

ON A CROSSED PRODUCT OF A DIVISION RING

NOBUO NOBUSAWA

1. Let R and C be a ring and its center, and G an automorphism group of R of order n . By a factor set $\{c_{\sigma, \tau}\}$, we mean a system of regular elements $c_{\sigma, \tau}$ ($\sigma, \tau \in G$) in C such that

$$(1) \quad c_{\sigma, \tau} c_{\tau, \rho} = c_{\sigma\tau, \rho} c_{\sigma, \tau}.$$

A crossed product $W = W(R, G, \{c_{\sigma, \tau}\})$ is a ring containing R such that $W = \sum_{\sigma \in G} u_{\sigma} R$ (direct) with regular elements u_{σ} and $au_{\sigma} = u_{\sigma} a^{\sigma}$ for a in R and $u_{\sigma} u_{\tau} = u_{\sigma\tau} c_{\sigma, \tau}$. As usual, we identify $W(R, G, \{c_{\sigma, \tau}\})$ and $W(R, G, \{c'_{\sigma, \tau}\})$ when $c_{\sigma, \tau}$ and $c'_{\sigma, \tau}$ are cohomologous (in C). When $c_{\sigma, \tau} = 1$, the crossed product is called splitting. In this note, we shall deal with a division ring D as R , and when $S = \{a \in D \mid a^{\sigma} = a \text{ for all } \sigma \text{ in } G\}$, we suppose $[D : S] = n$. In this case, D/S is called a strictly Galois extension with a Galois group G ([3], [4]). The purpose of this note is to discuss a splitting property of W by extending the base ring S as well as D , which is an analogy of the classical result of commutative case. We shall show that there exist a division ring D' such that $S \subseteq D' \subseteq D$ and a kind of (non-commutative) Kronecker product $D^* = D \otimes D'$ over S such that $W(D^*, G, \{c_{\sigma, \tau}\})$ becomes splitting. The construction of the Kronecker product seems very interesting to the author and an example will be given in the last section.

2. Let D be a division ring and x_1, \dots, x_m m indeterminates. A polynomial ring $D[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ is defined in a natural way, supposing commutativity of multiplication between elements of D and x_i and between x_i and x_j . The quotient division ring of $D[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ is called the rational function division ring, whose existence is almost clear when we imbed $D[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ into the formal power series division ring $D\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} = D\{x_m\}\{x_{m-1}\} \cdots \{x_1\}$ of x_1, \dots, x_m over D and take the

minimum division ring containing it. We denote the rational function division ring by $D(x)$. A discrete valuation of rank m is then introduced in $D(x)$ as follows. Every element of $D(x)$ is considered as a formal power series in $D\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$, and let us express an element $f(x) = \sum a(i_1, \dots, i_m)x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_m^{i_m}$. Define a mapping φ such that $\varphi(f(x)) = (s_1, \dots, s_m)$ where $s_1 = \min i_1$ (the min being taken over all i_1 such that $a(i_1, \dots, i_m) \neq 0$), $s_2 = \min i_2$ (the min being taken over all i_2 such that $a(s_1, i_2, \dots, i_m) \neq 0$), \dots , and finally $s_m = \min i_m$ (the min being taken over all i_m such that $a(s_1, \dots, s_{m-1}, i_m) \neq 0$). Between two m tuples of integers (i_1, \dots, i_m) and (j_1, \dots, j_m) we introduce an order such that $(i_1, \dots, i_m) > (j_1, \dots, j_m)$ if $i_1 > j_1$, or if $i_1 = j_1$ and $i_2 > j_2, \dots$, or if $i_1 = j_1, i_2 = j_2, \dots, i_{m-1} = j_{m-1}$ and $i_m > j_m$. All $f(x)$ such that $\varphi(f(x)) \geq (0, \dots, 0)$ form a ring called the valuation ring and denoted by $V_{D(x)}$, and all $f(x)$ such that $\varphi(f(x)) > (0, \dots, 0)$ form a prime ideal of $V_{D(x)}$ which is called the valuation ideal and denoted by $P_{D(x)}$. (See [6])

3. Let D, G and $\{c_{\sigma, \tau}\}$ be as in 1. We consider a rational function division ring $D(t_1, \dots, t_m) = D(t)$ where we suppose $m = n - 1$. We want to extend G to an automorphism group of $D(t)$ as follows. G acts on elements of D as usual, but t_i will be mapped in the following manner. Let us express $G = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m, \varepsilon\}$ and set $t_\sigma = t_i$ for $\sigma = \sigma_i$ and $t_\varepsilon = 1$. Then set

$$(2) \quad t_\sigma^\tau = t_\tau^{-1} t_{\sigma\tau} c_{\sigma, \tau} \quad (\sigma, \tau \in G).$$

(Here we assume that $c_{\sigma, \varepsilon} = c_{\varepsilon, \sigma} = 1$)

