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# AMPLE VECTOR BUNDLES ON A RATIONAL SURFACE (HIGHER RANK) 

TOSHIO HOSOH

## Introduction

In the previous paper [1], we showed that the set of simple vector bundles of rank 2 on a rational surface with fixed Chern classes is bounded and we gave a sufficient condition for an $H$-stable vector bundle of rank 2 on a rational surface to be ample. In this paper, we shall extend the results of [1] to the case of higher rank.

Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic. Throughout this paper, the ground field $k$ will be fixed.

In §1, we shall prove the following;
Theorem 1. Let $X$ be the projective plane $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ or the rational ruled surface $\Sigma_{n}$. For a divisor $C_{1}$ on $X$ and integers $C_{2}, r(\geqq 2)$, put $\mathscr{F}=$ $\left\{E\right.$; simple vector bundle of rank $r$ on $X$ with $C_{i}(E)=C_{i}$ for $\left.i=1,2\right\}$, then $\mathscr{F}$ is bounded.

For a vector bundle $E$ of rank $r$ on a non-singular projective surface, define an integer $\Delta(E)$ to be $(r-1) C_{1}(E)^{2}-2 r C_{2}(E)$. It is easy to see that $-\Delta(E)$ is the second Chern class of End $(E)$. Hence if $L$ is a line bundle, then $\Delta(E \otimes L)=\Delta(E)$. Let $H$ be a hyperplane of $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$. For a vector bundle $E$ of rank $r$ on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$, there exists uniquely a line bundle $L$ on $P^{2}$ such that $C_{1}(E \otimes L)=a H$ with $-r+1 \leqq a \leqq 0$. Put $a(E)=a$. In §2, we shall prove the following;

Theorem 2. Let $E$ be an H-stable vector bundle of rank $r$ on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$. If $\left(C_{1}(E), H\right) \geqq-\frac{1}{2} \Delta(E)+(a+2 r)(2-a-r) / 2$ then $E$ is ample where $a=a(E)$.

Let $\Sigma_{n}=\boldsymbol{P}\left(O_{P_{1}}(-n) \oplus O_{P_{1}}\right)$ be a rational ruled surface and let $M$ be a minimal section of $\Sigma_{n}$ and $N$ be a fibre of $\Sigma_{n}$. The divisor class group
of $\Sigma_{n}$ is generated by the classes of $M$ and $N$. For a couple of integers $(\alpha, \beta)$, we denote $\alpha(M+n N)+\beta N$ by $H_{\alpha, \beta} . \quad H_{\alpha, \beta}$ is ample if and only if $\alpha>0, \beta>0$. For a vector bundle $E$ of rank $r$ on $\Sigma_{n}$, there exists uniquely a line bundle $L$ on $\Sigma_{n}$ such that $C_{1}(E \otimes L)=a M+b N$ with $-r+1 \leqq a$, $b \leqq 0$. Put $a(E)=a$ and $b(E)=b$. In $\S 3$, we shall prove the following;

THEOREM 3. Let $E$ be an $H_{\alpha, \beta}$-stable vector bundle of rank $r$ on $\Sigma_{n}$ $(\alpha>0, \beta>0)$. If $\left(C_{1}(E), N\right) \geqq-\frac{1}{2} \Delta(E)+c(a, b, r, n)+a$ and $\left(C_{1}(E), M\right) \geqq$ $-\frac{1}{2} \Delta(E)+c(a, b, r, n)-a n+b$ then $E$ is ample where $a=a(E), b=b(E)$ and $c(a, b, r, n)=\frac{1}{2} a n(a+r)-r(a+b+a b+r-2)$.

In §4, we shall show that Theorem 2 is best possible in some cases. If $E$ is an $H$-stable vector bundle of rank $r$ on $P^{2}$ with $C_{1}(E)= \pm H$, then $C_{2}(E) \geqq r-1$ (Lemma 4.1). Conversely for any couple of integers $(r, n)$ such that $n \geqq r-1 \geqq 1$, there is an $H$-stable vector bundle $E$ of rank $r$ on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ with $C_{1}(E)=H$ and $C_{2}(E)=n$ such that $E(t)$ is ample if and only if $E(t)$ satisfies the condition of Theorem 2 and $E^{*}(t)$ is ample if and only if $E^{*}(t)$ satisfies the condition of Theorem 2 (Theorem 4).

## §1. Simple vector bundles

Let $S$ be a non-singular projective variety defined over $k$ and $E$ be a vector bundle (i.e. a locally free sheaf of finite rank) on $S$.

Definition. $E$ is called simple if any global endomorphism of $E$ is constant i.e. $H^{0}(S$, End $(E))=k$.

Definition. A set $\mathscr{F}$ of vector bundles on $S$ is bounded if there are an algebraic $k$-scheme $T$ and a vector bundle $V$ on $T \times S$ such that each $E$ in $\mathscr{F}$ is isomorphic to $V_{t}=\left.V\right|_{t \times S}$ for some closed point $t$ in $T$.

