## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

## General Néron desingularization and approximation

## DORIN POPESCU

This letter concerns our papers [4], [5] and its aim is to give a simplification to the proof of the General Néron Desingularization (see [5] (2.4) or here below) together with a small reparation; as T. Ogoma pointed out in [3], our Lemma (9.5) from [4] does not hold in the condition $\mathrm{iii}_{2}$ ) (this is true because the "changing" from line 5 from down the page 123 [4] may not preserve iii $)$ ). However our results were not affected in characteristic zero (they use just $\mathrm{iii}_{1}$ ) from [4] (9.5)). In [3] Ogoma gives a nice simplification of our proof. Though completely based on our papers his simplification contains two new ideas:

1) a procedure to pass from a system of elements which is regular in a localization to a "good enough" system (see [3] (4.3), (4.5) or here Lemma 6 and Corollary 7).
2) the so called "residual smoothing".

First idea is very important and should be part of all possible simplifications. The second idea is a difficult notion which hides a lot of details. Our simplification does not use such hard notions or hard results from characteristic $p>0$ as the Nica-Popescu Theorem [2] (1.1) but certainly it is inspired by [3], [4] and [5]. Moreover we believe that our simplification preserves better the flavour of the old Néron desingularization (compare our Step 4 and [4] Section 6).

Let $u: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ be a morphism of Noetherian rings, $B$ a finite type $A$-algebra and $f: B \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ an $A$-morphism. A desingularization of $(B, f)$ with respect to $u$ is a standard smooth $A$-algebra $B^{\prime}$ together with two $A$-morphisms $g: B \rightarrow B^{\prime}, h: B^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ such that $f=h g$.

General Néron desingularization ([5] (2.4)). If $u$ is regular then ( $B, f$ ) has a desingularization with respect to $u$.

[^0]Revised February 10, 1989.

The proof follows by Noetherian induction on $\sqrt{f\left(H_{B / A}\right) A^{\prime}}$ from the following Theorem (see e.g. [4] (5.2)), where $H_{B / A}$ is the ideal defining the nonsmooth locus of $B$ over $A$.

Theorem 1. Suppose that $A_{u-1 q} \rightarrow A_{q}^{\prime}$ is formally smooth for a minimal prime over-ideal $q$ of $\mathfrak{a}:=\sqrt{f\left(H_{B / A}\right) A^{\prime}}$ such that $u^{-1} q$ is a minimal prime over-ideal of $u^{-1} \mathfrak{a}$. Then there exist a finite type $A$-algebra $B^{\prime}$ and two A-morphisms $g: B \rightarrow B^{\prime}, h: B^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ such that $h g=f$ and

$$
f\left(H_{B / A}\right) A^{\prime} \subset \sqrt{h\left(H_{B^{\prime} / A}\right) A^{\prime}} \not \subset q .
$$

Remark. i) The condition " $u^{-1} q$ is a minimal prime over-ideal of $u^{-1} \mathfrak{a}$ " does not appear in [4], [5]. There we have another more complicated condition concerning the flatness of $u$. However for our Noetherian induction (see above) does not matter the order in which we choose for desingularization the minimal prime over-ideals of $\mathfrak{a}$ (if somebody insist to prove Theorem 1 without the above condition then Step 1 will be much more complicated; an idea is given at the end of Step 4 namely to pass from $d$ to $\delta d$ where $\delta \notin q$ belongs to all minimal prime over-ideals $\neq q$ of $\mathfrak{a})$.
ii) The Question [4] (1.3) seems to be older than we expect it (see e.g. [6]).

The proof of Theorem is based on [4] (9.1), (9.2) and some preliminaries which we present below.

Lemma 2. Let $q \subset A^{\prime}$, be a prime ideal and $j: A^{\prime} \rightarrow A_{q}^{\prime}$ the canonical map. If ( $B, j f$ ) has a desingularization with respect to $j u$ then there exist a finite type $B$-algebra $B^{\prime}$ and a $B$-morphism $h: B^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ such that $h\left(H_{B^{\prime} / A}\right)$ $\not \subset q$.

