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## § 0. Introduction

Fix a positive integer $m$. Let $F_{m}$ denote the Fermat curve over $\mathbf{Q}$ of degree $m$, given by the projective equation

$$
X^{m}+Y^{m}+Z^{m}=0
$$

Let $\mu_{m} \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ be the group of $m$-th roots of unity, $\Delta$ be the image of $\mu_{m}$ in $\mu_{m}^{3}$ under the diagonal embedding, and let $G_{m}=\mu_{m}^{3} / \Delta$. Then $G_{m}$ acts on $F_{m}$ : as follows:

$$
\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right) \bmod \Delta:(X, Y, Z) \longrightarrow\left(\xi_{1} X, \xi_{2} Y, \xi_{3} Z\right) .
$$

The group ring $\mathbf{Z}\left[G_{m}\right]$ acts on the Jacobian $J_{m}$ of $F_{m}$. Let $K=\mathbf{Q}\left(\mu_{m}\right)$. Then $J_{m} / K$ has CM by $\mathbf{Z}\left[G_{m}\right.$ ] [4].

Let $a, b, c \in \mathbf{Z}$, with $a+b+c=0,(a, b, c, m)=1$, and none of $a, b, c$ divisible by $m$. Let $\Gamma_{a, b, c}^{m}$ be the following subgroup of $G_{m}$ :

$$
\left\{\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right) \in \mu_{m}^{3} \mid \xi_{1}^{a} \xi_{3}^{b} \xi_{3}^{c}=1\right\} / \Delta .
$$

Then the quotient curve

$$
F_{a, b, c}^{m}=\Gamma_{a, b, c}^{m} \backslash F_{m}
$$

is defined over $\mathbf{Q}$, and has equation $y^{m}=(-1)^{c} x^{a}(1-x)^{b}$. Its Jacobian $J_{a, b, c}^{m}$ has CM by

$$
\mathrm{Z}\left[G_{m} / \Gamma_{a, b, c}^{m}\right] .
$$

Let $g$ be a generator of the cyclic group $G_{m} / \Gamma_{a, b, c}^{m}$, and let $f_{m}(x)$ denote the $m$-th cyclotomic polynomial. Then the sum of the images of the maps

$$
J_{a, b, c}^{d} \longrightarrow J_{a, b, c}^{m}
$$

induced from $F_{a, b, c}^{m} \rightarrow F_{a, b, c}^{d},(x, y) \rightarrow\left(x, y^{m / d}\right)$, as $d$ varies over the set of

[^0]proper divisors of $m$, generates the abelian subvariety $f_{m}(g) J_{a, b, c}^{m}$ of $J_{a, b, c}^{m}$. We define $\left(J_{a, b, c}^{m}\right)^{\text {new }}$ to be the quotient of $J_{a, b, c}^{m}$ by $f_{m}(g) J_{a, b, c}^{m}$.

In [8], Koblitz-Rohrlich determined the necessary and sufficient conditions for $\left(J_{a, b, c}^{m}\right)^{\text {new }}$ to be non-simple and its decomposition into simple factors up to isogeny in the case when $(m, 6)=1$. Aoki [1] has solved this problem for all sufficiently large $m$. In § 2 , we use the above mentioned results to determine the ring of rational endomorphisms of some non-simple $\left(J_{a, b, c}^{m}\right)^{\text {new }}$.

In the rest of this paper, we let $p$ be an odd prime, fix a cyclic quotient curve of $F_{p}$ and denote its Jacobian by $A$. From the work of Koblitz-Rohrlich [8] and Schmidt [12], we know that $A$ is either absolutely simple or isogeneous to a cube of an absolutely simple abelian variety over the $p$-th cyclotomic field $\mathbf{Q}\left(\mu_{p}\right)$. When $A$ is simple, $\operatorname{End}(A)$ is isomorphic to the ring of integers in $\mathbf{Q}\left(\mu_{p}\right)$. In $\S 4$, we shall completely characterize the endomorphism ring of $A$ whenever it is non-simple. We then use this information to show in $\S 6$ that $A$ is in fact isomorphic over $\mathbf{Q}\left(\mu_{p}\right)$ to a cube of a simple abelian variety. A special case of this result $(p=7)$ is that the Jacobian Jac ( $C$ ) of the Klein curve

$$
C: X^{3} Y+Y^{3} Z+Z^{3} X=0
$$

is isomorphic to a cube of an elliptic curve [10] (in fact, the elliptic modular curve $J_{0}(49)$ ).

## § 1. Preliminaries

For the Fermat curve $F_{m}$, let $x=X / Z$ and $y=Y / Z$. Now let $r, s$, $t \in \mathbf{Z}, 0<r, s, t<m$ and $r+s+t \equiv 0(\bmod m)$. Then

$$
w_{r, s, t}=x^{r-1} y^{s-1} \frac{d x}{y^{m-1}}
$$

is a differential form of the second kind on $F_{m}$. $G_{m}$ is generated by $\sigma=(\zeta, 1,1)$ and $\tau=(1, \zeta, 1)$, where $\zeta$ is a fixed primitive $m$-th root of unity, and the forms $w_{r, s, t}$ are eigenforms for the action of $G_{m}:\left(\sigma^{j} \tau^{k}\right)^{*} w_{r, s, t}=$ $\zeta^{r j+s k} w_{r, s, t}$. Since the characters on $(\mathbf{Z} / m \mathbf{Z})^{2}$ are mutually distinct,

$$
\Omega=\left\{w_{r, s, t} \mid 0<r, s, t<m, r+s+t \equiv 0(\bmod m)\right\}
$$

is a basis of the de Rham cohomology $H_{\mathrm{DR}}^{1}\left(F_{m}\right) . \quad \Omega_{1}=\left\{w_{r, s, t} \in \Omega \mid r+s+t\right.$ $=m\}$ is a basis for $H^{0}\left(F_{m}, \Omega^{1}\right)$ in the Hodge splitting of $H_{\mathrm{DR}}^{1}\left(F_{m}\right)$.

The set of elements of $\Omega$ invariant under the action of $\Gamma_{a, b, c}^{m}$ descends to a basis of eigenforms for $H_{\mathrm{DR}}^{1}\left(J_{a, b, c}^{m}\right)$ under the action of $\mathrm{Z}\left[G_{m} / \Gamma_{a, b, c}^{m}\right]$. $\left(J_{a, b, c}^{m}\right)^{\text {new }}=J^{\text {new }}$ has CM (in the sense of Shimura-Taniyama) by the ring of integers

$$
\mathrm{Z}\left[G_{m} / \Gamma_{a, b, \mathrm{~d}}^{m}\right] /\left(f_{m}(g)\right) \approx \mathcal{O}_{K}
$$

of $K=\mathbf{Q}\left(\mu_{m}\right)$, with CM type

$$
H_{a, b, c}^{m}=\left\{h \in(\mathbf{Z} / m \mathbf{Z})^{*} \mid\langle h a\rangle+\langle h b\rangle+\langle h c\rangle=m\right\}
$$

where $\langle h\rangle$ denotes the unique representative of $h$ modulo $m$ between 0 and $m-1$.

Let $\mathscr{E}$ denote the set of positive integers $m$ which are different from each of the following numbers:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2,3,4,6,8,9,10,12,14,15,18,20,21,22,24,26,28,30 \text {, } \\
& 36,39,40,42,48,54,60,66,72,78,84,90,120,156,180 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then from the works of Koblitz-Rohrlich (for the cases where $m$ is relatively prime to 6) [8] and Aoki [1], for $m \in \mathscr{E}, J^{\text {new }}$ is non-simple if and only if
(1) $(a, b, c)$ is equivalent to $(1, r,-(1+r))$, where $1+r+r^{2} \equiv 0$ $(\bmod m)$, or
(2) $(a, b, c)$ is equivalent to $(1, s,-(1+s))$, where $s^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod m)$ and $s \not \equiv \pm 1(\bmod m)$, and $s \neq m / 2+1$ if $2^{3} \mid m$, or
(3) $(a, b, c)$ is equivalent to $(1,1,-2)$, with $2^{2} \mid m$, or
(4) $(a, b, c)$ is equivalent to ( $1, m / 2+1, m / 2-2)$, with $2^{3} \mid m$.

In case (1), $J^{\text {new }}$ is isogeneous to a cube of an absolutely simple abelian variety. In cases (2) and (3), $\boldsymbol{J}^{\text {new }}$ is isogeneous to a square of a simple abelian variety. Finally in case (4), $J^{\text {now }}$ is isogeneous to $X^{4}$ for some simple abelian variety $X$.

We shall denote $J^{\text {new }}$ by $A$ and $B$ in the first and second cases respectively.

