Y. Kitaoka Nagoya Math. J. Vol. 51 (1973), 79-89

# **TWO THEOREMS ON THE CLASS NUMBER OF POSITIVE DEFINITE QUADRATIC FORMS**

### YOSHIYUKI KITAOKA

0. In this note we study the estimate from above and below and the asymptotic behaviour of the class number of positive definite integral quadratic forms.

**1.** Let  $S_1, S_2$  be positive definite matrices of degree m; then  $S_1, S_2$ are called equivalent (resp. equivalent in the narrow sense) if  $S_1 = {}^t T S_2 T$ for some *T* in  $GL(m, Z)$  (resp.  $SL(m, Z)$ ). By definition  $E(S)$  is the order of the unit group of *S*, i.e., the number of matrices in  $GL(m, Z)$  such that ' $TST = S$ . Let  $m, D$  be natural numbers; by  $H_m(D)$  (resp.  $h_m(D)$ ) we denote the number of equivalence classes (resp. equivalence classes in the narrow sense) in positive definite integral matrices of degree *m* and determinant *D.*

THEOREM 1. *Let m be a natural number larger than 2, and ε be any positive number. Then we have*

$$
c_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(m)D^{(m-1)/2} \leq H_m(D) \leq c_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(m, \varepsilon)D^{(m-1)/2+\varepsilon} \ ,
$$

 $where c_1(m)$  is a positive constant depending on m, and  $c_2(m, \varepsilon)$  is a *positive constant depending on m and* ε. *Moreover we can take* 0 *instead of ε if we consider cases of square-free D.*

COROLLARY. *For even m we have*

$$
h_m(D) \sim^* 2H_m(D) \quad \text{as } D \to \infty .
$$

THEOREM 2. *Let m be a natural number; then*

$$
H_m(D) \sim 2 \sum \frac{1}{E(S)} \quad \text{as } D \to \infty ,
$$

Received October 30, 1972. Revised February 12, 1973.

 $g(x)$  as  $x \to \infty$  means  $\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 1$ 

**80 Y. KITAOKA**

*where S runs over a set of representatives of different equivalence classes in positive definite integral matrices of degree m and determinant D.*

COROLLARY. *Let m be an odd natural number. Then we have*

$$
\lim_{\substack{D\to\infty\\D:\text{ odd}\\E_1\text{ square-free}}} \frac{H_m(D)}{D^{(m-1)/2}} = \pi^{-m(m+1)/4}\prod_{k=1}^m \Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^{(m-1)/2} \zeta(2k) ,
$$

*where*  $ζ(s)$  *is the Riemann zeta-function.* 

*Remark.* It is possible that we obtain the similar result to Theorem 2 for the number of classes in a genus on some assumptions (for ex ample, on the assumption that *D* is square-free).

2. LEMMA 1. *The number of groups of finite order in GL(m,Z) is finite up to conjugacy.*

*Proof.* Let G be a group of finite order in  $GL(m, Z)$  and S be the positive definite matrix  $\sum_{A \in G} {^t} A A$ . Then there exists an element *U* in *GL(m,Z)* such that *\*USU* is reduced in the sense of Minkowski and the integral orthogonal group of  $^tUSU$  contains  $U^{-1}GU$ . From Satz 4 in [8], absolute values of all entries of  $U^{-1}MU(M \in G)$  are not larger than some constant depending on m.

#### 3. *Proof of Theorem* 1.

Let S be a positive definite integral matrix of degree *m* and de terminant *D*. Then the mass  $M(S)$  of S is by definition

$$
\sum \frac{1}{E(S_k)},
$$

where  $S_k$  runs over the representatives of equivalence classes in the genus of *S,* and it is well known ([7])

$$
M(S) = \frac{2 \Gamma(1/2) \Gamma(2/2) \cdots \Gamma(m/2)}{\pi^{m(m+1)/4} \, \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}} \cdot D^{(m+1)/2} \qquad (m > 1) \ ,
$$

where  $\alpha_p = \alpha_p(S)$  is the density of *S* at the prime *p* and it is defined by

$$
\frac{1}{2}\lim_{\ell\to\infty}(p^{\ell})^{-m(m-1)/2}M(S\,;\,p^{\ell})\;,
$$

where  $M(S; p^i)$  is the number of integral matrices T mod  $p^i$  such that  ${}^tTST \equiv S \bmod p^e$ .