Let $X$ be the projective plane $P^{2}$ or a rational ruled surface $\Sigma_{n}=$ $\boldsymbol{P}\left(O_{P_{1}}(-n) \oplus O_{P_{1}}\right)(n \geqq 0)$. Let $M$ be a minimal section of $\Sigma_{n}$ and $N$ be a fibre of $\Sigma_{n}$. By the same symbol $H$, we denote a hyperplane of $P^{2}$ when $X=\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, H_{1,1}=(M+n N)+N$ when $X=\Sigma_{n} . \quad H$ is a very ample divisor on $X$ and a general member of the complete linear system $|H|$ is isomorphic to the projective line $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}$. If $K_{X}$ is the canonical divisor on $X$, then $K_{X} \sim-3 H$ when $X=\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, K_{X} \sim-2 M-(n+2) N$ when $X=\Sigma_{n}$. For a divisor $D$ on $X$ and a coherent sheaf $E$ on $X$, we denote $E \otimes O_{X}(D)$ by $E(D), E \otimes O_{X}(m H)$ by $E(m)$ and the dual sheaf $\operatorname{Hom}_{o_{x}}\left(E, O_{x}\right)$ of $E$
by $E^{*}$. The aim of this section is;
Theorem 1. Let $X$ be $P^{2}$ or $\Sigma_{n}$. For a divisor $C_{1}$ on $X$ and integers $C_{2}, r(\geqq 2)$, put $\mathscr{F}=\{E$; simple vector bundle of rank $r$ on $X$ with $C_{i}(E)=C_{i}$ for $\left.i=1,2\right\}$ then $\mathscr{F}$ is bounded.

Proof. For an integer $d$, let $\mathscr{F}_{d}$ be the subset of $\mathscr{F}$ which consists of $E$ in $\mathscr{F}$ such that $H^{0}(X, E(d))=(0)$ and $H^{0}(X, E(d+1)) \neq(0)$, then $\mathscr{F}=\cup \mathscr{F}_{d}$. We separate the proof into two steps;
(a) For almost all $d, \mathscr{F}_{d}$ is empty,
(b) $\mathscr{F}_{d}$ is bounded for all $d$.

If (a) and (b) are proved then $\mathscr{F}$ is considered as a finite union of bounded families and so $\mathscr{F}$ is bounded. Before proving (a) and (b), we introduce one more notation. For $E$ in $\mathscr{F}$, let $P$ be the numerical polynomial defined by $P(m)=\chi(X, E(m))=\Sigma(-1)^{i} h^{i}(X, E(m))$ where $h^{i}(X, E(m))=$ $\operatorname{dim}_{k} H^{i}(X, E(m))$. Since $H$ is ample and $X$ is a surface, $P$ is of degree two and $P(m) \rightarrow \infty$ if $m \rightarrow \pm \infty . \quad P$ is independent from a choice of $E$ in $\mathscr{F}$.
(a) We shall prove that if $\mathscr{F}_{d}$ is not empty then $P(d) \leqq 0$. Hence such $d$ 's are finite. Assume that $\mathscr{F}_{a}$ is not empty. Let $E$ be an element of $\mathscr{F}_{d}$, then $H^{0}(X, E(d))=(0)$ and $H^{0}(X, E(d+1)) \neq(0)$. We want to prove that $H^{2}(X, E(d))=(0)$. If this is proved, then $P(d)=-h^{1}(X, E(d))$ $\leqq 0$. The dual of $H^{2}\left(X, E(d)\right.$ ) is isomorphic to $H^{0}\left(X, E(d)^{*} \otimes O_{X}\left(K_{X}\right)\right.$ ) (Serre duality) and $E(d)^{*} \otimes O_{X}\left(K_{X}\right) \cong E(d+1)^{*} \otimes O_{X}\left(K_{X}+H\right)$. $\quad$ Since $-K_{X}-H$ is linearly equivalent to an effective divisor, $H^{0}\left(X, E(d) * \otimes O_{X}\left(K_{X}\right)\right) \subset$ $H^{0}\left(X, E(d+1)^{*}\right)$. Therefore it suffices to prove that $H^{0}\left(X, E(d+1)^{*}\right)=$ (0). This follows from;

Lemma 1.1. ((4) Proposition 1.) Let $E^{\prime}$ be a vector bundle on a non-singular variety $S$ defined over $k$. If $H^{0}\left(S, E^{\prime}\right) \neq(0), H^{0}\left(S, E^{\prime *}\right) \neq(0)$ and $E^{\prime}$ is not a line bundle than $E^{\prime}$ is not simple.

Since $E$ is simple and $H^{0}(X, E(d+1)) \neq(0), H^{0}\left(X,\left(X, E(d+1)^{*}\right)=(0)\right.$ by Lemma 1.1.
(b) By a theorem of Kleiman ((2) Theorem 1.13), it is sufficient to show that there are integers $m_{1}, m_{2}$ such that for any $E$ in $\mathscr{F}_{d}(d)$, i) $h^{0}(X, E) \leqq m_{1}$ ii) $h^{0}\left(\ell,\left.E\right|_{\ell}\right) \leqq m_{2}$ for a general member $\ell$ in $|H|$ where $\mathscr{F}_{d}(d)=\left\{E(d) ; E\right.$ in $\left.\mathscr{F}_{d}\right\}$. By the definition of $\mathscr{F}_{d}, m_{1}=0$ satisfies i). We now show ii). For a general member $\ell$ in $|H|$ and $E$ in $\mathscr{F}_{d}(d)$,
there is a long exact sequence of cohomologies;

$$
\cdots \rightarrow H^{0}(X, E) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\ell,\left.E\right|_{e}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}(X, E(-1)) \rightarrow \cdots
$$

