# EVANS-KURAMOCHI EXHAUSTION FUNCTIONS ON NON-ALGEBROID RIEMANN SURFACES 

J.E. SKEATH

1. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ denote a non-compact parabolic Riemann surface, and let $D \subset\{$ be compact and such that each frontier point of $D$ is contained in a continuum that is also contained in $D$. Under these conditions, Kuramochi [2] (see also [3]) has established the existence of a function $u$ on $\mathfrak{H}-D$ satisfying
(a) $u \geq 0$, harmonic on $\mathfrak{A}-D$,
(b) $u$ vanishes continuously on $f r D$, the frontier of $D$,
(c) $u$ tends to $+\infty$ at the ideal boundary of $\mathfrak{x}$.

Any such function will be called an (Evans-Kuramochi) exhaustion function on $\mathfrak{A}-D$. An exhaustion function $u$ on $\mathfrak{A}-D$ will be said to satisfy the $k$-condition if and only if there exists an integer $k$ such that the number of components of the level loci $\{u=s\}$ is bounded above by $k$ independent of $s, 0<s<+\infty$.

In [4] it was shown that an extension of the Denjoy-Carleman-Ahlfors theorem in subharmonic form can be obtained for any surface admitting an exhaustion function satisfying the $k$-condition for some $k$. Any $n$-sheeted algebroid Riemann surface over the finite plane falls under this classification. In this paper we construct a non-algebroid surface admitting an exhaustion function satisfying the $k$-condition, thus answering in the affirmative a question raised in [4]. As we shall see, the desired surface is closely related to that constructed by Heins in [1, pp. 297-299].
2. Following Heins [1], we begin by constructing a non-algebroid surface given as an explicit covering surface of the extended plane. Thus, let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ denote a sequence of positive reals such that $a_{0}>e$ and $\inf a_{n} / a_{n-1}>1$. Let $\left\{b_{4 n+2}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be such that $a_{4 n+1}<b_{4 n+2}<a_{4 n+2}$ and let each segment
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[ $a_{4 n+1}, a_{4 n+2}$ ] be subdivided into an odd number ( $>1+a_{4 n+2}$ ) of subsegments. Define
$E^{1}$, the finite plane less the slits $\left[a_{2 n}, a_{2 n+1}\right]$ and every alternate subsegment of $\left[a_{4 n+1}, a_{4 n+2}\right]$ starting with the second (all $n$ );
$E^{2}$, the region $E^{1}$ less the slits $\left[-b_{4 n^{-2}},-a_{4 n+1}\right]$ (all $n$ );
$\sigma_{n}$, the extended plane less the slit $\left[-b_{4 n+2},-a_{4 n+1}\right]$.
Let $\mathfrak{d}$ denote the Riemann surface formed by joining copies of $E^{1}$ and $E^{2}$ along their common slits in the usual way, identifying the upper edges of the slits of $E^{1}$ with the corresponding lower edges of the slits of $E^{2}$ and vice versa. The remaining free edges of $E^{2}$ are identified with the opposite edges of the slits of copies of the corresponding $\sigma_{n}$.

It follows as in [1] that every non-constant meromorphic function on any end $\Omega$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ (see [1] for terminology) takes on all values infinitely often with the exception of at most two. Thus $\mathfrak{A}$ is non-algebroid. We wish to establish the following

Theorem 1. Given $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfying the above conditions, there exists $\left\{b_{4 n+2}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfying $a_{4 n+1}<b_{4 n+2}<a_{4 n+2}$ and a subdivision of $\left[a_{4 n+1}, a_{4 n+2}\right]$ into an odd number $\left(>1+a_{4 n+2}\right)$ of subsegments (all $n$ ) such that the surface $\mathfrak{A}$ constructed as above from these quantities admits an exhaustion function satisfying the $k$-condition for $k \leq 2$ on $\mathfrak{A}-D$ where $D$ denotes the set of points over $|z| \leq 1$ in the copies of $E^{1}$ and $E^{2}$.

