A NOTE ON EULER NUMBERS AND POLYNOMIALS

L. CARLITZ

1. Euler numbers. Let E_m denote the Euler number in the even suffix notation so that

$$(1.1) (E+1)^m + (E-1)^m = 0 (m>0), E_0 = 1,$$

where, as usual, after expansion of the left member E^r is replaced by E_r . Nielsen [4, p. 273] has proved that

(1.2)
$$E_{2m} \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \pmod{p} & (p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}) \\ 2 \pmod{p} & (p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}), \end{cases}$$

where p is an odd prime such that p-1|2m. The special case m=p-1 is due to Ely [1, p, 341].

We wish to point out, to begin with, that (1.2) can be extended to give

(1.3)
$$E_{2m} \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \pmod{p^e} & (p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}) \\ 2 \pmod{p^e} & (p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}), \end{cases}$$

where p is an odd prime such that $(p-1)p^{e-1}|2m$.

To prove (1.3) we begin with the formula

$$(1.4) E_m(x+1) + E_m(x) = 2x^m,$$

where [5, p. 25]

(1.5)
$$E_m(x) = \sum_{0 \leq 2s \leq m} {m \choose 2s} 2^{-2s} \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{m-2s} E_{2s},$$

is the Euler polynomial of degree m. It is clear from (1.4) that

(1.6)
$$2\sum_{s=0}^{r} (-1)^{s} (x+s)^{m} = E_{m}(x) + (-1)^{r} E_{m}(x+r+1).$$

We also recall that [5, p. 28]

Received Feb. 18, 1954.

(1.7)
$$E_m(x) = \sum_{s=0}^m {m \choose s} 2^{-s} C_s x^{m-s},$$

where

(1.8)
$$C_{m-1} = 2^m (1-2^m) \frac{B_m}{m}; \quad C_0 = 1, \quad C_{2r} = 0 \quad (r \ge 1).$$

Consequently for x = 0, (1.5) and (1.6) imply

$$(1.9) 2\sum_{s=1}^{r} (-1)^{r-s} s^{2m} = E_{2m}(r+1) = 2^{-2m} \sum_{s=0}^{m} {2m \choose 2s} (2r+1)^{2m-2s} E_{2s}.$$

Clearly (1.9) yields the congruence

(1.10)
$$2^{2m+1} \sum_{s=1}^{r} (-1)^{r-s} s^{2m} \equiv E_{2m} \pmod{(2r+1)^2}.$$

Now let $(p-1)p^{e-1}|2m$ and $p^e|(2r+1)^2$. Then for $p \nmid s$ it is evident that $s^{2m} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^e}$, while for $p \mid s$ we have $s^{2m} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^e}$. Thus the left member of (1.10) is congruent to

(1.11)
$$2\sum_{\substack{s=1\\n\neq s}}^{r} (-1)^{r-s} \pmod{p^e}.$$

Since $p \mid 2r+1$ implies $r \equiv \frac{1}{2}(p-1) \pmod{p}$, it follows at once that (1,11) reduces to

$$(1.12) 2(1-1+\ldots+(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(p-3)}) = \begin{cases} 0 & (p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}) \\ 2 & (p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}). \end{cases}$$

Comparison of (1.10) and (1.12) leads at once to (1.3). This proves

THEOREM 1. If $(p-1)p^{e-1}|2m$ then (1.3) holds.

For a different proof of (1.3) see [2, p. 845].

2. Euler polynomials. Returning to (1.6) we put x = a, where a is a rational umber that is integral (mod p). Since for $a \equiv b \pmod{p^e}$ we have $E_m(a) \equiv E_m(b) \pmod{p^e}$, there is no loss in generality in assuming that a is an integer.

If we take r = p - 1, (1.6) becomes

(2.1)
$$2\sum_{s=0}^{p-1} (-1)^s (a+s)^{2m} = E_{2m}(a) + E_{2m}(a+p).$$

Let $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and assume that $(p-1)p^{e-1}|2m$. Then (2.1) reduces to

(2.2)
$$E_{2m}(a) + E_{2m}(a+p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^e}$$
.