Since $H^{0}(X, E)=(0)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{0}\left(\ell,\left.E\right|_{\ell}\right) \leqq h^{1}(X, E(-1)) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $X=\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ then $h^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(-1)\right)=h^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(-1)^{*} \otimes O_{P^{2}}\left(K_{\boldsymbol{P}^{2}}\right)\right)=h^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(1)^{*}\right.$ $\left.\otimes O_{P^{2}}(-1)\right)$. Since $h^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(1)\right) \neq 0$ and $E$ is simple, $h^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(1)^{*}\right)=0$ by Lemma 1.1. Hence $h_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(-1)\right)=0$ and also $h^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(-1)\right)=0$. Therefore $h^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(-1)\right)=-P(d-1)$. This and (1) show that $m_{2}=-P(d-1)$ satisfies ii) when $X=P^{2}$. Now assume $X=\Sigma_{n}$. Put $F=E(1)^{*}$ and consider the following long exact sequence of cohomologies;

$$
\cdots \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\Sigma_{n}, F\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(N,\left.F\right|_{N}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, F(-N)\right) \rightarrow \cdots .
$$

Since $H^{0}\left(\Sigma_{n}, F\right)=(0)$, we have $h^{0}\left(N,\left.F\right|_{N}\right) \leqq h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, F(-N)\right)$. On the other hand, $h^{2}\left(\Sigma_{n}, F(-N)\right)=h^{0}\left(\Sigma_{n}, F(-N)^{*} \otimes O_{\Sigma_{n}}\left(K_{\Sigma_{n}}\right)\right)=h^{0}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E \otimes O_{\Sigma_{n}}(-M)\right)$ $=0$ and $h^{0}\left(\Sigma_{n}, F(-N)\right)=0$, therefore $h^{0}\left(N,\left.F\right|_{N}\right) \leqq-\chi\left(\Sigma_{n}, F(-N)\right)$. Note that $\chi\left(\Sigma_{n}, F(-N)\right)$ is dependent only on $\mathscr{F}$ and $d$. Since $N$ is a fibre of $\Sigma_{n},\left.\left.F(m N)\right|_{N} \cong F\right|_{N}$ for any integer $m$. Now consider the following long exact sequences of cohomologies;

$$
0 \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\Sigma_{n}, F((m-1) N)\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\Sigma_{n}, F(m N)\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(N,\left.F(m N)\right|_{N}\right) \rightarrow \cdots
$$

for $m=0, \cdots, n$, then we have;

$$
\begin{align*}
h^{0}\left(\Sigma_{n}, F(n N)\right) \leqq & h^{0}\left(\Sigma_{n}, F((n-1) N)\right)+h^{0}\left(N,\left.F(n N)\right|_{N}\right) \\
= & h^{0}\left(\Sigma_{n}, F((n-1) N)\right)+h^{0}\left(N,\left.F\right|_{N}\right)  \tag{2}\\
& \cdots \\
\leqq & n h^{0}\left(N,\left.F\right|_{N}\right) \leqq-n \chi\left(\Sigma_{n}, F(-N)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $h^{0}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E(-1)\right)=0$ and $h^{2}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E(-1)\right)=h^{0}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E(-1)^{*} \otimes O_{\Sigma_{n}}\left(K_{\Sigma_{n}}\right)\right)=$ $h^{0}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E(1)^{*} \otimes O_{\Sigma_{n}}(n N)\right)=h^{0}\left(\Sigma_{n}, F(n N)\right), h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E(-1)\right)=-P(d-1)+h^{0}\left(\Sigma_{n}\right.$, $F(n N)$ ). Therefore, (1) and (2) show that $m_{2}=-P(d-1)-n \chi\left(\Sigma_{n}\right.$, $F(-N)$ ) satisfies ii) when $X=\Sigma_{n}$.

## § 2. $\boldsymbol{H}$-stable vector bundles on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$

Let $E$ be a vector bundle on a non-singular projective surface $S$ defined over $k$ and $H$ be an ample divisor on $S$.

Definition. $E$ is $H$-stable if for every non-zero coherent subsheaf
$F$ of $E$ of rank $<r(E),\left(C_{1}(F), H\right) / r(F)<\left(C_{1}(E), H\right) / r(E)$ where $r(F)$ is the rank of $F$.

We refer to [5] for basic properties of $H$-stable vector bundles. For a vector bundle $E$ on $S$, put $\Delta(E)=(r-1) C_{1}(E)^{2}-2 r C_{2}(E)$. This integer is equal to $-C_{2}($ End $E)$. If $E$ is a vector bundle of rank $r$ on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ then there exists uniquely a line bundle $L$ on $P^{2}$ such that $C_{1}(E \otimes L)=$ $a H$ with $-r+1 \leqq a \leqq 0$, where $H$ is a hyperplane of $P^{2}$. Put $a(E)=a$. The aim of this section is;

Theorem 2. Let $E$ be an $H$-stable vector bundle of rank $r$ on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$. If $\left(C_{1}(E), H\right) \geqq-\frac{1}{2} \Delta(E)+(a+2 r)(2-a-r) / 2$ then $E$ is ample where $a=a(E)$.

In order to prove Theorem 2, we need the following lemma.
Lemma 2.1. Let $E$ be an $H$-stable vector bundle of rank $r$ on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ such that $C_{1}(E)=a H$ with $a=\alpha(E)$ then;
(1) $h^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E\right)=0$,
(2) $h^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(m)\right)=0$ for any $m \geqq 0$,
(3) $\quad h^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(m)\right) \leqq h^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(m-1)\right.$ ) for any $m \geqq 1$,
(4) If $h^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(m)\right)=h^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(m-1)\right.$ ) for some $m \geqq 1$, then $E(m)$ is generated by its global sections.