Before proceeding to the proof of Theorem 1 , we establish the following notation. If $b_{2}, \cdots, b_{4 m+2}$ are given such that $a_{4 n+1}<b_{4 n+2}<a_{4 n+2}, 0 \leq n \leq m$, and if an odd number ( $>1+a_{4 n+2}$ ) of subsegments subdividing [ $a_{4 n+1}, a_{4 n+2}$ ], $0 \leq n \leq m$, are given, let
$E_{m}^{1}$ denote the finite plane less the slits $\left[a_{2 n}, a_{2 n+1}\right]$ for $0 \leq n \leq 2 m+1$, the slits $\left[a_{4 n}, a_{4 n+3}\right]$ for $n \geq m+1$, and every alternate subsegment starting with the second of $\left[a_{4 n+1}, a_{4 n+2}\right]$ for $0 \leq n \leq m$;
$E_{m}^{2}$ denote the region $E_{m}^{1}$ less the slits $\left[-b_{4 n+2},-a_{4 n+1}\right]$ for $0 \leq n \leq m$; $\sigma_{n}$ as before, $0 \leq n \leq m$.
Let $\mathfrak{A}_{m}, m \geq 0$ denote the surface formed from the above quantities as in the construction of $\mathfrak{A}$. Finally, let $\mathfrak{A}_{-1}$ denote the surface constructed by copies of $E_{-1}^{1}$ and $E_{-1}^{2}$ by identifying opposite edges in the usual way where $E_{-1}^{1}=E_{-1}^{2}=$ the finite plane less the slits $\left[a_{4 n}, a_{4 n+3}\right]$ (all $n$ ).

Note that, since $\inf a_{n} / a_{n-1}>1$, any such $\mathfrak{A}_{m}, m \geq-1$, has harmonic dimension one in the sense of Heins [1]. If $\mathfrak{A}_{m}, m \geq-1$, is given, let $D_{m}$
denote the set of points in $\mathfrak{A}_{m}$ lying over $|z| \leq 1$ in the copies of $E_{m}^{1}$ and $E_{m}^{2}$. Let $p_{m}$ denote the point in $\mathfrak{A}_{m}$ lying over $z=e$ in the copy of $E_{m}^{1}$, and let $u_{m}$ denote the unique exhaustion function on $\mathfrak{N}_{m}-D_{m}$ normalized such that $u_{m}\left(p_{m}\right)=1$.

We assert that Theorem 1 is a consequence of
Theorem 2. Given $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1 , there exists a sequence $\left\{b_{4 n+2}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and a subdivision of $\left[a_{4 n+1}, a_{4 n+2}\right]$ (all $n$ ) satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1 such that for all $m$, the normalized exhaustion function $u_{m}$ on $\mathfrak{A}_{m}-D_{m}$ satisfies the following conditions.
I. $u_{m}(p)=A_{m} \log |c(p)|+B_{m}+H_{m}(p)$, if $p$ lies in the joining of $E_{m}^{1}$ and $E_{m}^{2}$ over $|z| \geq r_{m}=a_{4 m+3}$ where $c$ is the natural projection map and
a) $A_{m}, B_{m}$ are constants, $A_{m}>\frac{1}{2}$;
b) $H_{m}$ is harmonic, $\left|H_{m}\right|<\frac{\log r}{4}, r=\inf a_{n} \mid a_{n-1}$;
c) $\left|H_{m}(p)\right|<\frac{c_{m}}{|c(p)|}<\frac{(1-1 / r) \log 2}{4|c(p)|}$ if $c(p) \in\left[a_{4 n}, a_{4 n+3}\right]$ and $n \geq m+1$.
II. For each $s, 0<s<A_{m} \log r_{m}+B_{m}+\frac{\log r}{4}$, the level locus $\left\{u_{m}=s\right\}$ is contained in a relatively compact open subset $\Omega$ of $\mathfrak{A}_{m}-D_{m}$ less the points in $E_{m}^{1} \cup E_{m}^{2}$ over $|z| \geq a_{4 m+4}$ where $\Omega$ is either
a) a region having genus one and connectivity two,
b) a plane region having connectivity three, or
c) the union of two disjoint doubly connected plane regions.
III. $u_{m}$ satisfies the $k$-condition for $k \leq 2$.

