

## A GEOMETRICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF A CLASS OF HOLOMORPHIC VECTOR BUNDLES OVER A COMPLEX TORUS

JUN-ICHI HANO<sup>\*)</sup>

This note is to be a supplement of the preceeding paper in the journal by Matsushima, settling a question raised by him. In his paper he associates a holomorphic vector bundle over a complex torus to a holomorphic representation of what he calls Heisenberg group. We shall show that a simple holomorphic vector bundle is determined in this manner if and only if the associated projective bundle admits an integrable holomorphic connection. A theorem by Morikawa ([3], Theorem 1) is the motivation of this problem and is somewhat strengthened by our result.

Let  $V$  be a complex vector space of dimension  $n$  and let  $L$  be a lattice in  $V$ . The quotient group  $V/L = E$  is a complex torus. It is known ([2], § 3) that a holomorphic vector bundle  $F$  of rank  $m$  over  $E$  is determined by a  $GL(m, \mathbb{C})$ -valued theta factor  $J$ , namely by a holomorphic map

$$J: L \times V \rightarrow GL(m, \mathbb{C})$$

satisfying the following equality:

$$(1) \quad J(\alpha + \beta, u) = J(\alpha, \beta + u)J(\beta, u) \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta \in L \text{ and } u \in V.$$

We denote by  $F_J$  the holomorphic vector bundle over  $E$  determined by a theta factor  $J$ .

A résumé of Matsushima's construction of holomorphic vector bundles over  $E$  is in order. Let  $H$  be a hermitian form on  $V \times V$ . Let  $G_H$  be a nilpotent Lie group whose underlying manifold is  $V \times \mathbb{C}^*$  and whose multiplication is defined by

---

Received November 25, 1975.

<sup>\*)</sup> Partially supported by N.S.F. Grant GP-34710.

$$(u, c) \cdot (v, d) = \left( u + v, e \left[ \frac{1}{2i} H(u, v) \right] cd \right) \quad \text{for } (u, c), (v, d) \in V \times \mathcal{C}^*,$$

where  $e[x] = \exp 2\pi i x$ .

We denote by  $G_H(L)$  the subgroup  $L \times \mathcal{C}^*$  in  $G_H$ , which is a complex Lie group. The right action of the complex Lie group  $G_H(L)$  on the complex manifold  $V \times \mathcal{C}^*$  is holomorphic. Thus  $V \times \mathcal{C}^*$  is a holomorphic principal bundle over  $E$  with structure group  $L \times \mathcal{C}^* = G_H(L)$ .

If a holomorphic representation  $\rho: G_H(L) \rightarrow GL(m, \mathcal{C})$  is given, a holomorphic vector bundle  $F_H, \rho$  is determined as the quotient space  $V \times \mathcal{C}^* \times \mathcal{C}^m / \{G_H(L), \rho\}$ . Lemma 3.1 in [2] shows that a theta factor  $J_\rho$  associated to the holomorphic vector bundle  $F_H, \rho$  is given by

$$(2) \quad J_\rho(\alpha, u) = \rho \left( -\alpha, e \left[ \frac{1}{2i} (H(u, \alpha) + H(\alpha, \alpha)) \right] \right) \quad \text{for } \alpha \in L, u \in V.$$

**THEOREM.** *Suppose that the associated projective bundle of a holomorphic vector bundle  $F$  over a complex torus  $E$  admits an integrable holomorphic connection, or equivalently admits a system of transition functions which are constant. Then, we can choose a hermitian form  $H_1$  on  $V \times V$  whose imaginary part assumes rational values on  $L \times L$ , and a holomorphic representation  $\rho$  of  $G_{H_1}(L)$  so that  $F$  is isomorphic to  $F_{H_1}, \rho$ .*

*Proof.* (a) It is well known (Atiyah [1], Proposition 14) that the associated projective bundle  $P(F)$  of a holomorphic vector bundle  $F$  admits an integrable holomorphic connection if and only if  $P(F)$  arises from a homomorphism  $h$  of the fundamental group  $L$  of torus  $E$  into  $PGL(m, \mathcal{C})$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for the projective bundle  $P(F)$  to have an integrable holomorphic connection is that one can choose a theta factor  $J$  of  $F$  such that

$$(3) \quad J(\alpha, u) = J(\alpha, 0)\mu(\alpha, u)$$

with scalar function  $\mu(\alpha, u)$  for each  $\alpha \in L$ .

