H. Tanaka Nagoya Math. J. Vol. 61 (1976), 85-101

BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS OF BIHARMONIC FUNCTIONS

HIDEMATU TANAKA

1. Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded domain of *n*-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n $(n \geq 2)$. On Ω we consider the biharmonic equation

(1)
$$\Delta^2 u = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}\right)^2 u = 0.$$

A function u in $C^4(\Omega)$ is called biharmonic in Ω if it satisfies the equation (1). In this note we shall deal with the following boundary value problems. Find a biharmonic function u in Ω such that the following couples of functions have boundary values given on the boundary of Ω :

(a)
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}$$
, $\frac{\partial (\Delta u)}{\partial n}$;
(b) Δu , $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}$;
(c) u , $\frac{\partial (\Delta u)}{\partial n}$.

J. L. Lions [4] treated these problems for the operator $\Delta^2 + I$ and gave solutions in case that Ω is a Nikodym domain. But in his method, the boundary of Ω or boundary functions are not referred to.

In this note we take as the boundary the Martin boundary M of Ω and define notations $\gamma_0(u)$ and $\gamma_1(u)$ for a function u on Ω as follows. If u has a fine boundary function f on M we denote f by $\gamma_0(u)$ and if u has φ , as generalized normal derivative of Doob [3] (in a slightly modified sense), we denote φ by $\gamma_1(u)$ (c.f. Definitions 1 and 2).

Now our boundary value problems are described as follows. Find a biharmonic function u in Ω such that the following couples of functions are equal to boundary functions given on the Martin boundary M:

Received September 7, 1974.

(a) $\gamma_1(u)$, $\gamma_1(\Delta u)$; (b) $\gamma_0(\Delta u)$, $\gamma_1(u)$; (c) $\gamma_0(u)$, $\gamma_1(\Delta u)$.

Let $K(x,\xi)$ be the Martin kernel and μ be the harmonic measure on M. Define new measures $\tilde{\mu}$ and $\tilde{\tilde{\mu}}$ on M by $d\tilde{\mu}(\xi) = k(\xi)d\mu(\xi)$ and $d\tilde{\tilde{\mu}}(\xi) = \frac{1}{k(\xi)}d\mu(\xi)$, where $k(\xi) = \int K(x,\xi)dx$.

Then we shall show that for any $\varphi \in L^2(\tilde{\mu})$ with $\int \varphi(\xi) d\mu(\xi) = 0$, there exists a square integrable harmonic function h on Ω with $D(h) < \infty$ such that $\gamma_1(h) = \varphi$ if and only if Ω is a Nikodym domain (Lemma 8). As an application of this fact we shall solve the above boundary value problems as follows.

Assume that Ω is a Nikodym domain, then

(a) for any φ and ψ in $L^2(\tilde{\mu})$ with $\int \psi(\xi) d\mu(\xi) = 0$ there exists a biharmonic function u such that $\gamma_1(u) = \varphi$ and $\gamma_1(\Delta u) = \psi$;

(b) for any $f \in L^2(\tilde{\mu})$ and $\varphi \in L^2(\tilde{\mu})$ with $\int \varphi(\xi) d\mu(\xi) = -\int H_f(x) dx$ there exists a biharmonic function u such that $\gamma_0(\Delta u) = f$ and $\gamma_1(u) = \varphi$;

(c) for any $f \in L^1(\mu)$ and $\varphi \in L^2(\tilde{\mu})$ with $\int \varphi(\xi) d\mu(\xi) = 0$ there exists a biharmonic function u such that $\gamma_0(u) = f$ and $\gamma_1(\Delta u) = \varphi$.

Moreover the uniqueness of the above solutions will be shown.

2. Preliminaries

Let Ω be an arbitrary bounded domain of the *n*-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 2)$ and G(x, y) be it's Green function with respect to the equation $\Delta u = 0$, that is $(-\Delta_y)G(x, y) = \varepsilon_x$ in Ω .

We shall mention the definition of the Martin boundary of Ω . We put

$$K(x, y) = \frac{G(x, y)}{G(x_0, y)}$$

on $\Omega \times \Omega$ if $y \neq x_0$ and $K(x, x_0) = 0$ if $x \neq x_0$ and $K(x_0, x_0) = 1$, where x_0 is a fixed reference point in Ω .