If *p* does not divide *2D,* then we have ([3], [7])

$$
\alpha_p = \begin{cases} \prod_{k=1}^{(m-1)/2} (1-p^{-2k}) & m: \text{ odd,} \\ \left(1 - \left(\frac{(-1)^{m/2}D}{p}\right)p^{-m/2}\right) \prod_{k=1}^{(m/2)-1} (1-p^{-2k}) & m: \text{ even.} \end{cases}
$$

If

(1) 
$$
S \cong \begin{pmatrix} 1_{m-2} & & \\ & \varepsilon_p & \\ & & D\varepsilon_p^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \text{ over } \mathbf{Z}_p \text{ for } p|D \text{ and } p \neq 2,
$$

where  $\varepsilon_p$  is a unit of  $Z_p$ , then we have ([3])

$$
\alpha_p = 2D^{(p)} \begin{cases} \left(1 - \left(\frac{(-1)^{(m-1)/2} \varepsilon_p}{p}\right) p^{-(m-1)/2} \right)^{\frac{(m-1)/2-1}{m}} (1-p^{-2k}) & m: \text{ odd}, \\ \prod_{k=1}^{(m/2)-1} (1-p^{-2k}) & m: \text{ even}, \end{cases}
$$

where  $D^{(p)}$  represents the *p*-part of *D*.

If  $8|D$ , and

$$
(2) \t\t S \cong \begin{pmatrix} A \\ D \end{pmatrix} \text{ over } Z_2,
$$

where A is unimodular over  $Z_i$  with determinant 1, then by the similar proof to Hilfssatz 10, 11 in [3] we have

$$
M(S; 2^{\ell}) = 2^{\ell(m-1)}M(A; 2^{\ell})M(D; 2^{\ell}),
$$

and so

$$
\alpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(S) = 4 D^{\scriptscriptstyle (2)} \alpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(A) \; ,
$$

where  $D^{(2)}$  represents the 2-part of  $D$ . Thus, on the assumption (2) if *8\D,* we have

$$
\alpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(S)/D^{\scriptscriptstyle (2)}\leq c_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}\,,
$$

where  $c_i$  depends on only  $m$ . From now on,  $c_i$  represents a positive constant depending on only m, and  $c_i(\varepsilon)$  depends on m and  $\varepsilon$ .

If *S* satisfies the above condition (1) for any odd prime *p,* then we have

$$
\prod_{p+2} \alpha_p^{-1} = \begin{cases}\frac{D^{(2)} \binom{(m-1)/2}{m-1}}{\prod\limits_{k=1}^{m-1}} \zeta(2k) \prod_{k=1}^{(m-1)/2} (1-2^{-2k}) \prod_{p|D} 2^{-1} (1-p^{-(m-1)}) \\ \times \left(1-\left(\frac{(-1)^{(m-1)/2} \varepsilon_p}{p}\right) p^{-(m-1)/2}\right)^{-1} & m \colon \text{odd }, \\ \frac{D^{(2)}}{D} \prod_{\substack{p|D \\ p \neq 2}}^{m-1} \prod_{k=1}^{(m/2)-1} \zeta(2k) \cdot L\left(\frac{m}{2}, \left(\frac{(-1)^{m/2}D}{*}\right)\right) \prod_{k=1}^{(m/2)-1} (1-2^{-2k}) \\ \times \left(1-\left(\frac{(-1)^{m/2}D}{2}\right) 2^{-m/2}\right) & m \colon \text{ even }. \end{cases}
$$

Thus on the assumptions (1), and (2) if  $8|D$ , the mass  $M(S)$  satisfies

$$
M(S)\geq c_2 D^{(m-1)/2}\mathop{\textstyle \prod}_{\substack{p\mid D\\ p\neq 2}} 2^{-1}\begin{cases}\mathop{\textstyle \prod}_{p\mid D\\ p\neq 2}} \left(1\,+\,\left(\frac{-\varepsilon_p}{p}\right)p^{-1}\right) \quad m=3\;, \\ 1 \qquad m\geq 4\;.\end{cases}
$$