Let $\rho$ be the automorphism of $F_{m}$ given by

$$
(X, Y, Z) \longrightarrow(Z, X, Y)
$$

Let $\Gamma_{A}$ and $J_{A}$ denote the $\Gamma_{a, b, c}^{m}$ and $J_{a, b, c}^{m}$ associated with $A$. Since

$$
\rho \Gamma_{A} \rho^{-1} \subseteq \Gamma_{A},
$$

$\rho$ induces an automorphism of $G_{m} / \Gamma_{A}$ by conjugation. We note that $f_{m}\left(x^{l}\right)$
is divisible by $f_{m}(x)$ if $l$ and $m$ are relatively prime. Hence, if $g$ is a generator of $G_{m} / \Gamma_{A}$, then

$$
\rho f_{m}(g) J_{A}=f_{m}\left(\rho g \rho^{-1}\right) J_{A} \subseteq f_{m}(g) J_{A}
$$

So $\rho$ induces an automorphism $\rho$ of $A$ such that the following diagram commutes:


Let $\iota \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{m}\right)$ be given by

$$
\iota:(X, Y, Z) \longrightarrow(Y, X, Z)
$$

Then we have a similar commutative diagram to the one above with ( $A, \rho$ ) replaced by ( $B, \iota$ ).

Since

$$
H^{1,0}\left(J^{\mathrm{new}}, \mathbf{C}\right)=\oplus_{h \in H_{a, t, c}^{m}} V(\langle h a\rangle,\langle h b\rangle,\langle h c\rangle),
$$

where

$$
V(a, b, c)=\left\{\eta \in H^{1}\left(F_{m}, \mathbf{C}\right) \mid g^{*} \eta=\xi_{1}^{a} \xi_{2}^{b} \xi_{3}^{c} \eta \text { for all } g=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right) \in G_{m}\right\}
$$

a basis of holomorphic differential forms for $H^{0}\left(J^{\text {new }}, \Omega^{1}\right)$ is

$$
\left\{w_{\langle h a\rangle\rangle\langle n b\rangle,\langle n c\rangle} \mid h \in H_{a, b, c}^{m}\right\} .
$$

The following lemma shows that the abelian varieties $A$ and $B$ are isogeneous to

$$
\prod_{l=0}^{2} A /\left\langle g_{l}\right\rangle \quad \text { and } \quad \prod_{l=0}^{1} B \mid\left\langle h_{l}\right\rangle
$$

respectively, where $g_{l}$ and $h_{l}$ denote $\sigma^{l} \rho \sigma^{-l}$ and $\sigma^{l} \iota \sigma^{-l}$ respectively.
Lemma 1.1. $H^{0}\left(J_{A}, \Omega^{1}\right)^{\langle g i\rangle}$ is spanned by

$$
g_{\iota}^{*}\left\{w_{r, s} \mid w_{r, s} \in H^{0}\left(J_{\Lambda}, \Omega^{1}\right)\right\}
$$

and $H^{0}\left(J_{A}, \Omega^{1}\right)=\oplus_{l=0}^{2} H^{0}\left(J_{A}, \Omega^{1}\right)^{\langle g i\rangle}$. Similar statements hold for $H^{0}\left(J_{B}, \Omega^{1}\right)$, $h_{0}$ and $h_{1}$.

Proof. Let $V_{l}$ and $W_{l}$ denote $\left(1+g_{l}+g_{l}^{2}\right)^{*} H^{0}\left(J_{A}, \Omega^{1}\right)$ and $H^{0}\left(J_{A}, \Omega^{1}\right)^{\langle g l\rangle}$ respectively. Then $V_{l} \subseteq W_{l}$ and $\operatorname{dim} V_{l}=\operatorname{dim} H^{\circ}\left(J_{A}, \Omega^{1}\right) / 3$ by definition.

We claim that $W_{j} \cap\left(W_{k}+W_{l}\right)=\{0\}$ when $\{j, k, l\}=\{0,1,2\}$. We verify this for $j=0, k=1$ and $l=2$. The other cases are treated similarly.

Let $w_{0}=w_{1}+w_{2}$, where $w_{l} \in W_{l}(l=0,1,2)$. Then $w_{1}=\left(\sigma \rho \sigma^{-1}\right)^{*} w_{0}-$ $\left(\sigma \rho \sigma^{-1}\right)^{*} w_{2}=\left(\sigma^{-(r+2)}\right)^{*} w_{0}-\left(\sigma^{r+2}\right)^{*} w_{2}$. Therefore, $\left(\sigma^{-(r+2)}-1\right)^{*} w_{0}=\left(1-\sigma^{r+2}\right)^{*} w_{2}$. Applying $\left(\sigma^{r+2}\right)^{*}$ to both sides of the latter equation, we obtain $\left(1-\sigma^{r+2}\right)^{*}$ $\left(w_{0}-\left(\sigma^{r+2}\right)^{*} w_{2}\right)=0$. In particular,

$$
w_{0}-\left(\sigma^{r+2}\right)^{*} w_{2} \in H^{0}\left(F_{A} /\langle\sigma\rangle, \Omega^{1}\right) \approx H^{0}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}, \Omega^{1}\right) .
$$

Hence, $w_{0}=\rho^{*} w_{0}=\rho^{*}\left(\sigma^{r+2}\right)^{*} w_{2}=\left(\sigma^{r+2} \rho\right)^{*} w_{2}=\left(\sigma^{2}\right)^{*}\left(\sigma^{2} \rho \sigma^{-2}\right)^{*} w_{2}=\left(\sigma^{2}\right)^{*} w_{2}$, and $\left(\sigma^{r}\right)^{*} w_{2}=w_{2}$. So, $w_{2}=0$, and $w_{0}=w_{1} \in W_{0} \cap W_{1}$, which we can show to be $\{0\}$, as before.

Let $A_{l}=A /\left\langle g_{\imath}\right\rangle$ and $B_{\imath}=B /\left\langle h_{\imath}\right\rangle$. Then each $A_{l}$ and $B_{\imath}$ is simple, and admits CM by the ring of integers in $L=K^{\langle r\rangle}$ and $M=K^{\langle s\rangle}$ respectively. To be precise, the endomorphisms $\sigma+\sigma^{r}+\sigma^{r 2}$ and $\sigma+\sigma^{s}$ of $A$ and $B$ descend to endomorphisms on $A_{0}$ and $B_{0}$ respectively. We identify the products $\prod_{l=0}^{2} A_{l}$ and $\prod_{l=0}^{1} B_{l}$ with $\left(A_{0}\right)^{3}$ and $\left(B_{0}\right)^{2}$ respectively through fixed isomorphisms $A_{l} \stackrel{\approx}{\approx} A_{0}$ and $B_{l} \xrightarrow{\approx} B_{0}$.

Let us fix some terminology. (1) If $R$ is a ring, let $\Delta_{n}(R)$ be the subspace of the ring of $n \times n$-matrices $M_{n}(R)$ with entries in $R$ consisting of all the diagonal elements. If $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n} \in R$, let $\Delta\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ be the matrix $\left(\alpha_{i, j}\right)$ in $\Delta_{n}(R)$ with $\alpha_{i, j}=\delta_{i, j} \alpha_{j}$.
(2) If $X$ is an abelian variety, we associate to an endomorphism $\phi$ of $X^{n}$, the matrix $U_{\phi}$ in $M_{n}(\operatorname{End}(X))$, if on points, $\phi:\left(\begin{array}{c}P_{1} \\ \vdots \\ P_{n}\end{array}\right) \rightarrow U_{\phi} \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}P_{1} \\ \vdots \\ P_{n}\end{array}\right)$.
(3) Let $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ be an isogeny of degree $N$. Let $\bar{\phi}: Y \rightarrow X$ be such that $\bar{\phi} \phi$ is multiplication by $N$ on $X$. Let $F_{\phi}: \operatorname{End}^{0}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}^{0}(Y)$ map $\alpha$ in $\operatorname{End}(X)$ to $N^{-1}(\phi \alpha \bar{\phi})$ in $\operatorname{End}^{0}(Y)$.

## § 2. Rational endomorphisms

Let $\Sigma_{l}$ be a basis for $H^{0}\left(A_{l}, \Omega^{1}\right)$ consisting of forms of the type $(1+$ $\left.g_{l}+g_{i}^{2}\right)^{*} w_{r, s}$. Then $\Sigma=\bigcup_{l=0}^{2} \Sigma_{l}$ is a basis for $H^{0}\left(A, \Omega^{1}\right)$. The main result in this section is

Proposition 2.1. Let $m \in \mathscr{E}$. Then the following sequences are exact:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \longrightarrow\left(f_{m}(\sigma)\right) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}[\sigma, \rho] \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}^{( }(A) \longrightarrow 0, \\
& 0 \longrightarrow\left(f_{m}(\sigma)\right) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}[\sigma, \iota] \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}^{0}(B) \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We will prove that $F: \mathbf{Q}[\sigma, \rho] \rightarrow \operatorname{End}^{0}\left(A_{0}^{3}\right)=M_{3}(L)$ is surjective. Since $f_{m}(\sigma) \in \operatorname{Ker}(F)$, a dimension argument shows that the first sequence is exact. We omit the proof of exactness of the second sequence.