We take a fixed exhaustion $\{\Omega_n\}$ of Ω such that $x_0 \in \Omega_1$, and put

$$d(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{Q}_n} \left| \frac{K(x, x_1)}{1 + K(x, x_1)} - \frac{K(x, x_2)}{1 + K(x, x_2)} \right|$$

Then d defines a metric on Ω . We denote by Ω^* the completion of Ω by this metric. For a point $\xi \in \Omega^* - \Omega$, we can find a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in Ω such that $d(\xi, y_n) \to 0$ and so we can define

$$K(x,\xi) = \lim_{n\to\infty} K(x,y_n) \; .$$

We say that Ω^* is the Martin compactification of Ω and the set $M = \Omega^* - \Omega$ is called the Martin boundary of Ω . The function $K(x, \xi)$ on $\Omega \times \Omega^*$ is called the Martin kernel. We denote by μ the harmonic measure on M with respect to the fixed reference point x_0 .

Now let $G_1(x, y)$ be the Green function of Ω with respect to the equation $(\mathcal{A} - 1)u = 0$, that is $(-\mathcal{A}_y + 1)G_1(x, y) = \varepsilon_x$ in Ω . For $x \in \Omega$ and $\xi \in M$, we put

(2)
$$K_1(x,\xi) = K(x,\xi) - \int G_1(x,y)K(y,\xi)dy$$
.

We set for $f \in L^1(\mu)$,

(3)
$$H_f(x) = \int K(x,\xi) f(\xi) d\mu(\xi)$$

and

(4)
$$H^{1}_{f}(x) = \int K_{1}(x,\xi) f(\xi) d\mu(\xi) \; .$$

Denote by D(u) the Dirichlet integral of u on Ω . For measurable functions f and g on M, we put

(5)
$$D(f,g) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{M} \int_{M} (f(\xi) - f(\eta))(g(\xi) - g(\eta))\theta(\xi,\eta)d\mu(\xi)d\mu(\eta)$$

and D(f) = D(f, f), where $\theta(\xi, \eta)$ is the Naim kernel (c.f. [7]).

The following lemma is obtained by Doob [3].

LEMMA 1. If u is a harmonic function with $D(u) < \infty$, then u has a fine boundary function u' and D(u') = D(u). Conversely if f is an arbitrary measurable function on M with $D(f) < \infty$, then $f \in L^2(\mu)$ and $D(H_f) = D(f)$.

HIDEMATU TANAKA

Put $k(\xi) = \int K(x,\xi) dx$, and $k(\xi)$ is a strictly positive lower semicontinuous function on M and so $\inf_{\xi \in M} k(\xi) = c > 0$. Since

$$\int k(\xi)d\mu(\xi) = \int \left(\int K(x,\xi)d\mu(\xi)\right)dx = |\Omega|$$
 (area of Ω),

we see that $k(\xi) \in L^1(\mu)$.

Define new measures $\tilde{\mu}$ and $\tilde{\mu}$ on M by $d\tilde{\mu}(\xi) = k(\xi)d\mu(\xi)$ and $d\tilde{\mu}(\xi) = \frac{1}{k(\xi)}d\mu(\xi)$ respectively, and we have the following relations

$$(6) B(M) \subset L^2(\tilde{\mu}) \subset L^2(\mu) \subset L^2(\tilde{\mu}) \subset L^1(\mu) ,$$

where B(M) is the space of all bounded measurable functions on M. We also see that

(7)
$$||f||_{L^{2}(\tilde{\mu})} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} ||f||_{L^{2}(\mu)} \leq \frac{1}{c} ||f||_{L^{2}(\tilde{\mu})}$$

for any $f \in L^2(\tilde{\mu})$.

By the Fubini theorem, $\int H_{f^2}(x) dx < \infty$ for any $f \in L^2(\tilde{\mu})$. Hence we know

$$\begin{split} \int H_{|f|}(x)H_{|g|}^{1}(x)dx &\leq \int H_{|f|}(x)H_{|g|}(x)dx \\ &\leq \left(\int (H_{|f|}(x))^{2}dx \cdot \int (H_{|g|}(x))^{2}dx\right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\int H_{f^{2}}(x)dx \cdot \int H_{g^{2}}(x)dx\right)^{1/2} < \infty \end{split}$$

for any f and g in $L^2(\tilde{\mu})$.

LEMMA 2. Let f and g be in $L^2(\tilde{\mu})$. Then

(8)
$$\int H_f(x)H^1_g(x)dx = \int H_g(x)H^1_f(x)dx$$

and

(9)
$$\int H_f(x)H_f^1(x)dx \leq \int (H_f(x))^2 dx \leq c' \cdot \int H_f(x)H_f^1(x)dx$$

for some constant $c' \geq 1$.

