M. Koike Nagoya Math. J. Vol. 64 (1976), 87-96

# ON *p*-ADIC PROPERTIES OF THE EICHLER-SELBERG TRACE FORMULA II

## M. KOIKE

# Introduction

Let  $\mathfrak{S}_k$  be the space of cusp forms of weight k with respect to  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ . Let p be a prime number and let  $T_k(p)$  be the Hecke operator of degree p acting on  $\mathfrak{S}_k$  as a linear endomorphism. Put  $H_k(X) = \det(I - T_k(p)X + p^{k-1}X^2I)$ , where I is the identity operator on  $\mathfrak{S}_k$ .  $H_k(X)$  is a polynomial with coefficients of rational integers, which is called the Hecke polynomial.

In this paper, we shall prove the congruences between Hecke polynomials:

THEOREM. Let  $p \ge 5$  be a prime number and let  $\alpha$  be a positive integer. Let k be an even positive integer such that  $k \ge 2\alpha + 2$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{S}_{k+p^{\alpha}-p^{\alpha-1}} < p^{k-\alpha-1}$ . Then we have

 $H_{k'}(X) \equiv H_k(X) \pmod{p^{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}[X]}$ 

for every even positive integer k' > k satisfying  $k' \equiv k \pmod{p^{\alpha} - p^{\alpha^{-1}}}$ .

In the case of  $\alpha = 1$ , our theorem is a weaker version of the property of contraction of  $U_p$ , which was proved by Serre. The proof of our theorem makes essential use of the *p*-adic properties of the Eichler-Selberg trace formula which is finer than what was proved in our previous paper [2].

## §1. Congruences between traces of Hecke operators.

We fix a prime number p once and for all. For each positive integer n, let  $T_k(n)$  be the Hecke operator of degree n acting on  $\mathfrak{S}_k$  as a linear endomorphism. The Eichler-Selberg trace formula for  $T_k(n)$  reads as follows:

Received May 12, 1976.

MASAO KOIKE

(1)  
$$\operatorname{tr} T_{k}(n) = \sum_{\{\rho, \rho'\}} \sum_{\sigma \ni \rho} -\frac{h_{\sigma}}{w_{\sigma}} F^{(k-2)}(\rho, \rho') - \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ d > 0, \ d \leq \sqrt{n}}} d^{k-1} + \delta(\sqrt{n}) \frac{k-1}{12} n^{k/2-1} + \begin{cases} 0 & (k > 2) \\ \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ d > 0}} d & (k = 2) \end{cases},$$

where we use the same notations as in [2].

We shall prove finer congruences between traces of Hecke operators than what was proved in our previous paper [2]. Our result is as follows:

PROPOSITION. We assume  $p \ge 5$ . Let m and  $\alpha$  be positive integers. Put  $\operatorname{ord}_p m = \beta$ . Let k' and k be even positive integers satisfying (1)  $k' \equiv k \pmod{p^{\alpha} - p^{\alpha-1}}$  and (2)  $k' > k \ge \operatorname{Max} \{2\alpha + 2, \alpha + \beta + 2\}$ . Then we have

$$\operatorname{tr} T_{k'}(p^m) \equiv \operatorname{tr} T_k(p^m) \qquad (\operatorname{mod} p^{\alpha+\beta}) \ .$$

*Remark.* In order to prove congruences between traces of Hecke operators in our previous paper, we made use of the property that  $h_{\circ}$  is merely a rational integer. On the other hand, the proof of Proposition makes essential use of the fact that  $h_{\circ}$  is the number of proper  $\circ$ -ideal classes.