Since by (1.7) and (1.8), $E_{2m}(0) = 0$ for $m \ge 1$ we therefore get from (2.2)

$$(2,3) E_{2m}(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^e} (p \mid a).$$

For $a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ it is also clear that the left member of (2.1) is divisible by p^e ; since $E_{2m}(1) = 0$ for $m \ge 1$ we get

(2.4)
$$E_{2m}(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^e} \qquad (a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}).$$

In the next place, since

$$E_m(x+r) = \sum_{s=0}^m {m \choose s} r^{m-s} E_s(x),$$

it follows from (1.6) that

(2.5)
$$2\sum_{s=0}^{r-1} (-1)^{s} (a+s)^{2m}$$

$$= (1+(-1)^{r-1}) E_{2m}(a) + (-1)^{r-1} \sum_{s=0}^{2m-1} {2m \choose s} r^{2m-s} E_{s}(a)$$

$$= (1+(-1)^{r-1}) E_{2m}(a) \pmod{r}.$$

We take r odd, $p^e | r$ and $(p-1)p^{e-1} | 2m$; since

$$(a+b)^{2m} \equiv a^{2m} \pmod{b^e}.$$

it follows at once from (2.5) that

$$(2.6) E_{2m}(a+p) \equiv E_{2m}(a) \pmod{p^e},$$

where a is arbitrary (but integral (mod p)).

Thus to determine the residue of $E_{2m}(a)$ it suffices to take $1 \le a \le p-1$. Using (1.6) we have

$$2\sum_{s=0}^{r} (-1)^{r-s} (a+s)^{2m} = (-1)^{r} E_{2m}(a) + E_{2m}(a+r+1),$$

which implies

(2.7)
$$2\sum_{s=0}^{r} (-1)^{r-s} (a+s)^{2m} \equiv (-1)^{r} E_{2m}(a) + 2^{-2m} E_{2m} \pmod{(2a+2r+1)^{2}}.$$

If we assume that $(p-1)p^{e-1}|2m$ and $p^e|(2a+2r+1)^2$ then (2.7) becomes

(2.8)
$$2\sum_{\substack{s=0\\n+a+s}}^{r} (-1)^{r-s} \equiv (-1)^r E_{2m}(a) + E_{2m} \pmod{p^e}.$$

Clearly the left member of (2.8) is equal to

$$(2.9) 2\sum_{\substack{s=1\\a\neq s}}^{a+r} (-1)^{a+r-s} - 2\sum_{s=1}^{a-1} (-1)^{a+r-s}.$$

Comparing the first sum in (2.9) with (1.11) and using (1.3) it is clear that (2.8) becomes

$$(-1)^r E_{2m}(a) \equiv -2 \sum_{s=1}^{a-1} (-1)^{a+r-s}$$

and therefore finally

(2.10)
$$E_{2m}(a) \equiv 1 + (-1)^a \pmod{p^e} \quad (1 \le a \le p - 1).$$

We may state

THEOREM 2. If $(p-1)p^{e-1}|2m$ and p+a then

(2.11)
$$E_{2m}(a) \equiv 1 + (-1)^c \pmod{p^e},$$

where $a \equiv c \pmod{p}$, $1 \leq c \leq p-1$; if $p \mid a$, then (2.3) holds.

It is evident that (2.11) includes (2.4); also it is not difficult to show that (2.11) includes (1.3).

