,1,2,\ldots$ ;  $\tau=0,1,2$ ) is contained in  $C(w_{\tau+1};2\delta_{3\kappa+\tau})$ . We set

$$\zeta' = \bigcap_{\nu} \overline{(\varGamma_{\nu})},$$

where we denote by  $(\Gamma_{\nu})$  the inside of  $\Gamma_{\nu}$  and by  $\overline{(\Gamma_{\nu})}$  the closure of  $(\Gamma_{\nu})$ . Obviously  $\zeta' \in E$  and has the property stated in the theorem. Our proof is now complete.

The fact in the above proof that for any  $\delta > 0$ , there is  $\Delta_{p,q}$  such that three discs  $C(w_i; \delta)$  contain the images of its three boundary components one by one implies by Lemma 3 the following theorem under the same conditions as Theorem 4.

Theorem 5. Let  $\emptyset$  be the covering surface of the w-plane which is the Riemannian image of  $\Omega$  by w = f(z). Then, for arbitrary four discs  $C_i$   $(1 \le i \le 4)$  in the w-plane with the closures being mutually disjoint, there is at least one over which  $\emptyset$  has an infinite number of univalent discs  $\{\tilde{C}_k\}$  such that  $f^{-1}(\tilde{C}_k)$  are compact relative to  $\Omega$  and are contained in any neighborhood of  $\zeta$  except for a finite number of them.

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. V. Ahlfors and A. Beurling: Conformal invariants and function-theoretic nullsets, Acta Math., 83 (1950), pp. 101-129.
- [2] H. Bohr and E. Landau: Über das Verhalten von  $\zeta(s)$  und  $\zeta_k(s)$  in der Nähe der Geraden  $\sigma=1$ , Göttinger Nachr., (1910).
- [3] L. Carleson: A remark on Picard's theorem, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 67 (1961), pp. 142-144.
- [4] S. Kametani: On Hausdorff's measures and generalized capacities with some of their applications to the theory of functions, Jap. Journ. Math., 19 (1944-48), pp. 217-257.
- [5] T. Kuroda: On analytic functions on some Riemann surfaces, Nagoya Math. Journ., 10 (1956), pp. 27-50.
- [6] K. Matsumoto: Exceptional values of meromorphic functions in a neighborhood of the set of singularities, Journ. Sci. Hiroshima Univ, A 24 (1960), pp. 143-153.
- [7] K. Matsumoto: On exceptional values of meromorphic functions with the set of singularities of capacity zero, Nagoya Math. Journ., 18 (1961), pp. 171-191.
- [8] A. Mori: A note on unramified abelian covering surfaces of a closed Riemann surface, Journ. Math. Soc. Japan, 6 (1954), pp. 162-176.
- [9] K. Noshiro: Open Riemann surface with null boundary, Nagoya Math. Journ., 3 (1951), pp. 73-79.

[10] A. Pfluger: Sur l'existence de fonctions non constantes, analytiques, conformes et bornées sur une surface de Riemann ouverte, C. R. Paris, 230 (1950), pp. 166-168.

Mathematical Institute, Nagoya University