

IRREDUCIBILITY OF SOME UNITARY REPRESENTATIONS OF THE POINCARÉ GROUP WITH RESPECT TO THE POINCARÉ SUBSEMIGROUP, I

HITOSHI KANETA

§ 1. Introduction

Since E. Wigner set up a framework of the relativistically covariant quantum mechanics, several aspects of unitary representations of the Poincaré group have been investigated (see [8], [16]). In this paper it will be shown that some unitary representations of the Poincaré group are irreducible, even if they are restricted to the Poincaré semigroup (Theorem 1, 2 and 3). As a result of the argument we shall also give the irreducible decomposition of induced representations $\text{Ind}_{SU(1,1) \uparrow SL(2, C)} \pi$ (see § 3, cf. [3]). Here the Poincaré group P means a semi-direct product between R_4 and $SL(2, C)$ with the multiplication

$$(x, g)(x', g') = (x + g^{-1*}x'g^{-1}, gg') \quad \text{for } x, x' \in R_4 \text{ and } g, g' \in SL(2, C),$$

where $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is identified with the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} x_0 - x_3 & x_2 - ix_1 \\ x_2 + ix_1 & x_0 + x_3 \end{pmatrix}$ and g^* shows the adjoint of the matrix g . The Poincaré semigroup P_+ is the subsemigroup $\{(x, g) \in P: x_0^2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2 \geq 0, x_0 \geq 0\}$.

We have not yet succeeded in proving that any irreducible unitary representations of P are irreducible with respect to P_+ , but in a lower dimensional case we have the following.

THEOREM 1. *Every irreducible unitary representation of the 2-dimensional space-time Poincaré group $P(2)$ is irreducible too as the representation restricted to its Poincaré subsemigroup. Here $P(2)$ is the semi-direct product between R_2 and $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e^{t/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t/2} \end{pmatrix} : t \in R \right\}$ with the same multiplication as P under the identification $(x_0, x_3) \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} x_0 - x_3 & 0 \\ 0 & x_0 + x_3 \end{pmatrix}$.*

Received September 8, 1978.

The semigroup is just $\{(x, g): x_0^2 - x_3^2 \geq 0, x_0 \geq 0\}$.

§ 2. Main theorems

Let us define a bilinear form \langle , \rangle between R_4 and \hat{R}_4 by $\langle x, \hat{x} \rangle = x_0\hat{x}_0 - x_1\hat{x}_1 - x_2\hat{x}_2 - x_3\hat{x}_3$. By abuse of symbol, \langle , \rangle stands also for the similar bilinear form on R_4 or \hat{R}_4 . Defining the action of $G = SL(2, C)$ on \hat{R}_4 by $x \cdot g = g^*xg$ (recall the identification), we obtain the well known diagram:

| G -orbits | fixed points | little groups |
|--|--|---|
| $V_M^\pm = \{\langle \hat{x}, \hat{x} \rangle = M^2, \hat{x}_0 \geq 0\}$ | $\pm M \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ | $SU(2)$ |
| $V_0^\pm = \{\langle \hat{x}, \hat{x} \rangle = 0, x_0 \geq 0\}$ | $\pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ | $E(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0 \\ \zeta & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ |
| $V_{iM} = \{\langle \hat{x}, \hat{x} \rangle = -M^2\}$ | $M \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ | $SU(1, 1) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \beta & \alpha \\ \bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} : \alpha ^2 - \beta ^2 = 1 \right\}$ |
| $V_0 = \{\langle \hat{x}, \hat{x} \rangle = 0, \hat{x}_0 = 0\}$ | $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ | $SL(2, C)$ |

M : positive number.

Furthermore there exists a well known correspondence between an irreducible unitary representation of P and a triplet (ω, G_0, π) , where ω stands for one of G -orbits and π denotes an irreducible unitary representation of the little group G_0 . More precisely, denote \mathfrak{S}_x the representation space of π and ν_ω the G -invariant measure on the homogeneous space $\omega = G_0 \backslash G$ and let $\mathfrak{S}^{\omega, \pi}$ be a Hilbert space consisting of \mathfrak{S}_x -valued measurable functions on P such that

$$(1) \quad f((x, g_0)(x', g')) = e^{i\langle x, \hat{x} \rangle} \pi(g_0) f(x', g') \quad \text{for } g_0 \in G_0$$

where \hat{x} is a fixed point with the little group G_0 ,

$$(2) \quad \int_\omega \|f(x, g)\|_{\mathfrak{S}_x}^2 d\nu_\omega < \infty.$$

Then the irreducible unitary representation of P corresponding to the triplet (ω, G_0, π) say $U^{\omega, \pi}$ is realized on $\mathfrak{S}^{\omega, \pi}$ by the formula

$$(3) \quad U^{\omega, \pi}(x, g)f(x', g') = f((x', g')(x, g)).$$

THEOREM 2. *Irreducible unitary representations of the Poincaré group corresponding to one of the orbits V_M^\pm, V_0^\pm and V_0 are irreducible as the representation of the Poincaré subsemigroup.*

Proof. Let (U, \mathfrak{H}) be an irreducible unitary representation of P . If it is reducible with respect to P_+ , there exists a non-trivial closed subspace $D \subset \mathfrak{H}$ such that $U_t D \subsetneq D$ for any $t > 0$, where U_t denotes $U((t, 0, 0, 0), e)$. Put $D_+ = D \ominus \bigcap_{t>0} U_t D$ and $\mathfrak{H}_+ = \overline{\bigcup_t U_t D_+}$. Then D_+ is an outgoing subspace of \mathfrak{H}_+ in the sense that

- (i) $U_t D_+ \subset D_+$ for all $t > 0$,
- (ii) $\bigcap_t U_t D_+ = 0$,
- (iii) $\overline{\bigcup_t U_t D_+} = \mathfrak{H}_+ \neq \{0\}$.

In view of Sinai's theorem (Theorem 3.1 in chap. 2 [11]) the restriction (U_t, \mathfrak{H}_+) , which is a unitary representation of R , is unitarily equivalent to some multiple of the regular representation of R . Consequently the representation (U_t, \mathfrak{H}) of R must contain at least one regular representation of R . On the other hand, making use of (1) and (3) and putting $g' = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$, we can verify easily that

$$U_t f(x', g') = e^{it\varepsilon M(|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2)/2} f(x', g'),$$

where ε denotes one of constants $\pm 1, \pm M^{-1}$ and 0. This implies that the spectrum of the selfadjoint operator $iU'_t|_{t=0}$ has either upper or lower bounds. In particular the representation U_t never contains the regular representation. Q.E.D.

We turn now to the representations corresponding to the orbit V_{iM} . Since each of them is specified by an irreducible unitary representation of the little group $G_0 = SU(1, 1)$, we summarize those representations after Vilenkin (§ 2 in chap. VI [17]). All of them can be obtained from algebraic representations on closed subspaces D of C^∞ -functions $C^\infty(T)$ on the 1-dimensional torus T . We denote the inner product by $(,)$.

THEOREM 3. *Irreducible unitary representations of the Poincaré group P given by the so-called discrete series representations $\pi^\pm(\ell, 0)$ and $\pi^\pm(\ell, 1/2)$ of $G_0 = SU(1, 1)$ and the orbit V_{iM} are also irreducible even if they are restricted to the subsemigroup P_+ .*

We shall give the proof of Theorem 3 as well as Theorem 1 in the following § 5.

| representations π | $\pi(g_0)f(e^{i\nu})$ for $g_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$ | D | the values of $(e^{i\nu}, e^{i\nu})$ or $(e^{-i\nu}, e^{-i\nu})$ |
|--|---|--|--|
| $\pi_{(\ell, 0)}$ $\ell = -1/2 + i\rho, \rho \geq 0$ | $I_0 = \beta e^{i\nu} + \bar{\alpha} ^{2\ell} f\left(\frac{\alpha e^{i\nu} + \bar{\beta}}{\beta e^{i\nu} + \bar{\alpha}}\right)$ | $C^\infty(T)$ | 1 |
| $\pi_{(\ell, 1/2)}$ $\ell = -1/2 + i\rho, \rho > 0$ | $I_{1/2} = \beta e^{i\nu} + \bar{\alpha} ^{2\ell-1} (\beta e^{i\nu} + \bar{\alpha}) f\left(\frac{\alpha e^{i\nu} + \bar{\beta}}{\beta e^{i\nu} + \bar{\alpha}}\right)$ | $C^\infty(T)$ | 1 |
| $\pi_{(\ell, 0)}$ $-1 < \ell < -1/2$ | I_0 | $C^\infty(T)$ | $\frac{\Gamma(\ell - \nu + 1)}{\Gamma(-\ell - \nu)}$ |
| $\pi_{(\ell, 0)}^+$ $\ell = -1, -2, \dots$ | I_0 | $\sum_{\nu > -\ell} a_\nu e^{i\nu}$ | $\frac{\Gamma(\ell + \nu + 1)}{\Gamma(-\ell + \nu)}$ |
| $\pi_{(\ell, 1/2)}^+$ $\ell = -1/2, -3/2, \dots$ | $I_{1/2}$ | $\sum_{\nu > -\ell+1/2} a_\nu e^{i\nu}$ | $\frac{\Gamma(\ell + \nu + 1/2)}{\Gamma(-\ell + \nu - 1/2)}$ |
| $\pi_{(\ell, 0)}^-$ $\ell = -1, -2, \dots$ | I_0 | $\sum_{\nu > -\ell} a_\nu e^{-i\nu}$ | $\frac{\Gamma(\ell + \nu + 1)}{\Gamma(-\ell + \nu)}$ |
| $\pi_{(\ell, 1/2)}^-$ $\ell = -1/2, -3/2, \dots$ | $I_{1/2}$ | $\sum_{\nu > -\ell-1/2} a_\nu e^{-i\nu}$ | $\frac{\Gamma(\ell + \nu + 3/2)}{\Gamma(-\ell + \nu + 1/2)}$ |

§ 3. Decomposition of unitary representations of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$

