## CYCLES ON ALGEBRAIC VARIETIES

## HISASI MORIKAWA

In the present note, applying the theory of harmonic integrals, we shall show some results on cycles on algebraic varieties and give a new birational invariant.

NOTATIONS :

V: a non-singular algebraic variety of (complex) dimension n in a projective space,

 $\mathbf{V}_1(\mathbf{V}_2)$ : the first (second) component of  $\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{V}$ ,

 $\delta(\mathbf{V})$ : the diagonal sub-manifold of  $\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{V}$ ,

 $W_r$ : a generic hyper-plane section of (complex) dimension r of V,

Q, R, C: the fields of rational, real, complex numbers respectively,

 $H_r(\mathbf{V}, Q), H_r(\mathbf{V}, R), H_r(\mathbf{V}, C)$ : the r-th homology groups of **V** over Q, Rand C respectively,

 $H^{r}(\mathbf{V}, Q), H^{r}(\mathbf{V}, R), H^{r}(\mathbf{V}, C)$ : the *r*-th cohomology groups of **V** over Q, R, C respectively,

 $H_{p,q}(\mathbf{V}, *)$ : the subgroup of  $H_{p+q}(\mathbf{V}, *)$  consisting of all the classes of type (p, q),

 $H^{p,q}(\mathbf{V}, *)$ : the subgroup of  $H^{p+q}(\mathbf{V}, *)$  consisting all the classes of type (p, q),

 $\mathfrak{H}_{r}(\mathbf{V}, Q)$ : the subgroup of  $H_{2r}(\mathbf{V}, Q)$  consisting of all the classes containing algebraic cycles,

 $B_r$ : the degree of  $H_r(\mathbf{V}, Q)$ ,

 $\{\Gamma_r^1,\ldots,\Gamma_r^{B_r}\}$ : a base of  $H_r(\mathbf{V}_1, Q)$ ,

 $\{\mathcal{A}_{r}^{1},\ldots,\mathcal{A}_{r}^{R_{r}}\}: \text{ the base of } H_{r}(\mathbf{V}_{2}, Q) \text{ corresponding to } \{\Gamma_{r}^{1},\ldots,\Gamma_{r}^{R_{r}}\}, \\ \{\Gamma_{r}^{1+},\ldots,\Gamma_{r}^{R_{r}+}\}: \text{ the base of } H_{2n-r}(\mathbf{V}_{1}, Q) \text{ such that } I(\Gamma_{r}^{i}\Gamma_{r}^{j+}) = \delta_{ij} i, j$ 

 $=1, 2, \ldots, B_r,$ 

 $\{\mathcal{A}_{r}^{1+},\ldots,\mathcal{A}_{r}^{B_{r}+}\}$ : the base of  $H_{2n-r}(\mathbf{V}_{2},Q)$  corresponding to  $\{\Gamma_{r}^{1+},\ldots,\Gamma_{r}^{B_{r}+}\},$ 

 $\alpha_X, \alpha_Y^{1\times 2}, \alpha_Z^1, \alpha_U^2$ : the harmonic forms on **V**, **V** × **V**, **V**<sub>1</sub>, **V**<sub>2</sub> corresponding Received June 15, 1955. to cycles X, Y, Z, U on V,  $V \times V$ ,  $V_1$ ,  $V_2$  by means of Hodge's theorem respectively,

 $\mathfrak{Q}^{(p,q)}$ : the period matrix of harmonic forms of type (p, q) on  $\mathbf{V}_1$  with period cycles  $\Gamma_r^1, \ldots, \Gamma_r^{B_r}$  such that  $p+q=r \leq n, p \leq q$ ,

 $\mathcal{Q}^{(n-q, n-p)}$ : the period matrix of harmonic forms of type (n-q, n-p) with period cycles  $\Gamma_r^{1+}, \ldots, \Gamma_r^{B_r+}$  such that  $p+q=r, p \leq q$ .

$$< \alpha, X > = \int_X \alpha,$$
  
 $< \alpha, \beta >_M = \int_M \alpha \wedge \beta,$ 

 $Z \approx 0$ : Z is homologous zero over Q.