Proof. (1) If $h^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E\right) \neq 0$ then $E$ contains $O_{P^{2}}$ as a subsheaf but $\left(C_{1}(E), H\right)=a \leqq 0$. Since $E$ is $H$-stable, this cannot occur. (2) Since $E^{*}$ is also $H$-stable and $\left(C_{1}\left(E(m)^{*} \otimes O_{P^{2}}(-3)\right), H\right)=-a-r(m+3) \leqq 0$ for any $m \geqq 0, h^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(m)\right)=0$ for any $m \geqq 0$ by the Serre duality. (3) Let $F_{m}$ be the smallest subsheaf of $E(m)$ such that $H^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, F_{m}\right)=$ $H^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(m)\right.$ ) and $E(m) / F_{m}$ is torsion free. Note that $H^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, F_{m}(-1)\right)=$ $H^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(m-1)\right)$. Let $\ell$ be a general member of $|H|$ such that $\left.F_{m}\right|_{\ell}$ is locally free on $\ell$ and $\left.0 \rightarrow F_{m}(-1) \rightarrow F_{m} \rightarrow F_{m}\right|_{\ell} \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Since $F_{m}$ is generically generated by its global sections and $\ell \cong \boldsymbol{P}^{1},\left.\boldsymbol{F}_{m}\right|_{\ell}$ is generated by its global sections and $h^{1}\left(\ell,\left.F_{m}\right|_{\ell}\right)=0$ for a suitable choice of $\ell$. Considering the following long exact sequence of cohomologies;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cdots & \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, F_{m}(-1)\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, F_{m}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\ell,\left.F_{m}\right|_{\ell}\right) \\
& \rightarrow H^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, F_{m}(-1)\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, F_{m}\right) \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

we have $h^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, F_{m}\right) \leqq h^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, F_{m}(-1)\right)$ and $h^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, \boldsymbol{F}_{m}\right)=h^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, F_{m}(-1)\right)$. Hence we have;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(m)\right)-h^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, \boldsymbol{E}(m-1)\right) \\
&= h^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, \boldsymbol{E}(m)\right)-h^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(m-1)\right)-\left(\chi\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(m)\right)-\chi\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(m-1)\right)\right) \\
&= h^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, F_{m}\right)-h^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, F_{m}(-1)\right)-\left(r+\left(C_{1}(E(m)), H\right)\right) \\
&= h^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, \boldsymbol{F}_{m}\right)-h^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, F_{m}(-1)\right)+\left(\chi\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, F_{m}\right)\right. \\
&\left.-\chi\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, F_{m}(-1)\right)\right)-\left(r+\left(C_{1}(E(m)), H\right)\right) \\
& \leqq\left(r^{\prime}+\left(C_{1}\left(F_{m}\right), H\right)\right)-\left(r+\left(C_{1}(E(m)), H\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r^{\prime}=$ rank of $F_{m}$. Since $E$ is $H$-stable and $\left(C_{1}(E(m)), H\right)=a+$ $r m>0,\left(C_{1}\left(F_{m}\right), H\right) \leqq\left(C_{1}(E(m)), H\right)$ therefore $h^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(m)\right) \leqq h^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(m-1)\right)$. (4) If $h^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(m)\right)=h^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(m-1)\right)$ then $F_{m}=E(m)$ by the above inequality. Hence for a general member $\ell$ in $|H|,\left.E(m)\right|_{\ell}$ is generated by its global sections and $h^{1}\left(\ell,\left.E(m)\right|_{\ell}\right)=0$. Consider the following long exact sequence of cohomologies;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cdots & \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(m)\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\ell,\left.E(m)\right|_{\ell}\right) \\
& \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(m-1)\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(m)\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\ell,\left.E(m)\right|_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow \cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $h^{1}\left(\ell,\left.E(m)\right|_{\ell}\right)=(0)$ and $h^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(m)\right)=h^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(m-1)\right), H^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(m)\right)$ $\rightarrow H^{0}\left(\ell,\left.E(m)\right|_{\ell}\right)$ is surjective. Hence for any closed point $x$ in $\ell$, $H^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(m)\right) \rightarrow E(m) \otimes k(x)$ is surjective. On the other hand for any closed point $y$ in $X-\ell$, take a member $\ell^{\prime}$ in $|H|$ such that $\ell^{\prime}$ contains $y$ and take $x$ in $\ell \cap \ell^{\prime}$. Now consider the following commutative diagram;


Since $H^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(m)\right) \rightarrow E(m) \otimes k(x)$ is surjective, $H^{0}\left(\ell^{\prime},\left.E(m)\right|_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow E(m) \otimes k(x)$ is surjective therefore $\left.E(m)\right|_{\ell,}$ is generated by its global sections and $h^{1}\left(\ell^{\prime},\left.E(m)\right|_{\ell^{\prime}}\right)=0$. As the above argument for $\left.E(m)\right|_{\ell}$, we have that $H^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(m)\right) \rightarrow E(m) \otimes k(y)$ is surjective. Hence $E(m)$ is generated by its global sections by Nakayama's lemma.

Corollary 2.2. Let $E$ be as in Lemma 2.1 then $E\left(-\chi\left(P^{2}, E\right)+2\right)$ is ample.