Proof. By the definition of $K_1(x,\xi)$ and the resolvent equation,

88

(10)
$$H_{f}^{1}(x) = H_{f}(x) - \int G_{1}(x, y) H_{f}(y) dy$$

and

(11)
$$H_f(x) = H_f^1(x) + \int G(x, y) H_f^1(y) dy \; .$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} \int H_g(x)H_f^1(x)dx &= \int H_g(x)\Big(H_f(x) - \int G_1(x,y)H_f(y)dy\Big)dx\\ &= \int H_g(x)H_f(x)dx - \int H_f(y)\Big(\int G_1(x,y)H_g(x)dx\Big)dy\\ &= \int H_g(x)H_f(x)dx - \int H_f(y)(H_g(y) - H_g^1(y))dy\\ &= \int H_f(x)H_g^1(x)dx \end{split}$$

and

(12)
$$\int (H_f(x))^2 dx - \int H_f(x) H_f^1(x) dx = \int H_f(x) (H_f(x) - H_f^1(x)) dx$$
$$= \int H_f(x) \Big(\int G_1(x, y) H_f(y) dy \Big) dx$$
$$= \iint G_1(x, y) H_f(x) H_f(y) dx dy \ge 0 .$$

By (11)

$$\int (H_f(x))^2 dx - \int H_f(x) H_f^1(x) dx = \int H_f(x) \left(\int G(x, y) H_f^1(y) dy \right) dx$$

and hence

$$\begin{split} \left(\int (H_f(x))^2 dx &- \int H_f(x) H_f^1(x) dx \right)^2 \\ &\leq \int (H_f(x))^2 dx \cdot \left(\int \left(\int G(x, y) dy \cdot \int G(x, y) (H_f^1(y))^2 dy \right) dx \right) \\ &\leq c_0^2 \cdot \int (H_f(x))^2 dx \cdot \int (H_f^1(x))^2 dx \end{split}$$

where $c_0 = \sup_{x \in B} \int G(x, y) dy$. Similarly to (12), we know $\int H_f(x) H_f^1(x) dx - \int (H_f^1(x))^2 dx \ge 0 ,$ and so we have an inequality

$$egin{aligned} &\int (H_f(x))^2 dx \, - \, \int H_f(x) H_f^1(x) dx \ &\leq c_0 \cdot \left(\int (H_f(x))^2 dx
ight)^{1/2} \! \left(\int H_f(x) H_f^1(x) dx
ight)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int (H_f(x))^2 dx \le c' \cdot \int H_f(x) H_f^1(x) dx$$

for some constant $c' \ge 1$. This completes the proof. Now we set

(13)
$$\tilde{H}(M) = \{f; f \in L^2(\tilde{\mu}) \text{ and } D(f) < \infty\}$$
,

and define two inner products on $\tilde{H}(M)$ by

(14)
$$(f,g)_1 = D(f,g) + \int H_f(x)H_g(x)dx$$

and

(15)
$$(f,g)_2 = D(f,g) + \int H_f(x) H_g^1(x) dx$$

for functions f and g in $\tilde{H}(M)$. By the above lemma, we know that $(\cdot, \cdot)_2$ is an inner product on $\tilde{H}(M)$. We put $||f||_1^2 = (f, f)_1$ and $||f||_2^2 = (f, f)_2$ for $f \in \tilde{H}(M)$. Then we have

LEMMA 3. Norms $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ are equivalent and $\tilde{H}(M)$ is a Hilbert space with respect to these norms.

Proof. By the above lemma,

(16)
$$||f||_2 \le ||f||_1 \le (\max(1, c'))^{1/2} ||f||_2$$

and so these norms are equivalent. Let f be in $\tilde{H}(M)$. Then by the Riesz decomposition of $-(H_f)^2$ we have

$$(H_f)^2 = H_{f^2} - \int G(\cdot, y) d\nu_f(y) \; .$$

Since $D(H_f) = \frac{1}{2} \int d\nu_f$, we have

$$(17) ||f||^{2}_{L^{2}(\tilde{\mu})} = \int H_{f^{2}}(x)dx \\ = \int ((H_{f}(x))^{2} + \int G(x, y)d\nu_{f}(y))dx \\ \leq \int (H_{f}(x))^{2}dx + c_{0} \cdot \int d\nu_{f} \\ \leq \max(1, 2c_{0}) \Big(\int (H_{f}(x))^{2}dx + D(H_{f}) \Big) \\ = \max(1, 2c_{0}) \Big(\int (H_{f}(x))^{2}dx + D(f) \Big) \\ = \max(1, 2c_{0}) ||f||^{2}_{1}.$$

Hence we see that $\tilde{H}(M)$ is a Hilbert space.

3. Definitions of $\gamma_0(u)$ and $\gamma_1(u)$ for a function u on Ω

We shall define $\gamma_0(u)$ and $\gamma_1(u)$ for a function u on Ω as follows.

DEFINITION 1. If a function u on Ω has a fine boundary function f on M, we denote f by $\gamma_0(u)$.

The definition of $\gamma_1(u)$ is a slight modification of the definition of the generalized normal derivative of u (c.f. Doob [3]).

