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## To Professor K. Ono for his sixtieth birthday

Let $E$ be a non-singular projective curve of genus $g \geq 0, \boldsymbol{P}$ the projective line and let $F$ be the surface $E \times \boldsymbol{P}$. Then it is well known that a ruled surface $F^{*}$ which is birational to $F$ is biregular to a surface which is obtained by successive elementary transformations from $F$ (for the notion of an elementary transformation, see [3]). The main purpose of the present article is to prove the following

Theorem 1. For any such $F^{*}$, there is a section (i.e., an irreducible curve s on $F$ such that $(s, l)=1$ for a fibre $l$ of $F^{*}$ ) such that its self-intersection number $(s, s)$ is not greater than $g$.

In classifying ruled surface $F^{*}$, as was noted by Atiyah [1], it is important to know the minimum value of self-intersection numbers $(s, s)$ of sections of $F^{*} .{ }^{1)}$ Our Theorem 1 is important in the respect.

The following is a key to our proof of Theorem 1:
Theorem 2. Let $d$ be a non-negative rational integer. If $Q_{1}, \cdots, Q_{g+2 d+1}$ are points ${ }^{2}$ ) of $F$, then there is a positive divisor $D$ of $F$ such that (i) $D$ goes through $Q_{1}, \cdots, Q_{g+2 d+1}$ and (ii) $D$ is linearly equivalent to $E \times P+\sum_{i=1}^{g+d} R_{i} \times \boldsymbol{P}$ with $a P \in \boldsymbol{P}$ and suitable $R_{i} \in E$.

In connection with this Theorem 2, we prove the following theorem too:

[^0]Theorem 3. Let $Q_{1}^{*}, \cdots, Q_{i+1}^{*}$ be independent generic points of $F$ over a field of definition $k$ of $F$. Let $S^{*}$ be the set of positive divisors $D$ of $F$ such that (i) $D$ goes through $Q_{1}^{*}, \cdots, Q_{t+1}^{*}$ and (ii) $D$ is linearly equivalent to $E \times P+\sum_{i=1}^{t}$ $R_{i} \times \boldsymbol{P}$ with a $P \in \boldsymbol{P}$ and suitable $R_{i} \in E$. If $t \leq g$, then $S^{*}$ is not empty and $S^{*}$ dose not contain any algebraic family of positive dimension.

In appendix, we add some remarks on dimensions of algebraic families.

## 1. Some preliminary results, notation.

Since the case where $g=0$ is obvious, we assume that $g \geq 1 . \quad P$ (or $P^{\prime}$ ) denotes a point of $\boldsymbol{P} . \quad R$ (or $R_{i}, R_{j}^{\prime}, R_{i}^{*}$, etc.) denotes a point of $E . Q$ (or $Q_{i}, Q_{j}^{\prime}$, etc.) denotes a point of $F . k$ is a field of definition for $E$ and $F$, and for the sake of simplicity, we assume that $k$ is algebraically closed. $L\left(R_{1}, \cdots, R_{s}\right)$ is the complete linear system $\left|E \times P+\sum_{i=1}^{s} R_{i} \times \boldsymbol{P}\right|$. Specializations are understood with reference to $k$. For fundamentals on specializations of cycles, see [4] and [5].