 $\delta(\Gamma)$ : the cycle on  $\delta(\mathbf{V})$  corresponding by the natural correspondence to a cycle  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathbf{V}$ ,

 $\delta_1^{-1}(X)$ : a cycle on  $V_1$  corresponding by the natural correspondence to a cycle X on  $\delta(V)$ ,

 $(A)_{\alpha\beta}=(a_{ij})_{\alpha\beta}=a_{\alpha\beta},$ 

 $I(X \cdot Y; \delta(\mathbf{V}))$ : Kronecker index of the intersection of cycles X, Y of  $\delta(\mathbf{V})$  along to  $\delta(\mathbf{V})$ .

LEMMA 1. Let C be a cycle of dimension 2r. Then

$${}^{t}(I(C \times \mathcal{A}_{r}^{i+} \delta(\Gamma_{r}^{j+})) = (I(C\Gamma_{r}^{i+}\Gamma_{r}^{j+})).$$

*Proof.* By virtue of intersection theory,<sup>1)</sup>

$$\delta(\Gamma_r^{j+}) \approx \sum_{q=0}^r \sum_{\mu,\nu} \lambda_{\mu\nu}^q(\Gamma_r^{j+}) \Gamma_{q-r}^{\mu} \times \mathcal{A}_{2n-q}^{\nu},$$

where

$${}^{t}\lambda^{q}(\Gamma_{r}^{j+}) = (-1)^{(2n-q)r} (I(\Gamma_{q}^{\mu}\Gamma_{q}^{\nu+}))^{-1} (I(\Gamma_{r}^{j+}\Gamma_{q}^{\mu}\Gamma_{2n+r-q}^{\nu})) (I(\Gamma_{q-r}^{\mu}\Gamma_{q-r}^{\nu+}))^{-1}.$$

Since

$${}^{t}\lambda^{2n-r}(\Gamma_{r}^{j+}) = (-1)^{r}(I(\Gamma_{r}^{\mu+}\Gamma_{r}^{\nu}))^{-1}(I(\Gamma_{r}^{j+}\Gamma_{2n-r}^{\mu}\Gamma_{2r}^{\nu})(I(\Gamma_{2n-2r}^{\mu}\Gamma_{2n-2r}^{\nu+}))^{-1}.$$

we have

$$I(C \times \mathcal{A}_{r}^{i+} \cdot \delta(\Gamma_{r}^{j+})) = I(C \times \mathcal{A}_{r}^{i+} \cdot \sum_{q=0}^{r} \sum_{\mu,\nu} \lambda_{\mu\nu}^{q} (\Gamma_{r}^{j+}) \Gamma_{q-r}^{\mu} \times \mathcal{A}_{2n-q}^{\nu})$$
$$= \sum_{\mu,\nu} \lambda_{\mu,\nu}^{2n-r} (\Gamma_{r}^{j+}) I(C\Gamma_{2n-2r}^{\mu}) I(\Gamma_{r}^{i+} \mathcal{A}_{r}^{\nu})$$

<sup>1)</sup> See S. Lefschetz, Topoloyg (New York), 1930.

CYCLES ON ALGEBRAIC VARIETIES

$$= (-1)^{r} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} I(C\Gamma_{2n-2r}^{\alpha}) {}^{t} (I(\Gamma_{2n-2r}^{\mu}\Gamma_{2n-2r}^{\nu+})^{-1} \\ {}^{t} (I(\Gamma_{r}^{j+}\Gamma_{2n-r}^{\mu}\Gamma_{2r}^{\nu}))^{t} (I(\Gamma_{r}^{\mu+}\Gamma_{r}^{\nu}))^{-1} {}_{\alpha,\beta} I(\Gamma_{r}^{i+}\Gamma_{r}^{\beta}) \\ = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} I(C\Gamma_{2n-2r}^{\alpha}) {}^{t} (I(\Gamma_{2n-2r}^{\mu+}\Gamma_{2n-2r}^{\nu}))^{-1} \\ (I(\Gamma_{r}^{j+}\Gamma_{2r}^{\mu}\Gamma_{2n-r}^{\nu})(I(\Gamma_{r}^{\mu}\Gamma_{r}^{\nu+}))^{-1} {}_{\alpha,\beta} I(\Gamma_{r}^{\beta}\Gamma_{r}^{i+}) \\ = I(\Gamma_{r}^{j+}C\Gamma_{r}^{i+}) \\ = I(C\Gamma_{r}^{j+}\Gamma_{r}^{i+}).$$

This proves our lemma.