We remark that conditions I and II of Theorem 2 imply condition III. In fact, if there exists $s<A_{m} \log r_{m}+B_{m}+\frac{\log r}{4}$ such that $\left\{u_{m}=s\right\}$ has three or more components, then by condition II some subset of these components forms the boundary of a relatively compact subregion of $\mathfrak{A}_{m}-D_{m}$, and thus $u_{m}$ must be identically constant. If $s \geq A_{m} \log r_{m}+B_{m}+\frac{\log r}{4}$, then by condition $I s>\max u_{m}$ in the joining of $E_{m}^{1}$ and $E_{m}^{2}$ over $|z|=r_{m}$. Therefore, $\left\{u_{m}=s\right\}$ lies in the joining of $E_{m}^{1}$ and $E_{m}^{2}$ over $|z|>r_{m}$, and the representation given by condition I is valid. Now if $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are points in the joining of $E_{m}^{1}$ and $E_{m}^{2}$ over $|z|>r_{m}$ such that $\left|c\left(p_{2}\right) / c\left(p_{1}\right)\right| \geq r$, then
by condition I we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{m}\left(p_{2}\right)-u_{m}\left(p_{1}\right) & =A_{m} \log \left|c\left(p_{2}\right) / c\left(p_{1}\right)\right|+H_{m}\left(p_{2}\right)-H_{m}\left(p_{1}\right) \\
& \geq A_{m} \log \left|c\left(p_{2}\right) / c\left(p_{1}\right)\right|-\frac{1}{2} \log r \\
& >0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $\left\{u_{m}=s\right\}$ lies over an annular region of the form $R_{1}<|z|<R_{2}$ with $R_{2} / R_{1}<r$. Since $r=\inf a_{n} / a_{n-1},\left\{u_{m}=s\right\}$ is contained in a set $\Omega$ satisfying either condition IIb) or condition IIc). Thus $\left\{u_{m}=s\right\}$ consists of at most two components. Condition III is established.

Proof that Theorem 2 implies Theorem 1: Let $\mathfrak{\{}$ denote the Riemann surface constructed as in Theorem 1 from the quantities given in Theorem 2. Observe that, for all $m, u_{m}$ on $\mathfrak{\Re}_{m}-D_{m}$ can be considered as a function defined on $\mathfrak{A}-D$ less the points in the joining of $E^{1}$ and $E^{2}$ over [ $a_{4 n+1}, a_{4 n+2}$ ] for $n \geq m+1$, the points in the copy of $E^{2}$ over $\left[-b_{4 n+2},-a_{4 n+1}\right]$ for $n \geq m+1$, and the points of $\sigma_{n}$ for $n \geq m+1$. In particular, for any compact subset $K$ of $\mathfrak{A}-D, u_{m}$ is defined on $K$ if $m$ is sufficiently large. Moreover, $u_{m}>0$ and $u_{m}\left(p^{0}\right)=1$ (all $m$ ) where $p^{0}$ denotes the point over $z=e$ in $E^{1}$. It follows that $\left\{u_{m} \mid m \geq-1\right\}$ is normal on $\mathfrak{A}-D$, and thus there exists a subsequence almost uniformly convergent to a harmonic function $u>0$ on $\mathfrak{a}-D, u\left(p^{0}\right)=1$. It is easily seen that $u$ vanishes continuously at the frontier of $D$. Since $\mathfrak{A}$ has harmonic dimension one and since $\mathfrak{A}$ has at least one exhaustion function on $\mathfrak{X}-D$, it follows that there is (up to constant multiples) exactly one such exhaustion function, and that function must be $u$. (It follows from this that the original sequence $\left\{u_{m}\right\}_{m=-1}^{\infty}$ converges almost uniformly to $u$ although this result will not be needed in what follows.)

If $u$ does not satisfy the $k$-condition for $k \leq 2$, then there exists an $s>0$, $s$ not a critical level of $u$, such that $\{u=s\}$ consists of $j(>2)$ components. Take $\varepsilon>0$ such that $A=\{s-\varepsilon \leq u \leq s+\varepsilon\}$ contains no critical levels of $u$. Then $A$ is compact and consists of $j$ components, each conformally equivalent to an annulus with $u=s+\varepsilon$ on one boundary component of each such annulus and $u=s-\varepsilon$ on the other boundary component (cf. [4]). Moreover, for $m$ sufficiently large, $u_{m}$ is defined on $A$, and we can assume $\left|u-u_{m}\right|<\varepsilon / 2$ on $A$. But then $\left\{u_{m}=s\right\}$ has at least one component in each of the $j(>2)$ components of $A$, a contradiction.