We begin with reviewing the irreducible unitary representations of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ after Naimark [12]. Throughout this section G stands for $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$. For an integer m denote by $L_m^2(SU(2))$ a subspace of $L^2(SU(2))$ consisting of functions φ satisfying

$$\varphi(\gamma u) = e^{-imt} \varphi(u) \quad \text{for } \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} e^{+it/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-it/2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The irreducible representations $S_{m,\rho}$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}, \rho \in \mathbb{R}$) has a realization on $L_m^2(SU(2))$:

$$V(g)\varphi(u) = -\frac{\alpha(ug)}{\alpha(u\bar{g})} \varphi(u\bar{g}),$$

where $\alpha(g) = |g_{22}|^{i\rho - m - 2} g_{22}^m$ and $u\bar{g}$ denotes a unitary representative of the coset Kug with $K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{-1} & \mu \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} : \lambda > 0, \mu \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$. Meanwhile the irreducible representation D_σ ($0 < \sigma < 2$) has a realization on the Hilbert space \mathfrak{H}_σ in which a subspace B_0 of bounded functions belonging to $L_0^2(SU(2))$ is dense:

$$V(g)\varphi(u) = -\frac{\alpha(ug)}{\alpha(u\bar{g})} \varphi(u\bar{g}) \quad \text{for } \varphi \in B_0,$$

where $\alpha(g) = |g_{22}|^{-\sigma-2}$. We put

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos t/2 & i \sin t/2 \\ i \sin t/2 & \cos t/2 \end{pmatrix} & \omega_2(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos t/2 & -\sin t/2 \\ \sin t/2 & \cos t/2 \end{pmatrix} \\ \omega_3(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{it/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-it/2} \end{pmatrix} & \omega_4(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{ch} t/2 & \operatorname{sh} t/2 \\ \operatorname{sh} t/2 & \operatorname{ch} t/2 \end{pmatrix} \\ \omega_5(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{ch} t/2 & i \operatorname{sh} t/2 \\ -i \operatorname{sh} t/2 & \operatorname{ch} t/2 \end{pmatrix} & \omega_6(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{t/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t/2} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We now introduce linear operators associated with a unitary representation (T, \mathfrak{G}) of G . Define

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_j &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} T(\omega_j(t)) \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, 6, \\ H_{\pm} &= i\omega_2 \pm \omega_1, \quad H_3 = i\omega_3, \quad F_{\pm} = i\omega_5 \pm \omega_4, \quad F_3 = i\omega_6, \\ \Delta_o &= -(H_+H_- + H_-H_+ + 2H_3^2)/2, \\ \Delta &= (F_+F_- + F_-F_+ + 2F_3^2)/2 + \Delta_o - 1, \\ \Delta' &= (H_+F_- + H_-F_+ + F_+H_- + F_-H_+ + 4H_3F_3)/2. \end{aligned}$$

More precisely, since the operator Δ_o (resp. Δ and Δ') is essentially selfadjoint with domain $\left\{ \text{finite sum of } \int_{SU(2)} \varphi_i(u)T(u)f_i du : \varphi_i \in C^\infty(SU(2)), f_i \in \mathfrak{G} \right\}$ (resp. $\left\{ \text{finite sum of } \int_G \varphi_i(g)T(g)f_i dg : \varphi_i \in C_0^\infty(G), f_i \in \mathfrak{G} \right\}$) ([14]), we shall use the same letters for their selfadjoint extensions. We denote the domain of an operator A by D_A . Then $D_{H_{\pm}}$ (resp. $D_{F_{\pm}}$) is the intersection $D_{\omega_1} \cap D_{\omega_2}$ (resp. $D_{\omega_4} \cap D_{\omega_5}$). Clearly $i\omega_j$ is a selfadjoint operator with domain $D\omega_j$.

Remark. A homomorphism Λ from G onto the proper Lorentz group defined by $\Lambda(g)x = g^{*-1}xg^{-1}$ for $x \in \mathbf{R}_4$ (recall the identification in § 1) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\omega_1(t)) &= a_2(-t), \quad \Lambda(\omega_2(t)) = a_1(t), \quad \Lambda(\omega_3(t)) = a_3(t), \\ \Lambda(\omega_4(t)) &= b_2(-t), \quad \Lambda(\omega_5(t)) = b_1(t), \quad \Lambda(\omega_6(t)) = b_3(t). \end{aligned}$$

We refer subgroups $a_i(t)$ and $b_i(t)$ to [12] where a homomorphism $\tilde{\Lambda}(g)x = gxg^*$ is used.

We write down explicitly a canonical basis of the representations $S_{m,\rho}$ and D_σ .

LEMMA 1. A canonical basis of the representation $S_{m,\rho}$ is given by $\{\varphi_{p,m,\rho}^k : p = -k, -k + 1, \dots, k \text{ and } k = m/2, m/2 + 1, \dots\}$, where

$$\varphi_{p,m,\rho}^k(u) = \sqrt{2k+1} \left(\prod_{\nu=m/2}^k \frac{(2i\nu + \rho)}{\sqrt{4\nu^2 + \rho^2}} \right) C_{m/2,p}^k(u).$$

A canonical basis of the representation D_σ is given by $\{\varphi_{p,\sigma}^k : p = -k, -k+1, \dots, k \text{ and } k = 0, 1, \dots\}$, where

$$\varphi_{p,\sigma}^k(u) = \sqrt{2k+1} \left(\prod_{\nu=1}^k \frac{i(2\nu + \sigma)}{\sqrt{4\nu^2 - \sigma^2}} \right) \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{2\pi}} C_{0,p}^k(u).$$

The function $C_{\mu,\nu}^k$ on $SU(2)$ is defined by

$$C_{\mu,\nu}^k(u) = (-1)^{2k-\mu-\nu} \sqrt{\frac{(k-\mu)!(k+\mu)!}{(k-\nu)!(k+\nu)!}} \sum_{\alpha} \binom{k-\alpha}{\alpha} \binom{k+\nu}{k-\mu-\alpha} \\ \times u_{11}^\alpha u_{12}^{k-\mu-\alpha} u_{21}^{k-\nu-\alpha} u_{22}^{\mu+\nu+\alpha},$$

where α ranges from $\max(0, -\mu-\nu)$ up to $\min(k-\mu, k-\nu)$.

Proof. See § 11 and § 12 of [12]. Since we use the homomorphism A , the canonical basis above differs a little from the one cited in [12].

It seems convenient to reparametrize these representations of G as follows:

$$(T_{m,\lambda}, \mathfrak{S}_{m,\lambda}) = \begin{cases} S_{m,\lambda} & \text{for } m \geq 1 \\ S_{0,2\sqrt{\lambda}} & \text{for } m = 0, \lambda \geq 0 \\ D_{2\sqrt{-\lambda}} & \text{for } m = 0, -1 < \lambda < 0 \\ \text{unit representation} & \text{for } m = 0, \lambda = -1. \end{cases}$$

Thus the representation $(T_{m,\lambda}, \mathfrak{S}_{m,\lambda})$ has the canonical basis $f_{\nu,m,\lambda}^k$ in accordance with Lemma 1 and it holds that

$$\Delta = -\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^2 + \lambda, \quad \Delta' = -\frac{m}{2}\lambda.$$

Furthermore, putting $\ell_0 = \{(0, \lambda) : -1 \leq \lambda\}$ and $\ell_m = \{(m, \lambda) : \lambda \in \mathbf{R}\}$ for positive integer m , we can identify the dual space \hat{G} with a Borel subset $\sum_{m \geq 0} \ell_m$ in \mathbf{R}_2 (18.9.13 [4]).

LEMMA 2. Denote $\{f_{\nu,m,\lambda}^k\}$ the canonical basis of the representation $(T_{m,\lambda}, \mathfrak{S}_{m,\lambda})$ then it holds that

- (i) $A_0 f_{\nu,m,\lambda}^k = -k(k+1)f_{\nu,m,\lambda}^k$
- (ii) $H_3 f_{\nu,m,\lambda}^k = \nu f_{\nu,m,\lambda}^k$
- (iii) $F_+ f_{k,m,\lambda}^k = \sqrt{(2k+1)(2k+2)} C_{k+1,m} f_{k+1,m}^{k+1}$, where

$$C_{k+1,m} = \begin{cases} i\sqrt{\left\{(k+1)^2 - \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^2\right\}\left\{(k+1)^2 + \frac{\lambda^2}{4}\right\}} / \{4(k+1)^2 - 1\} / (k+1) & \text{for } m \geq 1 \\ i\sqrt{\{(k+1)^2 + \lambda\} / \{4(k+1)^2 - 1\}} & \text{for } m = 0 \end{cases}$$

(iv) Put $f_{\nu,m,\lambda}^k = 0$ for $k = 0, 1/2, 1, 3/2, \dots$ and $|\nu| = 0, 1/2, 1, \dots$ unless $\nu = -k, -k + 1, \dots, k$ and $k = m/2, m/2 + 1, \dots$. Then the function $(T_{m,\lambda}(g)f_{\nu,m,\lambda}^k, f_{\nu',m,\lambda}^{k'})_{m,\lambda}$ on $G \times \hat{G}$ is measurable.

(v) As $t \rightarrow 0$, the norm

$$\left\| \frac{T_{m,\lambda}(\omega_j(t))f_{\nu,m,\lambda}^k - f_{\nu,m,\lambda}^k}{t} - \omega_j f_{\nu,m,\lambda}^k \right\|_{m,\lambda}$$

converges to zero uniformly on any compact set of $\{(0, \lambda) : -1 < \lambda < 0\}$, $\{(0, \lambda) : \lambda \geq 0\}$ and ℓ_m with positive integer m .