LEMMA 2. If a cycle X of dimension r on  $\delta(\mathbf{V})$  is not homologous to zero over Q on  $\delta(\mathbf{V})$ . Then it is not homologous to zero over Q on  $\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{V}$ , too.

*Proof.* Let  $\{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{B_r}\}$  be a base of harmonic forms of degree r on  $V_1$ . Then they can be considered as harmonic forms on  $V \times V$  and on  $\delta(V)$  and they are linearly independent on  $V \times V$  and on  $\delta(V)$ . Therefore, by d'Rham's theorem our assertion is ture.

LEMMA 3. Let C be a cycle of dimension 2r. Then

$$C \times \mathcal{A}_{r}^{j+} \cdot \delta(\mathbf{V}) \approx \sum_{k} I(C \times \mathcal{A}_{r}^{j+} \cdot \delta(\Gamma_{r}^{k+})) \cdot \delta(\Gamma_{r}^{k}).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2  $H(\delta(\mathbf{V}), C)$  is inbedded in  $H(\mathbf{V}, C)$ . Hence  $I((C \times \Delta_r^{j_+} \cdot \delta(\mathbf{V})) \delta(\Gamma_r^{k_+}); \delta(\mathbf{V}) = I(C \times \Delta_r^{j_+} \cdot \delta(\Gamma_r^{k_+}))$ . Therefore

$$C \times \mathcal{A}_{r}^{j+} \delta(\mathbf{V}) \approx \sum_{k} I(C \times \mathcal{A}_{r}^{j+} \delta(\Gamma_{r}^{k+})) \, \delta(\Gamma_{r}^{k}).$$

PROPOSITION 1. Let C be a cycle of type  $(r \mp s, r \pm s)$  with complex coefficients. Then

$$\Lambda(C) \, \mathcal{Q}^{(n-q\pm s,\,n-p\mp s)} = \mathcal{Q}^{(p,\,q)}(I(C\Gamma_r^{i+}\Gamma_r^{j+})),$$

with a matrix  $\Lambda(C)$ , where p+q=r < n.

*Proof.* Let  $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l\}$  be a minimum base of harmonic forms of type (p, q) on  $\mathbf{V}_1$ . We denote by the same notations  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l$  the harmonic forms on  $\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{V}$  induced by  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l$ . Then we have

$$( < \alpha_i, \ \delta_1^{-1}(C \times \mathcal{A}_r^{j+} \cdot \delta(\mathbf{V})) > )$$
  
=  $( < \alpha_i, \ C \times \mathcal{A}_r^{j+} \delta(\mathbf{V}) > )$   
=  $( < \alpha_i, \ \sum_k I(C \times \mathcal{A}_r^{j+} \cdot \delta(\Gamma_r^{k+})) \delta(\Gamma_r^k) > )$   
=  $( < \alpha_i, \ \sum_k I(C \times \mathcal{A}_r^{j+} \delta(\Gamma_r^{k+})) \Gamma_r^k > )$   
=  $( < \alpha_i, \ \Gamma_r^{j} > )^t (I(C \times \mathcal{A}_r^{j+} \delta(\Gamma_r^{k+})))$   
=  $\mathcal{Q}^{(p,q)}(I(C\Gamma_r^{j+}\Gamma_r^{j+})).$ 