Before turning to the proof of Theorem 2, it will be convenient to have the following two lemmas at our disposal.

Lemma 1. If $\mathfrak{A}_{m}$ is given, $m \geq-1$, and if $\varphi_{m}$ denotes the indirectly conformal map from $\mathfrak{N}_{m}$ onto itself determined by $\varphi_{m}(p)=\bar{p}, \bar{p}$ as defined below, then $u_{m} \circ \varphi_{m}=u_{m}$. Moreover, in the joining of $E_{m}^{1}$ and $E_{m}^{2}$ over the slits on the positive real axis, the two determinations of $u_{m}$ agree.

Definition: If a point $p$ in $\mathfrak{A}_{m}$ corresponds to a point $z$ in $E_{m}^{1}, E_{m}^{2}$ or $\sigma_{n}, 0 \leq n \leq m$, respectively, then $\bar{p}$ denotes the point in $\mathfrak{A}_{m}$ corresponding to $\bar{z}$ in $E_{m}^{1}, E_{m}^{2}$ or $\sigma_{n}, 0 \leq n \leq m$, respectively. The obvious modifications are made for points of $\mathfrak{\Re}_{m}$ over slits, e.g., if $p_{1}, p_{2}$ denote the two points of $\mathfrak{A}_{m}$ in the joining of $E_{m}^{1}$ and $E_{m}^{2}$ over a point in $\left(a_{4 n}, a_{4 n+1}\right)$, then $\bar{p}_{1}=p_{2}$ and $\bar{p}_{2}=p_{1}$.

Proof of Lemma 1: Since $\varphi_{m}$ is indirectly conformal, $u_{m} \circ \varphi_{m}$ is harmonic. Moreover, $u_{m} \circ \varphi_{m}\left(p_{m}\right)=1$. Since $\mathfrak{Q}_{m}$ has harmonic dimension one, it follows that $u_{m} \circ \varphi_{m}=u_{m}$. The remaining assertion of the lemma is an immediate consequence of this property.

Lemma 2. Let $h$, harmonic on $|z|>R$, be such that $|h|<M, \lim _{z \rightarrow \infty} h(z)=0$. Then $|h(z)| \leq \frac{2 M R}{|z|+R}$ for $|z|>R$.

Proof of Lemma 2: The proof follows by a direct application of Harnack's inequalities to the functions $M-h\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)$ and $M+h\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)$ for $|z|<\frac{1}{R}$.

Proof of Theorem 2: The proof is by induction on $m$. The case $m=-1$ is trivial. Here the normalized exhaustion function $u_{-1}$ on $\mathfrak{x}_{-1}-D_{-1}$ is given by $u_{-1}(p)=\log |c(p)|$ where $c$ is the natural projection. Assume therefore that $\mathfrak{A}_{m-1}(m \geq 0)$ is given such that $u_{m-1}$ satisfies conditions I, II and III of Theorem 2 with $m-1$ replacing $m$. With this assumption, we show there exists $b_{4 m+2}, a_{4 m+1}<b_{4 m+2}<a_{4 m+2}$ and a subdivision of $\left[a_{4 m+1}, a_{4 m+2}\right]$ into an odd number ( $>1+a_{4 m+2}$ ) of subsegments such that $u_{m}$ on $\mathfrak{A}_{m}-D_{m}$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.