Proof. We consider the trace formula for  $T_k(p^m) \mod p^{\alpha+\beta}$ . Since  $k \ge 4$ , the fourth summand is equal to zero. By the condition (2), the second (resp. third) summand is proved to be congruent to one (resp. zero) mod  $p^{\alpha+\beta}$ . Let us deal with the first summand. Let K be an imaginary quadratic field which contains  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  and let  $\left(\frac{K}{p}\right)$  denote Kronecker's symbol. In the case of  $\left(\frac{K}{p}\right) = -1$  or 0,  $F^{(k-2)}(\rho, \rho')$  is easily proved to be congruent to zero mod  $p^{\alpha+\beta}$ . So we may assume  $\left(\frac{K}{p}\right) = 1$ ,  $p = \mathfrak{p} \cdot \mathfrak{p}'$  with two prime ideals in K. If the conductor of  $\mathfrak{o}$  is divisible by p,  $F^{(k-2)}(\rho, \rho')$  is congruent to zero mod  $p^{\alpha+\beta}$ . Hence we may assume the conductor of  $\mathfrak{o}$  is not divisible by p. Put  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{o}} = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{o}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}'_{\mathfrak{o}} = \mathfrak{p}' \cap \mathfrak{o}$ . Let d be the smallest positive integer such that  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{o}}^d$  is principal. Put  $\gamma = \operatorname{ord}_p d$ . We may put  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{o}}^d = \pi\mathfrak{o}$  with  $\pi \in \mathfrak{o}$ , or what is the same as  $\mathfrak{p}^d = \pi\mathfrak{o}_1$ ,  $\mathfrak{o}_1$  being the maximal order of K. If  $\rho$  is not primitive,  $F^{(k-2)}(\rho, \rho')$  is congruent to zero mod  $p^{\alpha+\beta}$ . So we may also assume that

88

 $\rho$  is primitive and that  $\rho' \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Since  $\rho \cdot \rho' = p^m$ , we have  $(\rho) = \mathfrak{p}'^m$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{p}'^{mp^{r-\beta}}$  is principal and it is proved that there exists an imaginary quadratic integer  $\rho_1$  such that  $\rho_1^{\mathfrak{p}^{\beta-\gamma}} = \rho$ . Therefore we have

$$\begin{split} F^{(k'-2)}(\rho,\rho') &\equiv \frac{1}{\rho-\rho'} \cdot \rho^{k-1} \cdot \rho_1^{(k'-k)p\beta-r} \qquad (\mathrm{mod} \ \mathfrak{p}^{\alpha+\beta-r}) \ ,\\ &\equiv \frac{\rho^{k-1}}{\rho-\rho'} \qquad (\mathrm{mod} \ \mathfrak{p}^{\alpha+\beta-r}) \ ,\\ &\equiv F^{(k-2)}(\rho,\rho') \qquad (\mathrm{mod} \ \mathfrak{p}^{\alpha+\beta-r}) \ . \end{split}$$

Since  $h_{\circ}$  is divisible by d, we have  $\operatorname{ord}_{p} h_{\circ} \geq \gamma$ . Hence we have  $\frac{h_{\circ}}{w_{\circ}}F^{(k'-2)}(\rho, \rho') \equiv \frac{h_{\circ}}{w_{\circ}}F^{(k-2)}(\rho, \rho') \pmod{p^{\alpha+\beta}}$ . Thus Proposition is completely proved. Q.E.D.

In cases of p = 2, 3, we can prove following propositions by the same arguments as above:

PROPOSITION. (Case of p = 2.) Let m and  $\alpha$  be positive integers. Put  $\operatorname{ord}_2 m = \beta$ . Let k' and k be even positive integers satisfying (1)  $k' \equiv k \pmod{2^{\alpha}}$  and (2)  $k' > k \ge \max{2\alpha + 6, \alpha + \beta + 4}$ . Then we have

$$\operatorname{tr} T_{k'}(2^m) \equiv \operatorname{tr} T_k(2^m) \qquad (\operatorname{mod} 2^{\alpha+\beta}) \ .$$