Lemma 1. Let $d$ be the dimension of the complete linear system $\left|\sum_{i=1}^{s} R_{i}\right|$ on E. Let $\sum_{i=1}^{s} R_{i}^{*}$ be a generic member of the linear system over a field containing $k$ and let $C^{*}$ be a generic member of $L\left(R_{1}, \cdots, R_{s}\right)$ over $k\left(R_{1}^{*}, \cdots, R_{s}^{*}\right)$. Then
(i) $\operatorname{dim} L\left(R_{1}, \cdots, R_{s}\right)=2 d+1$,
(ii) trans. $\operatorname{deg}_{k} k\left(C^{*}\right)=d+1+$ trans. $\operatorname{deg}_{k} k\left(R_{1}^{*}, \cdots, R_{s}^{*}\right)$,
(iii) if $\operatorname{dim}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{s} R_{i}^{\prime}\right|=d$ and if $\left(R_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, R_{s}^{\prime}\right)$ is a specialization of $\left(R_{1}^{*}, \cdots, R_{s}^{*}\right)$ then every member of $L\left(R_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, R_{s}^{\prime}\right)$ is a specialization of $C^{*}$ over the specialization $\left(R_{1}^{*}, \cdots, R_{s}^{*}\right) \rightarrow\left(R_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, R_{s}^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. Consider $\quad E^{\prime}=E \times P$. Then $\quad \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Tr}_{E^{\prime}} L\left(R_{1}, \cdots, R_{s}\right)=d=$ $\operatorname{dim}\left(L\left(R_{1}, \cdots, R_{\mathrm{s}}\right)-E^{\prime}\right)$, from which (i) follows readily. Now, consider loci $T$ and $U$ of $\left(C^{*}, R_{1}^{*}, \cdots, R_{s}^{*}\right)$ and $C^{*}$ respectively, over $k$. Then $\operatorname{dim} T$ $=$ trans. $\operatorname{deg}_{k} k\left(R_{1}^{*}, \cdots, R_{s}^{*}\right)+$ trans. $\left.\operatorname{deg}_{k\left(R_{1}^{*}\right.}, \ldots, R_{s}^{*}\right) k\left(C^{*}\right)$, and on the other hand, letting $p$ denote the natural projection from $T$ onto $U$, we have $\operatorname{dim} p^{-1}\left(C^{*}\right)$ $=\operatorname{dim}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{s} R_{i}\right|=d$. Therefore trans. $\operatorname{deg}_{k} k\left(C^{*}\right)=\operatorname{dim} U=\operatorname{dim} T-d=$ $d+1+$ trans. $\operatorname{deg}_{k} k\left(R_{1}^{*}, \cdots, R_{s}^{*}\right)$, which proves (ii). As for (iii), we consider a specialization of $\left(C^{*}, R_{1}^{*}, \cdots, R_{s}^{*}, L\left(R_{1}, \cdots, R_{s}\right)\right)$ over the specialization $\left(R_{1}^{*}, \cdots, R_{s}^{*}\right) \rightarrow\left(R_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, R_{s}^{\prime}\right) . \quad E \times P+\sum_{i} R_{i}^{*} \times \boldsymbol{P}$ is specialized to $E \times P^{\prime}+$ $\Sigma R_{i}^{\prime} \times \boldsymbol{P}$, which must be a member of the specialization $L^{*}$ of $L\left(R_{1}, \cdots, R_{s}\right)$. Since $\operatorname{dim} L^{*}=\operatorname{dim} L\left(R_{1}, \cdots, R_{s}\right)=d=\operatorname{dim} L\left(R_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, R_{s}^{\prime}\right)$ and since all
members of $L^{*}$ are linearly equivalent to each other, ${ }^{3)}$ we see that $L^{*}=L\left(R_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, R_{s}^{\prime}\right)$. Thus Lemma 1 is proved.

Lemma 2. Let $V$ be a surface defined over $k$. If $M_{1}, \cdots, M_{n}$ are points of $V$ and if trans. $\operatorname{deg}_{k} k\left(M_{1}, \cdots, M_{n}\right) \geq 2 n-\alpha$, then suitable $n-\alpha$ points among $M_{1}, \cdots, M_{n}$ are independent generic points of $V$ over $k$.

Proof. We use induction argument on $n$. (1) If $M_{n}$ is a generic point of $V$ over $k\left(M_{1}, \cdots, M_{n-1}\right)$, then trans. $\operatorname{deg}_{k} k\left(M_{1}, \cdots, M_{n-1}\right) \geq 2(n-1)-\alpha$. Then, by our induction assumption, there are $n-1-\alpha$ independent generic points among $M_{1}, \cdots, M_{n-1}$ and we see the assertion in this case. (2) Otherwise, we have trans. $\operatorname{deg}_{k} k\left(M_{1}, \cdots, M_{n-1}\right) \geq 2(n-1)-(\alpha-1)$, and we completes the proof by our induction assumption.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 2.