Indeed, this condition is sufficient. Suppose that  $P(E)$  admits an integrable holomorphic connection. Then  $P(E)$  arises from a homomorphism  $h: L \rightarrow PGL(m, \mathcal{C})$ . Let us denote by  $\tilde{J}(\alpha, u)$  the image of a theta factor  $J(\alpha, u)$  under the natural homomorphism of  $GL(m, \mathcal{C})$  onto  $PGL(m, \mathcal{C})$ . Since the  $PGL(m, \mathcal{C})$ -valued factor  $\tilde{J}$  and the homomorphism  $h$  define the same bundle  $P(F)$ ,

$$h(\alpha) = \tilde{\varphi}(u + \alpha)\tilde{J}(\alpha, u)\tilde{\varphi}(u)^{-1}$$

with a  $PGL(m, \mathbb{C})$ -valued holomorphic function  $\tilde{\varphi}$  on  $V$ . Since  $V$  is simply connected, we can lift  $\tilde{\varphi}$  to a holomorphic map  $\varphi: V \rightarrow SL(m, \mathbb{C})$  so that  $\varphi(u)$  is lying above  $\tilde{\varphi}(u)$ . Then,  $J'(\alpha, u) = \varphi(u + \alpha)J(\alpha, u)\varphi(u)^{-1}$  is a theta factor with required property.

(b) Let us assume that a holomorphic vector bundle  $F$  satisfies the condition in the theorem and that a theta factor  $J$  of  $F$  is chosen so that the condition (3) is satisfied. From the condition (1) on  $J$ , it follows that the scalar function  $\mu$  determined by (3) satisfies the following equalities:

- (i)  $\mu(\alpha, \beta)\mu(\alpha + \beta, u) = \mu(\alpha, \beta + u)\mu(\beta, u)$ , for  $\alpha, \beta \in L$ ,  $u \in V$ ;
- (ii)  $\mu(\alpha, 0) = \mu(0, u) = 1$ , for  $\alpha \in L$ ,  $u \in V$ ;
- (iii)  $\mu(\alpha, -\alpha) = \mu(-\alpha, \alpha)$ ,  $\alpha \in L$ .

We define a multiplication  $\times$  on  $L \times \mathbb{C}^*$  in terms of  $\mu$  and make  $L \times \mathbb{C}^*$  a complex Lie group  $G_\mu(L)$ :

$$(\alpha, c) \times (\beta, d) = (\alpha + \beta, \mu(\beta, \alpha)cd) \quad \text{for } (\alpha, c), (\beta, d) \in L \times \mathbb{C}^*.$$

The associative law is verified by (i). The identity is  $(0, 1)$ , because of (ii) and the inverse of  $(\alpha, c)$  is  $(-\alpha, \mu(-\alpha, \alpha)^{-1}c)$ .

Define a map

$$f: G_\mu(L) \rightarrow GL(m, \mathbb{C})$$

by  $f(\alpha, c) = J(\alpha, 0)^{-1}c$ . Then,  $f$  is a holomorphic representation. In fact,

$$f((\alpha, c) \times (\beta, d)) = J(\alpha + \beta, 0)^{-1}\mu(\beta, \alpha)cd.$$

Since  $J(\alpha + \beta, 0) = J(\beta, \alpha)J(\alpha, 0) = J(\beta, 0)J(\alpha, 0)\mu(\beta, \alpha)$  by (1) and (3),

$$\begin{aligned} f((\alpha, c) \times (\beta, d)) &= J(\alpha, 0)^{-1}J(\beta, 0)^{-1}cd \\ &= f(\alpha, c)f(\beta, d). \end{aligned}$$

(c) The map  $L \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  given by  $(\alpha, u) \rightarrow \det J(\alpha, u)$  is a  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -valued theta factor corresponding to the line bundle  $\det F$ , which is equivalent to a normalized theta factor ([4], p.111). We choose a  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -valued holomorphic function  $\varphi$  on  $V$ , a hermitian form  $H$  on  $V \times V$  whose imaginary part assumes integral values on  $L \times L$  and a semi-character  $\chi: L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  such that

$$\det J(\alpha, u) = \varphi(u + \alpha)\chi(\alpha)e\left[\frac{1}{2i}H(u, \alpha) + \frac{1}{4i}H(\alpha, \alpha)\right]\varphi(u)^{-1}.$$

On the other hand from (3),

$$\det J(\alpha, u) = \det J(\alpha, 0) \mu^m(\alpha, u) .$$

Thus,

$$\det J(\alpha, 0) = \varphi(\alpha) \varphi(0)^{-1} \chi(\alpha) e \left[ -\frac{1}{4i} H(\alpha, \alpha) \right]$$

and

$$\mu^m(\alpha, u) = \varphi(u + \alpha) \varphi(\alpha)^{-1} e \left[ -\frac{1}{2i} H(u, \alpha) \right] \varphi(u)^{-1} \varphi(0) .$$

Since  $\varphi$  is a nowhere vanishing holomorphic function on a simply connected space  $V$ , there is a nowhere vanishing holomorphic function  $\psi$  on  $V$  such that  $\psi^m = \varphi$ . For each  $\alpha$ , an  $m^{\text{th}}$  root of unity  $\varepsilon_\alpha$  is determined by

$$\mu(\alpha, u) = \varepsilon_\alpha \psi(u + \alpha) \psi(\alpha)^{-1} e \left[ -\frac{1}{2mi} H(u, \alpha) \right] \psi(u)^{-1} \psi(0) .$$