PROPOSITION. (case of p = 3.) Let *m* and  $\alpha$  be positive integers. Put ord<sub>3</sub>  $m = \beta$ . Let k' and k be even positive integers satisfying (1)  $k' \equiv k \pmod{3^{\alpha} - 3^{\alpha-1}}$  and (2)  $k' > k \ge Max \{2\alpha + 4, \alpha + \beta + 3\}$ . Then we have

$$\operatorname{tr} T_{k'}(3^m) \equiv \operatorname{tr} T_k(3^m) \qquad (\operatorname{mod} 3^{\alpha+\beta}).$$

## §2. Preliminary lemmas

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_N$  be indeterminates. For each positive integer n, we define  $S_n(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^n$  and  $F_n(x_1, \dots, x_N) = (-1)^n \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_n \le N} x_{i_1}$  $\dots x_{i_n}$ . We simply write  $S_n$  and  $F_n$  instead of  $S_n(x_1, \dots, x_N)$  and  $F_n(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ . It is obvious that  $F_n = 0$  if n is greater than N. It is well known that there exist following relations between two functions  $S_n$  and  $F_n$ , which are called Newton's formulae;

$$S_n + S_{n-1}F_1 + \cdots + S_1F_{n-1} + nF_n = 0$$
.

MASAO KOIKE

By means of Newton's formulae,  $F_n$  (resp.  $S_n$ ) can be described as a polynomial of  $S_i$  (resp.  $F_i$ ) with  $1 \le i \le n$  as follows:

$$F_{n} = \sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{1 \le i_{1} < \cdots < i_{r} \le n \\ 1 \le j_{s}}} a_{\binom{(i_{1}, \cdots, i_{r})}{j_{1}, \cdots, j_{r}}}^{(j_{1}, \cdots, j_{r})} S_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}} \cdots S_{i_{r}}^{j_{r}} ,$$

$$S_{n} = \sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{1 \le i_{1} < \cdots < i_{r} \le n \\ 1 \le j_{s}}} b_{\binom{(i_{1}, \cdots, i_{r})}{j_{1}, \cdots, j_{r}}}^{(n)} F_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}} \cdots F_{i_{r}}^{j_{r}} ,$$

where  $a^{(n)}$  and  $b^{(n)}$  are rational numbers. All these coefficients can be calculated as follows:

LEMMA 1. We have

(2) 
$$a_{\binom{i_1}{j_1,\dots,j_r}}^{\binom{n}{j_1}} = \left((-1)^{\frac{j_2}{s-1}j_s} \prod_{s=1}^r j_s! i_s^{j_s}\right)^{-1},$$

and

(3) 
$$b_{\binom{n}{j_1,\ldots,j_r}}^{\binom{n}{j_1,\ldots,j_r}} = (-1)^{\sum_{s=1}^r j_s} \frac{\left(\left(\sum_{s=1}^r j_s\right) - 1\right)!}{\prod_{s=1}^r j_s!} n$$
.

*Proof.* We use induction on n. It is obvious that (2) is valid for n = 1. Suppose that (2) is valid for all  $a^{(\ell)}$  with  $1 \le \ell \le n - 1$ . By Newton's formulae, we have  $F_n = -\frac{1}{n} \left( S_n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} S_{n-k} F_k \right)$ . If  $i_1 = n$ , (2) is obviously valid. So we may assume  $i_1 \le n$ . Then we have

$$\begin{split} a^{(n)}_{\binom{j_1,\dots,j_r}{j_1,\dots,j_r}} &= -\frac{1}{n} \Big( (-1)^{\binom{r}{s=1}j_s - 1} \left[ \sum_{s=1}^r \left\{ (j_s - 1) ! \, i_s^{j_s - 1} \prod_{k \neq s} j_k ! \, i_k^{j_k} \right\}^{-1} \right] \Big) ,\\ &= (-1)^{s^{\frac{r}{\sum_1}j_s}} \left( \prod_{s=1}^r j_s ! \, i_s^{j_s} \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^r i_s j_s ,\\ &= (-1)^{s^{\frac{r}{\sum_1}j_s}} \left( \sum_{s=1}^r j_s ! \, i_s^{j_s} \right)^{-1} . \end{split}$$