DEFINITION 2. Consider the function $u(x) = H_f(x) + u_p(x)$, where f is a measurable function on M with $D(f) < \infty$ and u_p is a potential of a measure ν on Ω . We assume that for any $g \in H(M)$, H_g is integrable on Ω with respect to the absolute variation of ν . If there exists a function φ on M such that $\int \varphi(\xi)g(\xi)d\mu(\xi) < +\infty$ and

(18)
$$D(f,g) = -\int \varphi(\xi)g(\xi)d\mu(\xi) + \int H_g(x)d\nu(x)$$

for any $g \in \tilde{H}(M)$, we denote φ by $\gamma_1(u)$.

We shall show the following

LEMMA 4. Let φ be in $L^2(\tilde{\mu})$. Then there exists a unique function $f \in \tilde{H}(M)$ such that $\gamma_1(u) = \varphi$, where

$$u(x) = H_f(x) - \int G(x, y) H_f(y) dy .$$

Proof. In the Hilbert space $\tilde{H}(M)$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_1$, the mapping

HIDEMATU TANAKA

 $g \to -\int g(\xi)\varphi(\xi)d\mu(\xi)$ is a linear functional. By the Schwarz inequality and (17), we have

$$igg| - \int g(\xi) arphi(\xi) d\mu(\xi) igg|^2 \leq \left(\int |g(\xi)| \, k(\xi)^{1/2} rac{1}{k(\xi)^{1/2}} |arphi(\xi)| \, d\mu(\xi)
ight)^2 \ \leq \|arphi\|_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu})}^2 \cdot \|g\|_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu})}^2 \|g\|_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu})}^2 \cdot \|g\|_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu})}^2 \cdot \|g\|_1^2 \ \leq \max\left(1, 2c_{ arphi}
ight) \|arphi\|_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu})}^2 \cdot \|g\|_1^2 \ .$$

Hence the above mapping is bounded on $\tilde{H}(M)$. Therefore there exists a unique function $f \in \tilde{H}(M)$ such that $(f,g)_1 = -\int \varphi(\xi)g(\xi)d\mu(\xi)$, namely

$$D(f,g) = -\int \varphi(\xi)g(\xi)d\mu(\xi) + \int H_g(x)(-H_f(x))dx$$

for any $g \in \tilde{H}(M)$. If we put $u(x) = H_f(x) - \int G(x, y)H_f(y)dy$, then from the definition we have $\gamma_1(u) = \varphi$.

Similarly we have

LEMMA 5. Let φ be in $L^2(\tilde{\mu})$. Then there exists a unique function $f \in \tilde{H}(M)$ such that $\gamma_1(H_f^1) = \varphi$.

Proof. By Lemma 3, the mapping $g \to -\int g(\xi)\varphi(\xi)d\mu(\xi)$ is a bounded linear functional on the Hilbert space $\tilde{H}(M)$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_2$. Hence there exists a unique function $f \in \tilde{H}(M)$ such that

$$\boldsymbol{D}(f,g) = -\int \varphi(\xi)g(\xi)d\mu(\xi) + \int H_g(x)(-H_f^1(x))dx$$

for any $g \in \tilde{H}(M)$. Since $H_f^1(x) = H_f(x) - \int G(x, y) H_f^1(y) dy$, we have $\gamma_1(H_f^1) = \varphi$.

We set

 $\widehat{H(M)} = \{f \in \widetilde{H}(M); \text{ there exists } \gamma_1(H_f) \in L^2(\widetilde{\mu})\}.$

Then we have similarly to Folgesatz 17.27 in [1] and Theorem 6 in [6] the following

LEMMA 6. $\widehat{H}(\widehat{M})$ is dense in $\widetilde{H}(M)$.

Proof. Let f_0 be in $\tilde{H}(M)$ and $(f_0, g)_1 = 0$ for any $g \in \tilde{H}(M)$. Then we have

(19)
$$D(f_0, g) + \int H_{f_0}(x) H_g(x) dx = 0$$

Since f_0 is in $L^2(\tilde{\mu})$, by Lemma 4 there exists $f'_0 \in \tilde{H}(M)$ such that

(20)
$$\gamma_1 \left(H_{f_0} - \int G(\cdot, y) H_{f_0}(y) dy \right) = f_0 \, .$$

On the other hand

$$\gamma_1\left(\int G(\cdot, y)H_{f_0}(y)dy\right) = \int K(x, \cdot)H_{f_0}(x)dx$$

and

$$\left\|\int K(x,\cdot)H_{f_0'}(x)dx\right\|_{L^{2}(\widetilde{\mu})}\leq \|f_0'\|_{L^{2}(\widetilde{\mu})}<\infty.$$

Hence $\gamma_1(H_{f'_0}) \in L^2(\tilde{\mu})$ and f'_0 is in $\widehat{H(M)}$. By (19), we have

$$D(f_0, f'_0) + \int H_{f_0}(x) H_{f'_0}(x) dx = 0$$

and by (20),

$$D(f_0, f'_0) = -\int f_0^2(\xi) d\mu(\xi) - \int H_{f_0}(x) H_{f'_0}(x) dx$$

therefore we know that $f_0 = 0$. This completes the proof.