Thus, let $b_{4 m+2}(n)=\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) a_{4 m+1}+\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) a_{4 m+2}, n=1,2, \cdots$. Let $\nu$, an integer, be such that $a_{4 m+2} / 2<\nu<a_{4 m+2}$, and let $\delta=\left(a_{4 m+2}-a_{4 m+1}\right) / \nu$. Note that $2 \nu+1>1+a_{4 m+2}$ and that $\delta>1-\frac{1}{r}, r=\inf a_{n} / a_{n-1}$. Let $\alpha_{j}=a_{4 m+1}+j \delta$, $j=0, \cdots, \nu$, and introduce $\alpha_{j}(n), j=0, \cdots, \nu$, and $n=1,2, \cdots$, such that
(i) $\alpha_{0}<\alpha_{0}(n)<\alpha_{1}(n)<\alpha_{1}$, all $n$;
(ii) $\alpha_{j-1}<\alpha_{j}(n)<\alpha_{j}, j=2, \cdots, \nu$ and all $n$;
(iii) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{j}(n)=\alpha_{j}, j=0, \cdots, \nu$.

For each $n$, the points $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{0}(n), \alpha_{1}(n), \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{\nu}(n), \alpha_{\nu}$ subdivide [ $a_{4 m+1}, a_{4 m+2}$ ] into $2 \nu+1$ subsegments. Let $\mathscr{U}_{m}^{n}$ denote the Riemann surface constructed in the usual manner with this choice of subintervals for $\left[a_{4 m+1}, a_{4 m+2}\right]$, the subinterval $\left[-b_{4 m+2}(n),-a_{4 m+1}\right]$, a copy of the extended plane slit along $\left[-b_{4 m+2}(u),-a_{4 m+1}\right]$, and the information given from $\mathfrak{A}_{m-1}$. Let $u_{m}^{n}$ denote the normalized exhaustion function on $\mathfrak{U}_{m}^{n}-D_{m}^{n}$.

The functions $u_{m}^{n}, n=1,2, \cdots$, can be considered as defined on $\mathfrak{A}_{m-1}-D_{m-1}$ less the appropriate subsets (dependent on $n$ ). Since $u_{m}^{n}>0$ and $u_{m}^{n}\left(p_{m-1}\right)=1$ (all $n$ ), the family $\left\{u_{m}^{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is normal on $\mathfrak{U}_{m-1}-D_{m-1}$ less the point of $E_{m-1}^{2}$ over $-a_{4 m+1}$ and less the points in the joining of $E_{m-1}^{1}$ and $E_{m-1}^{2}$ over $\alpha_{j}, j=0, \cdots, \nu$. Hence there exists a subsequence almost uniformly convergent there to a positive harmonic function $u$ such that $u\left(p_{m-1}\right)=1$. Moreover, it is easily seen that $u$ is bounded in some neighborhood of each of the points deleted from $\mathfrak{A}_{m-1}-D_{m-1}$. Thus $u$ can be extended to a function harmonic on $\mathfrak{A}_{m-1}-D_{m-1}$. Also, $u$ vanishes continuously at fr $D_{m-1}$. Since $\mathfrak{U}_{m-1}$ has harmonic dimension one, it follows that $u=u_{m-1}$.

Note that $r_{m-1}<a_{4 m}$, and thus the representation of $u_{m-1}$ given by condition $I$ of Theorem 2 is valid in the joining of $E_{m-1}^{1}$ and $E_{m-1}^{2}$ over [ $\left.a_{4 m}, a_{4 m+3}\right]$. By Lemma 1, the two determinations of $u_{m-1}$ agree in the joining of $E_{m-1}^{1}$ and $E_{m-1}^{2}$ over $\left[a_{4 m}, a_{4 m+3}\right]$, and therefore the same is true for $H_{m-1}$. Thus, if $\alpha \in\left[a_{4 m}, a_{4 m+3}\right]$, let $u_{m-1}(\alpha), H_{m-1}(\alpha)$, respectively, denote the common value of the two determinations of $u_{m-1}, H_{m-1}$, respectively, over $\alpha$. If $\alpha, \beta \in\left[a_{4 m}, a_{4 m+3}\right]$ and $\beta>\alpha+\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{m-1}(\beta)-u_{m-1}(\alpha) & =A_{m-1} \log (\beta / \alpha)+H_{m-1}(\beta)-H_{m-1}(\alpha)  \tag{1}\\
& >A_{m-1} \log \left(1+\frac{1-\frac{1}{r}}{\alpha}\right)-\frac{\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right) \log 2}{2 \alpha} \\
& >M>0
\end{align*}
$$

