ON THE THEOREM OF KISHI FOR A CONTINUOUS FUNCTION-KERNEL

ISAO HIGUCHI AND MASAYUKI ITÔ

1. Introduction

In the potential theory with respect to a non-symmetric functionkernel, the following theorem is obtained by M. Kishi ([3]).

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and G be a lower semicontinuous function-kernel on X. Assume that G(x,x)>0 for any x in X and that G and the adjoint kernel \check{G} of G satisfy "the continuity principle". Then the following four statements are equivalent.

- (1) G satisfies the domination principle.
- (2) \check{G} satisfies the domination principle.
- (3) G satisfies the balayage principle.
- (4) \check{G} satisfies the balayage principle.

In the class of lower semi-continuous function-kernels on X, the subclass of continuous function-kernels on X is essential for the continuity principle. We remark that the continuity principle follows from a certain maximum principle.

A function G defined in the product space $X \times X$ is called a continuous function-kernel if G is non-negative, continuous in the extended sense and finite outside the diagonal set of $X \times X$.

In this paper, we shall prove the continuity principle for \check{G} follows from the domination principle for G under a certain additional condition. On the other hand, it is well-known that the domination principle for G implies the continuity principle for G itself. Using our result, we shall obtain that the above theorem is valid in the case of a continuous function-kernel on X without the assumption that G and \check{G} satisfy the continuity principle. In the proof, we shall use a result of one of the authors (cf. [2]), and the following proposition will be essential in our proof.

Received August 8, 1973.

Let G be a continuous function-kernel on X satisfying the domination principle. Assume that every point of X is non-isolated and that G(x,x)>0 for any x in X and for any non-empty open set ω in X, the G-capacity of ω is positive. Then G(x,y)>0 in $X\times X$.

We remark that M. Kishi first proved the above equivalence for a strictly positive function-kernel (cf. [3]).

2. Preliminaries

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and Δ denote the diagonal set of the product space $X \times X$. A function G defined everywhere in $X \times X$ is called a function-kernel if G is non-negative and Borel measurable ([2]). The function-kernel \check{G} on X, defined by $\check{G}(x,y) = G(y,x)$ for any (x,y) in $X \times X$, is called the adjoint kernel of G. For a positive Radon measure μ in X, the potential $G\mu$ and the adjoint potential $\check{G}\mu$ of μ are defined by

$$G\mu(x) = \int \!\! G(x,y) d\mu(y)$$
 and $\check{G}\mu(x) = \int \!\! \check{G}(x,y) d\mu(y)$.

These are Borel functions on X and $0 \le G\mu(x) \le +\infty$, $0 \le \check{G}\mu(x) \le +\infty$ in X. The G-energy of μ is defined by $\int G\mu d\mu$. Evidently the G-energy of μ is equal to the \check{G} -energy of μ .

We denote by M_0 the family of all positive Radon measures in X with compact support and by $E_0 = E_0(G)$ the subfamily of M_0 constituted by positive Radon measures with finite G-energy. We have evidently $E_0(G) = E_0(\check{G})$.

For a compact set K in X, we set

$$\mathrm{cap}_{\scriptscriptstyle{G}}\left(K\right)=\sup\Bigl\{\mu(K)\,;\,\mu\in E_{\scriptscriptstyle{0}},S\mu\subset K,\!\!\int\!\!G\mu d\mu\leqq\!\!\int\!\!d\mu\Bigr\}$$
 ,

where $S\mu$ denotes the support of μ . For a subset A of X, we denote by $\operatorname{cap}_G(A)$ the quantity $\operatorname{sup}\{\operatorname{cap}_G(K); K : \operatorname{compact} \subset A\}$, and we call it the G-capacity of $A^{1)}$. Evidently $\operatorname{cap}_G(A) = \operatorname{cap}_{\check{G}}(A)$. For a subset A of X, $\operatorname{cap}_G(A) = 0$ if and only if $\{\mu \in E_0; S\mu \subset A\} = \{0\}$. We say that a property holds G-p.p.p. on a subset A of X if $\operatorname{cap}_G(B) = 0$, where B is the set of points in A where the property fails to hold.