3. Additional results. If in (1.6) we replace m by 2m-1 we get using (1.5)

(3.1)
$$2\sum_{s=0}^{r} (-1)^{s} (a+s)^{2m-1} \equiv E_{2m-1}(a) \pmod{2a+2r+1}.$$

Hence if $(p-1)p^{e-1}|2m$ and $p^e|2a+2r+1$, (3.1) implies

(3.2)
$$2\sum_{\substack{s=0\\p+a+s}}^{r} \frac{(-1)^s}{a+s} \equiv E_{2m-1}(a) \pmod{p^e}.$$

In particular when a = 0, it follows from (1.8) that

(3.3)
$$\sum_{\substack{s=0\\s=0}}^{\frac{1}{2}(p^e-1)} \frac{(-1)^s}{s} \equiv C_{2m-1} \equiv (1-2^{2m}) \frac{B_{2m}}{2m} \pmod{p^e};$$

the special case

(3.4)
$$\sum_{s=0}^{\frac{3}{2}(p-1)} \frac{(-1)^s}{s} \equiv C_{2m-1} \pmod{p} \qquad (p-1|2m)$$

may be noted. We also remark that for $a = \frac{1}{2}$, (3.2) becomes

(3.5)
$$\sum_{\substack{s=0\\p+2s+1}}^{p^e} \frac{(-1)^s}{2s+1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^e}.$$

For formulas like (3.4) see Glaisher [3].

If (a/p) denotes the Legendre symbol, then

$$a^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)p^{e-1}} \equiv \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \pmod{p^e}.$$

Thus (1.6) implies

$$(3.6) 2\sum_{s=0}^{r-1} (-1)^s \left(\frac{a+s}{p}\right) \equiv E_m(a) + (-1)^{r-1} E_m(a+r) (\text{mod } p^e),$$

where m is an odd multiple of $\frac{1}{2}(p-1)p^{e-1}$. Now let r be odd, $p^e|r$; then (3.6) yields

$$(3.7) \qquad \qquad \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} (-1)^s \left(\frac{a+s}{p}\right) \equiv E_m(a) \qquad (\text{mod } p^e).$$

It follows at once from (3.7) that

$$(3.8) E_m(a+p) \equiv E_m(a) (\text{mod } p^e).$$

Moreover it is clear from (3.7) that (r = pt)

$$E_m(a) \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{i+pj} \left(\frac{a+i}{p}\right)$$

$$\equiv \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} (-1)^j \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (-1)^i \left(\frac{a+i}{p}\right) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (-1)^i \left(\frac{a+i}{p}\right),$$

so that

(3.9)
$$E_m(\boldsymbol{a}) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (-1)^i \left(\frac{a+i}{p}\right) \pmod{p^e}.$$

In particular for a = 0, (3.9) becomes

(3.10)
$$E_m(0) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (-1)^i \left(\frac{i}{p}\right) \pmod{p^e}.$$

For $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, both members of (3.10) vanish, while for $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$

we get

(3.11)
$$C_m \equiv 2 \sum_{s=0}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} (-1)^s \left(\frac{2s}{p}\right) \pmod{p^e}.$$

Let $1 \le a \le p-1$; then by (3.9)

$$E_m(a) \equiv (-1)^a \sum_{s=a}^{p+a-1} (-1)^s \left(\frac{s}{p}\right)$$
$$\equiv (-1)^a \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} (-1)^s \left(\frac{s}{p}\right) - 2(-1)^a \sum_{s=0}^{a-1} (-1)^s \left(\frac{s}{p}\right).$$

Comparing with (3.10) we get

(3.12)
$$E_m(0) - E_m(a) \equiv 2(-1)^a \sum_{s=0}^{a-1} (-1)^s \left(\frac{s}{p}\right) \pmod{p^e}.$$

We may state

THEOREM 3. If m is an odd multiple of $\frac{1}{2}(p-1)p^{e-1}$, then (3.8), (3.10) and (3.12) hold.

In particular, (3.12) implies

(3.13)
$$C_m - E_m = 2(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(p+1)} \sum_{s=0}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} (-1)^s \left(\frac{2s}{p}\right) \pmod{p^e},$$

which includes (3.11).