The Lemma is quite elementary. Given a desingularization $(C, \alpha, \beta)$ of ( $B, f$ ) with respect to $j u$ let us say $C \cong B[X] /(F), \beta: C \rightarrow A_{q}^{\prime}, X \rightarrow y / t$, $y, t \in A^{\prime}$ then we may take $B^{\prime}:=B[Y, T] /(G), h: B^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime},(Y, T) \rightarrow(y, t)$, where $G=T^{s} F(Y / T)$ for a certain high enough positive integer $s$.

Lemma 3. Let $q \subset A^{\prime}$ be a minimal prime ideal and $j: A^{\prime} \rightarrow A_{q}^{\prime}$ the canonical map. If $(B, j f)$ has a desingularization with respect to $j u$ then there exists a finite type B-algebra $B^{\prime}$ and a B-morphism $h: B^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ such that

$$
f\left(H_{B / A}\right) \subset \sqrt{h\left(H_{B^{\prime} / A}\right) A^{\prime}} \not \subset q .
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2 there exist a finite type $B$-algebra $C \cong B[X] /(F)$, $X=\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{r}\right), F=\left(F_{1}, \cdots, F_{m}\right)$ and a $B$-morphism $\alpha: C \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ such that $\alpha\left(H_{C / A}\right) \not \subset q$. We may suppose that $q \supset \mathfrak{a}:=\sqrt{f\left(H_{B / A}\right) A^{\prime}}$, otherwise $B^{\prime}:=B, h:=f$ work. If $a$ is a nil ideal then $B^{\prime}:=C, h:=\alpha$ work. Otherwise choose an element $z$ in $\bigcap_{\substack{p \not a m \\ p \in \operatorname{Min} A^{\prime}}} p$ which is not in $q$, Min $A^{\prime}$ being the set of minimal prime ideals of $A^{\prime}$. Then $z a$ is a nil ideal. Let $y=\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right)$ be a system of elements from $\mathfrak{a}$ such that $\mathfrak{a}=\sqrt{y A^{\prime}}$. We have $\left(z y_{i}\right)^{s}=0,1 \leq i \leq n$ for a certain positive integer. Changing $z, y$ by $z^{s}, y^{s}$ we may suppose $z y_{i}=0,1 \leq i \leq n$.

Let $B^{\prime}:=B[X, Y, Z, T] /\left(F-\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i} T_{i}, Z Y\right), \quad T_{i}=\left(T_{i 1}, \cdots, T_{i m}\right), \quad T=$ $\left(T_{i}\right)_{2}, Z Y=\left(Z Y_{1}, \cdots, Z Y_{n}\right), \cdots$ and $h: B^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ the $B$-morphism given by $X \rightarrow \alpha(X)_{\Delta}, Y \rightarrow y, Z \rightarrow z, T \rightarrow 0$. Note that $B_{Y_{i}}^{\prime} \cong B\left[X, Y,\left(T_{j}\right)_{j \neq i}, Y_{i}^{-1}\right]$ is smooth over $B$ and so $\mathfrak{a}=\sqrt{y A^{\prime}} \subset \sqrt{h\left(H_{B^{\prime}, B}\right) A^{\prime}}$. Thus $\mathfrak{a} \subset \sqrt{h\left(H_{B^{\prime} / A}\right) A^{\prime}}$ (see [4] (2.2)]. On the other hand $B_{Z}^{\prime} \cong B\left[X, Z^{ \pm 1}, T\right] /(F)=C\left[T, Z^{ \pm 1}\right]$ is smooth over $C$. Thus $B_{h-1 q}^{\prime}$ is smooth over $A$ and so $h\left(H_{B^{\prime} / A}\right) \not \subset q$.