On the other hand

$$( < \alpha_i, \ C \times \Delta_r^{j+} \delta(\mathbf{V}) > )$$
  
=  $( < \alpha_i, \ \alpha_{C \times \Delta_r^{j+} \delta(\mathbf{V})} >_{V \times V} )$   
=  $( < \alpha_i, \ \alpha_C^1 \wedge \alpha_{\Delta_r^{j+}}^{2j+} \wedge \alpha_{\delta(\mathbf{V})}^{1\times 2} >_{V \times V} )$   
=  $( < \alpha_i \wedge \alpha_C^1 \wedge \alpha_{\delta(\mathbf{V})}^{1\times 2}, \ \alpha_{\Delta_r^{j+}}^{2j+} >_{V \times V} )$   
=  $( < \int_C \alpha_i \wedge \alpha_{\delta(\mathbf{V})}^{1\times 2}, \ \Delta_r^{j+} > ).$ 

The type of the form

$$\int_{C} \alpha_i \wedge \alpha_{\delta(\mathbf{V})}^{\mathbf{1} \times \mathbf{2}}$$

is  $(p, q) + (n, n) - (r \mp s, r \pm s) = (n - q \pm s, n - p \mp s)$ .

Hence

$$(\langle \alpha_i, C \times \mathcal{A}_r^{j+} \delta(\mathbf{V}) \rangle) = \mathcal{A}(C) \mathcal{Q}^{(n-q\pm s, np-\mp s)}$$

with a matrix  $\Lambda(C)$ . Therefore

$$\mathcal{Q}^{(p,q)}(I(C\Gamma_r^{i+}\Gamma_r^{j+})) = \Lambda(C) \mathcal{Q}^{(n-q\pm s, n-p\mp s)}.$$

LEMMA 4. Let  $r \leq n$ . Then  $(I(\mathbf{W}_r \Gamma_r^{i+} \Gamma_r^{j+}))$  is non-singular.

*Proof.* Since  $\{\Gamma_r^{1+}, \ldots, \Gamma_r^{B_r+}\}$  is a base of  $H_{2n-r}(\mathbf{V}, Q)$ , by virtue of theory of harmonic integral on a Hodge variety,<sup>2)</sup>  $\{\mathbf{W}_r \Gamma_r^{1+}, \ldots, \mathbf{W}_r \Gamma_r^{B_r+}\}$  is a base of  $H_r(\mathbf{V}, Q)$ . Hence  $(I(\mathbf{W}_r \Gamma_r^{i+} \Gamma_r^{j+}))$  is non-singular.

THEOREM 1. Let  $r \leq n$ . Let C be a cycle of type (r, r). Then

where

$$\mathcal{Q}^{(r)} = \begin{cases}
\begin{pmatrix}
\mathcal{Q}^{(r,0)} \\
\mathcal{Q}^{(r-2,2)} \\
\vdots \\
\mathcal{Q}^{(1,r-1)}
\end{pmatrix} & for \ odd \ r, \\
\begin{pmatrix}
\mathcal{Q}^{(r,0)} \\
\mathcal{Q}^{(r-1,1)} \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
\mathcal{Q}_{(r/2,r/2)}
\end{pmatrix} & for \ even \ r.
\end{cases}$$

 $^{2)}$  See J. Igusa, On Picard varieties  $II, \, 6, \,$  Proposition 3 American Journal, 74, 1-22 (1952).

This is an immediate consequence from Proposition 1.

THEOREM 2. Let r be an odd integer less than n. Let  $\{s_1, \ldots, s_l\}$  be a base of the module of rational matrices  $S = (s_{ij})$  such that

$$\sum_{i, j} s_{ij} \Gamma_r^{i+} \Gamma_r^{j+} \approx 0.$$

Let  $K_{2r}(\mathbf{V}, Q)$  be the sub-module of  $H_{2r}(\mathbf{V}, Q)$  consisting of Z such that  $I(Z\Gamma_r^{i+}\Gamma_r^{j+}) = 0$  i,  $j = 1, 2, ..., B_r$ . Then there exists an isomorphism from

