

# EXAMPLES OF WEAK BOUNDARY COMPONENTS

TOHRU AKAZA and KÔTARO OIKAWA

1. Let  $D$  be a plane domain and  $\Gamma$  be a component of the boundary of  $D$  consisting of a single point. According to Sario [5] we shall call  $\Gamma$  a *weak* boundary component of  $D$  if its image under any conformal mapping of  $D$  consists of a single point. A weak boundary component has been introduced by Grötzsch [2], who called it "vollkommen punktförmig". If  $\Gamma$  is not weak we shall say that it is *unstable* (Sario [5]). We know that the weakness depends merely on the configuration of  $D$  in a neighborhood of  $\Gamma$  (see [4], p. 274).

Let  $E$  be a compact set on the non-negative real axis such that  $0 \in E$ ,  $E \subset [0, 1]$ , and that the component of  $0$  contains no other point. Let  $h(\xi)$  be a real (finite) valued function which is defined on  $E$ , upper semi-continuous, non-negative, and such that  $h(0) = 0$ . For any  $\xi \in E$ , let

$$S_{\xi, h} = \{z; \operatorname{Re} z = \xi, |\operatorname{Im} z| \leq h(\xi)\}.$$

Then  $D_{E, h} = \{z; |z| \leq \infty\} - \bigcup_{\xi \in E} S_{\xi, h}$  is a domain and  $\Gamma_{E, h} = \{0\}$  is its boundary component consisting of a single point.

It would be useful to give convenient condition on  $E$  and  $h(\xi)$  to determine when  $\Gamma_{E, h}$  is weak or unstable.

2. We remark first that the following "comparison theorem" would enlarge the range of applicability of criteria given in the sequel: *If  $\Gamma_{E, h_1}$  is weak and*

$$\overline{\lim}_{\xi \in E, \xi \rightarrow 0} \frac{h_2(\xi)}{h_1(\xi)} < \infty$$

*then  $\Gamma_{E, h_2}$  is also weak.* The proof is immediate from the local property and the quasi-conformal invariance of weakness (see [4], p. 274).

3. The former author has shown that, if  $E = \{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  ( $a_n > a_{n+1} > 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ ) and  $h(\xi) \leq c\xi$  ( $c > 0$ ), then  $\Gamma_{E, h}$  is weak (see [1]). It is generalized as follows (cf. the comparison theorem):

---

Received December 15, 1960.

THEOREM 1. If  $D_{E,h}$  and  $\Gamma_{E,h}$  are given by the restriction onto  $E$  of a function  $h(\xi)$  defined on  $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$  such that

(i)  $\sqrt{\xi^2 + h(\xi)^2}$  is a non-decreasing function of  $\xi$  with the derivative (existing almost every where) bounded away from zero,

$$(ii) \quad \int_{[0,1]-E} \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + h(\xi)^2}} = \infty,$$

then  $\Gamma_{E,h}$  is a weak boundary component.

*Proof.* Take  $b > 0$  such that  $\{z; b \leq |z| \leq \infty\} \subset D_{E,h}$ . Let  $\{\gamma\}$  be the family of all the closed rectifiable curves in  $D_{E,h} \cap \{z; |z| < b\}$  separating  $\Gamma_{E,h}$  from  $|z| = b$ . It has been shown by Jurchescu [3] that  $\Gamma_{E,h}$  is weak if and only if the extremal length  $\lambda\{\gamma\}$  of the family  $\{\gamma\}$  vanishes (see also [4], Theorems 2, 3).

For a  $\xi \in [0, 1] - E$ , let  $\gamma'_\xi$  be the union of  $\gamma'_\xi = \{z; \operatorname{Re} z = \xi, |\operatorname{Im} z| \leq h(\xi)\}$  and  $\gamma''_\xi = \{z; |z|^2 = \xi^2 + h(\xi)^2, \arctan(h(\xi)/\xi) \leq \arg z \leq \pi\}$ . Evidently  $\{\gamma'_\xi\} = \{\gamma'_\xi; \xi \in [0, 1] - E\}$  is contained in  $\{\gamma\}$  and, therefore, it is sufficient to show that  $\lambda\{\gamma'_\xi\} = 0$ . On making use of usual notations, we have

$$L_p\{\gamma'_\xi\}^2 \leq \left(\int_{\gamma'_\xi} \rho ds\right)^2 \leq \left(\int_{\gamma'_\xi} ds\right) \left(\int_{\gamma'_\xi} \rho^2 ds\right) \leq 2\pi\sqrt{\xi^2 + h(\xi)^2} \left(\int_{\gamma'_\xi} \rho^2 dy + \int_{\gamma''_\xi} \rho^2 r d\theta\right).$$