since $\frac{1}{2}<A_{m-1}, 1<r$, and $1<a_{4 m} \leq \alpha \leq a_{4 m+3}$. In particular, $\min \left\{\mid u_{m-1}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)\right.$
$\left.-u_{m-1}\left(\alpha_{k}\right) \mid: k \neq j\right\}>M>0$. We can choose disjoint closed disks $\Delta_{j}$ in $a_{4 m+1} \leq|z| \leq a_{4 m+2}$ such that $\alpha_{j} \in \Delta_{j}, j=0, \cdots, \nu$ and such that, if $K_{j}$ denotes the points in the joining of $E_{m-1}^{1}$ and $E_{m-1}^{2}$ over $\Delta_{j}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{\left|u_{m-1}(p)-u_{m-1}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)\right|: p \in K_{j}\right\}<\frac{1}{4} M, j=0, \cdots, \nu . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we can assume $\alpha_{j}(n) \in \Delta_{j}$, all $j$, all $n$. Let $\Delta$ denote a closed disk in $E_{m-1}^{2}$ containing the point of $E_{m-1}^{2}$ over $-a_{\mathrm{s} m+1}, \Delta$ lying over $a_{4 m+1}<|z|<a_{4 m+3}$. If $\varepsilon_{m}>0$ is given, there exists a $k$ such that $u_{m}^{k}$ is defined on $\mathfrak{U}_{m-1}-D_{m-1}-\Delta-\bigcup_{j=1}^{\nu} K_{j}$ and such that $\left|u_{m}^{k}-u_{m-1}\right|<\varepsilon_{m}$ at the points in the joining of $E_{m-1}^{1}$ and $E_{m-1}^{2}$ over $|z|=r \cdot r_{m}\left(r_{m}=a_{4 m+3}\right)$ union the points of the frontier of $\Delta \cup\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\nu} K_{j}\right)$. We will show that if $\varepsilon_{m}$ is taken sufficiently small and $k$ is chosen as above, then $u_{m}^{k}\left(=u_{m}\right)$ on $\mathfrak{A}_{m}^{k}-D_{m}^{k}\left(=\mathfrak{A}_{m}-D_{m}\right)$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2. Henceforth, write $u_{m}^{k}=u_{m}, \mathfrak{Y}_{m}^{k}=\mathfrak{A}_{m}$ and $D_{m}^{k}=D_{m}$. We assume, in particular, that
(3) $0<\varepsilon_{m}<\frac{M}{4}$;
(4) $A_{m-1} \log a_{4 m}+B_{m-1}+\frac{\log r}{4}+\varepsilon_{m}<A_{m-1} \log a_{4 m+1}+B_{m-1}-\frac{\log r}{4}$;
(5) $A_{m-1} \log a_{4 m+2}+B_{m-1}+\frac{\log r}{4}<A_{m-1} \log a_{4 m+3}+B_{m-1}-\frac{\log r}{4}-\varepsilon_{m}$.

Since $A_{m-1}>\frac{1}{2}$ and $\inf a_{n} / a_{n-1}=r$, the conditions in (4) and (5) can be met. Further restrictions on $\varepsilon_{m}$ will be imposed later.

By the maximum principle, we have $\left|u_{m}-u_{m-1}\right|<\varepsilon_{m}$ in the joining of $E_{m-1}^{1}$ and $E_{m-1}^{2}$ over $|z|=r_{m}$. Let $h_{m}$ denote the unique bounded harmonic function defined in the joining of $E_{m-1}^{1}$ and $E_{m_{-1}}^{2}$ over $|z|>r_{m}$ with boundary values $u_{m}-A_{m-1} \log r_{m}-B_{m-1}-H_{m-1}$. Note that $\left|h_{m}\right|<\varepsilon_{m}$, and that $h_{m}$ has a limit at the ideal boundary of $\mathfrak{A}_{m-1}$ since $\mathfrak{A}_{m-1}$ has harmonic dimension one (cf. [1]). Thus we can write $h_{m}=f_{m}+b_{m}$ where $b_{m}$ is constant, $\left|b_{m}\right|<\varepsilon_{m}$, $f_{m}$ is harmonic, $\left|f_{m}\right|<2 \varepsilon_{m}$ and $f_{m}$ tends to 0 at the ideal boundary of $\mathfrak{i t}_{m-1}$. The function