$$H_{r,r}(\mathbf{V}, Q)/H_{r,r}(\mathbf{V}, Q) \cap K_{2r}(\mathbf{V}, Q)$$

onto the module of rational matrices M satisfying

i) 
$$\Omega^{(r)}M = \Lambda \Omega^{(r)}$$
 with a matrix  $\Lambda$ ,

where

$$\mathcal{Q}^{(r)} = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{Q}^{(r,0)} \\ \mathcal{Q}^{(r-2,2)} \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{Q}^{(1,r-1)} \end{pmatrix} & for \ odd \ r, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{Q}^{(r,0)} \\ \mathcal{Q}^{(r-1,1)} \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{Q}_{(r/2,r/2)} \end{pmatrix} & for \ even \ r. \end{cases}$$

*ii*)  $S_{\nu}S_{\nu}M(I(\mathbf{W}_{r}\Gamma_{r}^{i+}\Gamma_{r}^{j+})) = 0 \quad \nu = 1, 2, \ldots, l.$ 

*Proof.* Let  $D_1, \ldots, D_m$  be independent generators of  $H_{r,r}(\mathbf{V}, Q)/H_{r,r}(\mathbf{V}, Q)$  $\cap K_{2r}(\mathbf{V}, Q)$ . Let  $\varphi$  be the linear mapping such that

$$\varphi(\sum_{k} a_k \mathbf{D}_k) = \sum_{k} a_k (I(\mathbf{D}_k \Gamma_r^{i+} \Gamma_r^{j+})) (I(\mathbf{W}_r \Gamma_r^{i+} \Gamma_r^{j+}))^{+}$$

Then, by virtue of Theorem 1,

$$\mathcal{Q}^{(r)}\varphi(\sum_{k}a_{k}\mathbf{D}_{k})=\mathcal{A}\mathcal{Q}^{(r)}$$

with a matrix  $\Lambda$ .

On the other hand we get

$$S_{\mathcal{D}}S_{\nu}\varphi(\sum_{k}a_{k}\mathbf{D}_{k})(I(\mathbf{W}_{r}\Gamma_{r}^{i+}\Gamma_{r}^{j+})) = S_{\mathcal{D}}S_{\nu}(I(\sum_{k}a_{k}\mathbf{D}_{k}\Gamma_{r}^{i+}\Gamma_{r}^{j+}))$$
$$= \sum_{k}a_{k}I(\mathbf{D}_{k}\sum_{i,j}s_{ij}^{(\nu)}\Gamma_{r}^{i+}\Gamma_{r}^{j+}) = 0 \qquad \nu = 1, 2, \dots, l.$$

Conversely we assume that a rational matrix M satisfies the condition i),

ii). From ii) it follows that there exists a cycle with rational coefficients C such that

$$(I(C\Gamma_r^{i+}\Gamma_r^{j+})) = M(I(\mathbf{W}_r\Gamma_r^{i+}\Gamma_r^{j+})).$$

We assume that C is not homologous to a cycle of type (r, r) modulo  $K_{2r}(\mathbf{V}, Q)$ . We put  $\alpha_c = \alpha_{c_0} + (\alpha_{c_1} + \alpha_{c'_1}) + \ldots + (\alpha_{c_r} + \alpha_{c'_r})$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{C_{\nu}} & \text{ is of type } (r-\nu, r+\nu) \quad \nu=0, 1, \ldots, r, \\ \alpha_{C'_{\mu}} & \text{ is of type } (r+\nu, r-\nu) \quad \mu=1, 2, \ldots, r \end{aligned}$$

and  $C_{\nu}$ ,  $C'_{\mu}$  are cycles with complex coefficients corresponding to harmonic forms  $\alpha_{c_{\nu}}$ ,  $\alpha_{c'_{\mu}}$  by means of Hodge's theorem respectively. Then, since C is real, necessalily we get  $\alpha_{c'_{\nu}} = \overline{\alpha_{c_{\nu}}}$ . By virtue of the assumption on C, there exists  $\nu_0$  such that