Proof. A canonical basis has properties (i), (ii) and (iii). Assume that $g = (g_{ij}) \in G$, $u \in SU(2)$, $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \in SU(2)$, $\begin{pmatrix} \delta^{-1} & \mu \\ 0 & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in K$ and that $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} g = \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{-1} & \mu \\ 0 & \delta \end{pmatrix} u$, then we have (see § 11.1 in [12])

$$u_{22} = (-\bar{\beta}g_{12} + \bar{\alpha}g_{22})\{|\bar{\beta}g_{11} + \bar{\alpha}g_{21}|^2 + |-\bar{\beta}g_{12} + \bar{\alpha}g_{22}|^2\}^{-1/2}.$$

Hence $\alpha(ug)/\alpha(u\bar{g})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \{|\bar{\beta}g_{11} + \bar{\alpha}g_{21}|^2 + |-\bar{\beta}g_{12} + \bar{\alpha}g_{22}|^2\}^{-1+(\iota\rho-m)/2} && \text{for } S_{m,\rho}, \\ & \{|\bar{\beta}g_{11} + \bar{\alpha}g_{21}|^2 + |-\bar{\beta}g_{12} + \bar{\alpha}g_{22}|^2\}^{-1-\sigma/2} && \text{for } D_\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently $V(g)\varphi_{\rho,m,\rho}^k(u)$ and $V(g)\varphi_{\rho,\sigma}^k(u)$ are C^∞ -functions on $G \times SU(2) \times \mathbf{R}$ and $G \times SU(2) \times (0, 2)$ respectively. Recalling that the inner products of the representation space of $S_{m,\rho}$ and D_σ are of the form

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi, \varphi)_{m,\rho} &= \int_{SU(2)} |\varphi(u)|^2 du \\ (\varphi, \varphi)_\sigma &= \pi \iint_{SU(2) \times SU(2)} \Phi(u'u''^{-1})\varphi(u')\overline{\varphi(u'')} du' du'' \end{aligned}$$

respectively, where $\Phi(u) = |u_{21}|^{-2+\sigma}$, we easily verify (iv). Since $V(g)\varphi(u)$ is smooth, (v) is clear. Q.E.D.

Thanks to Lemma 2 (especially to (iv)), for a σ -finite measure on G we can define a unitary representation $\int_{\hat{G}}^\oplus T_{m,\lambda} d\sigma$ on the Hilbert space

$\int_{\hat{\delta}}^{\oplus} \mathfrak{S}_{m,\lambda} d\sigma$. To decompose a unitary representation of G is, by definition, to determine a sequence of mutually singular σ -finite measures $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_\infty\}$ on the measurable space \hat{G} so that the representation is unitarily equivalent to the representation (T, H) defined by

$$T = \int_{\hat{\delta}}^{\oplus} T_{m,\lambda} d\sigma_1 \oplus [2] \int_{\hat{\delta}}^{\oplus} T_{m,\lambda} d\sigma_2 \oplus \dots \oplus [\aleph_0] \int_{\hat{\delta}}^{\oplus} T_{m,\lambda} d\sigma_\infty$$

on the Hilbert space

$$\mathfrak{S} = \int_{\hat{\delta}}^{\oplus} \mathfrak{S}_{m,\lambda} d\sigma_1 \oplus [2] \int_{\hat{\delta}}^{\oplus} \mathfrak{S}_{m,\lambda} d\sigma_2 \oplus \dots \oplus [\aleph_0] \int_{\hat{\delta}}^{\oplus} \mathfrak{S}_{m,\lambda} d\sigma_\infty,$$

where the cardinal number in the bracket indicates the multiplicity. We shall search for a procedure to determine the measure σ_i up to the usual equivalence.

LEMMA 3. *For $k = 0, 1/2, 1, \dots$, let W_k be the space of solutions of the equations*

$$(4) \quad H_\delta f = kf, \quad \Delta_\delta f = -k(k+1)f$$

with respect to the representation (T, \mathfrak{S}) above. Denote $\sigma_i^{(m)}$ the restriction $\sigma_i|_{\ell_m}$. Then we have unitary equivalences among selfadjoint operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta|W_0 &\simeq \int_{[-1,\infty)}^{\oplus} \lambda d\sigma_1^{(0)} \oplus [2] \int_{[-1,\infty)}^{\oplus} \lambda d\sigma_2^{(0)} \oplus \dots \oplus [\aleph_0] \int_{[-1,\infty)}^{\oplus} \lambda d\sigma_\infty^{(0)}, \\ \Delta'|W_k \ominus F_+ W_{k-1} &\simeq \int_{\mathbf{R}}^{\oplus} (-k)\lambda d\sigma_1^{(2k)} \oplus [2] \int_{\mathbf{R}}^{\oplus} (-k)\lambda d\sigma_2^{(2k)} \\ &\quad \oplus \dots \oplus [\aleph_0] \int_{\mathbf{R}}^{\oplus} (-k)\lambda d\sigma_\infty^{(2k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that all measures except for σ_1 are zero measures. Rewrite $\sigma_1 = \sigma$. We claim

$$1^\circ \quad W_k = \left\{ \int_{\hat{\delta}}^{\oplus} a(2k, \lambda) f_{k,m,\lambda}^k d\sigma : \int_{\hat{\delta}} |a|^2 d\sigma < \infty \right\}.$$

Indeed, set

$$\tilde{W}_k = \left\{ \int_{\hat{\delta}}^{\oplus} \sum_{\nu=-k}^k a_\nu(m, \lambda) f_{\nu,m,\lambda}^k d\sigma : \int_{\hat{\delta}} |a_\nu|^2 d\sigma < \infty \text{ for each } \nu \right\}.$$

We will show that the restriction $\Delta_\delta|_{\tilde{W}_k}$ is equal to $-k(k+1)$. To this end define $f(\varphi)$ for $f = \int_{\hat{\delta}}^{\oplus} f_{m,\lambda} d\sigma \in \tilde{W}_k$ and φ in $C^\infty(SU(2))$ by $f(\varphi) =$

$\int_{SU(2)} \varphi(u)T(u)fd u \in \tilde{W}_k$. Denoting Δ_o^r and $\Delta_o^{m,\lambda}$ the operator Δ_o corresponding to the left regular representation of $SU(2)$ and the restriction $T_{m,\lambda}|_{SU(2)}$ respectively, for $h = \int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} h_{m,\lambda} d\sigma$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_o f(\varphi), h) &= \int_{SU(2)} du (\Delta_o^r \varphi(u))(T(u)f, h) \\ &= \int_{\hat{G}} d\sigma \int_{SU(2)} du (\Delta_o^r \varphi(u))(T_{m,\lambda}(u)f_{m,\lambda}, h_{m,\lambda})_{m,\lambda} \\ &= \int_{\hat{G}} d\sigma (\Delta_o^{m,\lambda} f_{m,\lambda}(\varphi), h_{m,\lambda})_{m,\lambda} \\ &= -k(k+1)(f(\varphi), h), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. Since the set $\{f_{\nu,m,\lambda}^k : \nu = -k, -k+1, \dots, k \text{ and } k = m/2, m/2 + 1, \dots\}$ is an orthonormal basis in the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}_{m,\lambda}$, \mathfrak{H} is a direct sum of \tilde{W}_k 's. Thus W_k is a subspace of \tilde{W}_k . From (v) of Lemma 2 $f = \int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} \sum_{\nu=-k}^k a_{\nu}(m, \lambda) f_{\nu,m,\lambda}^k d\sigma$ in \tilde{W}_k satisfies

$$H_3 f = \int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} \sum_{\nu=-k}^k \nu a_{\nu} f_{\nu,m,\lambda}^k d\sigma = kf,$$

which implies that a_{ν} is equal to zero a.e. unless $\nu = k$, proving 1°. Next step is to show

$$2^{\circ} \quad W_k \ominus F_+ W_{k-1} = \left\{ \int_{\ell_{2k}}^{\oplus} a(2k, \lambda) f_{k,2k,\lambda}^k d\sigma : \int_{\ell_{2k}} |a|^2 d\sigma < \infty \right\}.$$

To see this, define $W_{k,m} = \left\{ \int_{\ell_m}^{\oplus} a(m, \lambda) f_{k,m,\lambda}^k d\sigma : \int_{\ell_m} |a|^2 d\sigma < \infty \right\}$. Since W_k is a direct sum of $W_{k,m}$'s with non-negative integers $m = 2k, 2k-2, \dots$ and since the closure $\overline{F_+ W_{k-1,m}}$ coincides with $W_{k,m}$ due to (iii) and (v) of Lemma 2, 2° is now clear. Finally we verify

$$\begin{aligned} 3^{\circ} \quad \Delta \int_{\ell_0}^{\oplus} a(0, \lambda) f_{0,0,\lambda}^0 d\sigma &= \int_{\ell_0}^{\oplus} \lambda a(0, \lambda) f_{0,0,\lambda}^0 d\sigma, \\ \Delta' \int_{\ell_{2k}}^{\oplus} a(2k, \lambda) f_{k,2k,\lambda}^k d\sigma &= \int_{\ell_{2k}}^{\oplus} (-k) \lambda a(2k, \lambda) f_{k,2k,\lambda}^k d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

provided the members on the right side belong to \mathfrak{H} . Indeed we can argue as we showed that $\Delta_o|_{\tilde{W}_k} = -k(k+1)$ in 1°. Now 1°, 2° and 3° yield the Lemma. Q.E.D.

The following lemma is also useful.

LEMMA 4. *The restriction $\Delta' | W_k$ and $\Delta' | \overline{F_+ W_k}$ are unitarily equivalent selfadjoint operators.*

Proof. As mentioned in the proof of Lemma 3, the closure $\overline{F_+ W_k}$ is a direct sum of $W_{k+1, m}$'s with non-negative integers $m = 2k, 2k - 2, \dots$. The following isometry from W_k onto $\overline{F_+ W_k}$ transforms the first operator to the second one:

$$\sum_{m=2k, 2k-2, \dots}^{\oplus} \int_{\ell_m} a(m, \lambda) f_{k, m, \lambda}^k d\sigma \rightarrow \sum_{m=2k, 2k-2, \dots}^{\oplus} \int_{\ell_m} a(m, \lambda) f_{k+1, m, \lambda}^{k+1} d\sigma .$$

Q.E.D.

To sum up, given a unitary representation of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, one can decompose it into irreducible ones if one could specify the space W_k (call it the space of the k -th highest weight vectors) and carry out the spectral decomposition of selfadjoint operators $\Delta | W_0$ and $\Delta' | W_k \ominus F_+ W_{k-1}$.

§ 4. The space of the k -th highest weight vectors W_k

Let $U^{iM, \pi}$ denote an irreducible unitary representation of the Poincaré group P associated with the hyperboloid of one sheet V_{iM} and an irreducible unitary representation π of $SU(1, 1)$ (see § 2). In this section we shall first solve the equation (4), then determine the spectral type of selfadjoint operators $\Delta | W_0$ and $\Delta' | W_k$ of the restriction $U^{iM, \pi} | SL(2, \mathbb{C})$. From now on G and G_0 stand for $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $SU(1, 1)$ respectively.