Lemma 4 (see e.g. [2] (3.7)). Let $q \subset A^{\prime}$ be a prime ideal, $r=\mathrm{ht} q-$ ht $u^{-1}$ and $x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}\right)$ a system of elements from $q$. Suppose that the map $A_{u-1_{q}} \rightarrow A_{q}^{\prime}$ induced by $u$ is flat, $R:=A_{q}^{\prime} /\left(u^{-1} q\right) A_{q}^{\prime}$ is regular and $x$ induces a regular system of parameters in $R$. Then the $A$-morphism $v$ : $A[X] \rightarrow A^{\prime}, X=\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{r}\right) \rightarrow x$ induces a flat map $v_{q}: A[X]_{v-1_{q}} \rightarrow A_{q}^{\prime}$ and $\left(v^{-1} q\right) A_{q}^{\prime}=q A_{q}^{\prime}$.

For the proof note that $A / u^{-1} q \otimes_{A} v_{q}$ is flat (see e.g. [1] (36.B)) and so $v_{q}$ is also by [1] (20.G) applied to $A_{u-1 q} \rightarrow A[X]_{v-1 q} \rightarrow A_{q}^{\prime}$.

Lemma 5. Let $q \subset A^{\prime}$ be a prime ideal, $k \subset K$ the residue field extension of $u_{q}: A_{u-1_{q}} \rightarrow A_{q}^{\prime}, E / k$ a finite type field subextension of $K / k$ and $y=\left(y_{1}\right.$, $\left.\cdots, y_{s}\right)$ a system of elements from $A^{\prime}$ inducing a p-basis $\bar{y}$ of $E$ over $k$. Suppose that $u_{q}$ is formally smooth. Then the A-morphism $w: A[Y] \rightarrow A^{\prime}$, $Y=\left(Y_{1}, \cdots, Y_{s}\right) \rightarrow y$ induces a flat map $w_{q}: A[Y]_{w^{-1} q} \rightarrow A_{q}^{\prime}$ and the ring $A_{q}^{\prime} /\left(w^{-1} q\right) A_{q}^{\prime}$ is regular of dimension $r$-rank $\Gamma_{E / k}$, where $\Gamma_{E / k}$ is the imperfection module of $E$ over $k$ (see e.g. [1] (39.B)).

Proof. Applying [1] (20.G) to $A_{u-1 q} \rightarrow A[Y]_{w-1 q} \rightarrow A_{q}^{\prime}$ we reduce to the case when $A$ is a field. Now it is enough to apply [5] (7.1).

Lemma 6 (Ogoma [3] (4.3)). Let $z, x$ be two elements in $A$ and $s, t$ two positive integers such that $\mathrm{Ann}_{A_{z}} x^{s}=\mathrm{Ann}_{A_{z}} x^{s+1}$ and $\mathrm{Ann}_{A} z^{t}=\mathrm{Ann}_{A} z^{t+1}$.

Then $\operatorname{Ann}_{A}\left(z^{t} x\right)^{s}=\operatorname{Ann}_{A}\left(z^{t} x\right)^{s+1}$.
Corollary 7 (Ogoma [3]). Let $q \subset A^{\prime}$ be a prime ideal, $x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}\right)$ a system of elements from $A^{\prime}$ which induces a regular system of elements in $A_{q}^{\prime}$ and $s$ a positive integer. Then there exists a system of elements $z=\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{r}\right)$ in $A^{\prime} \backslash q$ such that

$$
\left(\left(z_{1}^{s} x_{1}^{s}, \cdots, z_{i-1}^{s} x_{i-1}^{s}\right): z_{i} x_{i}\right)=\left(\left(z_{1}^{s} x_{1}^{s}, \cdots, z_{i-1}^{s} x_{i-1}^{s}\right): z_{i}^{2} x_{i}^{2}\right)
$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, where $x_{0}:=0$.
Proof. Applying induction on $r$ we reduce to the case $r=1$. Then $x=x_{1}$ induces a nonzero divisor in $A_{q}^{\prime}$. Since $\left(\mathrm{Ann}_{A^{\prime}} x\right) A_{q}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Ann}_{A_{q}^{\prime}} x=0$ there exists an element $z \in A^{\prime} \backslash q$ such that $z \mathrm{Ann}_{A^{\prime}} x=0$ and so $x$ induces a nonzero divisor in $A_{z}^{\prime}$. We have $\mathrm{Ann}_{A_{z}^{\prime}} x=\mathrm{Ann}_{A_{z}^{\prime}} x^{2}$ and by Noetherianity $\mathrm{Ann}_{A^{\prime}} z^{t}=\mathrm{Ann}_{A^{\prime}} z^{t+1}$ for a certain positive integer $t$. Changing $z$ by $z^{t}$ we get $\mathrm{Ann}_{A^{\prime}} z x=\mathrm{Ann}_{A^{\prime}}(z x)^{2}$ by Lemma 6 .