$$
u_{m}(p)-A_{m-1} \log r_{m}-\left(B_{m-1}+b_{m}\right)-\left(H_{m-1}(p)+f_{m}(p)\right)
$$

is positive harmonic and tends to 0 at the points in the joining of $E_{m-1}^{1}$ and $E_{m-1}^{2}$ over $|z|=r_{m}$, and hence is a multiple of $\log |c(p)| r_{m} \mid$ where $c$ is the natural projection map. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{m}(p) & =A_{m} \log |c(p)|-\left(A_{m}-A_{m-1}\right) \log r_{m}+\left(B_{m-1}+b_{m}\right)+\left(H_{m-1}(p)+f_{m}(p)\right) \\
& =A_{m} \log |c(p)|+B_{m}+H_{m}(p), \quad \text { where }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{m}=-\left(A_{m}-A_{m-1}\right) \log r_{m}+\left(B_{m-1}+b_{m}\right) \quad \text { and }  \tag{6}\\
& H_{m}=H_{m-1}(p)+f_{m}(p),|c(p)|>r_{m}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left|u_{m}-u_{m-1}\right|<\varepsilon_{m}$ in the joining of $E_{m-1}^{1}$ and $E_{m-1}^{2}$ over $|z|=r \cdot r_{m}$, we have

$$
\left|\left(A_{m}-A_{m-1}\right) \log r+b_{m}+f_{m}\right|<\varepsilon_{m}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(A_{m}-A_{m-1}\right) \log r\right|<2 \varepsilon_{m} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $F_{m}$ denotes the function defined on $|z|>r_{m}$ as the sum of the two determinations of $f_{m}$, then $F_{m}$ is harmonic, $\left|F_{m}\right|<4 \varepsilon_{m}$ and $\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty} F_{m}=0$. It follows by Lemma 2 that

$$
\left|F_{m}(z)\right|<\frac{8 \varepsilon_{m} r_{m}}{|z|+r_{m}} \quad \text { for } \quad|z|>r_{m}
$$

However, by Lemma 1, the two determinations of $f_{m}$ agree in the joining of $E_{m-1}^{1}$ and $E_{m-1}^{2}$ over $\left[a_{4 n}, a_{4 n+3}\right], n \geq m+1$. Thus

$$
\left|f_{m}(z)\right|<\frac{4 \varepsilon_{m} r_{m}}{|z|+r_{m}} \quad \text { for } \quad z \in\left[a_{4 n}, a_{4 n+3}\right], n \geq m+1
$$

It now follows directly that if $\varepsilon_{m}>0$ is chosen sufficiently small, then $u_{m}$, $A_{m}$ and $H_{m}$ satisfy condition I of Theorem 2.

It remains to establish condition II for $u_{m}$. Thus, let $s$ be such that $0<s<A_{m} \log r_{m}+B_{m}+\frac{\log r}{4}$.

Case 1.

$$
0<s<A_{m-1} \log a_{4 m+1}+B_{m-1}-\frac{\log r}{4} .
$$

Case 2.

$$
A_{m-1} \log a_{4 m+1}+B_{m-1}-\frac{\log r}{4} \leq s \leq A_{m-1} \log a_{4 m+2}+B_{m-1}+\frac{\log r}{4}
$$

Case 3.

$$
A_{m-1} \log a_{4 m+2}+B_{m-1}+\frac{\log r}{4}<s<A_{m} \log r_{m}+B_{m}+\frac{\log r}{4}
$$