4. Nikodym domain

In this section we shall treat the problem whether we are able to find $f \in \tilde{H}(M)$ such that $\gamma_1(H_f) = \varphi$ for any $\varphi \in L^2(\tilde{\mu})$ with $\int \varphi(\xi) d\mu(\xi) = 0$.

DEFINITION 3. (Deny-Lions [2]) We shall say that Ω is a Nikodym domain if every distribution T with $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}T \in L^2(\Omega)$ $(1 \le i \le n)$ is in $L^2(\Omega)$.

We set
$$\mathscr{E}_{L^2}^1(\varOmega) = \left\{ u \; ; \; u \in L^2(\varOmega) \; \text{ and } \; rac{\partial}{\partial x_i} u \in L^2(\varOmega) \; (1 \leq i \leq n) \right\} \, .$$

A necessary and sufficient condition for Ω to be a Nikodym domain is given by the following inequality of Poincaré: there exists a constant $P(\Omega)$ such that

$$\int (u(x))^2 dx - rac{1}{|arOmega|} \Big| \int u(x) dx \Big|^2 \le P(arOmega) D(u)$$

for any $u \in \mathscr{E}^1_{L^2}(\Omega)$ (c.f. [2]).

Deny-Lions [2] gives another characterization of a Nikodym domain by setting

$$N = \begin{cases} u \in \mathscr{E}_{L^2}^1(\Omega) \; ; \; \Delta u \in L^2(\Omega) \; \text{ and } \; (-\Delta u, v)_{L^2(\Omega)} = D(u, v) \\ & \text{ for any } \; v \in \mathscr{E}_{L^2}^1(\Omega) \end{cases} \} \; .$$

LEMMA 7. (Deny-Lions) For any $F \in L^2(\Omega)$ with $\int F(x)dx = 0$ we can find u in N (unique up to an additive constant) such that $-\Delta u = F$ if and only if Ω is a Nikodym domain.

The following lemma gives an answer to our above problem and it gives a characterization of a Nikodym domain.

LEMMA 8. For any $\varphi \in L^2(\tilde{\mu})$ with $\int \varphi(\xi) d\mu(\xi) = 0$ we can find f in $\tilde{H}(M)$ (unique up to an additive constant) such that $\gamma_1(H_f) = \varphi$ if and only if Ω is a Nikodym domain.

Proof. Assume that Ω is a Nikodym domain. Let φ be in $L^2(\tilde{\mu})$ with $\int \varphi(\xi) d\mu(\xi) = 0$. Then by Lemma 4 there exists a unique function $f_0 \in \tilde{H}(M)$ such that

$$\gamma_1\Big(H_{f_0}-\int G(\cdot,y)H_{f_0}(y)dy\Big)=\varphi\;.$$

Hence

(21)
$$D(f_0, g) = -\int \varphi(\xi) g(\xi) d\mu(\xi) + \int H_g(x) (-H_{f_0}(x)) dx$$

for any $g \in \tilde{H}(M)$. We put g = 1 in (21), then $\int H_{f_0}(x) dx = 0$ from the condition $\int \varphi(\xi) d\mu(\xi) = 0$.

Since f_0 is in $\tilde{H}(M)$, $H_{f_0} \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $D(H_{f_0}) = D(f_0) < \infty$. Therefore by Lemma 7, we can find u in N (unique up to an additive constant) such that $-\Delta u = H_{f_0}$. Hence we know that $\Delta^2 u = 0$, $u \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $D(u) < \infty$ and so by the uniqueness of the Royden decomposition of u, we have

94

$$u(x) = h(x) - \int G(x, y) \Delta u(y) dy$$
$$= h(x) + \int G(x, y) H_{f_0}(y) dy$$

for some harmonic function $h \in L^2(\Omega)$ with $D(h) < \infty$. From (17), h has a fine boundary function h' in $L^2(\tilde{\mu})$ and so $h = H_{h'}$ with $h' \in \tilde{H}(M)$.