Lemma 8. Suppose that $u$ is a morphism of Artinian local rings such that the residue field extension $k \subset K$ induced by $u$ has rank $\Gamma_{K / k}<\infty$. Then $A^{\prime}$ is a filtered inductive union of its local sub-A-algebras $C \subset A^{\prime}$ essentially of finite type such that the inclusion $C \longrightarrow A^{\prime}$ is faithfully flat.

The proof is given at the end.
Let $b=\left(b_{1}, \cdots, b_{\mu}\right)$ be a system of generators of $H_{B / A}, d \in A$ an element and $s$ a positive integer. The $B$-algebra $B_{1}:=B[Z] /\left(d^{s}-\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} b_{i} Z_{i}\right)$, $Z=\left(Z_{1}, \cdots, Z_{\mu}\right)$ is called the containerizer of $B$ over $A$ with respect to $d, b, s$. Given a system of elements $d_{1}, \cdots, d_{r}$ in $A$ we may speak by recurrence of the containerizer of $B$ over $A$ with respect to $d_{1}, \cdots, d_{r}, b, s$.

Lemma 9 ([4] (2.4)). Then the following conditions hold:
i) $d \in H_{B_{1} / A}$,
ii) $H_{B / A} \subset H_{B_{1} / B}$ (in particular $H_{B / A} \subset H_{B_{1} / A}$ ).
iii) if $u(d)^{s} \in f\left(H_{B / A}\right) A^{\prime}$ then $f$ extends to an A-morphism $f_{1}: B_{1} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ by $Z \rightarrow z$, where $z$ is chosen by $u\left(d^{s}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} f\left(b_{i}\right) z_{i}$.

Let $B \cong A[Y] /(F)$ be a presentation of $B$ over $A$ and $S$ the symmetric $A$-algebra associated to $(F) /(F)^{2}$. We call $S$ the standardizer of $B$ over $A$ (this notion and the "containerizer" appeared in [4] but Ogoma gave them names [3]). An element $x \in H_{B / A}$ is a standard element for the above presentation of $B$ over $A$ if there exists a system of polynomials $G=\left(G_{1}\right.$,
$\left.\cdots, G_{s}\right)$ in the ideal $(F)$ such that $x \in \sqrt{ } \bar{\Delta}_{G}((G):(F))$, where $\Delta_{G}$ is generated by all $s \times s$-minors of $(\partial G / \partial Y)$.

Lemma 10 ([4] (3.4)). The following conditions hold:
i) $H_{B / A} \subset H_{S / B}$ (in particular $H_{B / A} \subset H_{S / A}$ ),
ii) there exists a presentation of $S$ over $A$ for which all elements from $H_{B / A}$ are standard,
iii) $f$ extends to an A-morphism $\alpha: S \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ in a trivial way (by construction $S=B\left[Z^{\prime}\right] /\left(F^{\prime}\right)$ where $F^{\prime}$ is a homogeneous linear system of polynomials, then $\alpha$ is given by $Z^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$ ).