Proof. $h^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E\right)=-\chi\left(P^{2}, E\right)$ by Lemma 2.1 (1) and (2). Put $c=$ $-\chi\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E\right)$, then by Lemma 2.1 (3) we have;

$$
c=h^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E\right) \geqq h^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(1)\right) \geqq \cdots \geqq h^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(c)\right) \geqq h^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(c+1)\right) \geqq 0
$$

Hence there must be an integer $m(1 \leqq m \leqq c+1)$ such that $h^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(m)\right)$ $=h^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E(m-1)\right)$. Hence $E(m)$ is generated by its global sections by Lemma 2.1 (4) therefore $E\left(-\chi\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E\right)+2\right)$ is ample.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $E$ be as in Theorem 2, then there is a line bnndle $L$ on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ such that for $E^{\prime}=E \otimes L, C_{1}\left(E^{\prime}\right)=a H$. It is easily calculated that $\left(C_{1}\left(E^{\prime}\left(-\chi\left(P^{2}, E^{\prime}\right)+2\right)\right), H\right)=-\frac{1}{2} \Delta(E)+(a+2 r)(2-a-r) / 2$. For $E^{\prime \prime}=E^{\prime}\left(-\chi\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E^{\prime}\right)+2\right)$, there is a line bundle $L^{\prime}$ on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ such that $E=E^{\prime \prime} \otimes L^{\prime} . \quad$ By the condition of Theorem 2, we have $\left(C_{1}\left(E^{\prime \prime} \otimes L^{\prime}\right), H\right)$ $\geqq\left(C_{1}\left(E^{\prime \prime}\right), H\right)$. Hence $\left(C_{1}\left(L^{\prime}\right), H\right) \geqq 0$. This is equivalent to that $L^{\prime}$ is generated by its global sections. Since $E^{\prime \prime}$ is ample by Corollary 2.2, $E=E^{\prime \prime} \otimes L^{\prime}$ is ample.

## § 3. $\boldsymbol{H}_{\alpha, \beta}$-stable vector bundles on $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{n}$

Let $\Sigma_{n}=\boldsymbol{P}\left(O_{P^{1}}(-n) \oplus O_{P^{1}}\right)(n \geqq 1)$ be a rational ruled surface and let $M$ be a minimal section of $\Sigma_{n}$ and $N$ be a fibre of $\Sigma_{n}$. The divisor class group of $\Sigma_{n}$ is generated by the classes of $M$ and $N$. For a couple of integers $(\alpha, \beta)$, we denote $\alpha(M+n N)+\beta N$ by $H_{\alpha, \beta}$. The intersection numbers ( $H_{\alpha, \beta}, N$ ) and ( $H_{\alpha, \beta}, M$ ) are $\alpha$ and $\beta$ respectively. $H_{\alpha, \beta}$ is ample if and only if $\alpha>0, \beta>0$ and the complete linear system $\left|H_{\alpha, \beta}\right|$ is base point free if and only if $\alpha \geqq 0, \beta \geqq 0$ ((1) Lemma (3.1)). For a vector bundle $E$ of rank $r$ on $\Sigma_{n}$, there exists uniquely a line bundle $L$ on $\Sigma_{n}$ such that $C_{1}(E \otimes L)=a M+b N$ with $-r+1 \leqq a, b \leqq 0$. Put $a(E)=a$ and $b(E)=b$. The aim of this section is;

THEOREM 3. Let $E$ be an $H_{\alpha, \beta}$-stable vector bundle of rank $r$ on $\Sigma_{n}$ $(\alpha>0, \beta>0)$. If $\left(C_{1}(E), N\right) \geqq-\frac{1}{2} \Delta(E)+c(a, b, r, n)+a$ and $\left(C_{1}(E), M\right) \geqq$ $-\frac{1}{2} \Delta(E)+c(a, b, r, n)-a n+b$ then $E$ is ample where $a=a(E), b=b(E)$ and $c(a, b, r, n)=\frac{1}{2} a n(a+r)-r(a+b+a b+r-2)$.

In order to prove Theorem 3, we need some lemmas.
Lemma 3.1. Let $E$ be an $H_{\alpha, \beta}$-stable vector bundle of rank $r$ on $\Sigma_{n}$ with $C_{1}(E)=a M+b N$ such that $a=a(E), b=b(E)$, then;
(1) $h^{0}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E\right)=0$
(2) $h^{2}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E(D)\right)=0$ for any effective divisor $D$ on $\Sigma_{n}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.1 (1), (2).

Lemma 3.2. Let $E$ be an $H_{\alpha, \beta}$-stable vector bundle of rank $r$ on $\Sigma_{n}$ with $C_{1}(E)=a M+b N$ such that $a \geqq a(E), b \geqq b(E)$ and let $F$ be the smallest subsheaf of $E\left(H_{1,1}\right)$ such that $H^{0}\left(\Sigma_{n}, F\right)=H^{0}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E\left(H_{1,1}\right)\right)$ and $E\left(H_{1,1}\right) / F$ is torsion free, then;
(1) if $r^{\prime}=$ rank of $F<r$ then $h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E\left(H_{0,1}\right)\right)<h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E\right)$ or $h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E\left(H_{1,0}\right)\right)<h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E\right)$,
(2) if $r^{\prime}=r$ (i.e. $E\left(H_{1,1}\right)$ is generically generated by its global sections) then $h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E\left(H_{1,1}\right)\right) \leqq h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E\right)$ and if $h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E\left(H_{1,1}\right)\right)=h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E\right)$ then $E) H_{1,1}$ ) is generated by its global sections.