4. Eulerian numbers and polynomials. It is of interest to compare (2.3) with the following known results for Bernoulli polynomials.

$$(4.1) B_m(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^e} (p^e \mid m, p-1 \nmid m),$$

(4.2)
$$B_m(a) + \frac{1}{p} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^e} \qquad ((p-1)p^e \mid m),$$

where the rational number a is integral (mod p). However it seems more instructive to discuss the "Eulerian" numbers $\phi_m(\zeta)$ defined by

(4.3)
$$\frac{1-\zeta}{e^t-\zeta} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \phi_m(\zeta) \frac{t^m}{m!} \qquad (\zeta \neq 1),$$

and the polynomials

(4.4)
$$\phi_m(x, \zeta) = \sum_{s=0}^{m} {m \choose s} x^{m-s} \phi_s(\zeta) = (x + \phi(\zeta))^m.$$

For a detailed study of $\phi_m(\zeta)$ see [2]. We shall suppose that the parameter ζ

is an l-th root of unity, where $l \ge 2$.

It is an immediate consequence of (4.4) that

$$\phi_m(x+1,\,\zeta)-\zeta\phi_m(x,\,\zeta)=(1-\zeta)\,x^m.$$

(Since $\phi_m(x, -1) = E_m(x)$, it is clear that (4.5) reduces to (1.4) when $\zeta = -1$). By means of (4.5) we readily obtain

(4.6)
$$\phi_m(x+r,\zeta) - \zeta^r \phi_m(x,\zeta) = (1-\zeta) \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \zeta^{r-1-s} (x+s)^m.$$

Substituting from (4.4) it is evident that (4.6) implies

(4.7)
$$(1 - \zeta^{r}) \phi_{m}(x, \zeta) + \sum_{s=1}^{m-1} {m \choose s} r^{m-s} \phi_{m}(x, \zeta)$$

$$= (1 - \zeta) \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \zeta^{r-1-s} (x+s)^{m}.$$

Now replace x by a rational number a that is integral (mod p). The number $\phi_m(\zeta)$ is in the field $R(\zeta)$, where R is the rational field; more precisely it is of the form $\alpha_m/(1-\zeta)^m$, where α_m is an integer of $R(\zeta)$. If we assume that $(p, 1-\zeta)=(1)$, then $\phi_m(\zeta)$ is integral (mod p); the same is therefore true of $\phi_m(a, \zeta)$. In the next place (4.7) implies

$$(4.8) (1-\zeta^r)\phi_m(x,\zeta) \equiv (1-\zeta)\sum_{s=0}^{r-1}\zeta^{r-1-s}(x+s)^m \pmod{r},$$

provided $(r, 1-\zeta) = (1)$. Let us now assume that $(p-1)p^{e-1}|m$ and $p^e|r$. Then (4.8) reduces to

(4.9)
$$(1 - \zeta^r) \phi_m(a, \zeta) \equiv (1 - \zeta) \sum_{\substack{s=0 \ p+ta+s}}^{r-1} \zeta^{r-1-s} \pmod{p^e}.$$

If we suppose, as we may, that l + r, then it follows readily from (4.9) that

$$\phi_m(a+p,\zeta) \equiv \phi_m(a,\zeta) \pmod{p^e}.$$

It accordingly suffices to assume that $0 \le a \le p-1$.

In the first place for a = 0, (4.9) reduces to

(4.11)
$$(1-\zeta^r)\,\phi_m(\zeta) \equiv (1-\zeta)\sum_{\substack{s=0\\v+s}}^{r-1}\zeta^{r-1-s} \pmod{p^e}.$$

We shall take $r \equiv 1 \pmod{l}$; then (4.11) gives

$$\phi_m(\zeta) \equiv \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \zeta^{r-1-s} - \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} \zeta^{r-1-ps},$$

where r = tp. A little computation now gives

(4.12)
$$\phi_m(\zeta) = \frac{1 - \zeta^{p-1}}{1 - \zeta^p} \pmod{p^e}.$$

Next for $1 \le a \le p-1$, where again $r \equiv 1 \pmod{l}$, r = tp, it follows from (4.9) that