It is seen that G induces an automorphism group of $D(t)$, since $(t_\sigma^\tau)^\rho = (t_\tau^{-1} t_{\sigma\tau} c_{\sigma, \tau})^\rho = (t_\tau^{-1} t_{\sigma\tau} c_{\sigma, \tau})^{-1} (t_\tau^{-1} t_{\sigma\tau} c_{\sigma\tau, \rho}) c_{\sigma, \tau}^\rho = t_\tau^{-1} t_{\sigma\tau} c_{\sigma, \tau} = t_\sigma^\tau$ due to (1). Let B be the fix ring of G , namely $B = \{f(t) \in D(t) \mid f(t)^\sigma = f(t) \text{ for all } \sigma \text{ in } G\}$. This is an analogue of the Brauer field defined in [5]. Naturally G is a group of outer automorphisms of $D(t)$ and hence $[D(t):B] = n$ by Galois theory of division rings. (See [1]). What is more important, a basis u_1, \dots, u_n of D/S is also a basis of $D(t)/B$. (2) implies that the crossed product $W(D(t), G, \{c_{\sigma, \tau}\})$ is a splitting crossed product. Now our intension is clear. Specialize B and $D(t)$ as well to get a finite extension D' and D^* such that $W(D^*/D', G, \{c_{\sigma, \tau}\})$ is again splitting. To do so, the discussion in 2 will be applied for the case $x_i = 1 - t_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$). Thus $D(t) = D(x)$ and, by the specialization with respect to the valuation in 2, $t_\sigma \longrightarrow 1$ and $t_\tau^\sigma \longrightarrow c_{\sigma, \tau}$,

i.e. t_σ and t_σ^τ are all contained in $V_{D(x)} - P_{D(x)}$, which also means t_σ are units. Keep this important fact in mind.

Let V_B be the valuation ring of B ; $V_B = V_{D(x)} \cap B$, and P_B the valuation ideal of B ; $P_B = P_{D(x)} \cap B$. Then the specialization D' of B with respect to the valuation is V_B/P_B and clearly $S \subseteq D' \subseteq D$. Now consider a set $U = \{\sum_i u_i f_i(x) | f_i(x) \in V_B\}$ and a set $P = \{\sum_i u_i p_i(x) | p_i(x) \in P_B\}$.

PROPOSITION. U is a ring and P is an ideal of U .

Proof. To prove Proposition, it is sufficient to show that $f(x)u_i \in U$ for $f(x)$ in V_B and $p(x)u_i \in P$ for $p(x)$ in P_B . Let v_1, \dots, v_n be the dual basis of u_1, \dots, u_n with respect to the trace function Tr of D/S for the Galois group G . That is, $Tr(v_i u_j) = \delta_{ij}$ (Kronecker delters). The existence of such v_i is clear since $Tr(D) \neq 0$, the latter being a consequence of the existence of a normal basis for D/S [2]. (Also see [3].) Put $f(x)u_i = \sum_j u_j h_j(x)$ with $h_j(x) \in B$, and we have $h_k(x) = Tr(v_k f(x)u_i)$. But clearly $Tr(v_k f(x)u_j) \in V_{D(x)}$, and hence $h_k(x) \in V_B$ which implies $f(x)u_i$ are contained in U for $f(x)$ in V_B . The second part is similarly proved.

4. Now put $D^* = U/P$. (Note that P is not necessarily prime although we use the letter P .) Every element of D^* has expression $\sum_i u_i \otimes a_i$ where $a_i \in D'$ and conversely. The multiplication of $\sum u_i \otimes a_i$ and $\sum u_i \otimes b_i$ should be performed as follows. Let $f_i(x)$ (or $g_i(x)$) be elements of V_B such that $f_i(x) \longrightarrow a_i$ (or, $g_i(x) \longrightarrow b_i$) in the specialization. When $(\sum u_i f_i(x))(\sum u_i g_i(x)) = \sum u_i h_i(x)$ with $h_i(x)$ in V_B and $h_i(x) \longrightarrow c_i$, we have $(\sum u_i \otimes a_i)(\sum u_i \otimes b_i) = \sum u_i \otimes c_i$. Due to Proposition, the product is well defined (does not depend on the choice of $f_i(x)$ and $g_i(x)$). D^* is a generalized Kronecker product $D \otimes_S D'$. Lastly, we observe that G induces an automorphism group of U and that of P respectively, and hence G is considered to be an automorphism group of D^* . Clearly the fix ring of G is $D' = S \otimes D'$. Regarding t_σ , set $t_\sigma = \sum u_i f_i(x)$ with $f_i(x)$ in B . Since $f_i(x) = Tr(v_i t_\sigma) = \sum_{\tau \in G} v_i^\tau t_\sigma^\tau \in V_{D(x)} \cap B$, t_σ are in U . Naturally $t_\sigma \notin P$. Applying the same discussion to t_σ^{-1} , we can see $t_\sigma^{-1} \in U - P$. Thus, if we set $s_\sigma = t_\sigma \text{ mod } P$, (2) says $s_\sigma^\tau = s_\sigma^{-1} s_{\sigma\tau} c_{\sigma, \tau}$, which proves our result:

THEOREM. $W(D^*, G, \{c_{\sigma, \tau}\})$ is a splitting crossed product.