Hence (2) is proved to be valid. Let us prove that (3) is valid. We also use induction on n. It is obvious that (3) is valid for n = 1. Suppose that (3) is valid for all  $b^{(\ell)}$  with  $1 \le \ell \le n - 1$ . By Newton's

formulae, we have  $S_n = -\left(nF_n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} S_{n-k}F_k\right)$ . If  $i_1 = n$ , it is obvious that (3) is valid. So we may assume  $i_1 < n$ . Then we have

$$\begin{split} b_{\binom{n}{j_1,\ldots,j_r}}^{(n)} &= -\sum_{s=1}^r (-1)^{\binom{r}{s=1}j_s)-1} \frac{\left(\left(\sum_{s=1}^r j_s\right)-2\right)!}{(j_s-1)!\prod_{k\neq s}j_k!} (n-i_s) ,\\ &= (-1)^{s\sum_{s=1}^r j_s} \frac{\left(\left(\sum_{s=1}^r j_s\right)-2\right)!}{\prod_{s=1}^r j_s!} \left(\sum_{s=1}^r j_s n-j_s i_s\right) ,\\ &= (-1)^{s\sum_{s=1}^r j_s} \frac{\left(\left(\sum_{s=1}^r j_s\right)-1\right)!}{\sum_{s=1}^r j_s!} n . \end{split}$$

Therefore (3) is proved to be valid.

Q.E.D.

By making use of Lemma 1, we can prove the following lemma:

LEMMA 2. Let  $G(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} (1 - a_i X)$  and  $H(X) = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (1 - b_j X)$  be polynomials with coefficients of rational integers. Put  $s_n = S_n(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ ,  $t_n = S_n(b_1, \dots, b_\ell)$ ,  $\sigma_n = F_n(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  and  $\tau_n = F_n(b_1, \dots, b_\ell)$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a positive integer. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $s_n \equiv t_n \pmod{p^{\alpha + \operatorname{ord}_p n}}$  for every  $n \ge 1$ ,
- (2)  $\sigma_n \equiv \tau_n \pmod{p^{\alpha}}$  for every  $n \text{ with } 1 \le n \le \max\{k, \ell\},$
- (3)  $F(X) \equiv G(X) \pmod{p^{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}[X]}$ .

*Proof.* It is obvious that the statements (2) and (3) are equivalent. So we shall show that the statements (1) and (2) are equivalent. Let N be any positive integer. We assume that  $(1)_{N-1}$ :  $s_n \equiv t_n \pmod{p^{\alpha+\operatorname{ord} p^n}}$  for every  $n \leq N-1$  and  $(2)_{N-1}$ :  $\sigma_n \equiv \tau_n \pmod{p^{\alpha}}$  for every  $n \leq N-1$ . Under this assumption, we show that the following statements are equivalent:

- $(1)_N \quad s_n \equiv t_n \; (\text{mod } p^{\alpha + \text{ord}_p \; n}) \qquad \text{for every } n \leq N,$
- $(2)_N \quad \sigma_n \equiv \tau_n \pmod{p^a} \qquad \text{for every } n \leq N.$

By making use of (3) in Lemma 1, we have

$$s_N = -N\sigma_N + \sum_{r=1}^N \sum_{\substack{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_r \le N \ 1 \le j_s}} b^{(N)}_{\binom{i_1, \cdots, i_r}{j_1, \cdots, j_r}} \sigma^{j_1}_{i_1} \cdots \sigma^{j_r}_{i_r}$$
 ,

$$t_N = -N\tau_N + \sum_{r=1}^{N} \sum_{\substack{1 \le i_1 \le \cdots \le i_r \le N \\ 1 \le j_s \\ \sum_{i=1}^{r} i_s j_s = N}} b_{\binom{N}{i_1, \cdots, i_r}}^{(N)} \tau_{i_1}^{j_1} \cdots \tau_{i_r}^{j_r} \, .$$