Let us define the potential theoretical principles for a function-kernel G on X.

¹⁾ This is usually called the inner G-capacity of A.

(I) G satisfies the restrained domination principle if, for μ, ν in E_0 , $G\mu(x) \leq G\nu(x)$ G-p.p.p. in X whenever $G\mu(x) \leq G\nu(x)$ G-p.p.p. on $S\mu$.

PROPOSITION 1 ([2]). Let G be a strictly positive function-kernel on X. Then G satisfies the restrained domination principle if and only if \check{G} satisfies it.

(II) G satisfies the domination principle if, for μ in E_0 and ν in M_0 , an inequality $G\mu(x) \leq G\nu(x)$ on $S\mu$ implies the same inequality in the whole space.

PROPOSITION 2. If G satisfies the domination principle, then G satisfies the restrained domination principle.

In fact, if for μ, ν in $E_0, G\mu(x) \leq G\nu(x)$ G-p.p.p. on $S\mu$, then there exists an increasing sequence $(K_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of compact sets contained in $S\mu$ such that $\mu(CK_n) \downarrow 0$ with $n \uparrow + \infty$ and $G\mu(x) \leq G\nu(x)$ on K_n . Then, by the domination principle for G, $G\mu_n(x) \leq G\nu(x)$ everywhere in X, where μ_n is the restriction of μ to K_n . Letting $n \uparrow + \infty$, we have $G\mu(x) \leq G\nu(x)$ in X.

(III) G satisfies the balayage principle if, for a given compact set K in X and a given μ in M_0 , there exists a positive Radon measure μ' in M_0 supported by K such that

$$G\mu'(x) \le G\mu(x)$$
 on X and $G\mu'(x) = G\mu(x)$ $G\text{-}p.p.p.$ on K .

This measure μ' is called a G-balayaged measure of μ on K.

PROPOSITION 3. If G satisfies the balayage principle, then \check{G} satisfies the domination principle.

This can be proved in the same way as in [3].

(IV) G satisfies the complete maximum principle if for μ in E_0 and ν in M_0 , an inequality $G\mu(x) \leq G\nu(x) + 1$ on $S\mu$ implies the same inequality in the whole space.

It is evident that the complete maximum principle for G implies the domination principle for G.

- (V) G satisfies the classical maximum principle if for μ in M_0 , an inequality $G\mu(x) \leq 1$ on $S\mu$ implies the same inequality on X.
- (IV) G satisfies the continuity principle if for a μ in M_0 , the finite continuity of the restriction of $G\mu$ to $S\mu$ implies that $G\mu$ is finite continuous in the whole space.

When we discuss the continuity principle, it is natural to assume that a function-kernel is lower semi-continuous or continuous in the extended sense. A function-kernel G on X is said to be lower semi-continuous if G is a lower semi-continuous function in $X \times X$. G is called a continuous function-kernel on X if G is continuous in the extended sense in $X \times X$ and $G(x,y) < +\infty$ for any (x,y) in $X \times X - \Delta$. The following proposition is well-known and can be proved by the same way as in the classical case (i.e., the continuity principle for the Newton kernel).

PROPOSITION 4. If a continuous function-kernel G on X satisfies the domination principle or the classical maximum principle, then G satisfies the continuity principle.

3. The positivity of a continuous function-kernel and the continuity principle

Throughout this section, G is a continuous function-kernel on X. We say that G satisfies the condition (*) if:

(*) For any non-empty open set ω in X, $\operatorname{cap}_G(\omega) > 0$.

Remark. The condition (*) is very natural in the potential theory. Let us observe it for a continuous composition kernel on a locally compact abelian group.

Let G(x,y) = k(x-y) be a continuous composition kernel on a locally compact abelian group X, where k is continuous in the extended sense and finite outside the origin. Suppose that G satisfies the domination principle. Then G satisfies the condition (*) if and only if k is ξ -summable in a certain neighborhood of 0, where ξ is a Haar measure on X.