We begin with specifying the representation $U^{iM, \pi}$ of P . $V_{iM} = \left\{ y = \begin{pmatrix} y_0 - y_3 & y_2 - iy_1 \\ y_2 + iy_1 & y_0 + y_3 \end{pmatrix} : \det y = -M^2 \right\}$ in \hat{K}_4 is a G -homogeneous space with the invariant measure $d\mu(y) = dy_1 dy_2 dy_3 / |y_0|$. Let p be the projection from G onto V_{iM} defined by $p(g) = g^* \hat{x} g$, where \hat{x} denotes the fixed point $M \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. For u in $SU(2)$ let s_u be a measurable section from V_{iM} into G such that $p \circ s_u = \text{identity}$ and that

$$(5) \quad s_u \circ p(\langle \tau, \theta, \varphi \rangle) = \langle \tau, \theta, \varphi \rangle u \quad \text{for } (\tau, \theta, \varphi) \in R \times (0, \pi) \times (0, 2\pi) ,$$

where $\langle \tau, \theta, \varphi \rangle$ stands for the matrix $\omega_0(\tau) \omega_2(\theta) \omega_3(\varphi)$. We fix s_u once for all. Then the representation $U^{iM, \pi}$ has the following realization $U^{\pi, u}$ on the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}^\pi = L^2(V_{iM}, \mathfrak{H}^\pi, \mu)$ for each $u \in SU(2)$:

$$(6) \quad U^{\pi, u}(x, g)f(y) = e^{i\langle x', \hat{x} \rangle} \pi(g_0) f(y \cdot g) ,$$

$$(7) \quad s_u(y)(x, g) = (x', g_0) s_u(y \cdot g) \quad \text{with } g_0 \in G_0 .$$

By the aid of the isometry $I_u: \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}^\pi(G) = \{\tilde{f} \in L^2(G, \mathfrak{S}_{\pi, \mu}): \tilde{f}(g_0 g) = \pi(g_0)\tilde{f}(g)$ for $g_0 \in G_0\} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}^\pi$ such that $\tilde{f}(s_u(y)) = I_u \tilde{f}(y)$, $U^{\pi, u}$ is transformed to $U^{\pi, v}$ by $I_v I_u^{-1}$.

We proceed, assuming the representation π to be $\pi_{(\ell, 0)}^+$. Other cases can be treated in the same way. Setting

$$Y = \{p(\omega_s(\tau)\omega_t(\theta)\omega_s(\varphi)): (\tau, \theta, \varphi) \in R \times (0, \pi) \times (0, 2\pi)\} \subset V_{\ell M},$$

for $u \in SU(2)$ define a dense subspace $\mathfrak{S}_0^{\pi, u}$ of \mathfrak{S}^π :

$$\mathfrak{S}_0^{\pi, u} = \left\{ f \in C_0^\infty(Y \cdot u \times T): f(y, e^{i\psi}) = \sum_{\nu=-\ell}^{\ell} f_\nu(y) e^{i\nu\psi} \right\}.$$

We note that for f in $\mathfrak{S}_0^{\pi, u}$ (6) takes the form

$$(6)' \quad U^{\pi, u}(0, g)f(y, e^{i\psi}) = |\beta e^{i\psi} + \bar{\alpha}|^2 f\left(y \cdot g, \frac{\alpha e^{i\psi} + \bar{\beta}}{\beta e^{i\psi} + \alpha}\right)$$

provided $s_u(y)g = g_0 s_u(y \cdot g)$ with $g_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \in G_0$. Since the section s_u is smooth on $Y \cdot u$ as well as the map $(y, g) \rightarrow y \cdot g$, there exists a relatively compact neighborhood U of the unit element of G such that for $f \in \mathfrak{S}_0^{\pi, u}$, the function $U^{\pi, u}(0, g)f(y, e^{i\psi})$ belong to $C^\infty(U \times Y \cdot u \times T)$. This observation leads to

LEMMA 5. *The domain of $\omega_j^{\pi, u}$ includes $\mathfrak{S}_0^{\pi, u}$ for all j and the restriction $\omega_j^{\pi, u}|_{\mathfrak{S}_0^{\pi, u}}$ is a differential operator with C^∞ -coefficients.*

Now that $\omega_j^{\pi, u}$ is a continuous transformation of $\mathfrak{S}_0^{\pi, u}$ with the relative topology of $C_0^\infty(Y \cdot u \times T)$, we define the dual operator $\hat{\omega}_j^{\pi, u}$ by the following

$$\langle \hat{\omega}_j^{\pi, u} \hat{f}, f \rangle = \langle \hat{f}, \omega_j^{\pi, u} f \rangle$$

where $\hat{f} \in (\mathfrak{S}_0^{\pi, u})'$ and $f \in \mathfrak{S}_0^{\pi, u}$. Regarding \mathfrak{S}^π as a subspace of the dual space $(\mathfrak{S}_0^{\pi, u})'$, we claim

LEMMA 6.

(i) $\omega_j^{\pi, u} \subset -\hat{\omega}_j^{\pi, u}$.

(ii) *Assume that f belongs to $\mathfrak{S}_0^{\pi, u}$ and $\text{Supp } f \subset Y \cdot v$ for some $v \in SU(2)$. Then $f^v = I_v I_u^{-1} f$ belongs to $\mathfrak{S}_0^{\pi, v}$ and satisfies*

$$(\omega_j^{\pi, u} f, h) = (\omega_j^{\pi, v} f^v, h^v) \quad \text{for any } h \in \mathfrak{S}^\pi.$$

(iii) *The intersection $D_{\mathfrak{S}_0^{\pi, u}} \cap D_{\mathfrak{S}_0^{\pi, u}} \cap D_{\mathfrak{S}_0^{\pi, u}}$ includes $\mathfrak{S}_0^{\pi, u}$. Further-*

more, it holds that (the indexes π and u are omitted)

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_0 &\subset \sum_{i=1}^3 (\hat{\omega}_i)^2, & \Delta &\subset \sum_{i=1}^3 (\hat{\omega}_i)^2 - \sum_{j=4}^6 (\hat{\omega}_j)^2 - 1, \\ \Delta' &\subset -(\hat{\omega}_1\hat{\omega}_4 + \hat{\omega}_4\hat{\omega}_1 + \hat{\omega}_2\hat{\omega}_5 + \hat{\omega}_5\hat{\omega}_2 + 2\hat{\omega}_3\hat{\omega}_6). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $\omega_j^{\pi,u}$ is antihermitian, (i) follows. We note that $f^v(y) = \pi(g_0)f(y)$ provided $s_v(y) = g_0 s_u(y)$ with $g_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \in G_0$, namely

$$(8) \quad f^v(y, e^{i\psi}) = |\beta e^{i\psi} + \bar{\alpha}|^{2\psi} f\left(y, \frac{\alpha e^{i\psi} + \bar{\beta}}{\beta e^{i\psi} + \bar{\alpha}}\right).$$

Since g_0 is smooth on $Y \cdot u \cap Y \cdot v$, f^v has a representative in $\mathfrak{S}_0^{\pi,v}$. Now (ii) is evident. As to (iii) we deal only with $\Delta^{\pi,u}$. It suffices to prove

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{\pi,u} \int_G \varphi(g) U^{\pi,u}(0, g) f dg \\ = \int_G \varphi(g) U^{\pi,u}(0, g) \left[\sum_i (\omega_i^{\pi,u})^2 - \sum_j (\omega_j^{\pi,u})^2 - 1 \right] f dg \end{aligned}$$

for $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(G)$ and $f \in \mathfrak{S}_0^{\pi,u}$ [14]. To this end we will show that for $\psi \in C_0^\infty(G)$ and $h \in \mathfrak{S}_0^{\pi,u}$

$$\begin{aligned} (9) \quad &\left(\Delta^{\pi,u} \int \varphi(g) U^{\pi,u}(0, g) f dg, \int \psi(g') U^{\pi,u}(0, g') h dg' \right) \\ &= \left(\int \varphi(g) U^{\pi,u}(g) \left[\sum_i (\omega_i^{\pi,u})^2 - \sum_j (\omega_j^{\pi,u})^2 - 1 \right] f dg, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \int \psi(g') U^{\pi,u}(0, g') h dg' \right). \end{aligned}$$

A diffeomorphism $q: V_{iM} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \times S_2$ defined by

$$(10) \quad q(y) = (y_0, y_1 / (\sqrt{y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2}), y_2 / \sqrt{y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2}, y_3 / \sqrt{y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2})$$

maps $Y \cdot u$ onto $\mathbf{R} \times S_2^u$. We note that each S_2^u is dense and open in the unit sphere S_2 and that the union $\bigcup_{u \in SU(2)} S_2^u$ covers the sphere. Observing that for given $a, a' \in G$ and $y, y' \in V_{iM}$ there exists $w \in SU(2)$ such that $\{y, y', y' \cdot a'^{-1}a\} \subset Y \cdot w$, we can show inductively that there exist a finite covering $\{U_\alpha\}$ of $\text{Supp } \varphi$, finite covering $\{U_{\alpha\beta}\}$ of $\text{Supp } \psi$, finite covering $\{Y_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\}$ of $\text{Supp } f$, finite covering $\{Y_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\}$ of $\text{Supp } h$ and $w_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \in SU(2)$ such that each member is relatively compact and that

$$Y_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \cup Y_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \cup Y_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \cdot U_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} U_\alpha \subset Y \cdot w.$$

Denote $\chi_\alpha, \chi_{\alpha\beta}, \chi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ and $\chi_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ the partition of unity associated with the coverings above. Now the left side of (9) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int dg \varphi(g) \left(f, U^{\pi,u}(g^{-1}) \Delta^{\pi,u} \int \psi(g') U^{\pi,u}(g') h dg' \right) \\ &= \int dg \varphi(g) \left(f, \Delta^{\pi,u} U^{\pi,u}(g^{-1}) \int \psi(g') U^{\pi,u}(g') h dg' \right) \\ &= \int dg \varphi(g) \left(f, \Delta^{\pi,u} \int \psi(g') U^{\pi,u}(g^{-1}g') dg' \right) \\ &= \int \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} \int dg \varphi \chi_\alpha \left(f \chi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, \Delta^{\pi,u} \int \psi \chi_{\alpha\beta} U^{\pi,u}(g^{-1}g') h \chi_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} dg' \right). \end{aligned}$$