Since 
$$\frac{\left(\sum\limits_{s=1}^{r} j_{s}\right)!}{\prod\limits_{s=1}^{r} j_{s}!}$$
 is a rational integer,  $\frac{j_{s}}{N}b_{\binom{(N)}{j_{1},\ldots,j_{r}}}^{(N)}$  and  $\frac{\left(\sum\limits_{s=1}^{r} j_{s}\right)}{N}b_{\binom{(i_{1},\ldots,i_{r}}{j_{1},\ldots,j_{r}}}^{(N)}$ 

are rational integers. Put  $\beta = \operatorname{ord}_p N$  and  $\gamma = \operatorname{Min} \left\{ \operatorname{ord}_p j_1, \dots, \operatorname{ord}_p j_s, \operatorname{ord}_p \sum_{s=1}^r j_s \right\}$ . Then we have  $\operatorname{ord}_p b_{\binom{N}{j_1,\dots,j_r}}^{\binom{N}{j_1,\dots,j_r}} \geq \beta - \gamma$ . By the condition  $(2)_{N-1}$ , we have  $\sigma_{i_s} \equiv \tau_{i_s} \pmod{p^{\alpha}}$  for every  $i_s$  with  $1 \leq i_s \leq N-1$ . Hence we have  $\sigma_{i_s}^{j_s} \equiv \tau_{i_s}^{j_s} \pmod{p^{\alpha+\operatorname{ord}_p j_s}}$  for every  $i_s$  with  $1 \leq i_s \leq N-1$ . Therefore we have  $s_N - N\sigma_N \equiv t_N - N\tau_N \pmod{p^{\alpha+\operatorname{ord}_p N}}$ , so  $s_N - t_N \equiv N(\sigma_N - \tau_N) \pmod{p^{\alpha+\operatorname{ord}_p N}}$ . From this, it follows immediately that  $(1)_N$  and  $(2)_N$  are equivalent under the assumption that  $(1)_{N-1}$  and  $(2)_{N-1}$  are valid. Hence it is proved that (1) and (2) are equivalent.

### §3. Congruences between Hecke polynomials

For any even positive integer k, we put  $C_k(X) = \det (I - T_k(p)X)$ and  $H_k(X) = \det (I - T_k(p)X + p^{k-1}X^2I)$  where I is the identity operator on  $\mathfrak{S}_k$ .  $C_k(X)$  and  $H_k(X)$  are polynomials with coefficients of rational integers.  $H_k(X)$  is usually called the Hecke polynomial.

Combining results in §1 and 2, we can prove the following:

THEOREM 1. We assume  $p \ge 5$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a positive integer. Let k be an even positive integer such that (1)  $k \ge 2\alpha + 2$  and (2)  $\dim_{\mathcal{C}} \mathfrak{S}_{k+p^{\alpha}-p^{\alpha-1}}$  $< p^{k-\alpha-1}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{split} H_{k'}(X) &\equiv H_k(X) \qquad (\mathrm{mod}\; p^{\alpha}Z[X]) \;, \\ C_{k'}(X) &\equiv C_k(X) \qquad (\mathrm{mod}\; p^{\alpha}Z[X]) \;, \end{split}$$

for every even positive integer k' > k satisfying  $k' \equiv k \pmod{p^{\alpha} - p^{\alpha^{-1}}}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $k \ge 2\alpha + 2$ , we have  $H_k(X) \equiv C_k(X) \pmod{p^{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}[X]}$ . So we shall prove only  $C_{k'}(X) \equiv C_k(X) \pmod{p^{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}[X]}$ . By the dimension formula for  $\mathfrak{S}_k$ , it is easily proved that  $k + p^{\alpha} - p^{\alpha^{-1}}$  also satisfies the condition (2) if k satisfies it. Hence we may prove our theorem only in case of  $k' = k + p^{\alpha} - p^{\alpha^{-1}}$ . Let m be any positive integer such that