where  $r = \sqrt{\xi^2 + h(\xi)^2}$ . Divide it by  $r$  and integrate it with respect to  $\xi$  over  $[0, 1] - E$ . Since it is assumed that  $dr/d\xi \geq \alpha > 0$ , we have, on putting  $\Delta' = \cup_\xi \gamma'_\xi$  and  $\Delta'' = \cup_\xi \gamma''_\xi$ , that

$$L_p\{\gamma'_\xi\}^2 \int_{[0,1]-E} \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + h(\xi)^2}} \leq 2\pi \iint_{\Delta'} \rho^2 dx dy + \frac{2\pi}{\alpha} \iint_{\Delta''} \rho^2 r dr d\theta \leq \text{const} \iint_D \rho^2 dx dy.$$

Therefore,  $L_p\{\gamma'_\xi\}^2 = 0$  for any square integrable  $\rho$ , i.e.,  $\lambda\{\gamma'_\xi\} = 0$ .

4. A result of the former author [1] saying that  $\Gamma_{E,h}$  is unstable when  $E = \{1/n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $h(\xi) = \xi^p$  ( $0 < p < 1$ ) will be contained in the following:

THEOREM 2. If  $D_{E,h}$  and  $\Gamma_{E,h}$  are given by the restriction onto  $E$  of a function  $h(\xi)$  defined on  $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$  such that

(i) monotone non-decreasing

(ii) there exists a constant  $K$  such that, for any  $\xi \in E - \{1\}$ , it is possible to find a  $\xi' \in E$  with  $\xi < \xi'$  and  $h(\xi') \leq Kh(\xi)$ .

$$(iii) \quad \int_{[0,1]-E} \frac{d\xi}{h(\xi)} < \infty,$$

then  $\Gamma_{E,h}$  is an unstable boundary component.

That the condition (ii) cannot be omitted for the case  $\int_0^1 d\xi/h < \infty$  is seen from an easily constructed example. Whether or not we can omit it for the case  $\int_0^1 d\xi/h = \infty$  is not clear, however, we can do so for  $h(\xi) = \xi^p (p \geq 1)$  as follows:

**COROLLARY.** *If  $h(\xi) = \xi^p (p \geq 1)$ , then  $\Gamma_{E,h}$  is an unstable boundary component of  $D_{E,h}$  provided*

$$\int_{[0,1]-E} \frac{d\xi}{\xi^p} < \infty.$$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* We shall apply the following criterion due to Grötzsch ([2]; see also [4], Theorem 3): Take  $b$  such that  $\{z; b \leq |z| \leq \infty\} \subset D_{E,h}$ ;  $\Gamma_{E,h}$  is unstable if and only if there exists a finite number  $M$  such that  $\sum_{v=1}^k \text{mod } A_v \leq M$  holds for any finite set  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k\}$  of doubly connected domains  $A_v$  with the following conditions:

- (1)  $A_v \subset D_{E,h} \cap \{z; |z| < b\}$ ,
- (2)  $A_v$  separates  $\Gamma_{E,h}$  from  $|z| = b$ ,
- (3)  $A_v$  separates  $A_{v-1}$  from  $A_{v+1}$ .

On looking over the argument in [4] we understand that the result is true if every  $A_v$  is so restricted that the boundary consists of closed analytic curves.

Let  $(0, b) - E = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ , where  $I_n = (\xi_n, \xi'_n)$  are mutually disjoint open intervals. Consider the quadrilaterals  $Q_n = \{z; \text{Re } z \in I_n, |\text{Im } z| \leq h(\xi_n)\}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). By the condition (2) every  $A_v$  passes through a  $Q_n$  vertically, i.e., every closed arc in  $A_v$  separating its boundary components contains a subarc connecting in  $Q_n \cap A_v$  the top and the bottom sides of  $Q_n$ . There may be more than one  $Q_n$ 's; we then take the  $Q_n$  corresponding to the left most  $I_n$  (remember that the boundary of  $A_v$  consists of analytic curves). For a  $Q_n$ , consider all the  $A_v$ 's with the above property. Then, by the condition (3), the sum of their moduli does not exceed  $2\pi/\lambda\{\gamma\}_n$ , where  $\{\gamma\}_n$  is the family of all the closed curves in  $D_{E,h} \cap \{z; |z| < b\}$  which separate  $\Gamma_{E,h}$  from  $|z| = b$  and pass through  $Q_n$  vertically.

We thus have a grouping of the set  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k\}$  in terms  $Q_n$ . Since an  $A_v$  does not appear in different groups,  $\sum_{v=1}^k \text{mod } A_v \leq 2\pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/\lambda\{\gamma\}_n$ .