Let $R_{1}^{*}, \cdots, R_{g+d}^{*}$ be independent generic points of $E$ over $k$ and let $C^{*}$ be a generic member of $L\left(R_{1}^{*}, \cdots, R_{g+d}^{*}\right)$ over $k\left(R_{1}^{*}, \cdots, R_{g+d}^{*}\right)$. Let $Q_{1}^{*}, \cdots, Q_{2 g+2 d+1}^{*}$ be independent generic points of $C^{*}$ over $k\left(C^{*}\right)$. Then by Lemma 1, trans. $\operatorname{deg}_{k} k\left(C^{*}, Q_{1}^{*}, \cdots, Q_{2 \nmid+2 d+1}^{*}\right)=$ trans. $\operatorname{deg}_{k} k\left(C^{*}\right)+2 g+2 d+$ $+1=d+1+d+g+2 g+2 d+1=3 g+4 d+2=2(2 g+2 d+1)-g$. Now we consider locus $T$ of $\left(C^{*}, Q_{1}^{*}, \cdots, Q_{2 g+2 d+1}^{*}\right)$ and the natural projection pr from $T$ into the $(2 g+2 d+1)$-ple product $F^{\prime \prime}$ of $F$. Since the self-intersection number ( $C^{*}, C^{*}$ ) of $C^{*}$ is equal to $2 g+2 d$, we see that pr is generically a one-one correspondence between $T$ and pr $T$, which shows that $\operatorname{dim} T=\operatorname{dim}$ pr $T$. Therefore, applying Lemma 2 with $n=2 g+2 d+1$, we see that there are $g+2 d+1$ independent generic points of $F$ among $Q_{1}^{*}, \cdots, Q_{2}^{*} g+2 d+1$. This proves Theorem 2 in the case where $Q_{1}, \cdots, Q_{g+2 d+1}$ are independent generic points of $F$. New we complete the proof making use of specializations.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.

As was noted at the beginning, $F^{*}$ is obtained by successive elementary transformations with centers, say, $P_{1}, \cdots, P_{m}$ from $F$. If $m \leq g$, then the proper transform of an $E \times P$ has self-intersection number $\leq g$. Therefore we assume that $m>g$. Then there is $d$ such that $m=g+2 d$ or $m=g+2 d+1$. By virtue of Theorem 2, there is a positive divisor $D$ of $F$ such that (i)

[^1]$D$ goes through $P_{1}, \cdots, P_{m}$ and (ii) $D$ is linearly equivalent to $E \times P+$ $\sum_{i=1}^{o+d} R_{i} \times \boldsymbol{P}$. Then the proper transform $D^{\prime}$ of $D$, or more precisely, the divisor of $F^{*}$ which is the transform of $D-\Sigma P_{i}$, has self-intersection number $2 g+2 d-m$, which is either $g$ or $g-1 . \quad D^{\prime}$ has a section $s$ of $F^{*}$ as a component, and $(s, s) \leq g$. This completes our proof of Theorem 1.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 3.