92

 $m \leq \dim_{\mathcal{C}} \mathfrak{S}_{k'}$ , and put  $\beta = \operatorname{ord}_{p} m$ . By the condition (2), we have  $\beta \leq k - \alpha - 1$ , so we have  $\alpha + \beta + 2 \leq k$ . Hence, making use of Proposition 1, we have tr  $T_{k'}(p^m) \equiv \operatorname{tr} T_k(p^m) \pmod{p^{\alpha+\beta}}$ . On the other hand, by the recursion formula for  $T_k(p^m)$ , we have tr  $T_k(p^m) \equiv \operatorname{tr} T_k(p)^m \pmod{p^{k-1}}$ . Therefore we have tr  $T_{k'}(p)^m \equiv \operatorname{tr} T_k(p)^m \pmod{p^{\alpha+\beta}}$ . Combining these congruences with Lemma 2, we obtain the proof of Theorem 1.

Q.E.D.

In cases of p = 2, 3, we can prove following theorems by the same arguments as above:

THEOREM 1 (Case of p = 2). Let  $\alpha$  be a positive integer. Let k be an even positive integer such that  $k \ge 2\alpha + 6$  and  $\dim_{\mathcal{C}} \mathfrak{S}_{k+2\alpha} \le 2^{k-\alpha-3}$ . Then we have

$$H_{k'}(X) \equiv H_k(X) \qquad (\text{mod } 2^{\alpha} Z[X]) ,$$

for every even positive integer k' > k satisfying  $k' \equiv k \pmod{2^{\alpha}}$ .

THEOREM 1 (Case of p = 3). Let  $\alpha$  be a positive integer. Let k be an even positive integer such that  $k \ge 2\alpha + 4$  and  $\dim_{\mathcal{C}} \mathfrak{S}_{k+3\alpha-3\alpha-1} < 3^{k-\alpha-2}$ . Then we have

$$H_{k'}(X) \equiv H_k(X) \pmod{3^{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}[X]},$$

for every even positive integer k' > k satisfying  $k' \equiv k \pmod{3^{\alpha} - 3^{\alpha-1}}$ .

We give an application of Theorem 1. In the rest of this section, we assume  $p \ge 5$  for the sake of simplicity. Let k' > k be even positive integers such that  $k' \equiv k \pmod{p-1}$  and  $k \ge 4$ . Then, it is obvious that k satisfies the condition (2) in Theorem 1 for  $\alpha = 1$ . Put  $n = \dim_{\mathcal{C}} \mathfrak{S}_k$ and  $n' = \dim_{\mathcal{C}} \mathfrak{S}_{k'}$ . It is clear that det  $(XI - T_k(p)) = X^n \det \left(I - \frac{1}{X}T_k(p)\right)$ , where I is the identity operator on  $\mathfrak{S}_k$ . Therefore, from Theorem 1 follows

COROLLARY. Under the above conditions, we have

 $\det \left( XI_{k'} - T_{k'}(p) \right) \equiv X^{n'-n} \det \left( XI_k - T_k(p) \right) \pmod{pZ[X]} .$ 

This result is equivalent to Serre's result [3, (i), Corollary to Theorem 6].

## §4. *p*-adic Hecke polynomials

Let  $\alpha$  be a positive integer. Put  $X_{\alpha} = Z/(p^{\alpha} - p^{\alpha-1})Z$  if  $p \neq 2$ , and  $X_{\alpha} = Z/2^{\alpha-2}Z$  if p = 2.  $\{X_{\alpha}\}$  forms a projective system naturally. We have

$$X = \lim_{\leftarrow} X_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} Z_p \times Z/(p-1)Z & \text{if } p \neq 2, \\ Z_2 & \text{if } p = 2, \end{cases}$$

where  $Z_p$  is the ring of *p*-adic integers. The canonical homomorphism  $Z \to X$  is injective. We identify Z with a dense subgroup of X through this homomorphism.