$$(I((C_{\nu_0} + C'_{\nu_0})\Gamma_r^{i+}\Gamma_r^{j+})) \neq 0.$$

On the other hand from Proposition 1, putting

 $T(C_{\nu}+C_{\nu}') \mathcal{Q}^{(r)} = \mathcal{Q}^{(r)} (I((C_{\nu}+C_{\nu}') \Gamma_{r}^{i+} \Gamma_{r}^{j+})) (I(\mathbf{W}_{r} \Gamma_{r}^{i+} \Gamma_{r}^{j+}))^{-1},$ 

we have that for any *i*, *j* at most one *i*, *j*-element of  $T(C_0)$ ,  $T(C_1 + C'_1)$ , ...,  $T(C_r + C'_r)$  does not vanish. From  $(I((C_{\nu_0} + C'_{\nu_0}(\Gamma_r^{i_+}\Gamma_r^{j_+})) \neq 0 \text{ we see that } T(C_{\nu_0} + C'_{\nu_0}) \neq 0$ . By virtue of Proposition 1  $T(C_{\nu_0} + C'_{\nu_0})$  varies of the type of integrants. This is a contradiction to our assumption. Therefore our theorem is proved.

THEOREM 3. Let  $\{S_1, \ldots, S_l\}$  be a base of the module of rational matrices  $S = (s_{ij})$  such that

$$\sum_{i,j} s_{ij} \Gamma_1^{i+} \Gamma_1^{j+} \approx 0.$$

Let  $K_{2n-2}^*(\mathbf{V}, Q)$  be the sub-module of  $H_{2n-2}(\mathbf{V}, Q)$  consisting of Z such that  $I(\mathbf{W}_2 Z \Gamma_1^{i+} \Gamma_1^{j+}) = 0$  i,  $j = 1, 2, ..., B_1$ .

Then there exists an isomorphism from

 $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}(\mathbf{V}, Q)/\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}(\mathbf{V}, Q) \cap K^*_{2n-2}(\mathbf{V}, Q).$ 

onto the module of rational matrices M satisfying

i) 
$$\Lambda \mathcal{Q}^{(1,0)} = \mathcal{Q}^{(1,0)} M$$
 with a matrix  $\wedge$ 

ii)  $S_{\rho}S_{\nu}M(I(\mathbf{W}_{1}\Gamma_{1}^{i^{+}}\Gamma_{1}^{j^{+}}))=0, \quad \nu=1, 2, \ldots, l.$ 

*Proof.* Let  $D_1, \ldots, D_m$  be independent generators of  $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}(\mathbf{V}, Q)$ . Then  $D_1 \mathbf{W}_2, \ldots, D_m \mathbf{W}_2$  are independent generators of  $\mathfrak{H}_1(\mathbf{V}, Q)$ .<sup>3)</sup> On the other hand, by virtue of Lefschetz-Hodge's theorem,<sup>4)</sup>  $H_{1,1}(\mathbf{V}, Q) = \mathfrak{H}_1(\mathbf{V}, Q)$ . Hence if we put

$$\varphi(\sum_{k} a_k \mathbf{D}_k) = \sum_{k} a_k (I(\mathbf{W}_2 \mathbf{D}_k \Gamma_1^{i+} \Gamma_1^{j+})) (I(\mathbf{W}_1 \Gamma_1^{i+} \Gamma_1^{j+}))^4.$$

Then, by the strictly same reason in the proof of Theorem 3,  $\varphi$  gives our isomorphism.

We call the degree of  $\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}(\mathbf{V}, Q)/\mathfrak{H}_{n-1}(\mathbf{V}, Q) \cap K_{2n-2}^*(\mathbf{V}, Q)$  the restricted Picard number of V.

Then we get the following.

## THEOREM 4. Restricted Picard number is a birational invariant.

*Proof.* Let V' be another non-singular algebraic variety, which is equivalent to V by a birational correspondence T. Then T induces isomorphisms from  $H_1(\mathbf{V}, Q)$ ,  $H^{(1,0)}(\mathbf{V}, C)$  onto  $H_1(\mathbf{V}', Q)$ ,  $H^{(1,0)}(\mathbf{V}', C)$  respectively.<sup>5)</sup> We denote by f and  $f^*$  these isomorphisms.