Putting $w = w_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ we rewrite the $\alpha\beta\gamma\delta$ -term above as

$$\int dg \varphi \chi_\alpha \left((f \chi_{\alpha\beta\gamma})^w, \Delta^{\pi,w} \int \psi \chi_{\alpha\beta} U^{\pi,w}(g^{-1}g') (h \chi_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta})^w dg' \right).$$

Since $\chi_\alpha(g) \int \psi \chi_{\alpha\beta} U^{\pi,w}(h \chi_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta})^w dg'$ belongs to $\mathfrak{S}_0^{\pi,w}$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta^{\pi,w} \chi_\alpha(g) \int \psi \chi_{\alpha\beta} U^{\pi,w}(h \chi_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta})^w dg' \\ &= \chi_\alpha(g) \left[\sum_i (\omega_i^{\pi,w})^2 - \sum_j (\omega_j^{\pi,w})^2 - 1 \right] \int \psi \chi_{\alpha\beta} U^{\pi,w}(h \chi_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta})^w dg'. \end{aligned}$$

On account of Lemma 5 and (ii) of Lemma 6 the $\alpha\beta\gamma\delta$ -term is equal to

$$\int dg \varphi \chi_\alpha \left(\left[\sum_i (\omega_i^{\pi,u})^2 - \sum_j (\omega_j^{\pi,u})^2 - 1 \right] f \chi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, \int \psi \chi_{\alpha\beta} U^{\pi,u}(h \chi_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}) dg' \right),$$

from which (9) follows. Q.E.D.

We now derive the concrete forms of the restrictions to $\mathfrak{S}_0^{\pi,e}$ of $\omega_i, H_i, F_i, \Delta_o, \Delta$ and Δ' with respect to the representation $(U^{\pi,e}, \mathfrak{S}^\pi)$. After tedious computation we obtain the following. The underlined terms disappear for nonspinor irreducible unitary representations $\pi_{(\ell,0)}$ and $\pi_{(\ell,0)}^\pm$ of $SU(1,1)$.

$$p(\omega_6(\tau)\omega_2(\theta)\omega_3(\varphi)) = \begin{pmatrix} -e^\tau \cos^2 \theta/2 + e^{-\tau} \sin^2 \theta/2 & \text{ch } \tau \sin \theta e^{-i\varphi} \\ \text{ch } \tau \sin \theta e^{i\varphi} & -e^\tau \sin^2 \theta/2 + e^{-\tau} \cos^2 \theta/2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3) = (-\text{sh } \tau, \text{ch } \tau \sin \theta \sin \psi, \text{ch } \tau \sin \theta \cos \varphi, \text{ch } \tau \cos \theta),$$

$$d\mu = \text{ch}^2 \tau \sin \theta d\tau d\theta d\varphi,$$

$$\omega_1 = \sin \varphi \partial_\theta + \cot \theta \cos \varphi \partial_\varphi - \frac{\cos \varphi}{\sin \theta} \partial_\psi + \frac{i \cos \varphi}{2 \sin \theta},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_2 &= \cos \varphi \partial_\theta - \cot \theta \sin \varphi \partial_\varphi + \frac{\sin \varphi}{\sin \theta} \partial_\psi - \frac{i \sin \varphi}{2 \sin \theta}, \\
\omega_3 &= \partial_\varphi, \\
\omega_4 &= -\sin \theta \cos \varphi \partial_\tau - \operatorname{th} \tau \cos \theta \cos \varphi \partial_\theta + \frac{\operatorname{th} \tau \sin \varphi}{\sin \theta} \partial_\varphi \\
&\quad + \left(-\operatorname{th} \tau \cot \theta \sin \varphi - \frac{\cos \theta \cos \varphi \sin \psi + \sin \varphi \cos \psi}{\operatorname{ch} \tau} \right) \partial_\psi \\
&\quad + \frac{\ell(\cos \theta \cos \varphi \cos \psi - \sin \varphi \sin \psi)}{\operatorname{ch} \tau} \\
&\quad + \frac{i(\cos \theta \cos \varphi \sin \psi + \sin \varphi \cos \psi)}{2 \operatorname{ch} \tau} + \frac{\operatorname{th} \tau \cot \theta \sin \varphi}{2}, \\
\omega_5 &= \sin \theta \sin \varphi \partial_\tau + \operatorname{th} \tau \cos \theta \sin \varphi \partial_\theta + \frac{\operatorname{th} \tau \cos \varphi}{\sin \theta} \partial_\varphi \\
&\quad + \left(-\operatorname{th} \tau \cot \theta \cos \varphi + \frac{\cos \theta \sin \varphi \sin \psi - \cos \varphi \cos \psi}{\operatorname{ch} \tau} \right) \partial_\psi \\
&\quad + \frac{\ell(-\cos \theta \sin \varphi \cos \psi - \cos \varphi \sin \psi)}{\operatorname{ch} \tau} \\
&\quad + \frac{i(-\cos \theta \sin \varphi \sin \psi + \cos \varphi \cos \psi)}{2 \operatorname{ch} \tau} + \frac{\operatorname{th} \tau \cot \theta \cos \varphi}{2}, \\
\omega_6 &= \cos \theta \partial_\tau - \operatorname{th} \tau \sin \theta \partial_\theta - \frac{\sin \theta \sin \psi}{\operatorname{ch} \tau} \partial_\psi + \frac{\ell \sin \theta \cos \psi}{\operatorname{ch} \tau} \\
&\quad + \frac{i \sin \theta \sin \psi}{2 \operatorname{ch} \tau}, \\
H_+ &= e^{-i\varphi} \left(i \partial_\theta + \cot \theta \partial_\varphi - \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \partial_\psi + \frac{i}{2 \sin \theta} \right), \\
H_- &= e^{+i\varphi} \left(i \partial_\theta - \cot \theta \partial_\varphi + \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \partial_\psi - \frac{i}{2 \sin \theta} \right), \\
H_3 &= i \partial_\varphi, \\
F_+ &= e^{-i\varphi} \left[-\sin \theta \partial_\tau - \operatorname{th} \tau \cos \theta \partial_\theta + \frac{i \operatorname{th} \tau}{\sin \theta} \partial_\varphi \right. \\
&\quad + \left(-i \operatorname{th} \tau \cot \theta - \frac{\cos \theta \sin \psi + i \cos \psi}{\operatorname{ch} \tau} \right) \partial_\psi \\
&\quad + \frac{\ell(\cos \theta \cos \psi - i \sin \psi)}{\operatorname{ch} \tau} + \frac{i \cos \theta \sin \psi - \cos \psi}{2 \operatorname{ch} \tau} \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{\operatorname{th} \tau \cot \theta}{2} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_- &= e^{i\varphi} \left[\sin\theta \partial_\tau + \operatorname{th} \tau \cos \theta \partial_\theta + \frac{i \operatorname{th} \tau}{\sin \theta} \partial_\varphi + \left(-i \operatorname{th} \tau \cot \theta \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{\cos \theta \sin \psi - i \cos \psi}{\operatorname{ch} \tau} \right) \partial_\psi - \frac{i \cos \theta \sin \psi + \cos \psi}{2 \operatorname{ch} \tau} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{\operatorname{th} \tau \cot \theta}{2} + \frac{\ell(-\cos \theta \cos \psi - i \sin \psi)}{\operatorname{ch} \tau} \right], \\
 F_3 &= i \left[\cos \theta \partial_\tau - \operatorname{th} \tau \sin \theta \partial_\theta - \frac{\sin \theta \sin \psi}{\operatorname{ch} \tau} \partial_\varphi + \frac{\ell \sin \theta \cos \psi}{\operatorname{ch} \tau} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{i \sin \theta \sin \psi}{2 \operatorname{ch} \tau} \right], \\
 \Delta_0 &= \partial_\theta^2 + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \partial_\varphi^2 - \frac{2 \cot \theta}{\sin \theta} \partial_\varphi \partial_\psi + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \partial_\psi^2 + \cot \theta \partial_\theta \\
 &\quad + \frac{i \cot \theta}{2 \sin \theta} \partial_\varphi - \frac{i}{2 \sin^2 \theta} \partial_\psi - \frac{1}{4 \sin^2 \theta}, \\
 \Delta' &= -2\partial_\tau \partial_\psi + \frac{2 \cos \psi}{\operatorname{ch} \tau} \partial_\theta \partial_\psi + \frac{2 \sin \psi}{\operatorname{ch} \tau \sin \theta} \partial_\varphi \partial_\psi - \frac{2 \cot \theta \sin \psi}{\operatorname{ch} \tau} \partial_\psi^2 + i\partial_\tau \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{\ell \sin \psi}{\operatorname{ch} \tau} - \frac{i \cos \psi}{\operatorname{ch} \tau} \right) \partial_\theta + \left(-\frac{2\ell \cos \psi}{\operatorname{ch} \tau \sin \theta} - \frac{i \sin \psi}{\operatorname{ch} \tau \sin \theta} \right) \partial_\varphi \\
 &\quad + 2 \left(\frac{\ell \cot \theta \cos \psi}{\operatorname{ch} \tau} - \operatorname{th} \tau + \frac{i \cot \theta \sin \psi}{\operatorname{ch} \tau} \right) \partial_\psi \\
 &\quad + \left(-\frac{i\ell \cot \theta \cos \psi}{\operatorname{ch} \tau} + \frac{\cot \theta \sin \psi}{\operatorname{ch} \tau} + i \operatorname{th} \tau \right), \\
 \Delta &= -\left(\partial_\tau^2 + 2 \operatorname{th} \tau \partial_\tau + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{\operatorname{ch}^2 \tau} + 1 \right) + S.
 \end{aligned}$$

We remark that the differential operator S does not contain any terms of the form $S(\tau, \theta, \varphi, \psi) \partial_\tau^j$ ($j = 0, 1, 2$).

We are ready to solve the equation (4). Consider the following equation

$$(11) \quad -i\omega_3 f = kf, \quad \sum_{i=1}^3 \omega_i^2 f = -k(k+1)f, \quad f \in \xi_\tau^\pi (k = -\ell, -\ell+1, \dots)$$

and denote \hat{W}_k the space of solutions (in (11) we omitted the indexes π and e for the sake of simplicity). Lemma 6 implies that W_k is the intersection of \hat{W}_k , D_{H_3} and D_{A_0} .