Since u is in N and $\{H_g; g \in \tilde{H}(M)\} \subset \mathscr{E}^1_{L^2}(\Omega)$, we have

$$\int H_g(x)(-\Delta u(x))dx = D(u, H_g)$$

for any $g \in \tilde{H}(M)$. Hence we have

$$D(h',g) - \int H_g(x)H_{f_0}(x)dx$$

= $D(h,H_g) - \int H_g(x)(-\Delta u(x))dx$
= $D(h,H_g) - D(u,H_g)$
= $D(h-u,H_g)$
= $D(h-u,H_g)$
= $D\left(\int G(\cdot,y)\Delta u(y)dy,H_g\right) = 0$

for any $g \in \tilde{H}(M)$ and so $\gamma_1(u) = 0$.

Now we put $f = f_0 + h'$, then f is determined (uniquely up to an additive constant) in $\tilde{H}(M)$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1(H_f) &= \gamma_1(H_{f_0} + h) \\ &= \gamma_1 \left(H_{f_0} - \int G(\cdot, y) H_{f_0}(y) dy + u \right) \\ &= \varphi \ . \end{aligned}$$

Conversely assume that for any $\varphi \in L^2(\tilde{\mu})$ with $\int \varphi(\xi) d\mu(\xi) = 0$ we can find f in $\tilde{H}(M)$ such that $\gamma_1(H_f) = \varphi$. We shall show that for any $v \in L^2(\Omega)$ with $\int v(x) dx = 0$, we can find u in N (unique up to an additive constant) such that $-\Delta u = v$. Then by Lemma 7 we conclude that Ω is a Nikodym domain. Let v be in $L^2(\Omega)$ with $\int v(x) dx = 0$. Since

$$\int |v(x)|\cdot |H_g(x)|\,dx < \infty$$

for any $g \in \tilde{H}(M)$, we know

$$\gamma_1\left(-\int G(\cdot, y)v(y)dy\right) = -\int K(x, \cdot)v(x)dx$$

Put $\varphi_v = \gamma_1 \left(-\int G(\cdot, y) v(y) dy \right)$, and we know $\int \varphi_v^2(\xi) d\tilde{\mu}(\xi) = \int \frac{1}{k(\xi)} \varphi_v^2(\xi) d\mu(\xi)$ $\leq \int \frac{1}{k(\xi)} \left(\int K(x, \xi) dx \cdot \int K(x, \xi) v^2(x) dx \right) d\mu(\xi)$ $= \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 < \infty$

and

$$\int \varphi_v(\xi) d\mu(\xi) = \int \left(-\int K(x,\xi) v(x) dx \right) d\mu(\xi) = -\int v(x) dx = 0 \; .$$

Hence we can find f in $\tilde{H}(M)$ (unique up to an additive constant) such that $\gamma_1(H_f) = \varphi_v$. We put

$$u(x) = H_f(x) + \int G(x, y)v(y)dy$$

thus u is determined (uniquely up to an additive constant) in $\mathscr{E}_{L^2}^1(\Omega)$, $-\Delta u = v$ and $\Delta u \in L^2(\Omega)$.

Now we shall show that u is in N, that is $D(u, w) = (-\Delta u, w)_{L^2(\Omega)}$ for any w in $\mathscr{E}^1_{L^2}(\Omega)$.

We have the following decomposition of $\mathscr{E}_{L^2}^1(\Omega)$:

$${\mathscr E}^1_{L^2}({arOmega})=\{H^1_g\,;\,\,g\in \ddot{H}(M)\}\oplus L^2D_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}({arOmega})$$
 ,

where $L^2D_0(\Omega)$ is the closure of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm $D(\cdot)$ + $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. In case $w = H_g^1$ for some $g \in \tilde{H}(M)$, we have

$$\begin{split} D(u,w) &= D(u,H_g^1) \\ &= D(H_f,H_g) - D\Big(\int G(\cdot,y)v(y)dy, \int G(\cdot,y)H_g^1(y)dy\Big) \\ &= D(f,g) - \int v(x)\Big(\int G(x,y)H_g^1(y)dy\Big)dx \;. \end{split}$$

Since $\gamma_1(u) = \gamma_1(H_f) + \int K(x, \cdot)v(x)dx = \varphi_v - \varphi_v = 0$, we know

$$D(f,g) = \int v(x)H_g(x)dx$$

for any $g \in \tilde{H}(M)$. Hence we have

$$D(u, H_g^1) = \int v(x) \Big(H_g(x) - \int G(x, y) H_g^1(y) dy \Big) dx$$
$$= -\int \Delta u(x) H_g^1(x) dx .$$

In case w is in $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ we know that

$$w(x) = \int G(x, y) (-\Delta w(y)) dy \; .$$

Hence

$$D(u, w) = D\left(\int G(\cdot, y)v(y)dy, \int G(\cdot, y)(-\Delta w(y))dy\right)$$
$$= \int v(x)\left(\int G(x, y)(-\Delta w(y))dy\right)dx$$
$$= -\int \Delta u(x)w(x)dx .$$

For any w in $L^2D_0(\Omega)$, we can find a sequence $\{w_n\}$ in $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $w_n \to w$ in $L^2D_0(\Omega)$. Since $D(u, w_n) = -\int \Delta u(x)w_n(x)dx$, letting $n \to \infty$, we have $D(u, w) = -\int \Delta u(x)w(x)dx$. Therefore we know

$$D(u,w) = (-\Delta u, w)_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

for any $w \in \mathscr{E}_{L^2}(\Omega)$ and so u is in N. This completes the proof.