Therefore if the number of odd primes dividing *D* is zero or one, and *S* satisfies above conditions (1) and (2) if  $8|D \left(\text{for example, } S = \left(\begin{array}{c}1_{m-1} \\ n\end{array}\right)\right)$ , then

$$
H_m(D) \geq M(S) \geq c_3 D^{(m-1)/2} \quad \text{for } m \geq 3.
$$

Suppose that odd primes dividing D are  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t (t \geq 2)$ , and put the p-part of  $D = p^{u_p}$ . If there exists j such that  $u_{p_j}$  is odd, then for any given unit  $\varepsilon_{p_i}$  of  $Z_{p_i}(i \neq j)$  there exist a unit  $\varepsilon_{p_j}$  of  $Z_{p_j}$  and a posi tive definite integral matrix *S* with  $|S| = D$  such that *S* satisfies the condition (1) and

$$
S \cong \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1_{m-1} & \\ & D \end{smallmatrix}\right) \quad \text{over } Z_2.
$$

If any  $u_{p_i}$  is even, then for any given unit  $\varepsilon_{p_i}$  of  $Z_{p_i}$  there exist a unit  $Z_2$  of  $Z_2$  and a positive definite integral matrix S with  $|S| = D$  such that S satisfies the condition (1) and

$$
S \cong \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \mathbf{1}_{m-3} & & \\ & \varepsilon_2 & \\ & & \varepsilon_2^{-1} \\ & & D \end{array}\right) \ \ \text{over} \ \ \mathbf{Z}_2 \, .
$$

Hence we obtain

$$
H_m(D) \geq \mathop{\textstyle \sum}_{\substack{\binom{s_{p_t}}{p_t} = \pm 1 \\ i \neq j}} M(S) \geq \frac{1}{2} c_2 D^{(m-1)/2} \qquad \text{for} \ \ m \geq 4 \ ,
$$

and for  $m = 3$ 

$$
H_m(D) \ge \sum_{\substack{\binom{s_{p_i}}{p_i} = \pm 1 \\ i \ne j}} M(S) \ge c_2 D 2^{-t} \sum_{i=1}^t \left(1 + \left(\frac{-\varepsilon_{p_i}}{p_i}\right) p_i^{-1}\right)
$$
  

$$
\ge c_2 D 2^{-t-1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \ne j}}^t \left(1 + \left(\frac{-\varepsilon_{p_i}}{p_i}\right) p_i^{-1}\right)
$$
  

$$
= 2^{-2} c_2 D.
$$

Thus, we have proved  $H_m(D) \geq c_4 D^{(m-1)/2}$ .

Let  $c_5$  be the maximal order of groups of finite order in  $GL(m, \mathbb{Z})$ . Then we have

$$
H_m(D) \leq c_{\scriptscriptstyle 5} \sum M(S) ,
$$

where *S* runs over the representatives of genera of positive definite integral matrices of degree *m* and determinant D. This implies

(3) 
$$
H_m(D) \leq c_6 D^{(m+1)/2} \prod_{p \nmid 2D} \alpha_p^{-1} \prod_{p \mid 2D} (\sum \alpha_p^{-1}),
$$

where  $\sum \alpha_p^{-1}$  is the sum of the inverses of densities of matrices, up to equivalence, over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  of degree  $m$  and determinant  $D$ . On the other hand, we have

$$
\prod_{p\nmid 2D} \alpha_p^{-1} = \begin{cases} \prod_{p\nmid 2D} \prod_{k=1}^{(m-1)/2} (1-p^{-2k})^{-1} & m: \text{ odd }, \\ \prod_{p\nmid 2D} \left(1-\left(\frac{(-1)^{m/2}D}{p}\right)p^{-m/2}\right)^{-1} \prod_{k=1}^{(m/2)-1} (1-p^{-2k})^{-1} & m: \text{ even }, \\ \leq c_7 \, . & \end{cases}
$$