Let $P$ and $R_{i}(i=1, \cdots, t)$ be such that $Q_{i}^{*} \in R_{i} \times P$ and $Q_{i+1}^{*} \in E \times P$. Then $E \times P+\sum_{i=1}^{t} R_{i} \times \boldsymbol{P}$ is in $S^{*}$, and therefore $S^{*}$ is not empty. Assume now that there is an irreducible algebraic family $S$ of positive dimension contained in $S^{*}$. Let $C$ be a generic member of $S$ over $k\left(Q_{1}^{*}, \cdots, Q_{t+1}^{*}\right)$ and let $R_{i}^{\prime}$ be such that $C \in L\left(R_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, R_{t}^{\prime}\right)$. Let $\sum_{i=1}^{t} R_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ be a generic member of $\left|\Sigma_{i} R_{i}^{\prime}\right|$ over $k\left(Q_{1}^{*}, \cdots, Q_{t+1}^{*}, R_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, R_{t}^{\prime}\right)$ and let $C^{\prime \prime}$ be a generic member of $L\left(R_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, R_{t}^{\prime}\right)$ over $k\left(Q_{1}^{*}, \cdots, Q_{i+1}^{*}, R_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, R_{t}^{\prime}, R_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right.$, $\left.\cdots, R_{t}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Let $U$ be the locus of $C^{\prime \prime}$ over $k$ and set $d=\operatorname{dim}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{t} R_{i}^{\prime}\right|$. Lemma 1 shows that $\operatorname{dim} U=$ trans. $\operatorname{deg}_{k} k\left(C^{\prime \prime}\right)=d+1+\operatorname{trans} . \operatorname{deg}_{k} k\left(R_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \cdots\right.$, $\left.R_{t}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Set $u=$ trans. $\operatorname{deg}_{k} k\left(R_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \cdots, R_{t}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Then we may assume that $R_{1}^{\prime \prime}$, $\cdots, R_{u}^{\prime \prime}$ are independent generic points of $E$ over $k$. Since $t \leq g$, dim $\left|\sum_{i=1}^{u} R_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right|=0$, whence $d=\operatorname{dim}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{t} R_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq t-u$. Thus we have that $\operatorname{dim} U \leq t-u+1+u=t+1$. Since $U$ is defined over $k$ and since $Q_{1}^{*}, \cdots$, $Q_{t+1}^{*}$ are independent generic points, $\operatorname{dim} S \leq t+1-(t+1)=0$. This completes our proof of Theorem 3.

## Appendix

Our proof of Theorem 2 above really gives a proof of the following fact:

Theorem A1. Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be an algebraic family of positive divisors on a projective variety $V$. If $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{F} \geq d$ and if $P_{1}, \cdots, P_{d}$ are points of $V$, then there is a member $D$ of $\mathfrak{F}$ such that $P_{i} \in D$ for all $i$.

If $\mathfrak{F}$ is a linear system, then, for a point $P$ of $V,\{D \in \mathfrak{F} \mid P \in D\}$ forms a hyperplane of $\mathfrak{F}$ if $\mathfrak{F}$ is viewed as a projective space of dimension $d$. Therefore if $\mathfrak{F}$ is a linear system, then Theorem A1 is obvious and is well known. But, in the general case, the same reasoning cannot be given. Furthermore, if $\mathfrak{F}$ is an algebraic family of $r$-cycles ( $\neq$ divisors), then the dimension defect by the condition to go through one point is not uniform. For instance, let $V$ be the projective space of dimension $n$ and let $\mathfrak{F}$ be the family of $m$ points which are colinear $(m \geq 3)$, then $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{F}=2(n-1)+m$.

For $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}=\{D \in \mathfrak{F} \mid P \in D\}$ (where $P$ is a point of $V$ ), $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{F}^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{F}-n$. For $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{D \in \mathfrak{F}^{\prime} \mid P^{\prime} \in D\right\}$ (where $P^{\prime}$ is a point of $V$ which is different from $P$ ), $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{F}^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{F}^{\prime}-n$. But then, if $P^{\prime \prime}$ is a point of $V$ which is different from $P, P^{\prime}$, (i) if $P^{\prime \prime}$ is in outside of the line going through $P, P^{\prime}$, then $\mathfrak{F}^{*}=\left\{D \in \mathfrak{F}^{\prime \prime} \mid \mathfrak{P}^{\prime \prime} \in D\right\}$ is empty, (ii) otherwise, $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{F}^{*}=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{F}^{\prime \prime}-1$.

Here we shall discuss such dimension defect in the general case. Our result will give another proof of Theorem A1 above.