5. Boundary value problems

In this section we shall solve the boundary value problems described in section 1 as an application of Lemma 8. We put

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{S}_1 &= \{ u \in C^4(\Omega) \; ; \; u \; \text{ and } \; \varDelta u \; \text{ are in } \; \mathscr{E}_{L^2}^1(\Omega) \} \; , \\ \mathscr{S}_2 &= \{ u \in C^4(\Omega) \; ; \; u \; \text{ is in } \; \mathscr{E}_{L^2}^1(\Omega) \; \text{ and } \; \varDelta u \; \text{ is in } \; L^2(\Omega) \} \end{split}$$

and

 $\mathscr{S}_3 = \{ u \in C^4(\Omega) ; \Delta u \text{ is in } \mathscr{E}^1_{L^2}(\Omega) \}.$

Then we shall show

THEOREM. Assume that
$$\Omega$$
 is a Nikodym domain, then

(a) for any φ and ψ in $L^2(\tilde{\mu})$ with $\int \psi(\xi) d\mu(\xi) = 0$, there exists u in

 \mathscr{S}_1 unique up to an additive constant such that $\varDelta^2 u = 0$, $\gamma_1(u) = \varphi$ and $\gamma_1(\varDelta u) = \psi$;

(b) for any f in $L^2(\tilde{\mu})$ and φ in $L^2(\tilde{\mu})$ with

(22)
$$\int \varphi(\xi) d\mu(\xi) = -\int H_f(x) dx \, ,$$

there exists u in \mathscr{S}_2 unique up to an additive constant such that $\Delta^2 u = 0$, $\gamma_0(\Delta u) = f$ and $\gamma_1(u) = \varphi$;

(c) for any f in $L^{1}(\mu)$ and φ in $L^{2}(\tilde{\mu})$ with $\int \varphi(\xi) d\mu(\xi) = 0$, there exists u in \mathscr{S}_{3} such that $\Delta^{2}u = 0$, $\gamma_{0}(u) = f$ and $\gamma_{1}(\Delta u) = \varphi$.

Proof. (a) For any φ and ψ in $L^2(\tilde{\mu})$ with $\int \psi(\xi) d\mu(\xi) = 0$, by Lemma 8 there exists f in $\tilde{H}(M)$ such that $\gamma_1(H_f) = \psi$ and

(23)
$$\int \left(\varphi(\xi) + \int K(x,\xi)H_f(x)dx\right)d\mu(\xi) = 0$$

Since $\varphi + \int K(x, \cdot)H_f(x)dx$ is in $L^2(\tilde{\mu})$ and (23), there exists f_0 in $\tilde{H}(M)$ such that $\gamma_1(H_{f_0}) = \varphi + \int K(x, \cdot)H_f(x)dx$.

We put

$$u(x) = H_{f_0}(x) - \int G(x, y) H_f(y) dy .$$

Then we know that u is in \mathscr{S}_1 , $\varDelta^2 u = 0$, $\gamma_1(u) = \varphi$ and $\gamma_1(\varDelta u) = \psi$.

Next we shall show the uniqueness of the solution. Let w be in \mathscr{S}_1 such that $\varDelta^2 w = 0$, $\gamma_1(w) = 0$ and $\gamma_1(\varDelta w) = 0$. By the uniqueness of the Royden decomposition of w, there exists f_w and g_w in $\tilde{H}(M)$ such that

$$w = H_{f_w} - \int G(\cdot, y) \Delta w(y) dy$$

and $\Delta w = H_{g_w}$. Since $\gamma_1(w) = 0$, we have

(24)
$$D(H_{f_w}, H_g) + \int \Delta w(x) H_g(x) dx = 0$$

for any g in $\tilde{H}(M)$. Hence

(25)
$$D(w,w) = D(H_{f_w}, H_{f_w}) + \iint G(x, y) \Delta w(x) \Delta w(x) dx dy$$
$$= -\int \Delta w(x) H_{f_w}(x) dx + \int \Delta w(x) \left(\int G(x, y) \Delta w(y) dy \right) dx$$
$$= -\int \Delta w(x) w(x) dx .$$

Since $\gamma_1(\varDelta w) = 0$, we have

$$(26) D(\varDelta w, H_g) = 0$$

for any g in $\tilde{H}(M)$. We put $g = g_w$ in (24) and $g = f_w$ in (26), then we know that $\Delta w = 0$ and so w = constant by (25).