The matrices for $\left(1+g_{l}+g_{l}^{2}\right)^{*}$ on $H^{0}\left(A, \Omega^{1}\right)$, with respect to the basis $\Sigma$ are:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
3 & 0 & 0 \\
M_{0} & 0 & 0 \\
N_{0} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 3 & 0 \\
0 & M_{1} & 0 \\
0 & N_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 3 \\
0 & 0 & M_{2} \\
0 & 0 & N_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

for $l=0,1,2$ respectively.
Now $w_{1, r} \in H^{0}\left(A, \Omega^{1}\right)$ and

$$
\left(1+g_{0}+g_{0}^{2}\right)^{*}\left(1+g_{1}+g_{1}^{2}\right)^{*} w_{1, r}=\left(1+\zeta^{r^{2+1}}+\zeta^{r^{2+2}}\right)\left(1+g_{0}+g_{0}^{2}\right)^{*} w_{1, r}
$$

Let $l \in(\mathbf{Z} / m \mathbf{Z})^{*}-\left\{1,\left(r^{2}+1\right)\left(r^{2}+2\right)^{-1},\left(r^{2}+1\right)\left(r^{2}+2\right)^{-1}\right\}$. Since $\quad\left\{\zeta^{a} \mid a \in\right.$ $\left.(\mathbf{Z} / m \mathbf{Z})^{*}\right\}$ is a $\mathbf{Z}$-basis for $\mathcal{O}_{K}, \zeta^{r^{2+1}}, \zeta^{\left(r^{2+1)}\right.}, \zeta^{\left(r^{2}+2\right)}, \zeta^{\left(r^{2}+2\right) l}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbf{Q}$. Thus $\zeta^{r^{2+1}}+\zeta^{r^{2+2}}$ is not in $\mathbf{Q}$, and $1+\zeta^{2+1}+\zeta^{r^{2+2}} \neq 0$. This shows that the matrix $M_{0}$ is not the null matrix. In a similar way, we can prove that $N_{0}, M_{1}, N_{1}, M_{2}$ and $N_{2}$ are not zero. Then, in End ( $A_{0}^{3}$ ) $=M_{3}\left(\mathcal{O}_{L}\right)$, the matrices for $\left(1+g_{l}+g_{l}^{2}\right)$ are:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
3 & 0 & 0 \\
\alpha_{0} & 0 & 0 \\
\beta_{0} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 3 & 0 \\
0 & \alpha_{1} & 0 \\
0 & \beta_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 3 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha_{2} \\
0 & 0 & \beta_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

for $l=0,1,2$ respectively, where each $\alpha_{j}, \beta_{j}$ are in $\mathcal{O}_{L}$.
Let $X, Y, Z \in \mathbf{Q}[\sigma]$. In the group ring $\mathbf{Q}[\sigma, \rho]$, we have the following:

$$
\left(1+g_{l}+g_{l}^{2}\right)\left(X+\rho Y+\rho^{2} Z\right)=\left(1+g_{l}+g_{\imath}^{2}\right)\left(X+Y \sigma^{l\left(1-r^{2}\right)}+Z \sigma^{l(1-r)}\right)
$$

by using the relations $\rho \sigma \rho^{-1}=\sigma^{r}$ and $\rho^{-1} \sigma \rho=\sigma^{r 2}$ in $\operatorname{Aut}(A)$.
The determinant of the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \sigma^{1-r^{2}} & \sigma^{1-r} \\ 1 & \sigma^{2-2 r^{2}} & \sigma^{2-2 r}\end{array}\right)$ is $D=f(\sigma) \in \mathbf{Q}[\sigma]$, where

$$
f(x)=x^{\langle 4-r\rangle}-x^{\left\langle 4-r^{2}\right\rangle}+x^{\langle 1-r\rangle}-x^{\left\langle 1-r^{2}\right\rangle}+x^{\langle 2-2 r\rangle}-x^{\left\langle 2-2 r^{2}\right\rangle} \in \mathbf{Q}[x] .
$$

Since $r^{2}+r+1 \equiv 0(\bmod m)$, the exponents $4-r, 4-r^{2}, 1-r, 1-r^{2}$, $2-2 r, 2-2 r^{2}$ are pairwise distinct $(\bmod m)$ except possibly when $m \mid 3^{2}$
or $m=13$. Hence, $D \neq 0$ (the exceptional case $m=13$ is taken care of by inspection). In particular, there are $X, Y, Z \in \mathbf{Z}[\sigma]$ and a positive integer $N$ such that

$$
X+Y+Z=N D, \quad X+Y \sigma^{1-r^{2}}+Z \sigma^{1-r}=0, \quad X+Y \sigma^{2-2 r^{2}}+Z \sigma^{2-2 r}=0
$$

With the latter choice of $X, Y$ and $Z$, let the matrix of $\left(X+\rho Y+\rho^{2} Z\right)$ in $M_{3}\left(\mathcal{O}_{L}\right)$ be $\left(\alpha_{i, j}\right)$. From $\left(1+g_{1}+g_{1}^{2}\right)\left(X+\rho Y+\rho^{2} Z\right)=0$, we conclude that $\alpha_{2, j}=0$ for all $j$. On the other hand, $\alpha_{3, j}=0$ for all $j$, follows from $\left(1+g_{2}+g_{2}^{2}\right)\left(X+\rho Y+\rho^{2} Z\right)=0$. Then the matrix of $\left(X+\rho Y+\rho^{2} Z\right)(1+$ $\left.g_{0}+g_{0}^{2}\right)$ is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha_{1,1} & \alpha_{1,2} & \alpha_{1,3} \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
3 & 0 & 0 \\
\alpha_{0} & 0 & 0 \\
\beta_{0} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\delta_{0} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $\delta_{0}=3 \alpha_{1,1}+\alpha_{0} \alpha_{1,1}+\beta_{0} \alpha_{1,3} \in \mathcal{O}_{L}$.
Claim. $\quad \delta_{0} \neq 0$.
Suppose, on the contrary, that $\delta_{0}=0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
N^{-1}\left(1+g_{0}+g_{0}^{2}\right)(X+\rho Y+ & \left.\rho^{2} Z\right)\left(1+g_{0}+g_{0}^{2}\right) \\
& =\left(1+\rho+\rho^{2}\right) D\left(1+g_{0}+g_{0}^{2}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that

$$
D^{*}\left(1+\rho+\rho^{2}\right)^{*} w_{1, r}=f(\zeta) w_{1, r}+f\left(\zeta^{r}\right) w_{r, m-r-1}+f\left(\zeta^{r^{2}}\right) w_{m-r-1,1}
$$

and if $\lambda_{m}=f\left(\zeta^{r}\right)+f\left(\zeta^{r}\right)+f\left(\zeta^{r^{2}}\right)$,

$$
\left(1+g_{0}+g_{0}^{2}\right)^{*} D^{*}\left(1+\rho+\rho^{2}\right)^{*}=\lambda_{m}\left(w_{1, r}+w_{r, m-r-1}+w_{m-r-1,1}\right)
$$

We will show that $\lambda_{m} \neq 0$.
First, consider the prime case $m=p$. If $\lambda_{p}=0$, then the polynomial $g(x)=f(x)+f_{1}(x)+f_{2}(x)$, where $f_{j}(x)$ is the polynomial obtained by replacing each exponent $\langle a\rangle$ in $f(x)$ by $\left\langle a r^{j}\right\rangle$, has degree at most $p-1$, and $\zeta$ as a root. We note that $4-r, 4-r^{2}, 1-r, 1-r^{2}, 2-2 r, 2-2 r^{2}$ are distinct elements in $(\mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z})^{*} /\left\{1, r, r^{2}\right\}$ for $p \neq 7,19,31$. Thus, with the above exceptions, $g(x) \neq 0$ and therefore, $g(x)= \pm f_{p}(x)$. This is a contradiction, since $g(1)=0$ but $f_{p}(1)=p$. Inspection shows that $\lambda_{p} \neq 0$ for $p=7,19,31$.

Now we treat the composite case.
Suppose that $l$ is a prime divisor of $m$ and $r-4$. Then $r^{2}+r+1$ $\equiv 0\left(\bmod l^{k}\right)$ and $r \equiv 4\left(\bmod l^{k}\right)$ imply that $l^{k} \mid 21$. Thus $\left(m, r^{2}-4\right) \mid 21$.

Similarly $(m, r-4) \mid 21$. However, 7 can divide at most one of the two numbers $(m, r-4)$ and $\left(m, r^{2}-4\right)=(m, m-r-5)$. Furthermore, it is not difficult to verify that $(1-r, m)=\left(1-r^{2}, m\right) \mid 3$.

Case (1). First suppose that each of the integers $1-r, 1-r^{2}, 2-2 r$, $2-2 r^{2}$ are relatively prime to $m$ (this is the case when $(m, 6)=1$ ).