Proof. (1) Put $C_{1}\left(E\left(H_{1,1}\right)\right)=u M+v N$ and $C_{1}(F)=u^{\prime} M+v^{\prime} N$, then by the stability of $E$ we have;

$$
\frac{\beta u^{\prime}+\alpha v^{\prime}}{r^{\prime}}<\frac{\beta u+\alpha v}{r} .
$$

Since $\alpha>0, \beta>0, u>0, v>0$ and $r^{\prime}<r$, we have $u^{\prime}<u$ or $v^{\prime}<v$. We want to prove that (i) if $u^{\prime}<u$ then $h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E\left(H_{0,1}\right)\right)<h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E\right)$ (ii) if $v^{\prime}<v$ then $h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E\left(H_{1,0}\right)\right)<h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E\right)$.
(i) Assume $u^{\prime}<u$. Let $\ell$ be a general member of $\left|H_{0,1}\right|$ such that $\left.F\right|_{\ell}$ is locally free and $0 \rightarrow F\left(-H_{1,1}\right) \rightarrow F\left(-H_{1,0}\right) \rightarrow F\left(-\left.H_{1,0}\right|_{\ell} \rightarrow 0\right.$ is exact. Since $\ell$ is a fibre of $\Sigma_{n}, \ell$ is isomorphic to the projective line and since $F$ is generically generated by its global sections, $\left.F\right|_{e}$ is generated by its global sections for a suitable choice of $\ell$. The intersection number $\left(-H_{1,0}, \ell\right)$ is -1 so we have $h^{1}\left(\ell, F\left(-\left.H_{1,0}\right|_{\ell}\right)=0\right.$ for a suitable choice of $\ell$. Considering the following long exact sequence of cohomologies;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cdots & \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, F\left(-H_{1,2}\right)\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, F\left(-H_{1,0}\right)\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\ell, F\left(-\left.H_{1,0}\right|_{\ell}\right)\right. \\
& \rightarrow H^{2}\left(\Sigma_{n}, F\left(-H_{1,1}\right)\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(\Sigma_{n}, F\left(-H_{1,0}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

we have $h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, F\left(-H_{1,0}\right)\right) \leqq h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, F\left(-H_{1,1}\right)\right)$ and $h^{2}\left(\Sigma_{n}, F\left(-H_{1,0}\right)\right)=$ $h^{2}\left(\Sigma_{n}, F\left(-H_{1,1}\right)\right)$. Note that $h^{0}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E\left(H_{0,1}\right)\right)=h^{0}\left(\Sigma_{n}, F\left(-H_{1,0}\right)\right)$ and $h^{0}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E\right)$ $=h^{0}\left(\Sigma_{n}, F\left(-H_{1,1}\right)\right)$, hence we have;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E\left(H_{0,1}\right)\right)-h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E\right) \\
& =\quad h^{0}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E\left(H_{0,1}\right)\right)-h^{0}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E\right)-\left(\chi\left(\Sigma_{n}, E\left(H_{0,1}\right)\right)-\chi\left(\Sigma_{n}, E\right)\right) \\
& = \\
& =h^{0}\left(\Sigma_{n}, F\left(-H_{1,0}\right)\right)-h^{0}\left(\Sigma_{n}, F\left(-H_{1,1}\right)\right)-\left(r+\left(C_{1}\left(E\left(H_{0,1}\right)\right), H_{0,1}\right)\right) \\
& = \\
& \quad h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, F\left(-H_{1,0}\right)\right)-h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, F\left(-H_{1,1}\right)\right)+\left(\chi\left(\Sigma_{n}, F\left(-H_{1,0}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \\
& \quad-\chi\left(\Sigma_{n}, F\left(-H_{1,1}\right)\right)-u \\
& \leqq
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Assume $v^{\prime}<v$. A general member $\ell$ of $\left|H_{1,0}\right|$ is a section of $\Sigma_{n}$ so $\ell$ is isomorphic to the projective line and $\left(-H_{0,1}, \ell\right)=-1$. Hence $h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E\left(H_{1,0}\right)\right)<h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E\right)$ is similarly obtained as above.
(2) The proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.1 (3), (4).

Corollary 3.3. Let $E$ be as in Lemma 3.1, then $E\left(\left(-\chi\left(\Sigma_{n}, E\right)+2\right) H_{1,1}\right)$ is ample.

Proof. $h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E\right)=-\chi\left(\Sigma_{n}, E\right)$ by Lemma 3.1. Put $c=-\chi\left(\Sigma_{n}, E\right)$. By Lemma 3.2 (1), there are integers $p \geqq 0, q \geqq 0$ such that for $E^{\prime}=$ $E\left(H_{p, q}\right), h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E^{\prime}\right) \leqq c-(p+q)$ and $E^{\prime}\left(H_{1,1}\right)$ is generically generated by its global sections. Put $c^{\prime}=h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E^{\prime}\right)$ then by Lemma 3.2 (2) we have;