Note by condition $I$ that, if $\varepsilon_{m}$ is sufficiently small, then $u_{m-1}>A_{m-1}$ $\log a_{4 m+1}+B_{m-1}-\frac{\log r}{4}+\varepsilon_{m}$ in the joining of $E_{m-1}^{1}$ and $E_{m-1}^{2}$ over $|z|=a_{4 m+1}$. Thus if. $s$ is in Case 1 and $\varepsilon_{m}$ is sufficiently small, then $\left\{s-\varepsilon_{m}<u_{m-1}<s+\varepsilon_{m}\right\}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{A}_{m-1}-D_{m-1}$ less the points in the joining of $E_{m-1}^{1}$ and $E_{m-1}^{2}$ over $|z| \geq a_{4 m+1}$, a subset of $\mathfrak{Q}_{m-1}-D_{m-1}$ which can also be considered as a subset of $\mathfrak{A}_{m}-D_{m}$. Moreover, for such $s$ we have $\left\{u_{m}=s\right\} \subset\left\{s-\varepsilon_{m}<u_{m-1}<s+\varepsilon_{m}\right\}$. Thus, the facts given in conditions I and II for $u_{m-1}$ can be used to assure, if $\varepsilon_{m}$ is sufficiently small, that $\left\{u_{m}=s\right\}, s$ in Case 1 , satisfies condition II. We omit the details of the proof and turn to Case 3 which, as we shall see, is similar to Case 1. If $\varepsilon_{m}$ is sufficiently small, note that by condition I we have $u_{m-1}<A_{m-1} \log a_{4 m+2}+B_{m-1}+\frac{\log r}{4}-\varepsilon_{m}$ in the joining of $E_{m-1}^{1}$ and $E_{m-1}^{2}$ over $|z|=a_{4 m+2}$, and note that by condition I, (6) and (7) we have $u_{m-1}>A_{m} \log r_{m}+B_{m}+\frac{\log r}{4}+\varepsilon_{m}$ in the joining of $E_{m-1}^{1}$ and $E_{m-1}^{2}$ over $|z|=r \cdot r_{m}\left(<a_{4 m+4}\right)$. For $s$ in Case 3, we have then that $\left\{s-\varepsilon_{m}<u_{m-1}<s+\varepsilon_{m}\right\}$ lies in the joining of $E_{m-1}^{1}$ and $E_{m-1}^{2}$ over $a_{4 m+2}<|z|<a_{4 m+4}$, a subset of $\mathfrak{A}_{m-1}-D_{m-1}$ which can also be considered as a subset of $\mathfrak{A}_{m}-D_{m}$ and which, in addition, is a region satisfying condition II(b). Since

$$
\left\{u_{m}=s\right\} \subset\left\{s-\varepsilon_{m}<u_{m-1}<s+\varepsilon_{m}\right\}
$$

for $s$ in Case 3, it follows by the above that condition II is satisfied for such $s$. We turn then to the more interesting Case 2. Note by (4) and (5) that
(8) if $s$ is in Case 2, then $\left\{u_{m}=s\right\}$ lies in the joining of $E_{m}^{1}$ and $E_{m}^{2}$ over $a_{4 m}<|z|<a_{4 m+3}$ union $\sigma_{m}$.
Let $A$ denote the set of points in the joining of $E_{m}^{1}$ and $E_{m}^{2}$ over ( $a_{4 m}, a_{4 m+3}$ ), and let $a_{s}=\min c\left(A \cap\left\{u_{m}=s\right\}\right), b_{s}=\max c\left(A \cap\left\{u_{m}=s\right\}\right)$ where $c$ is the natural projection map and $s$ is in Case 2. Observe that
(9) if $p \in A \cap K_{j}$ for some $j$, then by (2) and (3) we have

$$
\left|u_{m}(p)-u_{m-1}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)\right|<\frac{M}{2}
$$

(10) if $p \in A-\bigcup_{j=0}^{\nu} K_{j}$, then by (3) we have

$$
\left|u_{m}(p)-u_{m-1}(p)\right|<\frac{M}{4}
$$

Inequalities (9) and (10) together with (1) imply that the interval $\left[a_{s}, b_{s}\right]$ intersects at most one $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{j}$. It follows from this and (8) that, for $s$ in Case 2, $\left\{u_{m}=s\right\}$ is contained in a relatively compact subregion $\Omega$ of $\mathfrak{U}_{m}-D_{m}$ less the points in the joining of $E_{m}^{1}$ and $E_{m}^{2}$ over $|z|>a_{4 m+3}$ where $\Omega$ has genus one and connectivity two. Thus, $u_{m}$ satisfies condition II. The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.
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