Case (1a). Both ( $m, r-4$ ) and ( $m, r^{2}-4$ ) are co-prime to 7.
For $\beta \in K=\mathbf{Q}\left(\mu_{m}\right)$, let $\beta^{1+r+r^{2}}=\beta+\beta^{r}+\beta^{r^{2}}$, where $\left\{1, r, r^{2}\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbf{Q})$. We note that if two of the integers $4-r, 4-r^{2}, 1-r, 1-r^{2}, 2-2 r$, $2-2 r^{2}$ represent the same class in $(\mathbf{Z} / m \mathbf{Z})^{*} /\left\{1, r, r^{2}\right\}$, then $m \in S$, where $S$ is a finite set of integers whose elements can be easily found using the congruence relation $r^{2}+r+1 \equiv 0(\bmod m)$. If $m \in S \cap \mathscr{E}$, inspection shows that $\lambda_{m} \neq 0$. If $m$ is not in $S$, a Z-basis for $\mathcal{O}_{L}$ is

$$
\left\{\zeta^{a\left(1+r+r^{2}\right)} \mid a \in(\mathbf{Z} / m \mathbf{Z})^{*} /\left\{1, r, r^{2}\right\}\right\},
$$

and we conclude that $\lambda_{m}$ is non-zero since it is a linear combination of elements of a subset of a Z-basis for $\mathcal{O}_{L}$.

Case (1b). $\quad 7 \|\left(m, r^{2}-4\right)$.
The elements of $\operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbf{Q})$ which fix $\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta^{7}\right)$ elementwise are the units $j \in(Z / m \mathbf{Z})^{*}$ such that $j \equiv 1(\bmod m / 7)$. We fix one such $j=1+k(m / 7) \neq 1$ in $(\mathbf{Z} / m \mathbf{Z})^{*}$. We make the following observation: if $a$ and $b j$ are equal in $(\mathbf{Z} / m \mathbf{Z})^{*} /\left\{1, r, r^{2}\right\}$, then $a \equiv r^{l} b j(\bmod m)$ implies $a \equiv r^{l} b(\bmod m / 7)$, and so $a$ and $b$ are equal in $(\mathbf{Z} /(m / 7) \mathbf{Z})^{*} /\left\{1, r, r^{2}\right\}$.

The calculations for case (1a) show that $1-r, 1-r^{2}, 2-2 r, 2-2 r^{2}$, $4-r$ are distinct in $(\mathbf{Z} / m \mathbf{Z})^{*} /\left\{1, r, r^{2}\right\}$ (hence in $\left.(\mathbf{Z} /(m / 7) \mathbf{Z})^{*} /\left\{1, r, r^{2}\right\}\right)$, except possibly when $m / 7 \in S$. For these exceptional values of $m, \lambda_{m} \neq 0$ by inspection. For the other values of $m$, the observation in the previous paragraph shows that $\bar{\lambda}_{m}=\lambda_{m}-\zeta^{\left(4-r^{2}\right)\left(1+r+r^{2}\right)}$ is such that $\bar{\lambda}_{m}^{j} \neq \bar{\lambda}_{m}$, since $\left\{\zeta^{\left(1+r+r^{2}\right)} \mid a \in(\mathbf{Z} / m \mathbf{Z})^{*} /\left\{1, r, r^{2}\right\}\right\}$ is a $\mathbf{Z}$-basis for $\mathcal{O}_{L}$. Thus $\bar{\lambda}_{m} \notin \mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta^{7}\right)$, and $\lambda_{m}$ $\neq 0$.

Case (1c). $\quad 7 \|(m, r-4)$.
This is case (1b), with the roles of $r$ and $r^{2}$ reversed.
Case (2). Suppose now that $(1-r, m)=3$. If $m$ is odd, then we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1-r, m)=\left(1-r^{2}, m\right)=(2-2 r, m)=\left(2-2 r^{2}, m\right)=3 \\
& \quad \text { and } 9\left|(4-r, m) \cdot\left(4-r^{2}, m\right)\right| 9.7
\end{aligned}
$$

We apply the arguments in case (1) applied to $(1-r) / 3,\left(1-r^{2}\right) / 3,(2-$ $2 r) / 3,\left(2-2 r^{2}\right) / 3,(4-r) / 3,\left(4-r^{2}\right) / 3$ in $(\mathbf{Z} /(m / 3) \mathbf{Z})^{*} /\left\{1, r, r^{2}\right\}$.

If $m$ is even, we look at $(1-r) / 3,\left(1-r^{2}\right) / 3,(2-2 r) / 6,\left(2-2 r^{2}\right) / 6$, $(4-r) / 3,\left(4-r^{2}\right) / 3$ instead. The calculations are similar to the ones above.

This proves that $\lambda_{m} \neq 0$, and hence our claim that $\delta_{0} \neq 0$. We have shown that $F\left(\left(X+\rho Y+\rho^{2} Z\right)\left(1+g_{0}+g_{0}^{2}\right)\right)=\Delta\left(\delta_{0}, 0,0\right)$, with $\delta_{0} \neq 0$. Similarly, we can show the existence of $X_{l}, Y_{l}, Z_{l} \in \mathbf{Z}[\sigma]$ such that $\left(X_{l}+\rho Y_{l}\right.$ $\left.+\rho^{2} Z_{l}\right)\left(1+g_{l}+g_{l}^{2}\right)$ are mapped onto

$$
\Delta\left(0, \delta_{1}, 0\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \Delta\left(0,0, \delta_{2}\right) \quad \text { for } l=1,2 \text { respectively. }
$$

In particular, since $L \rightarrow \operatorname{End}^{0}\left(A_{0}^{3}\right)=M_{3}(L)$ (in which $\zeta^{1+r+r^{2}}$ is mapped to $\left.\left(\sigma+\sigma^{r}+\sigma^{r^{2}}\right)^{3}\right)$ is the diagonal embedding by the theory of complex multiplication, we conclude that

$$
\Delta_{3}(L) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}(F) \subseteq M_{3}(L)
$$

We observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma^{*}\left(\sigma\left(1+\rho+\rho^{2}\right) \sigma^{-1}\right)^{*} w_{a, b}=\left(1+\rho+\rho^{2}\right)^{*} \sigma^{*} w_{a, b}, \quad \text { and } \\
& \sigma^{*}\left(\sigma^{2}\left(1+\rho+\rho^{2}\right) \sigma^{-2}\right)^{*} w_{a, b}=\left(\sigma\left(1+\rho+\rho^{2}\right) \sigma^{-1}\right)^{*} \sigma^{*} w_{a, b}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the matrix for $\sigma$ in $M_{3}\left(\mathcal{O}_{L}\right)$ is of the form: $\left(\begin{array}{lll}a & b & c \\ d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e & 0\end{array}\right)$, for some $a$, $b, c, d$ and $e$ in $\mathcal{O}_{L}$ with $c d e \in\left(\mathcal{O}_{L}\right)^{*}$ (this follows from $\operatorname{det}(\sigma)^{m}=1$ ). Therefore the image of $F$ contains the following matrices:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & b & c \\
d & 0 & 0 \\
0 & e & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
b d & c e & 0 \\
0 & b d & c d \\
d e & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & b & c \\
d & 0 & 0 \\
0 & e & 0
\end{array}\right)^{2}, \quad\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & c e & 0 \\
0 & 0 & c d \\
d e & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

This completes the proof that $F$ is surjective.

## § 3. Homology groups

Let $I:[0,1] \rightarrow F_{m}(\mathbf{C})$ denote the one-simplex

$$
I(t)=\left(t^{1 / m},(1-t)^{1 / n}, \alpha\right), \quad t \in[0,1]
$$

where $\alpha=-1$ if $m$ is odd and a primitive $2 m$-th root of unity if $m$ is even. Let $g$ be the one-cycle:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
g=(\sigma \tau)^{(m-1) / 2}(1-\sigma)(1-\tau) I & \text { if } m \text { is odd, and } \\
g=\left(1-\sigma^{-1}\right)\left(1-\tau^{-1}\right) I & \text { if } m \text { is even. }
\end{array}
$$

The homology group $H_{1}\left(F_{m}(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Z}\right)$ is generated by $g$ [11]. Moreover by the period calculations in [11], we have that $\rho(g)=g$ and $\iota(g)=-g$ [9].

Proposition 3.1. $\quad H_{1}\left(F_{m}(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Z}\right)$ is a cyclic $\mathbf{Z}\left[G_{m}\right]$-module, with $g$ as a generator such that $\rho(g)=g$ and $\iota(g)=-g$ in homology.