Evidently  $\lambda\langle\gamma\rangle_n$  is not less than mod  $Q_n$ , the "vertical" modulus of the quadrilateral  $Q_n$ , which is equal to  $h(\xi_n)/(\xi'_n - \xi_n)$ . We conclude, on using the condition (ii) that

$$\sum_{v=1}^k \text{mod } A_v \leq 2\pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\xi'_n - \xi_n}{h(\xi_n)} \leq 2\pi K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\xi'_n - \xi_n}{h(\xi'_n)} \leq 2\pi K \int_{[0, b] - E} \frac{d\xi}{h(\xi)} < \infty$$

and that  $\Gamma_{E, h}$  is unstable.

*Proof of Corollary.* Since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\xi_n}{\xi'_n}\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\xi_n}^{\xi'_n} \frac{d\xi}{\xi^p} = \int_{[0, b] - E} \frac{d\xi}{\xi^p} < \infty,$$

$(\xi'_n)^p/(\xi_n)^p$  is bounded. This fact plays the role of (ii) in the above proof.

5. In a paper of the latter author, we proved the following ([4], Theorem 8):

*Consider in particular*  $E = \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [u_n, u'_n]$ , where  $0 < u_n < u'_n < u_{n-1} < 1$  ( $n = 2, 3, \dots$ ) and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = 0$ . Then, under the assumption that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (u_n/u'_{n+1}) = 1$  and  $u_n/u_{n+1} \geq 1 + \delta > 1$ , the  $\Gamma_{E, h}$  for  $h \equiv 0$  is weak if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\log \frac{u'_{n+1}}{u_n - u'_{n+1}}} = \infty.$$

Concerning such an  $E$ , Theorem 1 is merely saying that  $\Gamma_{E, h}$  for  $h \equiv 0$  is weak if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ((u_n/u'_{n+1}) - 1) = \infty$ . Theorem 2 is not applicable to the case where  $h \equiv 0$ . We see that there is a wide room into which our Theorems 1 and 2 should be extended.

6. Our theorems, however, may be extended into a different direction. To show this, we first introduce the notations

$$S(\xi, \theta, c) = \{z; |z + c| = \xi + c, |\arg z| \leq \theta\} \quad (0 \leq c < \infty, 0 \leq \theta < \pi, 0 < \xi)$$

and

$$S(\xi, \theta, \infty) = \{z; \text{Re } z = \xi, |\text{Im } z| \leq \xi \tan \theta\} \quad (0 \leq \theta < \pi/2, 0 < \xi).$$

Let  $E$  be, as before, a compact set on the non-negative real axis such that  $0 \in E$ ,  $E \subset [0, 1]$ , and that the component of 0 contains no other point. Let  $\theta(\xi)$  and  $c(\xi)$  be functions which are defined on  $E$  and satisfy the following conditions:  $\theta(\xi)$  is upper semi-continuous and is such that  $0 \leq \theta(\xi) < \pi$ ;  $c(\xi)$

is continuous, non-decreasing, and is such that  $0 \leq c(\xi) \leq \infty$ . Suppose further that  $\theta(\xi) < \pi/2$  whenever  $c(\xi) = \infty$ , and that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim}_{\xi \in E, \xi \rightarrow 0} \theta(\xi) &\leq \frac{\pi}{2} && \text{if } 0 < \lim_{\xi \in E, \xi \rightarrow 0} c(\xi) < \infty, \\ \overline{\lim}_{\xi \in E, \xi \rightarrow 0} \xi \tan \theta(\xi) &= 0 && \text{if } c(\xi) \equiv \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$D(E, \theta, c) = \{z; 0 < |z| \leq \infty\} - \bigcup_{\xi \in E - \{0\}} S(\xi, \theta(\xi), c(\xi))$$

is a domain and  $\Gamma(E, \theta, c) = \{0\}$  is its boundary component. The domain  $D_{E, h}$  discussed in the previous sections is the  $D(E, \theta, c)$  for  $\theta(\xi) = \arctan(h(\xi)/\xi)$  and  $c(\xi) \equiv \infty$ .

**THEOREM 1'.** *Suppose that  $D(E, \theta, c)$  and  $\Gamma(E, \theta, c)$  described above are given by restrictions onto  $E$  of  $\theta(\xi)$  and  $c(\xi)$  defined on  $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$ , where  $c(\xi)$  is non-decreasing on  $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$ . If either*

(I)  $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} c(\xi) = 0$  and

$$\int_{[0,1]-E} \frac{d\xi}{\xi + c(\xi)} = \infty$$

or

(II) *the distance  $r(\xi)$  between 0 and the endpoints of  $S(\xi, \theta(\xi), c(\xi))$  is a non-decreasing function of  $\xi$  with the derivative bounded away from zero and*

$$\int_{[0,1]-E} \frac{d\xi}{r(\xi)} = \infty,$$

then  $\Gamma(E, \theta, c)$  is weak.