Let  $\mathfrak{O}$  denote the ring of formal power series in X with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the maximal ideal of  $\mathfrak{O}$ . The powers of  $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}^n, n \geq 0$ define the m-adic topology on  $\mathfrak{O}$ .

We assume  $p \ge 5$ . Let  $\{k_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of monotonically increasing, even positive integers satisfying  $k_{\alpha} \equiv k_{\alpha'} \pmod{p^{\alpha} - p^{\alpha-1}}$  if  $\alpha' > \alpha$ ,  $k_{\alpha} \ge 2^{\alpha} + 2$  and  $\dim_{C} \mathfrak{S}_{k_{\alpha} + p^{\alpha} - p^{\alpha-1}} < p^{k_{\alpha} - \alpha - 1}$ . Then  $\{k_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$  has a limit in X, which is denoted by  $\tilde{k}$ . By means of Theorem 1, there exists a common m-adic limit of  $\{H_{k_{\alpha}}(X)\}$  and of  $\{C_{k_{\alpha}}(X)\}$  in  $\mathfrak{O}$ . Put  $\tilde{H}_{\tilde{k}}(X) = \lim_{\alpha \to \infty} H_{k_{\alpha}}(X)$ . It is clear that  $\tilde{H}_{\tilde{k}}(X)$  depends only on  $\tilde{k}$ , but not on the choice of sequences  $\{k_{\alpha}\}$  with  $\lim k_{\alpha} = \tilde{k}$ . We call  $\tilde{H}_{\tilde{k}}(X)$  the p-adic Hecke polynomial.

In the case where  $\tilde{k}$  belongs to 2Z, we shall show that  $\tilde{H}_{\tilde{k}}(X)$  coincides with the Fredholm determinant of the *p*-adic Hecke operator  $\tilde{U}_{k}(p)$  and that  $\tilde{H}_{\tilde{k}}(X)$  is an entire function.

Before this, we extend Lemma 1 as follows:

LEMMA 3. Let  $G(X) = 1 + \sum_{n\geq 1} \sigma_n X^n$  be a formal power series in Xwith coefficients  $\sigma_n$  in a field K, so that  $\log G(X) = \sum_{n\geq 1} (-1)^n \frac{(G(X)-1)^n}{n}$ is also a formal power series in X with coefficients in K, which we write  $-\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{s_n}{n} X^n$ , with  $s_n \in K$ . Then there exist following relations between  $\sigma_n$  and  $s_n$ ;

(4)  
$$S_{n} = \sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{1 \le i_{1} < \cdots < i_{r} \le n \\ 1 \le j_{s}}} b_{\binom{n}{j_{1}, \cdots, j_{r}}}^{\binom{n}{j_{1}}} \sigma_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}} \cdots \sigma_{i_{r}}^{j_{r}},$$

94

$$\sigma_n = \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{\substack{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_r \le n \\ 1 \le j_s}} a_{\binom{i_1, \cdots, i_r}{j_1, \cdots, j_r}}^{(n)} \mathcal{S}_{i_1}^{j_1} \cdots \mathcal{S}_{i_r}^{j_r} ,$$

where  $a^{(n)}$  and  $b^{(n)}$  are the same as in Lemma 1.

*Proof.* If G(X) is a polynomial in X with coefficients in K, (4) is equal to (2) and (3) in Lemma 1. Put  $G_n(X) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i X^i$  and  $\log G_n(X) = (-1) \sum_{i \ge 1} \frac{s_i^{(n)}}{i} X^i$ . Then it is clear that  $s_i^{(n)} = s_i$  for all i with  $i \le n$ . Hence, from Lemma 1, (4) follows immediately. Q.E.D.