For the rest of this paper, let $p$ be a fixed prime congruent to 1 $(\bmod 6)$, let $r$ be a fixed cube root of unity modulo $p, K=\mathbf{Q}\left(\mu_{p}\right), \zeta$ be a fixed $p$-th root of unity, and $A$ be the Jacobian variety of the curve $F_{A}$ :

$$
y^{p}=x(1-x)^{r} .
$$

$A$ has CM by $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ : we fix the embedding

$$
\mathcal{O}_{K} \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}_{K}(A), \quad \zeta \longrightarrow \sigma=(\zeta, 1,1) .
$$

Let $\varphi_{A}: F_{p} \rightarrow F_{A}$ denote the canonical projection, and let $I_{A}$ be the one simplex $\varphi_{A} I$ on $F_{A}$. Fix a base point $e_{0}$ in $F_{p}(\mathbf{C})$, and let $x_{0}$ be its image in $F_{A}(\mathbf{C})$ under $\varphi_{A}$. The cyclic covering $\varphi_{A}$ gives rise to a monomorphism

$$
H=\pi_{1}\left(F_{p}(\mathbf{C}), e_{0}\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{1}\left(F_{A}(\mathbf{C}), x_{0}\right)=G
$$

of fundamental groups. $G / H$ is a cyclic group of order $p$ since $\varphi_{A}$ has degree $p$. So $H$ contains the commutator subgroup of $G$, and the homomorphism

$$
H_{1}\left(F_{p}\right)=H_{1}\left(F_{p}(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Z}\right) \longrightarrow H_{1}\left(F_{A}(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Z}\right)=H_{1}\left(F_{A}\right)
$$

factors as follows:


Thus, the index of the image $T$ of $H_{1}\left(F_{p}\right)$ in $H_{1}\left(F_{A}\right)$ is $p . T$, by definition, is a cyclic $\mathrm{Z}[\sigma]$-module with $(\sigma-1)\left(\sigma^{r}-1\right) I_{A}$ as a generator by Proposition 3.1.

Let $\bar{T}$ be the $\mathbf{Z}[\sigma]$-submodule of $H_{1}\left(F_{A}\right)$ generated by $\alpha=(\sigma-1) I_{A}$. Then $T \subseteq \bar{T} \subseteq H_{1}\left(F_{A}\right)$. We claim that $T \neq \bar{T}$, from which it follows that $H_{1}\left(F_{A}\right)=\bar{T}$.

Identifying

$$
\mathbf{Q}[\sigma] /\left(f_{p}(\sigma)\right) \xrightarrow{\approx} K, \quad \sigma \longrightarrow \zeta,
$$

$H_{1}\left(F_{A}\right) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ is a vector space over $K$. Hence the annihilator of $H_{1}\left(F_{A}\right) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ as a $\mathbf{Q}[\sigma]$-module is $\left(f_{p}(\sigma)\right)$, and the annihilator of $H_{1}\left(F_{A}\right)$, as a $\mathbf{Z}[\sigma]$ module is

$$
\left(f_{p}(\sigma)\right) \mathbf{Q}[\sigma] \cap \mathbf{Z}[\sigma]=\left(f_{p}(\sigma)\right) \mathbf{Z}[\sigma] .
$$

Since $H_{1}\left(F_{A}\right)$ is torsion-free over $\mathbf{Z}$, and $\left[H_{1}\left(F_{A}\right): \bar{T}\right]<\infty, \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbf{z}[\sigma]}(\bar{T})=$ $\left(f_{p}(\sigma)\right) \mathbf{Z}[\sigma]$.

Suppose, on the contrary, that $T=\bar{T}$. Then $\alpha=a(\sigma)(\sigma-1) \alpha$ for some $a(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$. Therefore, $(a(\sigma)(\sigma-1)-1) \alpha=0$ implies $a(x)(x-1)-1$ $=b(x) f_{p}(x)$ for some $b(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$. Then $-1=b(1) p$ in $\mathbf{Z}$, a contradiction. Thus, $H_{1}\left(F_{A}\right)=\bar{T}$.

Let $\bar{I}=\rho I$ and $\bar{I}_{A}=\varphi_{A} \bar{I}$. From $\rho(g)=g$ in $H_{1}\left(F_{p}\right)$, we obtain

$$
(\sigma-1)\left(\sigma^{r}-1\right) I_{A}=\sigma^{1+r((p+1) / 2)}\left(\sigma^{r}-1\right)\left(\sigma^{p-r-1}-1\right) \bar{I}_{A}
$$

in $H_{1}\left(F_{A}\right)$.
Let $v \in H_{1}\left(F_{A}\right)$ be such that $\left(\sigma^{r}-1\right) v=0$. Passing to $\mathcal{O}_{K} \subseteq \operatorname{End}_{K}(A)$, we have $\left(\zeta^{r}-1\right) v=0$. Then $p v= \pm N_{\mathbf{Q}}^{K}\left(\zeta^{r}-1\right) v=0$, and $v=0$. Thus, we have proved

Proposition 3.2. $\quad H_{1}\left(F_{A}\right)$ is a cyclic $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module with $g_{A}=(1-\sigma) I_{A}$ as a generator. Moreover,

$$
\rho\left(g_{A}\right)=\zeta^{r((p-1) / 2)}\left(\frac{\zeta^{r}-1}{\zeta^{r 2}-1}\right) g_{A} .
$$

## §4. Endomorphisms

In the present section, we prove the following theorem. Let $\pi=\zeta$ $-1 \in \mathbf{Z}[\zeta] \subseteq \operatorname{End}(A)$ and $W=p^{-1}\left(1+r \rho+r^{2} \rho^{2}\right)(\sigma-1)^{p-3} \in \mathbf{Q}[\sigma, \rho]$.

Theorem 4.1. $\operatorname{End}(A)=\operatorname{Im}(\mathbf{Z}[\sigma, \rho, W])$ has group index $p^{3}$ over $\operatorname{Im}(\mathbf{Z}[\sigma, \rho])$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, $F: Q[\sigma, \rho] \rightarrow \operatorname{End}^{0}(A)$ is surjective, and by Proposition 3.2, $H_{1}\left(F_{A}\right)$ is a cyclic $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta]$-module with a generator $g_{A}$ such that $\rho\left(g_{A}\right)=\eta g_{A}, \rho^{2}\left(g_{A}\right)=\xi g_{A}$, where

$$
\eta=\zeta^{2((p-1) / 2)-1} \frac{\left(\zeta^{r}-1\right)}{\left(\zeta^{r^{2}}-1\right)} \quad \text { and } \quad \xi=\zeta^{r+(p+1) / 2} \frac{\left(\zeta^{r}-1\right)}{(\zeta-1)} .
$$

We will use the following to determine End ( $A$ ):

$$
\operatorname{End}(A)=\left\{\alpha \in \operatorname{End}^{0}(A) \mid \alpha\left(H_{1}\left(F_{A}\right)\right) \subseteq H_{1}\left(F_{A}\right)\right\}
$$

Let $X, Y, Z \in K$. Then $\alpha=X+Y \rho+Z \rho^{2} \in \operatorname{End}(A)$ if and only if $\alpha\left(\zeta^{a} \boldsymbol{g}_{A}\right)$ $\subseteq H_{1}\left(F_{A}\right)$ for all $a \in \mathbf{Z}$, or equivalently, for all $a \in \mathbf{Z}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X \zeta^{a}+Y \zeta^{a r} \eta+Z \zeta^{-a(r+1)} \xi \in \mathbf{Z}[\zeta] \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\tilde{X}=X, \tilde{Y}=Y_{\eta}$ and $\tilde{Z}=Z \xi$. Then (4.1) reads as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{X} \zeta^{a}+\tilde{Y} \zeta^{a r}+\tilde{Z} \zeta^{-a(r+1)} \in \mathbf{Z}[\zeta] \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using $\tilde{X}+\tilde{Y}+\tilde{Z} \in \mathbf{Z}[\zeta]$ and (4.2) to eliminate $\tilde{X}$, we obtain for all $a \in$ ( $\mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z})^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Y}\left(\zeta^{a r}-\zeta^{a}\right)+\tilde{Z}\left(\zeta^{-a(r+1)}-\zeta^{a}\right) \in \mathbf{Z}[\zeta] \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For such $a, \zeta^{a r}-\zeta^{a}$ and $\zeta^{-a(r+1)}-\zeta^{a}$ are elements of the ideal ( $\pi$ ) of $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta]$.
Let $D_{a, b}$ be the determinant of the following matrix:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\zeta^{a r}-\zeta^{a} & \zeta^{-a(r+1)}-\zeta^{a} \\
\zeta^{b r}-\zeta^{b} & \zeta^{-b(r+1)}-\zeta^{b}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then

$$
D_{a, b}=\left\{\zeta^{a r-b(r+1)}+\zeta^{b r+a}+\zeta^{b-a(r+1)}\right\}-\left\{\zeta^{a r+b}+\zeta^{a-b(r+1)}+\zeta^{b r-a(r+1)}\right\}
$$

and (4.3) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{a, b} \tilde{Y}, \quad D_{a, b} \tilde{Z} \in(\pi) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a, b \in(\mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z})^{*}$.
If we set $(a, b)=(r+1,1)$ and $(a, b)=(1,-r)$ in (4.4), we obtain, after simplification,