(b) First we shall remark that the condition (22) is necessary for the existence of the solution. Let u be a solution, then

$$u(x) = H_{f_u}(x) - \int G(x, y) \Delta u(y) dy$$

for some $f_u \in \tilde{H}(M)$. Since $\gamma_0(\Delta u) = f$ and $\gamma_1(u) = \varphi$, we know $\Delta u = H_f$ and

(27)
$$D(H_{f_u}, H_g) = -\int \varphi(\xi) g(\xi) d\mu(\xi) + \int H_g(x) (-\Delta u(x)) dx$$

for any $g \in \tilde{H}(M)$. Put g = 1 in (27) and we have (22).

For any f in $L^2(\tilde{\mu})$ and φ in $L^2(\tilde{\mu})$ we know that $\int K(x, \cdot)H_f(x)dx$ is in $L^2(\tilde{\mu})$ and by (22)

$$\int \left(\varphi(\xi) + \int K(x,\xi)H_f(x)dx\right)d\mu(\xi) = 0 \; .$$

Hence there exists f_0 in $\tilde{H}(M)$ such that

$$\gamma_1(H_{fo}) = \varphi + \int K(x, \cdot) H_f(x) dx$$
.

We put

$$u(x) = H_{f_0}(x) - \int G(x, y) H_f(y) dy .$$

Then u is in \mathscr{S}_2 , $\Delta^2 u = 0$, $\gamma_0(\Delta u) = f$ and $\gamma_1(u) = \varphi$.

The uniqueness of the solution is shown in a similar manner to (a). Let w be in \mathscr{S}_2 such that $\varDelta^2 w = 0$, $\gamma_0(\varDelta w) = 0$ and $\gamma_1(w) = 0$, then we have HIDEMATU TANAKA

$$D(w,w) + \int \Delta w(x)w(x)dx = 0 .$$

Since Δw is harmonic and $\gamma_0(\Delta w) = 0$, we know $\Delta w = 0$ and so w =constant.

(c) Put

$$u(x) = H_f(x) - \int G(x, y) H_{f_0}(y) dy ,$$

where f_0 is in $\tilde{H}(M)$ such that $\gamma_1(H_{f_0}) = \varphi$, and u is the desired solution. This completes the proof.

Remark 1. In the case of (c) the uniqueness of the solution is interpreted as follows. If u_0 is a solution of (c), then every solution is given by $u_0 + a \int G(\cdot, y) dy$, where a is some constant.

In fact if w is in \mathscr{S}_3 , $\varDelta^2 w = 0$, $\gamma_0(w) = 0$ and $\gamma_1(\varDelta w) = 0$, then $h(x) = w(x) + \int G(x, y) \varDelta w(y) dy$ is harmonic and $\gamma_0(h) = 0$. Hence we have $w(x) = -\int G(x, y) \varDelta w(y) dy$. Since $\gamma_1(\varDelta w) = 0$, we know $w(x) = a \int G(x, y) dy$ for some constant a.

Remark 2. Lemma 8 asserts that if one of the above boundary value problems has always a solution, then Ω is necessarily a Nikodym domain. Hence the above problems are solved if and only if Ω is a Nikodym domain.

REFERENCES

- C. Constantinescu and A. Cornea, Ideale Ränder Riemannscher Flächen. Spriger Verlag (1963).
- [2] J. Deny and J. L. Lions, Les espaces du type de Beppo Levi. Ann. Inst. Fourier, 5 (1953-4), 305-370.
- [3] J. L. Doob, Boundary properties of functions with finite Dirichlet integrals. Ann. Inst. Fourier, 12 (1962), 573-621.
- [4] J. L. Lions, Sur quelques problèmes aux limites relatifs a des opérateurs différentiels elliptiques. Bull. Soc. Math. France, 83 (1955), 225–250.
- [5] —, Problèmes aux limites en théorie des distributions. Acta Math. 94 (1955), 13-153.
- [6] F.-Y. Maeda, Normal derivatives on an ideal boundary. J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Ser. A-1, 28 (1964), 113-131.
- [7] L. Naïm, Sur le rôle de la frontière de R. S. Martin dans la théorie du potentiel. Ann. Inst. Fourier, 7 (1957), 183-281.

100

 [8] M. Nakai, Dirichlet finite biharmonic functions with Dirichlet finite laplacians. Math. Z., 122 (1971), 203-216.

Department of Mathematics Saitama University