If k is ξ -summable in a certain neighborhood of 0, then for any finite continuous function f in X with compact support, the convolution k*f is defined in X and finite continuous. Therefore we obtain that the "if" part is valid. We shall show that the "only if" part is valid. By the domination principle for G, k is identically equal to 0 if k(0) = 0, and hence we may assume k(0) > 0. The condition (*) implies $E_0 \neq \{0\}$. We can find a λ (\neq 0) in E_0 supported in $C\{0\} \cap \{x \in X; k(x) > 0\}$. We may assume that $G\lambda$ is bounded on $S\lambda$. By virtue of the domination principle for G, there exists a constant c > 0 such that $G\lambda(x) \leq ck(x)$ on

X, and hence $G\lambda$ is locally bounded on X. Therefore, for any finite continuous function f in X with compact support,

$$+\infty > \int G\lambda(x) |f(x)| d\xi(x) = \int k(x) (\check{\lambda} * |f|)(x) d\xi(x) ,$$

where $\check{\lambda}$ is the measure defined by $\check{\lambda}(e) = \lambda(-e)$ for any Borel set e. Consequently k is locally ξ -summable.

Let us consider our continuous function-kernel on X. Our first theorem is the following

THEOREM 1. Let G be a continuous funtion-kernel on X satisfying the domination principle and the condition (*). Assume that G > 0 on Δ and every point in X is not isolated. Then G(x, y) > 0 on $X \times X$.

Proof. Suppose that there exists a point (x_0, y_0) in $X \times X$ where G vanishes. Then $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times X - \Delta$. Put $g(y) = G(x_0, y)$. Then g is defined in X and continuous in the extended sense. Every point in X being not-isolated, we can find y_1 in the support of g satisfying $y_1 \neq x_0$ and $g(y_1) = 0$. By $G(y_1, y_1) > 0$, there exists an open neighborhood V of y_1 such that G(x, y) > 0 in $V \times V$. Set $\omega = V \cap \{y \in X; g(y) > 0\}$. Then $\omega \neq \emptyset$, and there exists a positive Radon measure λ ($\neq 0$) contained in E_0 and supported in ω . By virtue of the Lusin theorem and the continuity principle for G (cf. Proposition 4), we may assume that $G\lambda$ is finite continuous in X. Then we can find a positive constant a such that $G\lambda(x) \leq aG\epsilon_{y_1}(x)$ on $S\lambda$, where ϵ_{y_1} is the unit measure at y_1 , because $G\epsilon_{y_1}(x) > 0$ on $S\lambda$. By the domination principle for G, we have $G\lambda(x) \leq aG\epsilon_{y_1}(x)$ on X. Hence

$$0 = aG(x_0, y_1) = aG\varepsilon_{y_1}(x_0) \ge G\lambda(x_0) = \int g(y)d\lambda(y) > 0.$$

This is a contradiction. Consequently G(x, y) > 0 in $X \times X$. This completes the proof.

We discuss the continuity principle for a continuous function-kernel on X. For a closed subset X' of X, we denote by G' the restriction of G to $X' \times X'$. Then G' is evidently a continuous function-kernel on X'.

LEMMA 1. If G satisfies the domination principle, then G' satisfies it.

This follows from the fact that for any positive Radon measure μ in X', $G\mu(x) = G'\mu(x)$ on X'.

LEMMA 2. Suppose that G is strictly positive in $X \times X$. If G satisfies the restrained domination principle and the condition (*), then G satisfies the continuity principle.

This lemma can be shown by the same manner as in the usual case. Let us give the proof. Suppose that for a μ in M_0 , the restriction of $G\mu$ to $S\mu$ is finite continuous. It sufficies to show $\lim_{x\to x_0} G\mu(x) = G\mu(x_0)$ for every boundary point x_0 of $S\mu$.

If $\mu(\{x_0\}) > 0$, $G(x_0, x_0) < +\infty$, because $G\mu(x_0) < +\infty$, and hence our desired equality follows immediately from the finite continuity of G at (x_0, x_0) .