Let  $\tilde{k}$  be an even integer and let  $D_{\tilde{k}}^{(p)}(X)$  be the Fredholm determinant of the *p*-adic Hecke operator  $\tilde{U}_{\tilde{k}}(p)$  which is defined in [2].

THEOREM 2. We have

$$ilde{H}_{ ilde{k}}(X) = D^{(p)}_{ ilde{k}}(X) , \quad for \ ilde{k} \in 2\mathbf{Z} .$$

Proof. Let  $\{k_{\alpha}\}$  be a sequence of monotonically increasing, even positive integers satisfying  $k_{\alpha} \equiv k_{\alpha'} \pmod{p^{\alpha} - p^{\alpha^{-1}}}$  for every  $\alpha' \geq \alpha$ ,  $k_{\alpha} \leq 2\alpha + 2$ ,  $\dim_{\mathcal{C}} \mathfrak{S}_{k_{\alpha} + p^{\alpha} - p^{\alpha^{-1}}} \leq p^{k_{\alpha} - \alpha^{-1}}$  and  $\lim k_{\alpha} = \tilde{k}$ . Put  $H_{k_{\alpha}}(X) = 1$  $+ \sum_{n\geq 1} \sigma_{n}^{(\alpha)} X^{n}$  and  $\log H_{k_{\alpha}}(X) = -\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{s_{n}^{(\alpha)}}{n} X_{n}$  with  $\sigma_{n}^{(\alpha)}$  and  $s_{n}^{(\alpha)}$  in Z. When  $\alpha \to \infty$ ,  $\{\sigma_{n}^{(\alpha)}\}$  and  $\{s_{n}^{(\alpha)}\}$  have p-adic limits which we deonte by  $\sigma_{n}$  and  $s_{n}$ respectively. Then we have  $\tilde{H}_{\tilde{k}}(X) = 1 + \sum_{n\geq 1} \sigma_{n} X^{n}$ . Since  $\sigma_{n}^{(\alpha)}$  and  $s_{n}^{(\alpha)}$ satisfy the relations (4),  $\sigma_{n}$  and  $s_{n}$  also satisfy the relations (4). Hence we have  $\log H_{\tilde{k}}(X) = -\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{s_{n}}{n} X^{n}$ . On the other hand, we have  $s_{n}^{(\alpha)} =$  $\operatorname{tr} U_{k_{\alpha}}(p^{n})$  by (41) in [1]. Hence, from Theorem 1 in [2], it follows that  $s_{n} = \operatorname{tr} \tilde{U}_{\tilde{k}}(p)^{n}$ . Therefore we have  $\tilde{H}_{\tilde{k}}(X) = D_{\tilde{k}}^{(p)}(X)$ . Q.E.D.

Since  $D_k^{(p)}(X)$  is a *p*-adic entire function, we have the following:

COROLLARY.  $\tilde{H}_{\tilde{k}}(X)$  is a p-adic entire function for  $\tilde{k} \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ .

*Remark.* It is obvious that the *p*-adic Hecke polynomials converge for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

*Remark.* In cases of p = 2, 3, the same argument as above can be applied.

#### MASAO KOIKE

*Remark.* Recently, Prof. B. Dwork kindly let me know a direct proof of Theorem is obtained from Adolphson's thesis and, at the same time, the condition on  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{S}_{k+p\alpha-p\alpha-1}$  can be discarded.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Ihara, Hecke polynomials as congruence ζ functions in elliptic modular case, Ann. of Math., 85 (1967), 267-295.
- [2] M. Koike, On some p-adic properties of the Eichler-Selberg trace formula, Nagoya Math. J., vol. 56 (1974), 45-52.
- [3] J.-P. Serre, Formes modulaires et fonctions zeta p-adiques, Modular functions of one variable III, Lecture note in math., Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1973.

Nagoya University