LEMMA 7. *An \hat{f} belongs to \hat{W}_k if and only if f is of the form:*

$$(12) \quad \hat{f}(\tau, \theta, \varphi, e^{i\psi}) = \sum_{\nu \geq -\ell}^k \sum_{i=1,2} f_{\nu,i}(\tau) Q_{\nu,i}(\cos \theta) e^{-ik\varphi + i\nu\psi},$$

where $f_{\nu,i}$ belongs to $L^2(\mathbf{R}, \text{ch}^2 \tau d\tau)$ and $\{Q_{\nu,i}(z): i = 1, 2\}$ span the space of solutions in $L^2((-1, 1))$ of the equation:

$$(13) \quad \left[(1 - z^2)\partial_z^2 - 2z\partial_z - \frac{k^2 + \nu^2 + 2k\nu z}{1 - z^2} + k(k + 1) \right] Q(z) = 0 \text{ on } (-1, 1).$$

For the proof we need

LEMMA 8. Assume that k ranges $0, 1/2, 1, \dots$ and that $k + \nu$ is an integer. Then the equation (13) has no solutions in L^2 for $|\nu| > k$, while the bounded solution of (13) is proportional to $P_{k,-\nu}^k(z)$ for $|\nu| \leq k$. $P_{k,\nu}^k$ is defined by

$$P_{k,\nu}^k(z) = \frac{i^{k-\nu}}{2^k} \sqrt{\frac{(2k)!}{(k-\nu)!(k+\nu)!}} (1-z)^{(k-\nu)/2} (1+z)^{(k+\nu)/2}.$$

Proof of Lemma 8. A similar statement can be found in chap. 3, sec. 4 [17]. That $P_{k,-\nu}^k$ is a bounded solution of (13) is known. By the change of variable $t = (z + 1)/2$, the solution of (13) may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} P \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & \infty \\ -|k-\nu|/2 & -|k+\nu|/2 & -k & z \\ |k-\nu|/2 & |k+\nu|/2 & k+1 \end{pmatrix} &= P \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & \infty \\ \alpha & \gamma & \beta & z \\ \alpha' & \gamma' & \beta' \end{pmatrix} \\ &= P \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \infty \\ \alpha & \gamma & \beta & t \\ \alpha' & \gamma' & \beta' \end{pmatrix} = t^\alpha(1-t)^\gamma P \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \infty \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha + \beta + \gamma & t \\ \alpha' - \alpha & \gamma' - \gamma & \alpha + \beta' + \gamma \end{pmatrix} \\ &= t^\alpha(1-t)^\gamma P \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \infty \\ 0 & 0 & a & t \\ 1-c & c-a-b & b \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

If $c < 1$, equivalently $k \neq \nu$, then $t^\alpha(1-t)^\gamma F(a, b, a + b - c, 1 - t)$ and $t^\alpha(1-t)^{c-a-b} F(c - a, c - b, c - a - b + 1, 1 - t)$ are linearly independent solutions around $t = 1$, where $F(a, b, c, t)$ denotes the hypergeometric function. Checking the behavior of them around $t = 0$ and 1 [5], one verifies the lemma for $k \neq \nu$. If $c = 1$, $w_1 = P_{k,-k}^k$ is a solution. As is well known, a linearly independent solution w_2 has the form

$$c_{-1}w_1(z) \log(z + 1) + \sum_{n=0} c_n(z + 1)^n \quad \text{with } c_{-1}c_0 \neq 0.$$

This function is unbounded around $z = -1$.

Q.E.D.

Proof of Lemma 7. Expand $\hat{f}: \hat{f}(y, e^{i\psi}) = \sum_{\nu \geq -\ell} \hat{f}_\nu(y) e^{i\nu\psi}$. For $h(\tau, \theta, \varphi, \psi) = h_1(\tau)h_2(\theta)h_3(\varphi)e^{i\nu\psi}$ with $h_i \in C_0^\infty$ we have

$$(-i\hat{f}, \omega_3 h) = k(\hat{f}, h),$$

from which it follows that $\hat{f}_\nu(y)$ is of the form $f_\nu(\tau, \theta)e^{-ik\varphi}$ with $f_\nu \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \pi): \text{ch}^2 \tau \sin \theta d\tau d\theta)$. Furthermore f satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (f, [A_0 + k(k+1)]h) = \left(f, \left[\partial_\theta^2 + \cot \theta \partial_\theta + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \partial_\varphi^2 - \frac{2\nu \cot \theta}{\sin \theta} \partial_\varphi \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{\nu^2}{\sin^2 \theta} + k(k+1) \right] h \right) \\ &= \|e^{i\nu\psi}\|^2 (e^{-ik\varphi}, h_3) \left(f_\nu, \left[\partial_\theta^2 + \cot \theta \partial_\theta - \frac{k^2 + \nu^2 + 2k\nu \cos \theta}{\sin^2 \theta} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + k(k+1) \right] h_1 h_2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Putting $G_\nu(\tau, \cos \theta) = f_\nu(\tau, \theta)$, we conclude that $G_\nu(\tau, z)$ is a weak solution, consequently, a smooth solution of (13) for a.e. τ . Thus f must have the desired expression. Conversely if f is of the form (10), it satisfies (11) because h 's finite linear combinations form a dense set in $\mathfrak{S}_0^{\tau, \epsilon}$. Q.E.D.

LEMMA 9. Assume f in \mathfrak{S}^τ to be of the form

$$(14) \quad f(\tau, \theta, \varphi, e^{i\psi}) = \sum_{\nu \geq -\ell}^k f_\nu(\tau) P_{k, -\nu}^k(\cos \theta) e^{-ik\varphi + i\nu\psi}$$

for some integer k and f_ν in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Then f belongs to domains of ω_j, A_0, A and A' ($j = 1, 2, \dots, 6$). F belongs to W_k , too.

Proof. We may suppose $f = f_\nu P_{k, -\nu}^k e^{-ik\varphi + i\nu\psi}$. We will show that there exists an \tilde{f} in $\mathfrak{S}^\tau(G)$ such that

$$(15) \quad \tilde{f}(\omega_\theta(\tau)u, e^{i\psi}) = f_\nu(\tau) t_{-\nu, -k}^k(u) e^{i\nu\psi}, \quad I_\epsilon \tilde{f} = f$$

(see below (7) for the definition of $\mathfrak{S}^\tau(G)$ and I_ϵ), where $t_{m, n}^k(u)$ is the (m, n) matrix element corresponding to an irreducible unitary representation of $SU(2)$ (chap. 3 [17]). It suffices to prove

$$(16) \quad f_\nu(\tau') t_{-\nu, -k}^k(u') e^{i\nu\psi} = \pi(g_0)(f_\nu(\tau) t_{-\nu, -k}^k(u) e^{i\nu\psi})$$

assuming that $\omega_\theta(\tau')u' = g_0 \omega_\theta(\tau)u$. As one verifies easily, the condition implies that $\tau' = \tau$ and $g_0 = \omega_s(t)$ for some t . Thus it holds that

$$t_{-, -k}^k(u') = e^{i\nu t} t_{-\nu, -k}^k(u), \quad \pi(g_0) e^{i\nu\psi} = e^{i\nu(t+\psi)},$$

which proves (16). Take a compact set B of the hyperboloid V_M so that any $f \circ s_u$ ($u \in SU(2)$) vanishes on the complement B^c , then find a finite covering $\{Y_\alpha\}$, the partition of unity and a finite set $\{u_\alpha\} \subset SU(2)$ satisfying $\text{Supp } \chi_\alpha \subset Y \cdot u_\alpha$. Since $I_{u_\alpha} I_e^{-1} f \chi_\alpha = (\tilde{f} \cdot s_{u_\alpha}) \chi_\alpha$ belongs to $\mathfrak{S}_0^{\tau, u_\alpha}, D_{\Delta^\pi, u_\alpha}$, for example, contains it due to Lemma 6. This in turn implies that $f \chi_\alpha$, hence f itself, belongs to the domain of $\Delta^{\pi, e}$. Recalling $W_k = \hat{W}_k \cap D_{H_3} \cap D_{\Delta_0}$, we complete the proof. Q.E.D.

Finally we solve the equations (4).

PROPOSITION 1. *The space of k -th highest weight vectors W_k for the representation $U^{\pi, e} | SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ with $\pi = \pi_{(\ell, 0)}^+$ is as follows:*

$$W_k = \left\{ \sum_{\nu \geq -\ell}^k f_\nu(\tau) P_{k, -\nu}^k(\cos \theta) e^{-ik\varphi + i\nu\psi} : f_\nu \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \text{ch}^2 d\tau) \right\} \\ \text{for } k = -\ell, -\ell + 1, \dots \\ = \{0\} \quad \text{otherwise .}$$

Proof. Since $U^{\pi, e}(0, -e) = I$, W_k is a null space provided k is a half integer. On account of Lemma 9 and closedness of H_3 and Δ_0 , W_k includes the right side above. Keeping Lemma 7 in mind and assuming that

$$f(\tau, \theta, \varphi, e^{i\psi}) = \sum_{\nu \geq -\ell}^k f_\nu(\tau) Q_\nu(\cos \theta) e^{-ik\varphi + i\nu\psi} ,$$

where $Q_\nu(z)$ is a L^2 -solution of (13) which is independent of $P_{k, -\nu}^k(z)$, we will show the opposite inclusion. By Lemma 8, Q_ν is either identically zero or unbounded around -1 or 1 . From (8) we see that $f^u = I_u \circ I_e^{-1} f$ has the form:

$$f^u(\tau, \theta, \varphi, e^{i\psi}) = \sum_{\nu \geq -\ell}^k f_\nu(\tau) Q_\nu(\cos \theta') e^{-ik\varphi' + i\nu t + i\nu\psi}$$

provided $\omega_6(\tau)\omega_2(\theta)\omega_3(\varphi)u = \omega_6(\tau')\omega_3(t)\omega_2(\theta')\omega_3(\varphi')$. Since f^u belongs to $\hat{W}_k^{\pi, u}$, it satisfies

$$(17) \quad \sum_{i=1}^3 (\hat{\omega}_i^{\tau, u})^2 f^u = -k(k+1)f^u .$$

Put $Q_\nu^u(\theta, \varphi) = Q_\nu(\cos \theta') e^{ik\varphi' + i\nu t}$. Assume that $Q_\nu(z)$ is unbounded around 1 and that for a positive constant $a^{-1} < |f_\nu(\tau)| < a$ on a non-null set B_ν . In other words we assume that $f_\nu(\tau) Q_\nu(\cos \theta) e^{-ik\varphi}$, as a function on Y , is not essentially bounded around $y = (-\text{sh } \tau, 0, 0, 1)$. Let $u \in SU(2)$ be so chosen that $q \circ p(\omega_6(\tau)\omega_1(\pi/2)\omega_3(\pi)u) = y$ (see (10) for q). By the assumption