Let

$$
S \cong \begin{pmatrix} p^{t_1}S_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & p^{t_s}S_s \end{pmatrix} \text{ over } \mathbf{Z}_p , \qquad (p \neq 2)
$$

where  $S_i$  are unimodular and  $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \cdots \leq t_s$ , and put  $n_i =$  degree of  $S_i$ ,  $m_i = \sum_{k=i}^{s} n_k$ . Then we get

$$
\alpha_p(S) = 2^{s-1} p^{\omega(t_i, n_i)} \prod_{i=1}^s \alpha_p(S_i) \quad \text{for odd prime } p,
$$

where  $\omega(t_i, n_i) = \sum_{k=1}^s t_k n_k (m_k - (n_k - 1)/2)$ , and the sum  $\sum \alpha_p^{-1}$  in (3) is

$$
\sum \alpha_p^{-1} = \sum_{n_k, t_k} \sum_{\substack{\text{deg } S_{i} = n_i \\ \text{g } |S_i| = D/D^{(p)}}} \alpha_p^{-1}
$$

$$
= \sum_{n_k, t_k} \frac{2^{1-s}}{p^{\omega(t_k, n_k)}} \sum_{k=1}^s \prod_{k=1}^s \alpha_p(S_k)^{-1}.
$$

We, now, estimate  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{k=1}^{s} \alpha_{p}(S_{k})^{-1}$ :

$$
\sum \prod_{k=1}^{s} \alpha_{p}(S_{k})^{-1}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum \prod_{n_{k}=2} \alpha_{p}(S_{k})^{-1} \prod_{n_{k}\neq 2} \alpha_{p}(S_{k})^{-1}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum \prod_{n_{k}=2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{-|S_{k}|}{p}\right)p^{-1}\right)^{-1} \prod_{n_{k}\neq 2} \alpha_{p}(S_{k})^{-1}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum \prod_{n_{k}=2} \left(1 + \left(\frac{-|S_{k}|}{p}\right)p^{-1}\right) \prod_{n_{k}=2} \left(1 - p^{-2}\right)^{-1} \prod_{n_{k}\neq 2} \alpha_{p}(S_{k})^{-1}
$$
\n
$$
\leq \left\{\prod_{k=2}^{m} \left(1 - p^{-k}\right)^{-1}\right\}^{\text{cs}} \sum \prod_{n_{k}=2} \left(1 + \left(\frac{-|S_{k}|}{p}\right)p^{-1}\right).
$$

If some  $n_k$  is not 2, then we can take any unit of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  as  $|S_k|$  for k satisfying  $n_k = 2$ , and  $\sum \prod_{k=1}^{k} (1 + \left(\frac{-|S_k|}{n}\right)p^{-1}) = 2^{s-1}$ . If all  $n_k$  are 2,  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \sum \prod_{k=1}^{s} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{-|S_k|}{p} \right) p^{-1} \right) = 2^{s-1} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{(-1)^{m/2} D/D^{(p)}}{p} \right) p^{-m/2} \right).$  This im plies

$$
\sum \alpha_p^{-1} \leq \left\{ \prod_{k=2}^m (1-p^{-k})^{-1} \right\}^{c_0} \sum_{n_k, t_k} \frac{1}{p^{\omega(t_k, n_k)}} \quad \text{for odd } p,
$$

Put  $D^{(p)} = p^{u_p}$ , then  $u_p = \sum n_k t_k$  and  $\omega(t_k, n_k) \ge u_p$  and the equality arises if and only if  $n_1 = m - 1$ ,  $n_2 = 1$ ,  $t_1 = 0$  and  $t_2 = u_p$ .

If we confine ourselves to the case of square-free  $D$ , then we have  $n_1 = m - 1$ ,  $n_2 = 1$ ,  $t_1 = 0$  and  $t_2 = u_p$  (=1). Hence in this case, we have

$$
\prod_{\substack{p\mid D \\ p\neq 2}} \sum \alpha_p^{-1} \le c_{10} D^{\scriptscriptstyle (2)} / D \ .
$$

We come back to the case of general *D.* Let *β<sup>s</sup>* be the number of  $\text{partitions} \ \ m = \sum_{i=1}^s n_i, n_i > 0, \ \ \text{and} \ \ \text{put} \ \ \ell = \omega(t_k, n_k) - u_p = t_s n_s (n_s - 1)/2$  $+ \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} t_k n_k (m_k - (n_k+1)/2)$ ; then in case of  $s > 1$ , we have  $t_{s-1} \leq \ell$ and  $0 \le t_{s-i} \le \ell - i + 1$ . This implies that the number of systems  $\{t_k\}_{k=1}^s$ such that  $\ell = \omega(t_k, n_k) - u_p$  for some  $n_k$  satisfying  $\sum_{k=1}^s n_k = m, n_k > 0$ ,  $\sum n_k t_k = u_p$ , and  $0 \le t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_s$  is at most  $(\ell + 1) \ell (\ell - 1) \cdots$  $(\ell - s + 3)$ . Therefore we get