We denote by  $[H^1(\mathbf{V}, C)]$ ,  $[H^1(\mathbf{V}', C)]$  the sub-rings generated by  $H^1(\mathbf{V}, C)$ ,  $H^1(\mathbf{V}', C)$  respectively. Then  $f^*$  induces an isomorphism from  $[H^1(\mathbf{V}', C)]$  onto  $[H^1(\mathbf{V}, C)]$ , for  $f^*$  mapps  $H^1(\mathbf{V}', C)$  onto  $H^1(\mathbf{V}, C)$  and  $f^*$  induces a homomorphism from [H'(V, C)], onto [H'(V', C)].

On the other hand, since

$$\alpha_{\Gamma_1^{i+}} = f^*(\alpha'_{f(\Gamma_1^{i+})})$$

and

$$\alpha'_{f(\Gamma_1^{i+})} = \alpha'_{f(\Gamma_1^{i})+},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\Gamma_{1}^{i+}\Gamma_{1}^{j+}} &= \alpha_{\Gamma_{1}^{i+}} \wedge \alpha_{\Gamma_{1}^{j+}} = f^{*}(\alpha'_{f(\Gamma_{1}^{i+})}) \wedge f^{*}(\alpha'_{f(\Gamma_{1}^{i+})}) \\ &= f^{*}(\alpha'_{f(\Gamma_{1}^{i})}) \wedge f^{*}(\alpha'_{f(\Gamma_{1}^{i})}) \\ &= f^{*}(\alpha'_{f(\Gamma_{1}^{i+})} \wedge \alpha'_{f(\Gamma_{1}^{i+})}) = f^{*}(\alpha'_{f(\Gamma_{1}^{i+})}) \\ \end{aligned}$$

 $<sup>^{3),\,4)}</sup>$  W. V. D. Hodge, The theory and applications of harmonic integrals,  $IV,\,51,\,2$  (London), 1940.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5)</sup> See J. Igusa, On Picard varieties § II, 11, American Journal, 74, 1-22 (1952).

Therefore

$$\sum_{i,j} s_{ij} \alpha'_{f(\Gamma_1^i)} + f(\Gamma_1^j) + = 0$$

if and only if

$$\sum_{i,j} s_{ij} \alpha_{\Gamma_1^{i+}\Gamma_1^{j+}} = 0.$$

This shows that

$$\sum_{i,j} s_{ij} f(\Gamma_1^i)^+ f(\Gamma_1^j)^+ \approx 0$$

if and only if

 $\sum_{i, j} s_{ij} \Gamma_1^{i+} \Gamma_1^{j+} \approx 0.$ 

Let  $\alpha'_1, \ldots, \alpha'_{B_1/2}$  be differentials of the first kind on  $\mathbf{V}'$  such that  $\mathcal{Q}^{(1,0)}$  is the period matrix of  $f^*(\alpha'_1), \ldots, f^*(\alpha'_{B_1/2})$  with period cycles  $\Gamma_1^1, \ldots, \Gamma_1^{B_1}$ . Then the period matrix of  $\alpha'_1, \ldots, \alpha'_{B_1/2}$  with period cycles  $f(\Gamma_1^1), \ldots, f(\Gamma_1^{B_1})$  is also  $\mathcal{Q}^{(1,0)}$ . Therefore, by virtue of Theorem 3, we get

$$\begin{split} & \mathfrak{H}_{n-1}(\mathbf{V}, Q)/K_{2n-2}^*(\mathbf{V}, Q) \wedge \mathfrak{H}_{n-1}(\mathbf{V}, Q) \\ & \cong \mathfrak{H}_{n-1}(\mathbf{V}', Q)/K_{2n-2}^*(\mathbf{V}', Q) \wedge \mathfrak{H}_{n-1}(\mathbf{V}', Q). \end{split}$$

This proves our assertion.

Mathematical Institute, Nagoya University