$f_\nu(\tau) Q_\nu^u(\theta, \varphi)$ is not essentially bounded on $B_\nu \times (\pi/2 - \varepsilon, \pi/2 + \varepsilon) \times (\pi - \varepsilon, \pi + \varepsilon)$. We will conclude the proof showing that $\sin \theta Q_\nu^u(\theta, \varphi)$ must be a smooth function on $(\pi/2 - \varepsilon, \pi/2 + \varepsilon) \times (\pi - \varepsilon, \pi + \varepsilon)$. To this end choose an open neighborhood U_1 of a point of $(0, \pi) \times (0, 2\pi) \times T$ and an open neighborhood U_2 of the unit element of $SU(2)$ so that the map: $(\theta, \varphi, e^{i\psi}, u_2) \rightarrow (\theta, \varphi, e^{i2(\psi+\iota)})$ defined by $\omega_2(\theta)\omega_3(\varphi)u_2 = \omega_3(t)\omega_3(\theta')\omega_3(\varphi')$ is smooth on $U_1 \times U_2$ and that for each $(\theta, \varphi, e^{i\psi}) \in U_1$ the map: $u_2 \rightarrow (\theta', \varphi', e^{i(\psi+\iota)})$ from U_2 into $(0, \pi) \times (0, 2\pi) \times T$ is a diffeomorphism. It turns out that the restriction $\omega_i^{\pi, u} | \mathfrak{H}_0^{\pi, u}$ is of the form

$$\omega_i^{\pi, u} = (a_{i1}\partial_\theta + a_{i2}\partial_\varphi + a_{i3}\partial_\psi),$$

where a_{ij} ($i, j = 1, 2, 3$) are real-valued C^∞ -functions depending only on (θ, φ) with $\det(a_{ij}) \neq 0$. Now it is not difficult to see that $\sum_i (\partial_i^{\pi, u})^2$ is an elliptic differential operator with C^∞ -coefficient and that each $f_\nu Q_\nu^u e^{i\nu\psi}$ satisfies (17), from which the smoothness of $\sin \theta Q_\nu^u(\theta, \varphi)$ follows. Q.E.D.

We summarise the k -th heighest weight vectors W_k for the representations $U^{\pi, \ell}$.

| π | ℓ | $W_k (\neq \{0\})$ | k |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| $\pi_{(\ell, 0)}$ | $\ell = -1/2 + i\rho, \rho \geq 0$ | $\sum_{\nu=-k}^k f_\nu P_{-\nu} e^{-ik\varphi + i\nu\psi}$ | $0, 1, \dots$ |
| $\pi_{(\ell, 1/2)}$ | $\ell = -1/2 + i\rho, \rho > 0$ | $\sum_{\nu=-k}^k f_\nu P_{-\nu} e^{-ik\varphi + i(\nu+1/2)\psi}$ | $1/2, 3/2, \dots$ |
| $\pi_{(\ell, 0)}$ | $-1 < \ell < -1/2$ | $\sum_{\nu=-k}^k f_\nu P_{-\nu} e^{-ik\varphi + i\nu\psi}$ | $0, 1, \dots$ |
| $\pi_{(\ell, 0)}^+$ | $\ell = -1, -2, \dots$ | $\sum_{\nu=-\ell}^k f_\nu P_{-\nu} e^{-ik\varphi + i\nu\psi}$ | $-\ell, -\ell + 1, \dots$ |
| $\pi_{(\ell, 1/2)}^+$ | $\ell = -1/2, -3/2, \dots$ | $\sum_{\nu=-\ell}^k f_\nu P_{-\nu} e^{-ik\varphi + i(\nu+1/2)\psi}$ | as above |
| $\pi_{(\ell, 0)}^-$ | $\ell = -1, -2, \dots$ | $\sum_{\nu=\ell}^{-k} f_\nu P_{-\nu} e^{-ik\varphi + i\nu\psi}$ | as above |
| $\pi_{(\ell, 1/2)}^-$ | $\ell = -1/2, -3/2, \dots$ | $\sum_{\nu=\ell}^{-k} f_\nu P_{-\nu} e^{-ik\varphi + i(\nu+1/2)\psi}$ | as above |

(Here we put $P_{-\nu} = P_{k, -\nu}^k$)

Denote W_k^0 a subspace of W_k consisting of functions expressible as
 (14). Making use of formulas (chap. 3, sec 4 [17])

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_\theta P_{m,n}^k(\cos \theta) &= \frac{i}{2} (\sqrt{(k+n+1)(k-n)}) P_{m,n+1}^k(\cos \theta) \\ &\quad + \sqrt{(k+n)(k-n+1)} P_{m,n-1}^k(\cos \theta), \end{aligned}$$

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} i(m-n \cos \theta) P_{m,n}^k(\cos \theta) &= \frac{\sin \theta}{2} (\sqrt{(k+n)(k-n+1)}) P_{m,n-1}^k(\cos \theta) \\ &\quad - \sqrt{(k-n)(k+n+1)} P_{m,n+1}^k(\cos \theta), \end{aligned}$$

and calculating formally, we see that

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta' \left(\sum_{\nu \geq -\ell}^k f_\nu P_{k,-\nu}^k e^{-ik\varphi + i\nu\psi} \right) &= \sum_{\nu \geq -\ell}^k \left[-2i\nu(\partial_\tau + \text{th } \tau) f_\nu \right. \\ &\quad - (\ell + \nu + 1) \sqrt{(k + \nu + 1)(k - \nu)} \frac{f_{\nu+1}}{\text{ch } \tau} \\ &\quad \left. + (\ell - \nu + 1) \sqrt{(k - \nu + 1)(k + \nu)} \frac{f_{\nu-1}}{\text{ch } \tau} \right] P_{k,-\nu}^k e^{-ik\varphi + i\nu\psi}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, applying the formulas (18) (19) and

$$\begin{aligned} \sin \theta P_{k,-\nu}^k &= -2i \sqrt{\frac{(k-\nu+1)(k+\nu+1)}{(2k+1)(2k+2)}} P_{k+1,-\nu}^{k+1}, \\ \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} P_{k,-\nu+1}^k &= -\sqrt{\frac{(k+\nu)(k+\nu+1)}{(2k+1)(2k+2)}} P_{k+1,-\nu}^{k+1}, \\ \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} P_{k,-\nu-1}^k &= \sqrt{\frac{(k-\nu)(k-\nu+1)}{(2k+1)(2k+2)}} P_{k+1,-\nu}^{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} F_+ \left(\sum_{\nu \geq -\ell}^k f_\nu P_{k,-\nu}^k e^{-ik\varphi + i\nu\psi} \right) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2k+1)(2k+2)}} \sum_{\nu \geq -\ell}^{k+1} \left[2i \sqrt{(k-\nu+1)(k+\nu+1)} \right. \\ &\quad \times (\partial_\tau - k \text{th } \tau) f_\nu + (\ell + \nu + 1) \sqrt{(k-\nu)(k-\nu+1)} \frac{f_{\nu+1}}{\text{ch } \tau} \\ &\quad \left. + (\ell - \nu + 1) \sqrt{(k+\nu)(k+\nu+1)} \frac{f_{\nu-1}}{\text{ch } \tau} \right] \\ &\quad \times P_{k+1,-\nu}^{k+1} e^{-i(k+1)\varphi + i\nu\psi}. \end{aligned}$$

Since f in W_k^0 is C^∞ -function on V_{iM} , the formal calculus can be justified.

Set $c_\nu = \|e^{i\nu\psi}\|_\pi$. The isometry J_k from W_k onto $\sum_{\nu \geq -\ell}^k \oplus L^2(R)$ defined by

$$(22) \quad \sum_{\nu \geq -\ell}^k f_\nu P_{k, -\nu}^k e^{-ik\varphi + i\nu\varphi} \rightarrow \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{2k+1}} c_\nu f_\nu(\tau) \operatorname{ch} \tau \right)$$

transforms $\mathcal{A}'|W_k^0$ to \dot{L}_k^π :

$$(23) \quad \dot{L}_k^\pi = -2i(\nu)\partial_\tau + \frac{1}{\operatorname{ch} \tau} V,$$

where $(\nu) = \begin{bmatrix} k & & & & & & \\ & k-1 & & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & & \nu & & & \\ & & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & -\ell \end{bmatrix}$ and V is an hermitian matrix whose

$(\nu, \nu + 1)$ component is equal to $-\sqrt{(-\ell + \nu)(\ell + \nu + 1)(k + 1 + 1)}$. Since the symmetric operator \dot{L}_k^π is essentially selfadjoint with domain $\sum_{\nu \geq -\ell}^k C_0^\infty(R)$ [7], we denote L_k^π its selfadjoint extension. Now the following proposition is selfexplanatory.

PROPOSITION 2. *For the representation $\pi = \pi_{(\ell, 0)}^+$ the restriction $\mathcal{A}'^{\pi, \ell}|W_k$ is unitarily equivalent to L_k^π provided $k = -\ell, -\ell + 1, \dots$.*

Similarly we have

PROPOSITION 3. *For the representation $\pi = \pi_{(\ell, 0)}$ either with $\ell = -1/2 + i\rho$ ($\rho \geq 0$) or with $-1 < \ell < -1/2$, the restriction $\mathcal{A}'^{\pi, \ell}|W_0$ is unitarily equivalent to L_0^π which is the selfadjoint extension of a symmetric operator \dot{L}_0^π on $L^2(R)$ with domain $C_0^\infty(R)$:*

$$(24) \quad \dot{L}_0^\pi = -\partial_\tau^2 - \frac{\ell(\ell + 1)}{\operatorname{ch}^2 \tau}.$$

For a Borel set B of R and σ -finite measure σ on B , let $\int_B^\oplus \lambda d\sigma$ denote the λ -multiplication operator in $L^2(B, \sigma)$.