*Proof.* If (I) is assumed, we may suppose without loss of generality that  $c(\xi)$  is finite. The weakness of  $\Gamma(E, \xi, c)$  follows from the vanishing of the extremal length of the family  $\{\gamma_\xi; \xi \in [0, 1] - E\}$ , where  $\gamma_\xi = \{z; |z + c(\xi)| = \xi + c(\xi)\}$ . Under the supposition of (II), we similarly consider  $\{\gamma'_\xi \cup \gamma''_\xi; \xi \in [0, 1] - E\}$ , where  $\gamma'_\xi = S(\xi, \theta(\xi), c(\xi))$  and  $\gamma''_\xi = \{z; |z| = r(\xi), \theta(\xi) \leq |\arg z| \leq \pi\}$ . The proof in detail will be omitted since it is completely analogous to that of Theorem 1.

**THEOREM 2'.** *Suppose that  $D(E, \theta, c)$  and  $\Gamma(E, \theta, c)$  described above are given by restrictions onto  $E$  of  $\theta(\xi)$  and  $c(\xi)$  defined on  $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$ , where  $c(\xi)$  is non-decreasing on  $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$ . If*

(i) the length  $l(\xi)$  of  $S(\xi, \theta(\xi), c(\xi))$  is a non-decreasing function of  $\xi$  provided that  $c(\xi) \equiv \infty$ , and  $l(\xi)/(\xi + c(\xi))$  is non-decreasing otherwise,

(ii) there exists a constant  $K$  such that, for any  $\xi \in E - \{1\}$ , it is possible to find a  $\xi' \in E$  with  $\xi < \xi'$  and  $l(\xi') \leq Kl(\xi)$ ,

(iii)

$$\int_{[0,1]-E} \frac{d(\xi + c(\xi))}{l(\xi)} < \infty,$$

where it is regarded that  $dc(\xi) \equiv 0$  on the interval on which  $c(\xi) \equiv \infty$ , then  $\Gamma(E, \theta, c)$  is unstable.

*Proof* is completely similar to that of Theorem 2. We shall just indicate the estimation of the modulus of the quadrilateral  $Q$  defined by the domain bounded by  $C_{\xi} = \{z; |z + c(\xi)| = \xi + c(\xi)\}$ ,  $C_{\xi'} = \{z; |z + c(\xi')| = \xi' + c(\xi')\}$ ,  $\{z; \arg z = l(\xi)/(\xi + c(\xi))\}$ , and  $\{z; \arg z = -l(\xi)/(\xi + c(\xi))\}$  where  $\xi < \xi'$  and  $c(\xi') < \infty$ . Map the interior of  $C_{\xi}$  onto  $|\zeta| < 1$  by a linear transformation which maps  $C_{\xi}$  onto the circle  $|\zeta| = a < 1$ . An elementary estimation of non-euclidean quantities shows that  $a > (\xi + c(\xi))/(\xi' + c(\xi'))$  and that the image of  $Q$  contains  $\{\zeta; 1/a < |\zeta| < 1, |\arg \zeta| < l(\xi)/(\xi + c(\xi))\}$ . We conclude that

$$\text{mod } Q \leq \frac{\xi + c(\xi)}{l(\xi)} \log \frac{\xi' + c(\xi')}{\xi + c(\xi)} \leq \frac{\xi' - \xi + c(\xi') - c(\xi)}{l(\xi)} \leq K \int_{\xi}^{\xi'} \frac{d(\xi + c(\xi))}{l(\xi)}$$

#### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] Akaza, T., On the weakness of some boundary components. Nagoya Math. J., **17** (1960), 219-223.
- [ 2 ] Grötzsch, H., Eine Bemerkung zum Koebeschen Kreisnormierungsprinzip. Ber. Verh. Sächs. Akad. Wiss. Leipzig. Math.-Nat. Kl., **87** (1935), 319-324.
- [ 3 ] Jurchescu, M., Modulus of a boundary component. Pacific J. Math., **8** (1958), 791-809.
- [ 4 ] Oikawa, K., On the stability of boundary components. Ibid., **10** (1960), 263-294.
- [ 5 ] Sario, L., Strong and weak boundary components. J. Anal. Math. **5** (1956/57), 389-398.

*Kanazawa University, Nagoya University  
and Hiroshima University*