Suppose $\mu(\{x_0\})=0$. By $G\mu(x_0)<+\infty$, for a given positive number ε , there exists an open neighborhood V of x_0 such that $\int_V G(x_0,y)d\mu(y)<<\varepsilon$. The function $\int_V G(x,y)d\mu(y)$ of x being finite continuous as a function on $S\mu\cap V$, we can choose another open neighborhood W of x_0 which satisfies $W\subset V$ and

$$\int_{W} G(x,y) d\mu(y) \leq \int_{V} G(x,y) d\mu(y) < \int_{V} G(x_{0},y) d\mu(y) + \varepsilon$$

for any x in $S\mu \cap W$. Denote by μ' the restriction of μ to W. Then $G\mu' < 2\varepsilon$ on $S\mu'$. We may assume $\overline{V} \neq X$. By the condition (*) and G(x,y) > 0 in $X \times X$, there exists a ν in E_0 such that $S\nu \cap \overline{V} = \emptyset$ and $G\nu(x) > 1$ on $S\mu'$. By virtue of the restrained domination principle for G and the continuity of $G\mu'$ in $CS\mu'$, we have

$$Gu'(x) \leq 2\varepsilon G\nu(x)$$
 in W .

On the other hand, $G(\mu-\mu')$ is finite and continuous at x_0 , and hence

$$\overline{\lim_{x \to x_0}} G \mu(x) \leq G \mu(x_0) + 2 \varepsilon G \nu(x_0) .$$

 $G\mu$ being lower semi-continuous and ε being arbitrary, we have $\lim_{x\to x_0} G\mu(x) = G\mu(x_0)$. This completes the proof.

By Proposition 1, we have the following

LEMMA 3. Under the same assumptions as in Lemma 2, Ğ satisfies the continuity principle.

THEOREM 2. Let G be a continuous function-kernel on X satisfying

the condition (*). If G > 0 on Δ and G satisfies the domination principle, then G and \check{G} satisfy the continuity principle.

Proof. We denote by X' the closed subset of X constituted by all points which are not isolated and by G' the restriction of G to $X' \times X'$. By Lemma 1, G' is a continuous function-kernel on X' satisfying the domination principle. Evidently G' satisfies the condition (*) and every point of X' is not isolated. Consequently \check{G}' satisfies the continuity principle by Proposition 2 and Lemma 3. The continuity principle for G is well-known, and we shall prove only the continuity principle for \check{G} . Suppose that for $\mu \in M_0$, the restriction of G to G is finite continuous. Denote by μ' the restriction of μ to X'. Then, as a function on G, G', G', G' is finite continuous, because G', G', G', and hence G', is finite continuous in G. By G, G', and the fact that G', G', is discrete, G, is finite continuous on G'. On the other hand, $G(\mu - \mu')$ is discrete, and then $G(\mu - \mu')$ is finite continuous on G', i.e., G, G is finite continuous on G'. This completes the proof.

4. Remarks on Kishi's theorem

Let us start the following theorem.

THEOREM 3. Let G be a continuous function-kernel on X. Assume G(x,x) > 0 on Δ . Then the following four statements are equivalent.

- (1) G satisfies the domination principle.
- (2) \check{G} satisfies the domination priciple.
- (3) G satisfies the balayage principle.
- (4) G satisfies the balayage principle.

Proof. By Proposition 3, we know $(3) \Rightarrow (2)$ and $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$. The implication $(2) \Rightarrow (4)$ is the dual form of $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$. Therefore it suffices to show the implication $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$. Suppose that (1) is valid. Put $\Omega = \bigcup \{\omega : \text{open, } \operatorname{cap}_G(\omega) = 0\}$ and $X' = X - \Omega$. Let G' the restriction of G to $X' \times X'$. Then G' is a continuous function-kernel on X' satisfying the domination principle and the condition (*). Therefore, by Theorem 2, G' satisfies the continuity principle. Let us remember the existence theorem of Kishi ([4]).

PROPOSITION 5. Let \overline{G} be a lower semi-continuous function-kernel on a locally compact Hausdorff space Y. Assume that $\overline{G}(x,x) > 0$ for any

x in Y and \check{G} satisfies continuity principle. For a given compact set F in Y and a given non-negative finite continuous function u on F, there exists a positive Radon measure λ in Y supported by F such that $\bar{G}\lambda(x) \geq u(x)$ G-p-p-p on F and $\bar{G}\lambda(x) \leq u(x)$ λ -a.e. on Y.