COROLLARY. $W(D, G, \{c_{\sigma, \tau}\}) \subseteq D_n$ (a matrix algebra over D).

Proof. By denoting by D_r the right multiplication ring of D , GD_r coincides with the totality of $S (= S_i)$ -homomorphisms of D to D by Galois theory of division rings. Now, $W(D, G, \{c_{\sigma, \tau}\}) \subseteq W(D^*, G, \{c_{\sigma, \tau}\}) = W(D^*, G, \{1\})$, the latter being isomorphic to GD^* . From the first discussion, GD^* coincides with the totality of D' -homomorphisms of D^* , which is naturally (isomorphic to) D'_n .

5. Let A denote the quaternion algebra $Q(i, j)$ over the rational number field Q as usual. Consider a simple extension $A/Q(i)$. This is a strictly Galois extension with a Galois group $G = \{\varepsilon, \sigma\}$ where $j^\sigma = -j$ ($= iji^{-1}$). Take a factor set: $c_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon} = c_{\varepsilon, \sigma} = c_{\sigma, \varepsilon} = 1$ and $c_{\sigma, \sigma} = 2$. In this case, (2) says $t^\sigma = 2t^{-1}$. ($t = t_\sigma$). Then $B = Q(i)(t + 2t^{-1}, j(t - 2t^{-1}))$. By the specialization $t \rightarrow 1$, $D' = A$ and hence $D^* = A \otimes A$ over $Q(i)$. We take $u_1 = 1$ and $u_2 = j$. Now we show some examples of multiplication. Since $1 \otimes j = 1 \cdot (-j(t - 2t^{-1}) + j \cdot 0 \bmod P$, $(1 \otimes j)(1 \otimes j) = (-j(t - 2t^{-1}))^2 \bmod P = -(t - 2t^{-1})^2 \bmod P = -1 \bmod P = 1 \otimes (-1)$. Since $j \otimes (-1) = 1 \cdot 0 + j \cdot (-1) \bmod P$, $(1 \otimes j)(j \otimes (-1)) = (-j(t - 2t^{-1}))(-j) \bmod P = -(t - 2t^{-1}) \bmod P = j \cdot (j(t - 2t^{-1})) \bmod P = j \otimes (-j)$. Similarly, we have $(j \otimes 1)(1 \otimes j) = j \otimes j$ and $(j \otimes 1)(j \otimes (-1)) = 1 \otimes 1$. Thus, combining all results, we have $(1 \otimes j + j \otimes 1)(1 \otimes j + j \otimes (-1)) = 0$, which shows D^* is not a division ring. Since $t = \frac{1}{2}((t + 2t^{-1}) - jj(t - 2t^{-1}))$, $t \bmod P = \frac{1}{2}(1 \otimes 3 + j \otimes j)$, and since $t^\sigma = \frac{1}{2}((t + 2t^{-1}) + jj(t - 2t^{-1}))$, $t^\sigma \bmod P = \frac{1}{2}(1 \otimes 3 - j \otimes j)$. On the other hand, since $j = -j(t - 2t^{-1})$ by $t \rightarrow 1$, $j \otimes j = j(-j(t - 2t^{-1})) \bmod P$, which shows $(j \otimes j)(j \otimes j) = (t - 2t^{-1})^2 \bmod P = 1 \otimes 1$. Thus, if we set $s = t \bmod P$, $ss^\sigma = \frac{1}{4}(1 \otimes 9 - 1 \otimes 1) = 2$, or $s^\sigma = 2s^{-1}$. This is nothing but (2).

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Jacobson, Structure of rings. A.M.S. (1956).
- [2] F. Kasch, Über den Endomorphismenring eines Vektorraums und der Satz von der Normalbasen. Math. Ann. **126** (1953) 447-463.
- [3] K. Kishimoto, T. Onodera and H. Tominaga, On the normal basis theorems and the extension dimension. J. Fac. Scie. Hokkaido Univ. Ser. 1, **18** (1964) 81-88.
- [4] N. Nobusawa and H. Tominaga, Some remarks on strictly Galois extensions of simple rings. Math. J. Okayama Univ. **9** (1959/60) 13-17.

- [5] P. Roquette, On the Galois cohomology of the projective groups and its applications.
Math. Ann. **150** (1963) 411–439.
- [6] O.F.G. Schilling, The theory of valuations. New York (1950).

University of Hawaii