Putting  $u = 0$ , we see that  $1 = \mu(\alpha, 0) = \varepsilon_\alpha$ . Thus,

$$(5) \quad \mu(\alpha, u) = \frac{\psi(u + \alpha)}{\psi(\alpha)} e \left[ -\frac{1}{2mi} H(u, \alpha) \right] \frac{\psi(0)}{\psi(u)} .$$

(d) The above relation enables us to establish an isomorphism of  $G_{H/m}(L)$  and  $G_\mu(L)$ . Put

$$\lambda(\alpha) = \psi(\alpha) / \psi(0) .$$

Then from (5),

$$(6) \quad \mu(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\lambda(\alpha + \beta)}{\lambda(\alpha) \lambda(\beta)} e \left[ -\frac{1}{2mi} H(\beta, \alpha) \right] .$$

Making use of the  $\lambda(\alpha)$ 's, we define a map

$$(7) \quad g: G_{H/m}(L) \rightarrow G_\mu(L)$$

by

$$g(\alpha, c) = (\alpha, \lambda(\alpha)c) , \quad (\alpha, c) \in L \times \mathbb{C}^* .$$

We claim that  $g$  is an isomorphism. Obviously,  $g$  is 1:1 and onto.

$$\begin{aligned}
 g((\alpha, c) \cdot (\beta, d)) &= g\left(\alpha + \beta, e\left[\frac{1}{2mi}H(\alpha, \beta)\right]cd\right) \\
 &= \left(\alpha + \beta, \lambda(\alpha + \beta)e\left[\frac{1}{2mi}H(\alpha, \beta)\right]cd\right) \\
 &= (\alpha + \beta, \lambda(\alpha)\lambda(\beta)\mu(\beta, \alpha)cd) \\
 &= g(\alpha, c) \times g(\beta, d) ,
 \end{aligned}$$

on account of (6). Thus  $g$  is an isomorphism.

(e) From (4) and (7),  $\rho = f \circ g$  is a holomorphic representation of  $G_{H/m}(L)$  into  $GL(m, \mathbb{C})$  given by

$$\rho(\alpha, c) = J(\alpha, 0)^{-1}\lambda(\alpha)c .$$

The theta factor  $J'$  associated to the representation  $\rho$  in the formula (2) is

$$\begin{aligned}
 J'(\alpha, u) &= \rho\left(-\alpha, e\left[\frac{1}{2mi}(H(u, \alpha) + H(\alpha, \alpha))\right]\right) \\
 &= J(-\alpha, 0)^{-1}\lambda(-\alpha)e\left[\frac{1}{2mi}(H(u, \alpha) + H(\alpha, \alpha))\right] .
 \end{aligned}$$

Making use of the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
 J(-\alpha, 0)^{-1} &= J(\alpha, 0)\mu(0, -\alpha) , \\
 \mu(\alpha, -\alpha) &= \lambda(\alpha)^{-1}\lambda(-\alpha)^{-1}e\left[-\frac{1}{2mi}H(\alpha, \alpha)\right]
 \end{aligned}$$

and of the equality (5), we see that

$$J'(\alpha, u) = \psi(u + \alpha)^{-1}J(\alpha, u)\psi(u) .$$

Thus, we have seen that the theta factors  $J$  and  $J'$  are equivalent and hence  $F \cong F_{H/m}, \rho$ , finishing the proof.

*Remark.* In order to prove the converse of the theorem, we assume that a holomorphic vector bundle  $F_\rho$  over  $E$  associated to a holomorphic representation  $\rho$  of  $G_H(L)$  is simple. Then, the image of the central subgroup  $\{0\} \times \mathbb{C}^*$  is of scalar matrices and hence  $\rho(0, c) = c^k$  for some integer  $k$ . The projective representation  $\tilde{\rho}$  reduces to a projective representation of  $L$ . By Atiyah's proposition,  $P(F_\rho)$ , which arises from  $\tilde{\rho}$  of  $L$ , admits an integrable holomorphic connection.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [ 1 ] Atiyah, M. F., Complex analytic connections in fibre bundles, Trans. AMS. **85** (1957), 181–207.
- [ 2 ] Matsushima, Y., Heisenberg groups and holomorphic vector bundles over a complex torus, Nagoya Math. J. **61** (1976).
- [ 3 ] Morikawa, H., A note on holomorphic vector bundles over complex tori, Nagoya Math. J. **41** (1971), 101–106.
- [ 4 ] Weil, A., Introduction à l'étude des variétés kahleriennes, Paris, Hermann (1958).

*Washington University*