$$
\sum_{n_k, t_k} p^{-\omega(t_k, n_k)} \leq \frac{1}{D^{(p)}} \Biggl\{ \sum_{s=2}^m \beta_s \sum_{\ell=s-2}^\infty \frac{(\ell+1)\ell \cdots (\ell-s+3)}{p^{\ell}} \Biggr\} + p^{-u_p(m+1)/2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{D^{(p)}} \Biggl\{ \sum_{s=2}^m \beta_s \frac{(s-1)!}{(p-1)^s} p^2 + p^{-u_p(m-1)/2} \Biggr\} ,
$$

and finally we have

$$
\prod_{\substack{p\mid D \\ p\neq 2}}\textstyle\sum\limits \alpha_p^{-1} \leq c_{10}(\varepsilon) \Big(\frac{D^{(2)}}{D}\Big)^{1-\varepsilon}\,.
$$

Now we estimate  $\sum \alpha_i^{-1}$ :

 $\binom{S_1}{S_2}$  over  $Z_2$  and  $S_1$  is unimodular of degree *n* and  $S_2 \equiv 0(2)$ then from the similar proof of Hilfssatz 10, 11 in [3] it follows that

$$
M(S\,;2^{\ell})\geq (2^{\ell-1})^{(m-n)n}M(S_1\,;2^{\ell})M(S_2\,;2^{\ell})
$$

 $\alpha_2^2(x) \geq -\alpha_2^2(x) \log_2(x)$ . Let

$$
S \cong \begin{pmatrix} 2^{t_1}S_1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 2^{t_s}S_s \end{pmatrix} \text{ over } Z_2 ,
$$

where  $S_i$  are unimodular and  $0 \leq t_1 < \cdots < t_s$  and put  $n_i = \text{degree of}$  $S_i$  and  $m_i = \sum_{k=i}^s n_k$ ; then we get

$$
\alpha_2(S)^{-1} \leq 2^{-(s-1)-\omega(t_k,n_k)+\sum\limits_{k=1}^{s-1} n_k m_{k+1}} \prod \alpha_2(S_i)^{-1}.
$$

The number of unimodular matrices, up to equivalence, of degree  $\leq m$ , and the number of partitions  $\sum_{i=1}^{s} n_i = m$ , are finite, hence we get

$$
\begin{array}{l} \sum \alpha_2(S)^{-1} \leq \, c_{11} \sum 2^{-\omega(t_k,n_k)} \\[0.4cm] \leq \, c_{12} \frac{1}{D^{\,(2)}} \, . \end{array}
$$

From these we have

$$
H_m(D) \leq c_{13}(\varepsilon)D^{(m-1)/2+\varepsilon}.
$$

4. LEMMA 2. *Let L be a positive definite quadratic lattice over Z, and suppose that there is a non-trivial isometry σ of L such that σ has* **1** as an eigenvalue of  $\sigma$ . Then there exist non-zero two sublattices  $L_1, L_2$ *such that*

$$
L\supset L_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \perp L_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \supset c_{\scriptscriptstyle 14}L \ ,
$$

*where c<sup>u</sup> is a natural number depending on the rank of L.*

*Proof.* Let *n* be the order of *σ.* Then *n* is not larger than some constant depending on the rank of L. The assumption implies  $\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma^i$ 0. Put  $L_0 = \{x \in L : \sigma x = x\}$ . Then  $L_0 \neq 0$ , since there exists some x in L such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma^i x \neq 0$ , and the rank of  $L_0$  is not equal to the rank of L. For any element x in L,  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma^i x$  is in  $L_0$ , and  $nx - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma^i x$  is in  $L_0^{\perp}$ . This means

$$
L \supset L_{0} \perp L_{0}^{\perp} \supset nL .
$$

 $Remark. \quad L \supset L_1 \perp L_2 \supset c_{14}L$  is equivalent to

$$
L_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \perp L_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \supset c_{\scriptscriptstyle 14} L \supset c_{\scriptscriptstyle 14} (L_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \perp L_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}) \ .
$$