From now on, let $V$ be a projective variety of dimension $n$ and let $\mathfrak{F}$ be an (irreducible) algebraic family of positive $r$-cycles on $V$. We fix an algebraically closed, common field of definition $k$ for $V$ and $\mathfrak{F}$. Let $C^{*}$ be a generic member of $\mathfrak{F}$ over $k$ and let $P$ be a point of $V$. Denote by $\mathfrak{F}-P$ the set $\{C \in \mathfrak{F} \mid P \in C\}$.

Assume that there is a member $C$ of $\mathfrak{F}-P$. Then there is a point $P^{*}$ of $C^{*}$ such that $\left(C^{*}, P^{*}\right)$ is specialized to $(C, P)$. Let $U$ be the locus of $P^{*}$ over $k$. Then

Theorem A2. There is an algebraic family $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}$ such that (1) $C \in \mathfrak{F}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}-P$ and (2) $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{F}^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{F}+\operatorname{dim}\left(U \cap C^{*}\right)-\operatorname{dim} U$.

Proof. To begin with, we may assume that $P^{*}$ is a generic point of an arbitrarily fixed component of $C^{*} \cap U$ over $k\left(C^{*}\right)$, whence we may assume that $\operatorname{dim}\left(U \cap C^{*}\right)=$ trans. $\operatorname{deg}_{k\left(C^{*}\right)} k\left(C^{*}, P^{*}\right)$. Let $W$ and $T$ be the locus of $C^{*}$ over $k\left(P^{*}\right)$ and the locus of $\left(C^{*}, P^{*}\right)$ over $k$ respectively. Then $\operatorname{dim} U+\operatorname{dim}$ $W=\operatorname{trans} . \operatorname{deg} k\left(P^{*}\right)+$ trans. $\operatorname{deg}_{k\left(P^{*}\right)} k\left(P^{*}, C^{*}\right)=\operatorname{dim} T=\operatorname{dim} F+\operatorname{dim}\left(U \cap C^{*}\right)$. Thus $\operatorname{dim} W=\operatorname{dim} F+\operatorname{dim}\left(U \cap C^{*}\right)-\operatorname{dim} U$. Consider a specialization $W \rightarrow W^{\prime}$ over $\left(C^{*}, P^{*}\right) \rightarrow(C, P)$. Then, since $C^{*} \in W$, we have $C \in W^{\prime}$. Thus it is enough to set $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}=W^{\prime}$.

From our Theorem A2, we get the following result immediately:
Let $C_{i}^{*}(i=1, \cdots, t)$ be all of the irreducible components of $C^{*}$ and let $P_{i}^{*}$ be a generic point of $C_{i}^{*}$ over $k\left(C_{1}^{*}, \cdots, C_{i}^{*}\right)$. Let $V_{i}$ be the locus of $P_{i}^{*}$ over $k$ for each $i$. Then

Theorem A3. For $P \in V$, we have
(1) if $P$ is not in any of $V_{i}$, then $\mathfrak{F}-P$ is empty,
(2) otherwise, let $p$ be the maximum of $\operatorname{dim} U_{i}$ where $U_{i}$ ranges over all $V_{i}$ which goes through $P$, then the dimension of every component of $F-P$ is not less than $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{F}+r-p$.

Now, our Theorem A1 is a corollary to this.
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    1) Atiyah proved that the minimum value is not greater than $2 g-1$ if $g>0$. On the other hand, it was remarked by M. Maruyama that there is an $F$ (for every $E$ ) which carries only sections $s$ such that $(s, s) \geqq g$ (see [2]).
    ${ }^{2)}$ In this theorem, these $Q_{i}$ need not be ordinary points, namely, some of these $Q_{i}$ may be infinitely near points of some ordinary points. For the definition of the term "go through" in such a case, see [3].
[^1]:    3) Note that if $D$ and $D^{\prime}$ are divisors which are linearly equivalent to each other, and if they are specialized to $D_{1}$ and $D_{1}^{\prime}$ under the same specialization, then $D_{1}$ is linearly equivalent to $D_{1}^{\prime}$.