We continue our proof. Let K be a compact set in X and μ be a positive Radon measure in X with compact support. First we suppose $S\mu \cap K = \emptyset$. Let μ' be a positive Radon measure in X obtained in Proposition 5 for the case of $\overline{G} = G', F = K \cap X'$ and $u = G\mu$. We have evidently $G\mu'(x) = G'\mu'(x)$ on X' and $\mu' \in E_0(G)$, and "G'-p.p.p. on $K \cap X'''$ is equal to "G-p.p.p. on $K \cap X'''$. By virtue of the domination principle for G and the inequality $G\mu'(x) \leq G\mu(x)$ μ' -a.e. in X, we obtain, by the usual way, the inequality $G\mu'(x) \leq G\mu(x)$ everywhere in X. Consequently μ' is a G-balayaged measure of μ on $K \cap X'$. For an arbitrary ν in $E_0(G)$ supported by K, ν is supported by $K \cap X'$. In fact, if $\nu(\Omega) > 0$, there exists an open set $\omega \subset \Omega$ such that $\operatorname{cap}_G(\omega) = 0$ and $\nu(\omega) > 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence "G-p.p.p. on K" is equivalent to "G-p.p.p. on $K \cap X'$ ". Therefore μ' is a G-balayaged measure of μ on K.

We remark here that, to show immediately the existence of a G-balayaged measure of μ on K in the case of $S\mu \cap K \neq \emptyset$, it is necessary that \check{G} satisfies the continuity principle (cf. [3]). But, by the above discussion, we obtain that \check{G} satisfies the domination principle. In fact, suppose that for a ν in $E_0(G)$ and a λ in M_0 , $\check{G}\nu(x) \leq \check{G}\lambda(x)$ on $S\nu$. For an arbitrary y in $CS\nu$, there exists a G-balayaged measure ε'_y of ε_y on $S\nu$, and hence we have

$$\begin{split} \check{G}\nu(y) &= \int \!\! G\varepsilon_y(x) d\nu(x) = \int \!\! G\varepsilon_y'(x) d\nu(x) = \int \!\! \check{G}\nu(x) d\varepsilon_y'(x) \\ &\leq \int \!\! \check{G}\lambda(x) d\varepsilon_y'(x) = \int \!\! G\varepsilon_y'(x) d\lambda(x) \leq \int \!\! G\varepsilon_y(x) d\lambda(x) = \check{G}\lambda(y) \; , \end{split}$$

i.e., $\check{G}\nu \leq \check{G}\lambda$ on X. Consequently \check{G} satisfies the domination principle, and hence \check{G} satisfies the continuity principle (cf. Proposition 4). In the same way as in [3], we obtain that G satisfies the balayage principle. This completes the proof.

By the present theorem and Proposition 4, we have the following

COROLLARY. Let G be a continuous function-kernel on X satisfying G > 0 on Δ . If G satisfies the domination principle, then G and \check{G} satisfy the continuity principle.

M. Kishi discussed other potential theoretical properties for G implied by the domination principle for G under the condition that \check{G} satisfies the continuity principle (cf. [3], [4], & c.). By the above corollary, in these cases, we can omit the continuity principle for \check{G} . In particular, the following theorem is fundamental.

THEOREM 4. Let G be a continuous function-kernel on X satisfying G > 0 on Δ . Then G satisfies the complete maximum principle if and only if G satisfies the domination principle and the classical maximum principle.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Durier: Thèse, Faculté des Sciences d'Orsay, Université de Paris, 1969.
- [2] M. Itô: Sur les principes divers du maximum et le type positif, Nagoya Math. J., 44, 1971, p. 133-164.
- [3] M. Kishi: Maximum principles in the potential theory, Nagoya Math. J., 23, 1963, p. 165-187.
- [4] —: An existence theorem in potential theory, Nagoya Math. J., 27, 1966, p. 133-137.

Suzuka College of Technology and Nagoya University