$$
\left(\zeta^{3 r+3}+\zeta^{3}+1-3 \zeta^{r+2}\right) \tilde{Z} \in(\pi) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\zeta^{3 r+3}+\zeta^{3 r}+1-3 \zeta^{2 r+1}\right) \tilde{Z} \in(\pi)
$$

respectively. By subtracting one from the other, we obtain

$$
\zeta^{3}\left(\zeta^{r-1}-1\right)^{2} \tilde{Z} \in(\pi)
$$

Since $(p, r-1)=1, \pi^{2} \tilde{Z} \in \mathbf{Z}[\zeta]$. By symmetry, $\pi^{2} \tilde{Y} \in \mathbf{Z}[\zeta]$.
We write $Y_{0}=\tilde{Y} \pi^{2}$ and $Z_{0}=\tilde{Z} \pi^{2}$. Then $Y_{0}, Z_{0} \in \mathbf{Z}[\zeta]$, and (4.3) can be rewritten as

$$
Y_{0} \frac{\left(\zeta^{r}-\zeta\right)^{n}}{(\zeta-1)^{2}}+Z_{0} \frac{\left(\zeta^{-(r+1)}-\zeta\right)^{n}}{(\zeta-1)^{2}} \in \mathbf{Z}[\zeta]
$$

where $h$ ranges over $H=\operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbf{Q})$, or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{0}+\varepsilon_{h} \cdot Z_{0} \in(\pi) \quad \text { for all } h \in H \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\varepsilon_{h}=\frac{\left(\zeta^{r^{2}}-\zeta\right)^{h}}{\left(\zeta^{r}-\zeta\right)^{h}}=\left(\sum_{j=0}^{r} \zeta^{j(r-1)}\right)^{h} \in(\mathbf{Z}[\zeta])^{*}
$$

Clearly, (4.5) may be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{0} \equiv r^{2} Z_{0}(\bmod \pi) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have proved that $\alpha=X+Y \rho+Z \rho^{2}$ is in End $(A)$ if and only if (*) $X+n Y+\xi Z \in \mathbf{Z}[\zeta]$, and
(**) $\quad Y_{0} \equiv r^{2} Z_{0}(\bmod \pi)$, where $Y_{0}=\pi^{2} \eta Y$ and $Z_{0}=\pi^{2} \xi Z$.
We write

$$
Y_{0} \equiv a_{0}+a_{1} \pi\left(\bmod \pi^{2}\right), \quad Z_{0} \equiv b_{0}+b_{1} \pi\left(\bmod \pi^{2}\right)
$$

where $a_{0}, a_{1}, b_{0}, b_{1} \in \mathbf{Z}$. By $(* *), a_{0} \equiv r^{2} b_{0}(\bmod p)$. Thus, we find that $\alpha$ is congruent to

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{0} \frac{1}{\pi^{2}}\left\{-\left(r^{2}+1\right)+r^{2} \eta^{-1} \rho+\xi^{-1} \rho^{2}\right\}+ & a_{0} \tag{4.7}
\end{align*} \frac{1}{\pi}\left(-1+\eta^{-1} \rho\right) .
$$

modulo $\operatorname{Im}(\mathbf{Z}[\sigma, \rho])$.
By inspection,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{0}=\frac{1}{\pi^{2}}\left\{-\left(r^{2}+1\right)+r^{2} \eta^{-1} \rho+\xi^{-1} \rho^{2}\right\}, \\
& v_{1}=\frac{1}{\pi}\left(-1+\eta^{-1} \rho\right), \quad v_{2}=\frac{1}{\pi}\left(-1+\xi^{-1} \rho^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

satisfy $(*)$ and $(* *)$. Hence, they are in End $(A)$, and we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{End}(A)=\operatorname{Im}(\mathbf{Z}[\sigma, \rho])+Z v_{0}+Z v_{1}+Z v_{2} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.8), the quotient group

$$
Q=\operatorname{End}(A) / \Lambda \quad \text { where } \Lambda=\operatorname{Im}(\mathbf{Z}[\sigma, \rho])
$$

is an elementary $p$-abelian group. So $Q$ is an $\mathbf{F}_{p}$-vector space, and $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{F}_{p}}(Q) \leq 3$.

The theorem follows from the next few lemmas.
Lemma 4.2. Let

$$
w=\left(1+r \rho+r^{2} \rho^{2}\right) \frac{1}{\pi^{2}}=\frac{1}{\pi^{2}}+\frac{r}{\left(\zeta^{r}-1\right)^{2}} \rho+\frac{r^{2}}{\left(\zeta^{r^{2}}-1\right)^{2}} \rho^{2} \in \operatorname{End}^{0}(A)
$$

Then $w \in \operatorname{End}(A)$.
Proof. We verify ( $* *$ ) for $w$. We have $Y_{0}=\left(r \pi^{2} \eta\right) /\left(\zeta^{r}-1\right)^{2}$ and $Z_{0}=$ $\left(r^{2} \pi^{2} \xi\right) /\left(\zeta^{2}-1\right)^{2}$ in the notation of the proof of Theorem 4.1. Since

$$
Y_{0} \equiv r \zeta^{r(p-1) / 2-1} \frac{(\zeta-1)}{\left(\zeta^{r}-1\right)} \frac{(\zeta-1)}{\left(\zeta^{r^{2}}-1\right)} \equiv r(\bmod \pi)
$$

and

$$
Z_{0} \equiv r^{2 \zeta^{r 2+(p+1) / 2}} \frac{(\zeta-1)}{\left(\zeta^{r^{2}}-1\right)} \frac{\left(\zeta^{r}-1\right)}{\left(\zeta^{r^{2}}-1\right)} \equiv r^{2}(\bmod \pi)
$$

we have $Y_{0} \equiv r^{2} Z_{0}(\bmod \pi)$. Likewise, (*) can be verified for $w$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 4.3. Let $\Sigma=\operatorname{Im}(\mathbf{Z}[\sigma, \rho, W])$. Then $\Sigma \subseteq \operatorname{End}(A)$, and the following are elements of $\Sigma$ :

$$
w, w_{0}=\{1+(r+1) \rho\} \frac{1}{\pi}, \quad w_{1}=\left(r \rho-\rho^{2}\right) \frac{1}{\pi}
$$

Proof. Let $u \in(\mathbf{Z}[\zeta])^{*}$ be the endomorphism of $A$ such that $p=u \pi^{p-1}$. As an element of $\operatorname{End}^{0}(A), W=w u^{-1}$. Hence the image of $w$ is in $\Sigma$, and $\Sigma \subseteq \operatorname{End}(A)$.

From $w \sigma=\left(\sigma+r \sigma^{r} \rho+r^{2} \sigma^{r^{2}} \rho^{2}\right) 1 / \pi^{2}$ and $\sigma w=\left(\sigma+r \sigma \rho+r^{2} \sigma \rho^{2}\right) 1 / \pi^{2}$, we have

$$
\sigma w-w \sigma \equiv(r-1) \rho\{1+(r+1) \rho\} \frac{1}{\pi}(\bmod \Lambda)
$$

Since $p$ does not divide $r-1$ and $\rho \in \operatorname{Aut}(A)$, there is a $\lambda \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that

$$
\{1+(r+1) \rho\} \frac{1}{\pi} \equiv \lambda \rho^{2}(\sigma w-w \sigma)(\bmod \Lambda)
$$

Hence, $w_{0} \in \Sigma$. Since $w_{1} \equiv r \rho w_{0}(\bmod \Lambda)$, we have $w_{1} \in \Sigma$ also.
Lemma 4.4. The mapping $f:(\mathbf{Z}[\zeta])^{3} \rightarrow \Lambda,(X, Y, Z) \rightarrow X+\rho Y+\rho^{2} Z$ is a right $\mathrm{Z}[\zeta]$-module isomorphism.

Proof. By definition, $f$ is surjective. By Proposition 2.1, $f \otimes 1: K^{3}=$ $\left(\mathbf{Q}\left(\mu_{p}\right)\right)^{3} \rightarrow \Lambda \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ is an isomorphism. Hence $f$ is injective.

Lemma 4.5. Let $V$ be the subspace of $Q$ spanned by $w, w_{0}$ and $w_{1}$. Then $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{F}_{p}}(V)=3$.

Proof. Let $\lambda, \lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1} \in \mathbf{Z}$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda w+\lambda_{0} w_{0}+\lambda_{1} w_{1} \in \Lambda \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying by $\pi$ on the right, $\lambda\left(1+r \rho+r^{2} \rho^{2}\right) \in \pi \Lambda$. Using Lemma 4.4, $\lambda / \pi \in \mathbf{Z}[\zeta]$. Hence $\lambda \in(\pi) \cap \mathbf{Z}=p \mathbf{Z}$. Since $p / \pi^{2} \in \mathbf{Z}[\zeta]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{0} w_{0}+\lambda_{1} w_{1} \in \Lambda \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Another application of Lemma 4.4 to (4.10) gives $\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1} \in p \mathbf{Z}$. Therefore $\left\{w, w_{0}, w_{1}\right\}$ is an $\mathbf{F}_{p}$-basis for $V$.