$$\phi_{m}(a, \zeta) \equiv \sum_{s=0}^{a+r-1} \zeta^{a+r-1-s} - \sum_{s=0}^{a-1} \zeta^{a+r-1-s} - \sum_{s=1}^{t} \zeta^{a+r-1-ps}$$

$$\equiv \frac{1 - \zeta^{a+r}}{1 - \zeta} - \zeta^{r} \frac{1 - \zeta^{a}}{1 - \zeta} - \zeta^{a-1} \frac{1 - \zeta^{pt}}{1 - \zeta^{p}}$$

$$\equiv 1 - \zeta^{a-1} \frac{1 - \zeta}{1 - \zeta^{p}}.$$

Hence using (4.10) we get

(4.13)
$$\phi_m(a, \zeta) \equiv 1 - \zeta^{e-1} \frac{1 - \zeta}{1 - \zeta^p} \pmod{p^e},$$

where $a \equiv c \pmod{p}$, $1 \le c \le p-1$. This completes the proof of

THEOREM 4. Let $(p-1)p^{e-1}|m$ and let $a \equiv c \pmod{p}$, where $0 \le c \le p-1$. Then if $c \ne 0$, (4.13) holds, while for c = 0 we have

$$\phi_m(a, \zeta) \equiv \frac{1 - \zeta^{p-1}}{1 - \zeta^p} \pmod{p^e} \qquad (p \mid a).$$

It is clear that for $\zeta = -1$, (4.13) reduces to (2.11) and (4.14) reduces to (2.3). For the special case a = 0 of (4.14) see [2, p. 842].

If α is an integer of $R(\zeta)$, we may again employ (4.8). Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of $R(\zeta)$, $N\mathfrak{p} = p^f$, where (p, l) = 1. Then if we assume that

$$(4.15) (Np-1) p^{e-1} | m,$$

and $p^e|r$, we get

$$(4.16) (1-\zeta^r)\phi_m(\alpha,\zeta) \equiv (1-\zeta)\sum_{\substack{s=0\\p+\alpha+s}}^{r-1} \zeta^{r-1-s} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^e}.$$

It follows that if $\pi \in \mathfrak{p}$ then

(4.17)
$$\phi_m(\alpha + \pi, \zeta) \equiv \phi_m(\alpha, \zeta) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^e},$$

and therefore

(4.18)
$$\phi_m(\alpha + p, \zeta) \equiv \phi_m(\alpha, \zeta) \pmod{p^e}.$$

Now if α is congruent to a rational integer (mod \mathfrak{p}), then, in view of (4.17), (4.13) holds. On the other hand, when α is not congruent to a rational integer, then in the right member of (4.16) the condition $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \alpha + s$ is satisfied automatically and we get $(r \equiv 1 \pmod{l})$

$$\phi_m(a, \zeta) \equiv \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \zeta^s \equiv \frac{1-\zeta^r}{1-\zeta} \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^e}.$$

We may state

THEOREM 5. Let α be an integer of $R(\zeta)$, p+l, and assume that (4.15) is satisfied, where $\mathfrak p$ is a prime ideal of $R(\zeta)$, $N\mathfrak p = p^f$. Then if α is congruent to a rational integer $a \pmod{\mathfrak p}$, (4.13) and (4.14) hold; otherwise we have

$$\phi_m(\alpha, \zeta) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^e}.$$

In particular if Np = p, (4.13) and (4.14) apply.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. S. Ely, Some notes on the numbers of Bernoulli and Euler, American Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 5 (1880), pp. 337-341.
- [2] G. Frobenius, Über die Bernoulli'schen Zahlen und die Euler'schen Polynome, Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften (1910), pp. 809-847.
- [3] W. L. G. Glaisher, On the residues of the sums of the inverse powers of numbers in arithmetical progression, Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 32 (1901), pp. 271-305.
- [4] N. Nielsen, Traité élémentaire des nombres de Bernoulli, Paris, 1923.
- [5] N. E. Nörlund, Vorlesungen über Differenzenrechnung, Berlin, 1924.

Duke University