$$
\begin{aligned}
c^{\prime} & =h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E^{\prime}\right) \geqq h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E^{\prime}\left(H_{1,1}\right)\right) \geqq \cdots \\
& \geqq h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E^{\prime}\left(c^{\prime} H_{1,1}\right)\right) \geqq h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E^{\prime}\left(\left(c^{\prime}+1\right) H_{1,1}\right)\right) \geqq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence there must be an integer $m\left(1 \leqq m \leqq c^{\prime}+1\right)$ such that $h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}\right.$, $\left.E^{\prime}\left((m-1) H_{1,1}\right)\right)=h^{1}\left(\Sigma_{n}, E^{\prime}\left(m H_{1,1}\right)\right)$. Hence by Lemma 3.2 (2), $E^{\prime}\left(m H_{1,1}\right)$ is generated by its global sections, therefore $E^{\prime}\left(\left(c^{\prime}+2\right) H_{1,1}\right)$ is ample. On the other hand $E\left((c+2) H_{1,1}\right)=E^{\prime}\left(\left(c^{\prime}+2\right) H_{1,1}\right) \otimes O_{\Sigma_{n}}\left(H_{q, p}+(c-(p+q)\right.$ $\left.\left.-c^{\prime}\right) H_{1,1}\right)$ and $c-(p+q)-c^{\prime} \geqq 0$, so $E\left((c+2) H_{1,1}\right)$ is ample.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $E$ be as in Theorem 3, then there is a line bundle $L$ on $\Sigma_{n}$ such that for $E^{\prime}=E \otimes L, C_{1}\left(E^{\prime}\right)=a M+b N$. It is easily calculated that for $E^{\prime \prime}=E^{\prime}\left(\left(-\chi\left(\Sigma_{n}, E^{\prime}\right)+2\right) H_{1,1}\right),\left(c_{1}\left(E^{\prime \prime}\right), N\right)=$ $-\frac{1}{2} \Delta(E)+c(a, b, r, n)+a$ and $\left(C_{1}\left(E^{\prime \prime}\right), M\right)=-\frac{1}{2} \Delta(E)+c(a, b, r, n)-a n+b$. There are integers $p, q$ such that $E=E^{\prime \prime}\left(H_{p, q}\right)$. By the condition of Theorem 3, we have $\left(C_{1}\left(E^{\prime \prime}\left(H_{p, q}\right)\right), N\right) \geqq\left(C_{1}\left(E^{\prime \prime}\right), N\right)$ and $\left(C_{1}\left(E^{\prime \prime}\left(H_{p, q}\right)\right), M\right)$ $\geqq\left(C_{1}\left(E^{\prime \prime}\right), M\right)$. Hence $\left(H_{p, q}, N\right)=p \geqq 0$ and $\left(H_{p, q}, M\right)=q \geqq 0$. This is equivalent to that $O_{\Sigma_{n}}\left(H_{p, q}\right)$ is generated by its global sections. Since $E^{\prime \prime}$ is ample by Corollary 3.5, $E=E^{\prime \prime}\left(H_{p, q}\right)$ is ample.

## §4. Examples of $\boldsymbol{H}$-stable vector bundles on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$

In this section we shall show that Theorem 2 is best possible when $a=-r+1$ or -1 . Let $H$ be a hyperplane of $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$. We begin with a simple lemma.

Lemma 4.1. Let $E$ be an $H$-stable vector bundle of rank $r$ on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$. If $C_{1}(E)=H$ or $-H$ then $C_{2}(E) \geqq r-1$.

Proof. Since $C_{1}\left(E^{*}\right)=-C_{1}(E)$ and $C_{2}\left(E^{*}\right)=C_{2}(E)$, we may assume
$C_{1}(E)=-H . \quad$ By Lemma $2.1(1),(2), h^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E\right)=h^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E\right)=0$. Hence $-h^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E\right)=\chi\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E\right)=r+\left(C_{1}(E), 3 H\right) / 2+\left(C_{1}(E)^{2}-2 C_{2}(E)\right) / 2=r-1-$ $C_{2}(E)$ by the Riemann-Roch theorem. Therefore $C_{2}(E) \geqq r-1$.

The following lemma is due to Maruyama ((3) Theorem 4.6).
Lemma 4.2. Let $\ell$ be a line on $P^{2}$ and $n \geqq 1$ be an integer, then there is an $H$-stable vector bundle of rank 2 on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ such that $C_{1}(E)=H$, $C_{2}(E)=n$ and $\left.E\right|_{\ell} \cong O_{\ell}(-n+1) \oplus O_{\ell}(n)$ where $O_{\ell}(n)$ is the line bundle on $\ell$ with $\operatorname{deg}\left(O_{\ell}(n)\right)=n$.

Lemma 4.3. Let $E$ be an $H$-stable vector bundle of rank $r$ on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ with $C_{1}(E)=H$. If there is a short exact sequence of vector bundles;

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow O_{p^{2}} \rightarrow E^{\prime} \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this is not split then $E^{\prime}$ is $H$-stable.
Proof. Let $F$ be a non-trivial subsheaf of $E^{\prime}$ such that the rank of $F<r+1$ and $E^{\prime} / F$ is torsion free. Since $C_{1}\left(E^{\prime}\right)=H$, it is sufficient to show that $\left(C_{1}(F), H\right) \leqq 0$. Put $L=F \cap O_{P^{2}}$ and $F^{\prime}$ be the image of $F$ in $E$, then there is a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow F \rightarrow F^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$. Since $O_{P^{2}}$ and $E$ are $H$-stable, $\left(C_{1}(L), H\right) \leqq 0$ and $\left(C_{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right), H\right) \leqq 1$ hence $\left(C_{1}(F), H\right)$ $\leqq 1$. Therefore it is sufficient to show that $\left(C_{1}(F), H\right) \neq 1$. If it were happened then $\left(C_{1}(L), H\right)=0$ and $\left(C_{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right), H\right)=1$. This is possible if and only if $L=(0)$ and $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{supp}\left(E / F^{\prime}\right) \leqq 0$, by the $H$-stability of $E$. Since $\left(^{*}\right)$ is not split, $E / F^{\prime} \neq(0)$. There is a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow O_{P^{2}} \rightarrow$ $E^{\prime} / \boldsymbol{F} \rightarrow E / F^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$. But $H^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2},\left(E / F^{\prime}\right)(m)\right) \neq(0)$ and $H^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, O_{P^{2}}(m)\right)=(0)$ for all $m$ and since $E^{\prime} / F$ is torsion free, $H^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}\left(E^{\prime} \mid F(m)\right)=(0)\right.$ for $m \ll 0$. This is a contradiction.