PROPOSITION 4. (i) *For the representation $\pi = \pi_{(\ell, 0)}^+$ L_k^π is unitarily equivalent to $[k + \ell + 1] \int_R^\oplus \lambda d\lambda$.* (ii) *For the representation $\pi = \pi_{(\ell, 0)}$ either*

$\ell = -1/2 + i\rho$ ($\rho \geq 0$) or with $-1 < \ell < -1/2$, L_0^π is unitarily equivalent to $[2] \int_{\mathbb{R}_+}^\oplus \lambda d\lambda$.

Proof. Applying the result of [7], we obtain (i). We note that L_0^π is a Schrödinger operator with a so-called short range potential. So (ii) is a direct consequence of Agmon [1] and Kato [9]. Q.E.D.

PROPOSITION 5. *For the representation $\pi = \pi_{(\ell,0)}^\pm, \mathcal{A}'^{\pi,\epsilon} | W_k \ominus F_+^{\pi,\epsilon} W_{k-1}$ is unitarily equivalent to $\int_{\mathbb{R}}^\oplus \lambda d\lambda$ provided $k = -\ell, -\ell + 1, \dots$.*

Proof. Lemma 4 and (i) of Proposition 4 yield the proposition.

Q.E.D.

For the representation $\pi = \pi_{(\ell,0)}$ with $\ell = -1/2 + i\rho$ ($\rho \geq 0$) or with $-1 < \ell < -1/2$ L_k^π is unitarily equivalent to $[2k] \int_{\mathbb{R}}^\oplus \lambda d\lambda \oplus [\mathfrak{N}_0] \int_{\{0\}}^\oplus \lambda \delta(d\lambda)$ for any positive integer k , where δ denotes the Dirac measure. In order to show that $\mathcal{A}'^{\pi,\epsilon} | W_k \ominus F_+^{\pi,\epsilon} W_{k-1}$ is unitarily equivalent to $[2] \int_{\mathbb{R}}^\oplus \lambda d\lambda$ we must check that $\mathcal{A}'^{\pi,\epsilon} | W_k \ominus F_+^{\pi,\epsilon} W_{k-1}$ has no eigenvectors with eigenvalue zero. This requires some calculation which we do not cite here. In this way we can manage to decompose the induced representations $\text{Ind}_{SU(1,1) \uparrow SL(2,\mathbb{C})} \pi$ (cf. [3] [13]).

§5. Proof of Theorem 1 and 3

We begin with

LEMMA 10. *Let T_t and S_s be one-parameter unitary groups on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$:*

$$T_t f(\tau) = e^{iMt \operatorname{sh} \tau} f(\tau), \quad S_s f(\tau) = f(\tau + s) \quad (M \neq 0).$$

Then a closed subspace D of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ which is invariant with respect to $\{T_t: t \geq 0\}$ and $\{S_s: s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is either $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ or the null space $\{0\}$.

Proof. Denote \hat{f} the Fourier transform of f . Since D is S_s -invariant, there exists a Borel set B such that $D = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}): \hat{f}(\lambda) = 0 \text{ on the complement } B^c\}$. If the Lebesgue measure $|B|$ is equal to zero, we have nothing to do. Otherwise, from the fact that Laplace transform $G_\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} e^{-\alpha t} T_t dt$ is just the multiplication $1/(\alpha - iM \operatorname{sh} \tau)$ it follows that for

non-zero element f of D Fourier transform of $G_\alpha f \in D$ is a non-zero holomorphic function on the strip $|\operatorname{Im} \lambda| < 1$. Thus $|B^c| = 0$. Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 1. First note that Theorem 2 also holds for the 2-dimensional space-time Poincaré group. Irreducible unitary representations corresponding to space-like orbits $V^{\pm iM}(2) = \{\hat{x}_0^2 - \hat{x}_3^2 = -M^2; \hat{x}_3 \geq 0\}$ have the realization in $L^2(\mathbf{R})$:

$$U^{iM}((x_0, x_3), \omega_6(s))f(\tau) = \exp(\pm iM(x_0 \operatorname{sh} \tau + x_3 \operatorname{ch} \tau))f(\tau + s).$$

Now Lemma 10 yields the theorem. Q.E.D.

Let us turn to the proof of Theorem 3. As in § 4, W_k stands for the k -th highest weight vectors corresponding to the representation $(U^{\pi, e} | G, \mathfrak{S}^\pi)$ of $G = SL(2, \mathbf{C})$. Denote k_0 the minimum of $\{k: W_k \neq \{0\}\}$. We observe

LEMMA 11. *If there exists an invariant non-trivial closed subspace D_+ of \mathfrak{S}^π with respect to the Poincaré subsemigroup P_+ , then there exists a non-trivial closed subspace D of W_{k_0} which is invariant with respect to $\{T_t = e^{iMt \operatorname{sh} \tau}; t > 0\}$ and $\{e^{itA}, e^{issA'}; s \in \mathbf{R}\}$.*

Proof. Our reasoning depends on the results of § 3. Denoting the orthogonal complement of D_+ by D_+^\perp , it holds that

$$(25) \quad W_{k_0} = (W_{k_0} \cap D_+) \oplus (W_{k_0} \cap D_+^\perp).$$

We know that $W_{k_0} \cap D_+$ (resp. D_+^\perp) is invariant with respect to T_t ($t > 0$) resp. $t < 0$), A and A' . Thus both components on the right side of (25) have the same property. We claim none of them is a null space. We will show this for $W_{k_0} \cap D_+$. The proof for the another component is similar. If $W_{k_0} \cap D_+$ is a null space, some $k, k \geq k_0$ attains the maximum of $\{k': W_{k'} \cap D_+ = \{0\}\}$. Since the decomposition (25) holds for any k , W_k is a subspace of D_+^\perp . Thus $F_+ W_k^0$ and $F_+ \bar{G}_\alpha W_k^0$ are orthogonal to $W_{k+1} \cap D_+$, where \bar{G}_α denotes Laplace transform $\int_{\mathbf{R}_+} e^{-at} T_{-t} dt = 1/(\alpha + iM \operatorname{sh} \tau)$. An $f \in J_{k+1}(W_{k+1} \cap D_+)$ satisfies

$$(26) \quad (f, J_{k+1} F_+ J_k^{-1} h) = 0, \quad (G_\alpha f, J_{k+1} F_+ J_k^{-1} h) = 0 \quad \text{for any } h \in J_k W_k^0$$

(see (22) for J_k). From the second equality it follows that

$$(27) \quad \left(A \frac{iM \operatorname{ch} \tau}{(\alpha - iM \operatorname{sh} \tau)^2} f, \check{h} \right) + (f, J_{k+1} F_+ J_k^{-1} \bar{G}_\alpha h) = 0 \quad \text{for any } h \in J_k W_k^0,$$

where A is a constant diagonal matrix whose (ν, ν) component is equal to $2i\sqrt{(k - \nu + 1)(k + \nu + 1) / \sqrt{(2k + 2)(2k + 3)}}$ and \check{h} denotes $(0, h^t)^t \in J_{k+1} W_k^0$.

Since the second term of (27) vanishes, f_ν is zero except f_{k+1} . Together with the first equality of (26) f vanishes. This completes the proof.

Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 3. For the representation $U^{\pi, e}$ (see (6)) with, say $\pi = \pi_{(\ell, 0)}^+$, W_{k_0} coincides with $W_{-\ell}$. Since J_{k_0} transforms T_ℓ and \mathcal{A}' to T_ℓ and $2i\ell\partial_\tau$, respectively, the theorem follows from Lemma 10 and 11.

Q.E.D.

Acknowledgement. The author expresses his sincere thanks to Professors Takenaka and Tatsuuma for their kind advice and to Mr. Itatsu for his interest in the problem.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Agmon, Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and scattering theory, *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa*, series 3, **2** (1975), 149–218.
- [2] E. Angelopoulos, Decomposition sur le sous-groupe de Poincaré de la représentation de masse positive et de spin nul du groupe de Poincaré. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **XV**, no. 4 (1971), 303–320.
- [3] —, Reduction on the Lorentz subgroup of UIR's of the Poincaré group induced by a semisimple little group, *Math. Phys.* **15** (1974), 155–165.
- [4] J. Dixmier, *Les C^* -algèbres et leurs représentations*. Gauthier-Villars Paris, 1969.
- [5] A. Erdélyi, *Higher transcendental functions* **1**, McGraw-Hill, 1955.
- [6] L. Hormander, *Linear partial differential operators*, Springer, 1963.
- [7] S. Itatsu and H. Kaneta, Spectral properties of first order ordinary differential operators, to appear.
- [8] H. Joos, Zur Darstellungstheorie der inhomogenen Lorentzgruppe als Grundlage der quantenmechanischen Kinematik, *Fortschr. Phys.* **10** (1962), 65–146.
- [9] T. Kato, Growth properties of solutions of reduced wave equation with a variable coefficient, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **12** (1959), 403–425.
- [10] G. W. Mackey, Induced representations of locally compact group I, *Ann. of Math.* **55**, no. 1 (1951), 101–139.
- [11] P. D. Lax and R. S. Phillips, *Scattering theory*, Academic Press, 1967.
- [12] M. A. Naimark, *Linear representation of Lorentz group*, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften Berlin, 1963.
- [13] B. Radhakrishnan and N. Mukunda, Spacelike representations of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group in a Lorentz basis, *J. Math. Phys.* **15** (1974), 477–490.
- [14] I. E. Segal, A class of operator algebras which are determined by groups, *Duke Math. J.* **18** (1951), 221–265.
- [15] N. Tatsuuma, Decomposition of representations of three-dimensional Lorentz group, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **38** (1962), 12–14.
- [16] —, Decomposition of Kronecker products of representations of inhomogeneous Lorentz group, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **38** (1962), 156–160.
- [17] N. Vilenkin, *Special functions and the theory of group representations*, AMS translation of monographs **22**, 1968.

*Department of Mathematics
Nagoya University*