Combining Lemmas 4.3 and 4.5,

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{F_{p}}(\Sigma / \Lambda) \geq 3
$$

Since $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{F}_{p}}(Q) \leq 3$, we have the desired equality: $\operatorname{End}(A)=\Sigma$, and $\operatorname{End}(A)$ has group index $p^{3}$ over 1 . This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Corollary 4.6. A free Z-basis for $\operatorname{End}(A)$ is given by:

$$
\left\{\rho^{i} \pi^{k} \mid 0 \leq j \leq 2,0 \leq k \leq p-4\right\} \cup\left\{\rho \pi^{p-3}, \rho^{2} \pi^{p-3}, \rho \pi^{p-2}\right\} \cup\left\{w, w_{0}, w_{1}\right\} .
$$

Proof. Let $M$ be the Z-submodule of $\operatorname{End}(A)$ spanned by the above elements. Inspection shows that $\Lambda \subseteq M$. By Lemma 4.5, the corollary follows.

Remarks. Let $k$ be a proper subfield of $K$, and let $h$ be a generator of $\operatorname{Gal}(K / k) \subseteq(\mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z})^{*}$. Then the subring of endomorphisms of $A$ defined over $k$ is

$$
\operatorname{End}(A)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathbf{Z}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{t-1} \sigma^{a \hbar j}, \rho \mid a \in \mathbf{Z}\right]\right)
$$

where $t$ is the order of $h . \quad \operatorname{End}_{k}(A)$ is commutative if and only if $k$ is $\mathbf{Q}$ or $L=K^{\langle r\rangle}$. In the latter cases, $\operatorname{End}_{k}(A)$ are contained in $\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}[(1+\sqrt{-3}) / 2]$ and $\mathcal{O}_{K} \times \mathcal{O}_{K(\sqrt{-3})}$ respectively.

## § 5. Action of rho on some division points

Let $P_{1}, P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ be any 3 points on $F_{p}$ where $X=0, Y=0$ and $Z=0$ respectively. Recall that $\varphi_{A}: F_{p} \rightarrow F_{A}$ is the canonical projection. Set

$$
\infty_{2}=\varphi_{A}\left(P_{1}\right), \quad \infty_{3}=\varphi_{A}\left(P_{2}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad \infty_{1}=\varphi_{A}\left(P_{3}\right) .
$$

Then the group of $A[\pi]$ of $\pi$-division points on $A$ has order $p$, and con-
tains all the divisor classes of degree zero supported on the set of cusps $\left\{\infty_{1}, \infty_{2}, \infty_{3}\right\}$ of $F_{A}$.

For each integer $a \geq 1$,

$$
\pi^{a} \rho=\rho\left(\zeta^{r^{2}}-1\right)^{a}=\rho \frac{\zeta^{r^{2}}-1}{\zeta-1} \pi^{a}
$$

in End $(A)$, so that $\rho$ induces an automorphism of $A\left[\pi^{a}\right]$ by restriction.
Lemma 5.1. $\rho$ acts on $A[\pi]$ as multiplication by $r$.
Proof. Recall that the equation of $F_{A}$ is $v^{p}=u(1-u)^{r}$. The divisor of the rational function $v$ on $F_{A}$ is $\infty_{2}-(r+1) \infty_{1}+r \infty_{3}$. Hence, on $A$, $\infty_{2}-(r+1) \infty_{1}+r \infty_{3}=0=\infty_{1}-(r+1) \infty_{3}+r \infty_{2}$ (the latter equality is obtained by applying $\rho$ to the former). In particular,

$$
\rho\left(\infty_{1}-\infty_{2}\right)=\infty_{2}-\infty_{3}=(r+1)\left(\infty_{1}-\infty_{3}\right)=r\left(\infty_{1}-\infty_{2}\right) .
$$

Lemma 5.2. There is an element $Q \in A\left[\pi^{2}\right]-A[\pi]$ such that $\rho(Q)=Q$.
Proof. Let us fix a $Q$ in $A\left[\pi^{2}\right]-A[\pi]$. Then $A\left[\pi^{2}\right]=\{(a+b \pi) Q \mid a, b$ $\left.\epsilon \mathbf{F}_{p}\right\}$ is a vector space of dimension 2 over $\mathbf{F}_{p}$. Let $f(x)$ be the minimal polynomial of $\rho$ restricted to $A\left[\pi^{2}\right]$. Since $\rho$ has order 3 , we have $f(x) \mid(x-1)(x-r)\left(x-r^{2}\right)$ in $\mathbf{F}_{p}[x]$. Since $\rho$ can have at most two distinct eigenvalues, and $f(x)$ splits completely, we have $f(x)=x-\lambda_{1}$ or $f(x)=$ $\left(x-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(x-\lambda_{2}\right)$, where $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in\left\{1, r, r^{2}\right\}$ and $\lambda_{1} \neq \lambda_{2}$.

Suppose that $f(x)=x-\lambda_{1}$. Then $\lambda_{1}(\pi Q)=\rho(\pi Q)=\left(\zeta^{r}-1\right) \pi Q=$ $\lambda_{1}\left\{\left(\zeta^{r}-1\right) / \pi\right\} \pi Q=\lambda_{1}\{r+(r(r-1) / 2) \pi+\cdots\} \pi Q=\lambda_{1} r(\pi Q)$, whence $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{1} r$ and $\lambda_{1}=0$, a contradiction. Hence, $f(x)=\left(x-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(x-\lambda_{2}\right)$, and there is an $\mathbf{F}_{p}$-basis $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ of $A\left[\pi^{2}\right]$ such that the matrix of $\rho$ with respect to $\left\{Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right\}$ is $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\lambda_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{2}\end{array}\right)$. Since at least one of $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ is not in $A[\pi]$, we have found a $Q$ in $A\left[\pi^{2}\right]-A[\pi]$ and a $\lambda \in\left\{1, r, r^{2}\right\}$ such that $\rho(Q)=\lambda Q$. By Lemma 5.1, $r(\pi Q)=\rho(\pi Q)=\lambda r(\pi Q)$, and $\lambda=1$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Remarks. (1) In the same way as above, we can show that there is a $Q \in A\left[\pi^{3}\right]-A\left[\pi^{2}\right]$ such that $\rho(Q)=r^{2} Q$. We also remark that the annihilator, in $\operatorname{End}(A)$, of $A[\pi]$ is

$$
\mathbf{Z}[\zeta] \pi+\mathbf{Z}[\zeta](\rho-r)+\mathbf{Z}[\zeta]\left(\rho^{2}-r^{2}\right)+\mathbf{Z}\left(1+r \rho-(r+1) \rho^{2}\right) \frac{1}{\pi} .
$$

(2) If - denotes complex conjugation, then for $Q \in A\left[\pi^{2}\right]-A[\pi], \bar{Q}=$ $-Q \Leftrightarrow \rho(Q)=Q$.

## §6. The kernel of an isogeny

Let $X_{j}=F_{A} \mid\left\langle\sigma^{j} \rho \sigma^{j}\right\rangle,(j=0,1,2)$, and we denote the canonical projection $F_{A} \rightarrow X_{j}$ by $\varphi_{j}$. Let $\varphi$ be the isogeny

$$
\varphi=\prod_{j=0}^{2}\left(\varphi_{j}\right)_{*}: A \longrightarrow \prod_{j=0}^{2} \operatorname{Jac}\left(X_{j}\right)
$$

Lemma 6.1. $\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi) \subseteq A\left[\pi^{2}\right]$.
Proof. The composition $A \xrightarrow{\left(\varphi_{j}\right)_{*}^{*}} \operatorname{Jac}\left(X_{j}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(\varphi_{j}\right)^{*}} A$ is $\zeta^{j}\left(1+\rho+\rho^{2}\right) \zeta^{-j} \epsilon$ End $(A)$, so that $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\varphi_{j}\right)_{*} \subseteq A\left[\zeta^{\jmath}\left(1+\rho+\rho^{2}\right) \zeta^{-j}\right]$. Let $N$ be $\bigcap_{j=0}^{2} A\left[\zeta^{\jmath}(1+\right.$ $\left.\left.\rho+\rho^{2}\right) \zeta^{-j}\right]$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)_{*} \cap \operatorname{Ker}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)_{*} \cap \operatorname{Ker}\left(\varphi_{2}\right)_{*} \subseteq N
$$

We claim that $N \subseteq A\left[\pi^{2}\right]$. Let $D \in N$. Then we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(1+\rho+\rho^{2}\right) D=0  \tag{6.1}\\
\left(1+\zeta^{1-r} \rho+\zeta^{1-r^{2}} \rho^{2}\right) D=0 \tag{6.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+\zeta^{2-2 r} \rho+\zeta^{2-2 r^{2}} \rho^{2}\right) D=0 \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

using the relations $\rho \sigma \rho^{-1}=\sigma^{r}$ and $\rho^{-1} \sigma \rho=\sigma^{r 2}$ in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{A}\right)$. From (6.1) and (6.2), we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left(\zeta^{1-r^{2}}-1\right)+\left(\zeta^{1-r^{2}}-\zeta^{1-r}\right) \rho\right\} D=0 . \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.2) and (6.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left(\zeta^{1-r^{2}}-1\right)+\left(\zeta^{2-r-r^{2}}-\zeta^{2-2 r}\right) \rho\right\} D=0 \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.4) and (6.5),

$$
\zeta^{r}\left(1-\zeta^{1-r}\right)\left(1-\zeta^{2 r+1}\right) \rho D=\left\{\left(\zeta^{1-r^{2}}-\zeta^{1-r}\right)-\left(\zeta^{2-r-r^{2}}-\zeta^{2-2 r}\right)\right\} \rho D=0
$$

Hence, $\pi^{2}(\rho D)=0$ and $\rho\left(\left(\zeta^{r^{2}}-1\right) /(\zeta-1)\right)^{2} \pi^{2} D=0$. Since $\rho$ and $\left(\zeta^{r^{2}}-1\right) /(\zeta-1)$ are in $\operatorname{Aut}(A)$, we have $\pi^{2}(D)=0$.