5. LEMMA 3. *By H°<sup>m</sup> (D) we denote the number of equivalence classes of positive definite integral matrices of degree m and determinant D which have a non-trivial unit with* 1 *as an eigenvalue. Then we have*

$$
H_m^0(D) \leq c_{15}(\varepsilon)D^{(m-2)/2+\varepsilon} \qquad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0.
$$

*Proof.* For  $m = 2$ ,  $c_{16}(\varepsilon)D^{1/2-\varepsilon} \leq H_2(D) \leq c_{17}(\varepsilon)D^{1/2+\varepsilon}$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  is proved by Siegel. From Lemma 2 it follows

$$
H_m^0(D) \leq c_{14}^m \sum_{a=1}^{c_{14}^{2m}} \sum_{b=1}^{r} \sum_{c|a} H_b(c) H_{m-b}(aD/c)
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq c_{18}(\varepsilon) \sum_{a=1}^{c_{14}^{2m}} \sum_{b=1}^{r} (aD)^{(m-b-1)/2+\varepsilon} \sum_{c|aD} C^{(2b-m)/2}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq c_{19}(\varepsilon) \sum_{a=1}^{c_{14}^{2m}} a^{(m-2)/2+2\varepsilon} D^{(m-2)/2+2\varepsilon}
$$
  
\n
$$
< c_{29}(\varepsilon) D^{(m-2)/2+2\varepsilon}.
$$

#### **6.** Proof of Corollary of Theorem 1.

Let *S* be a positive definite integral matrix of even degree *m* and

determinant *D.* Suppose that any matrix which is equivalent to *S* is always equivalent to *S* in the narrow sense; then the unit group of S contains a unit of whose determinant is  $-1$ . This implies that the difference  $2H_m(D) - h_m(D)$  is at most the number of equivalence classes which have a unit of determinant  $-1$ . From Lemma 3 and Theorem 1 follows our corollary.

## 7. *Proof of Theorem* 2

In case of  $m = 2$ , let  $S = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$  and  $D = ac - b^2$  and  $c \ge a \ge 2|b|$ . *\0 C]* Since  $E(S) > 2$  implies  $c = a$  or  $a = |2b|$ , the number of equivalence classes which have a non-trivial unit is at most  $c_{21}(\epsilon)D^*$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$ . This completes the proof in case of  $m=2$ . From Lemma 3 it is sufficient to prove Theorem 2 that we estimate the number of equivalence classes such that they have a non-trivial unit and any non-trivial unit has not 1 as an eigenvalue. Let S be such a matrix, and L be a lattice over Z corresponding to S. We denote the orthogonal group of  $L =$  the unit group of *S*) by *G*. From the assumption, we see that *G* contains a unit  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma$  has not 1 as an eigenvalue and the order  $q$  of  $\sigma$ a and *o* such that *σ* has not 1 as an eigenvalue and the order  $q^2$  or  $q^2$  is an odd prime or 4. If  $q = 4$ , then  $\sigma^2 = -1$ . If  $q \neq 4$ , then  $\sigma + \cdots$  $\sigma^q = 0$ . Hence the ring  $Z[\sigma]$  is isomorphic to the maximal order  $\sigma^q = \frac{Z[\sigma]}{[\sigma]}$ + <sup>1</sup> *σ* = 0. Hence the ring Σ<sub>[0]</sub> is isomorphic to the maximal order 0 of *Q(jV 1).* Since, then, L is a torsion-free 0-module, from the theory of modules over Dedekind domain it follows that  $L$  is O-isomorphic to a direct sum of ideals of  $Q(\sqrt[q]{1})$ :

$$
L\cong A_1\oplus A_2\oplus\cdots\oplus A_n,
$$

where  $A_1 = \cdots = A_{n-1} = 0$ , and the ideal  $A_n$  is a (fixed) representative of some ideal class. (This ideal class is uniquely determined by L.) This identification transforms *S* to a totally positive definite Hermitian matrix  $H(S) = (h_{ij})$  with  $h_{ij}$  in  $(A_i \overline{A}_j \theta)^{-1}$ , where the bar denotes the complex conjugate and  $\theta$  is the different of  $Q(\sqrt[q]{1})$ . Moreover if  $S_1, S_2$  are equiva lent and have  $\sigma$  as a unit and  $S_1 = S_2[T]$  for some T in  $GL(m, Z)$  satis fying  $\sigma T = \sigma T$ , then for corresponding Hermitian forms  $H(S_1)$ ,  $H(S_2)$  there exists a matrix  $X = (x_{ij})$  such that