The aim of this section is the following theorem which shows that the converse of Lemma 4.1 and that Theorem 2 is best possible when $a=-r+1$ or -1 .

THEOREM 4. Put $A=\{(r, n) ; n \geqq r-1 \geqq 1\}$. Let $\ell$ be a line on $P^{2}$. Then there is a set $S=\left\{E_{(r, n)}\right\}_{(r, n) \in A}$ of vector bundles on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ which satisfies the following conditions;
(1) $S$ consists of $H$-stable vector bundles,
(2) the rank of $E_{(r, n)}$ is $r, C_{1}\left(E_{(r, n)}\right)=H$ and $C_{2}\left(E_{(r, n)}\right)=n$ for all $(r, n) \in A$,
(3) there is a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow O_{P^{2}} \rightarrow E_{(r, n)} \rightarrow E_{(r-1, n)} \rightarrow 0$ and
this is not split,
(4) $h^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E_{(r, n)}^{*}\right)=n-r+1$,
(5) $\left.\quad E_{(r, n)}\right|_{\ell} \cong O_{\ell}(-n+1) \oplus O_{\ell}(n-r+2) \oplus \sum^{r-2} O_{\ell}(1)$ where $\sum^{r-2} O_{\ell}(1)=$ $O_{\ell}(1) \oplus \cdots \oplus O_{\ell}(1)(r-2$ times $)$,
(6) $H^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E_{(r, n)}^{*}\right) \cong H^{1}\left(\ell,\left.E_{(r, n)}^{*}\right|_{\ell}\right)$ canonically,
(7) $E_{(r, n)}(t)$ is ample if and only if $E_{(r, n)}(t)$ satisfies the condition of Theorem 2,
(8) $E_{(r, n)}^{*}(t)$ is ample if and only if $E_{(r, n)}^{*}(t)$ satisfies the condition of Theorem 2.

Proof. The above conditions are not independent each other. In fact;
(i) (1), (2) and (3) for $E_{(r-1, n)} \Rightarrow$ (1) for $E_{(r, n)}$ by Lemma 4.3,
(ii) (2) and (3) for $E_{(r-1, n)} \Rightarrow$ (2) for $E_{(r, n)}$,
(iii) (1) and (2) $\Rightarrow$ (4) by the Riemann-Roch theorem and Lemma 2.1 (1), (2),
(iv) (1), (2), (4) and (5) $\square$ (6),
(v) (1), (2) and (5) $\Rightarrow$ (7),
(vi) (1), (2) and (5) $\Rightarrow$ (8).
(v) and (vi) are easily checked by considering $\left.E_{(r, n)}(t)\right|_{\ell}$ and $\left.E_{(r, n)}^{*}(t)\right|_{\varepsilon}$ respectively. We now show (iv). Consider the following long exact sequence of cohomologies;

$$
\cdots \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E_{(r, n)}^{*}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\ell,\left.E_{(r, n)}^{*}\right|_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E_{(r, n)}^{*}(-1)\right) \rightarrow \cdots .
$$

Since $\left(C_{1}\left(E_{(r, n)}(-2)\right), H\right)<0$ by (2), $H^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E_{(r, n)}^{*}(-1)\right)=(0)$ by (1). Moreover $h^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E_{(r, n)}^{*}\right)=n-r+1$ by (4) and $h^{1}\left(\ell,\left.E_{(r, n)}^{*}\right|_{\ell}\right)=n-r+1$ by (5) hence we have $H^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2}, E_{(r, n)}^{*}\right) \cong H^{1}\left(\ell,\left.E_{(r, n)}^{*}\right|_{\ell}\right)$ canonically.

By Lemma 4.2, for any $n \geqq 1$, there is a vector bundle $E_{(2, n)}$ such that $E_{(2, n)}$ satisfies (1), (2) and (5). Lastly we constant $E_{(r, n)}$ which satisfies (3) and (5) by (5) and (6) for $E_{(r-1, n)}$. There is a short exact sequence;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.0 \rightarrow O_{\ell} \rightarrow O_{\ell}(-n+1) \oplus O_{\ell}(n-r+2) \oplus \sum^{r-1} \oplus O_{\ell}(1) \rightarrow E_{(r-1, n)}\right|_{\ell} \rightarrow 0 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

of vector bundles on $\ell$ by (5) for $E_{(r-1, n)}$. (*) has an obstruction in $H^{1}\left(\ell,\left.E_{(r-1, n)}^{*}\right|_{\ell}\right)$ hence there is a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow O_{P^{2}} \rightarrow E_{(r, n)} \rightarrow$ $E_{(r-1, n)} \rightarrow 0$ such that its restriction to $\ell$ is isomorphic to (*) by (6) for $E_{(r-1, n)}$. This short exact sequence is not split and $E_{(r, n)}$ satisfies (5) by
(*). All these together we have constructed $S=\left\{E_{(r, n)}\right\}_{(r, n) \in A}$ which satisfies (1)-(8).
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