Theorem 6.2. Let $N=\bigcap_{j=0}^{2} A\left[\zeta^{j}\left(1+\rho+\rho^{2}\right) \zeta^{-j}\right]$. Then we have $\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi)=N=A[\pi]$.

Proof. Under the canonical projection $\varphi_{0}: F_{A} \rightarrow X_{0}=F_{A} \mid\langle\rho\rangle, \infty_{1}$ and $\infty_{2}$ are mapped onto the same point. Thus, $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)_{*}$ contains $A[\pi]$. Likewise, $A[\pi]$ is contained in $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\varphi_{j}\right)_{*}$. Thus

$$
A[\pi] \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\varphi) \subseteq N \subseteq A\left[\pi^{2}\right]
$$

Let $D \in N$. Applying the endomorphism $w=\left(1+r \rho+r^{2} \rho^{2}\right) 1 / \pi^{2}$ to $\pi^{2} D=0$, we get

$$
\left(1+r \rho+r^{2} \rho^{2}\right) D=0
$$

Since $\left(1+\rho+\rho^{2}\right) D=0$ also, we obtain $\left\{(r-1) \rho+\left(r^{2}-1\right) \rho^{2}\right\} D=0$ or $(r-1) \rho\{1+(r+1) \rho\} D=0$. Since $D$ is a $p$-division point, $(p, r-1)=1$ and $\rho \in \operatorname{Aut}(A)$, it follows that $\{1+(r+1) \rho\} D=0$ or $(r-\rho) D=r\{1+$ $(r+1) \rho\} D=0$. Hence,

$$
A[\pi] \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\varphi) \subseteq N \subseteq A\left[\pi^{2}\right] \cap A[\rho-r]
$$

By Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2 , there is a $Q \in A\left[\pi^{2}\right]-A[\pi]$ such that $\rho(Q)=Q$ and $\rho(\pi Q)=r(\pi Q)$. Let $D=(a+b \pi) Q \in A[\rho-r]$, with $a, b \in \mathbf{F}_{p}$. Then $(a+b \pi) Q=(a r+b r \pi) Q$, whence $a=a r$ and $a=0$. Thus $D \in A[\pi]$ and $A\left[\pi^{2}\right] \cap A[\rho-r]=A[\pi] . \quad$ Hence, $\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi)=N=A[\pi]$.

Corollary 6.3. The isogeny $\varphi: A \rightarrow \prod_{j=0}^{2} \mathrm{Jac}\left(X_{j}\right)$ factors as

where $f: A \rightarrow \prod_{j=0}^{2} \operatorname{Jax}\left(X_{j}\right)$ is an isomorphism of abelian varieties defined over $K$.

Proof. We define an isomorphism $f: A \rightarrow \prod_{j=0}^{2} \mathrm{Jac}\left(X_{j}\right)$ of abelian varieties as follows. Given $D \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(F_{A}\right)$, let $E$ be such that $\pi E=D . E$ exists since $\pi$ is an isogeny. Then we define $f(D)=\varphi(E) . f$ is well-defined and injective by definition. In particular, $f$ is a birational isomorphism of abelian varieties and hence an isomorphism of abelian varieties.

Let $C$ be the Klein quartic curve over $\mathbf{C}$ with projective equation

$$
X^{3} Y+Y^{3} Z+Z^{3} X=0
$$

$C$ has genus 3, $\operatorname{Aut}(C) \approx \operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \mathbf{F}_{7}\right)$, and the morphism

$$
F_{1,2,4}^{7} \longrightarrow C, \quad(x, y) \longrightarrow\left((x-1) / y^{2}, \quad-(x-1) / y^{3}\right)
$$

is a birational isomorphism. Let Jac ( $C$ ) be the Jacobian of $C$. We will denote by $\sigma$ and $\rho$ the following automorphisms of $C$ :

$$
\sigma:(x, y) \longrightarrow\left(\zeta^{4} x, \zeta^{5} y\right), \quad \rho:(x, y) \longrightarrow(1 / y, x / y),
$$

where $\zeta$ is a primitive 7 -th root of unity. Then by Proposition 2.1, we have the erimorphism

$$
\mathbf{Q}[\sigma, \rho] \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}^{\circ}(\operatorname{Jac}(C)) .
$$

By Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 6.3, we have
Corollary 6.4. Let $W=7^{-1}\left(1+r \rho+r^{2} \rho^{2}\right)(\sigma-1)^{4} \in \mathbf{Q}[\sigma, \rho]$, with $r=2$. Then $\operatorname{End}(\operatorname{Jac}(C))=\operatorname{Im}(\mathbf{Z}[\sigma, \rho, W])$ and $\operatorname{Jac}(C)$ is isomorphic to a cube of an elliptic curve $E$.

Remarks. (1) From the Weierstrass equation for $E$ computed in [10], we see that $E$ is $J_{0}(49)$.
(2) As an application of Theorem 4.1, we give a second proof of the following result due to Prapavessi [10]: Let $\infty_{1}=(1,0,0), \mu_{j}=\zeta^{j}+\zeta^{-j}$ $(j \geq 0)$ and let $P=\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{3}^{-1}, 1\right)$. Then $D=P+\rho P-2 \infty_{1}$ generates the kernel of $\pi^{3}$ over $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta]$. Prapavessi showed ([10], Lemma 2.1) that $\pi^{3}(D)=0$. It remains to show that $\pi^{2}(D) \neq 0$. Let $\infty_{2}=(0,1,0)$ and $\infty_{3}=(0,0,1)$. Suppose, on the contrary, that $\pi^{2}(D)=0$. Applying the endomorphism $\left(1-r^{2} \rho\right) 1 / \pi$ of $\operatorname{Jac}(C)$ we obtain $\left(1-r^{2} \rho\right) \pi D=0$, or

$$
\pi D=r^{2}\left\{\frac{\zeta^{r}-1}{\pi}\right\} \pi \rho D=r^{2}\left\{r+\frac{r(r-1)}{2} \pi+\cdots\right\} \pi \rho D=\pi \rho D
$$

Since the group of $\pi$-division points on $\mathrm{Jac}(C)$ is generated by $\infty_{i}-\infty_{j}$ $(i \neq j), \pi\left(P-\rho^{2} P\right)=0$ follows from $\pi(D-\rho D)=0$. Hence there is a non-constant rational function $g$ on $C$ whose divisor is $\pi\left(P-\rho^{2} P\right)$. In particular, $g: C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ is a double covering, and $C$ is a hyperelliptic curve, which is a contradiction. This completes the proof that $\pi^{2}(D) \neq 0$.
(3) Our knowledge of the endomorphism ring of $A$ allows us to deduce a result of Greenberg [5] for $A=J_{1, r,-(1+r)}^{p}$. We have noted that $w=\left(1+r \rho+r^{2} \rho^{2}\right) 1 / \pi^{2}$ is an endomorphism of $A$ which is defined over $K$. Thus if $D \in A(K)$, then it follows that $w(D) \in A(K)$. Let $Q \in A\left[\pi^{3}\right]-A\left[\pi^{2}\right]$ be such that $\rho(Q)=r^{2} Q$. Setting $P=\pi^{2} Q$, we have $w(P)=\left(1+r_{\rho}+\right.$ $\left.r^{2} \rho^{2}\right)(Q)=3 Q$ is an element of $A(K)$. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{Z}$ be such that $3 \mu+p \lambda$
$=1$. Then $Q=3 \mu Q \in A(K)$. Since $A\left[\pi^{3}\right]$ is a cyclic $Z[\zeta]$-module with $Q$ as a generator, it follows that $A\left[\pi^{3}\right] \subseteq A(K)$. We also remark that the p-part of $A(K)$ is of the form $A\left[\pi^{3 l}\right]$ for some $l \geq 1$.
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