$$
H(S_1) = XH(S_2)^t \overline{X}, \text{ and } x_{ij}, x'_{ij} \in A_i^{-1}A_j,
$$

where  $(x'_{ij}) = X^{-1}$ . We remark that there is a natural number *c* such that all entries of  $cH(S)$  are integers in  $Q(\sqrt[q]{1})$ , and the group  $G = \{X$  **88 Y. KITAOKA**

 $= (x_{ij})$ ;  $x_{ij}, x'_{ij} \in A^{-1}_i A_j$ , where  $(x'_{ij}) = X^{-1}$ } and  $GL(n, 0)$  are commensu rable. On the other hand, any totally positive definite Hermitian matrix is equivalent (with respect to  $GL(n,0)$ ) to some element in  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{d} S\{X_i\}$ , where *S* is a sufficiently large Siegel domain and  $X_i$  is a non-singular integral matrix.  $(S, X_i, d$  depend on only q and n.) This implies that the class number of positive definite Hermitian forms with the norm of determinant  $\leq D$  is at most  $c(q)D^{n/2}$ , where the constant  $c(q)$  depends on only *q.* From these it follows that the number of equivalence classes in which there is some positive definite matrix *S* such that *S* has *σ* as a unit and  $|S| \le D$  is at most  $c_{22}D^{n/2}$ . Since  $m > 2$  implies  $n < m - 1$ , we have proved Theorem 2.

#### 7. *Proof of Corollary of Theorem* 2.

It is easy to calculate the mass of square-free and odd determinant by using  $[3]$ ,  $[6]$ :

$$
\begin{aligned} \textstyle \sum_{S} \frac{1}{|E(S)|} = \frac{D^{(m-1)/2}}{4\pi^{m(m+1)/4}} \prod_{k=1}^{m} \varGamma\Big(\frac{k}{2}\Big)^{\frac{(m-1)/2}{m}} \zeta(2k) \\ & \times \Big\{ & (1+2^{-(m-1)/2}) \Big(1+\delta\Big(\frac{-1}{D}\Big)^{\frac{m+1}{2}} D^{-(m-1)/2}\Big) \\ & + (1-2^{-(m-1)/2}) \Big(1-\delta\Big(\frac{-1}{D}\Big)^{\frac{m+1}{2}} D^{-(m-1)/2}\Big) \Big\} \;, \end{aligned}
$$

where *S* runs over a set of representatives of classes of positive definite integral matricies of odd degree  $m \geq 3$  and of square-free and odd determinant *D*, and  $\delta = (-1)^{(n+1)(n+2)/2 + ((D-1)/2)n} (n = (m-3)/2)$ . Corollary follows from this.

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras, Interscience Pub., 1962.
- [ 2 ] M. Kneser, Klassenzahlen quadratischer Formen, Jahresbericht d. DMV, 61 (1958), 76-88.
- [ 3 ] 0. Kδrner, Die Maβe der Geschlechter quadratischer Formen vom Range < 3 in quadratischen Zahlkδrpern, Math. Ann., **193** (1971), 279-314.
- [ 4 ] H. Minkowski, Diskontinuitatsbereich fur arithmetische Aquivalenz, J. reine angew. Math., **129** (1905), 220-274.
- [5] O. T. O'Meara, Introduction to quadratic forms, Springer-Verlag, 1963.
- [ 6 ] H. Pfeuffer, Einklassige Geschlechter totalpositiver quadratischer Formen in totalreellen algebraischen Zahlkδrpern, Jour, number theory 3 (1971), 371-411.
- [ 7 ] C. L. Siegel, Uber die aήalytische Theorie der quadratischen Formen, Ann. Math., 36 (1935), 527-606.
- [ 8 ] C. L. Siegel, Einheiten quadratischer Formen, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, 13 (1940), 209-239.
- [ 9 ] A. Weil, Discontinuous subgroups of classical groups, Lecture at the University of Chicago, 1958.

*Nagoya University*