Proof of Theorem 1. We divide the proof in four steps.
Step 1. Reduction to the case when ht $\left(u^{-1} q\right)=0$.
Let $d_{1}, \cdots, d_{t}$ be a system of elements from $u^{-1} \mathfrak{a}$ which forms a system of parameters in $A_{u-1} q, t=\operatorname{ht}\left(u^{-1} q\right)$ (by hypothesis $\left.\left(u^{-1} \mathfrak{a}\right) A_{u-1 q}^{\prime}=\left(u^{-1} q\right) A_{u-1_{q}}\right)$. Apply induction on $t$. The case $t=0$ remains for the next steps. If $t>0$ then by Lemmas 9,10 there exist a finite type $B$-algebra $\hat{B}$ and an $A$-morphism $\beta: \hat{B} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ extending $f$ such that

1) $d_{t}$ is a standard element for $\hat{B}$ over $A$,
2) $H_{B / A} \subset H_{B / A}$.

Changing $(B, f)$ by $(\hat{B}, \beta)$ we may suppose that $d_{t}$ is a standard element for $B$ over $A$. Let $n$ be the positive integer associated to $d_{t}$ by [4] (9.2). Then it is enough to show our Lemma for $\tilde{A}:=A /\left(d_{t}^{n}\right), \tilde{A} \otimes_{A} A^{\prime}$, $\tilde{A} \otimes_{A} B, \cdots$ But this follows by induction hypothesis.

Step 2. Case when ht $q=0$.
Then $A_{u-1 q} \rightarrow A_{q}^{\prime}$ is a regular morphism of Artinian local rings. Thus $A_{q}^{\prime}$ is a filtered inductive limit of standard smooth $A_{u-1 q}$ algebras by [4] (3.3) and it is enough to apply Lemma 3.

Let $k \subset K$ be the residue field extension induced by $A_{u-1 q} \rightarrow A_{q}^{\prime}$.
Step 3. Case when $k \subset K$ is separable.
The ring $R:=A_{q}^{\prime} /\left(u^{-1} q\right) A_{q}^{\prime}$ is regular by formally smoothness and as $\mathfrak{a} A_{q}^{\prime}=q A_{q}^{\prime}$ we may choose in a a system of elements $x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}\right)$, $r:=\operatorname{dim} R$ which induces in $R$ a regular system of parameters. By Lemma 4 the $A$-morphism $v: A[X] \rightarrow A^{\prime}, X=\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{r}\right) \rightarrow x$ induces a flat map $v_{q}: A[X]_{v-1_{q}} \rightarrow A_{q}^{\prime}$ and $\left(v^{-1} q\right) A[X]_{v-1_{q}} \supset\left(v^{-1} \mathfrak{a}\right) A[X]_{v-1_{q}} \supset\left(u^{-1} \mathfrak{a}, X\right) A[X]_{v-1_{q}}=$ $\left(u^{-1} q, X\right) A[X]_{v-1 q}=\left(v^{-1} q\right) A[X]_{v-1 q}$ since $\quad\left(u^{-1} \mathfrak{a}\right) A_{u-1 q}=\left(u^{-1} q\right) A_{u-1 q}$. Thus $v^{-1} q$ is a minimal prime over-ideal of $v^{-1} \mathfrak{a}$ and $v_{q}$ is formally smooth
because $k \otimes_{A_{u}-1_{q}} v_{q}$ is exactly the separable (i.e. formally smooth) extension $k \subset K$ (see [1] 43).

Clearly it is enough to show our Lemma for $v: A[X] \rightarrow A^{\prime}, B[X]$, $f^{\prime}: B[X] \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ being given by $f$ and $v$ because $A[X]$ is smooth over $A$ and $H_{B[X] / A[X]} \supset H_{B / A}$. By Step 1 it is enough to treat the case when $v^{-1} q$ (and so $q$ ) is minimal. But this was done in Step 2.

Step 4. General case
Using Step 1 we suppose additionally that $u^{-1} q$ is minimal in $A$ and so $\left(u^{-1} q\right)^{2} A_{u-1}=0$ for a certain positive integer $\lambda$. Choose a positive integer $\tau$ such that $q^{\tau} A_{q}^{\prime} \subset f\left(H_{B / A}\right) A_{q}^{\prime}$ and let $b=\left(b_{1}, \cdots, b_{\mu}\right)$ be a system of generators of $H_{B / A}$. Consider the containerizer $B_{1}$ of $B[X], X=\left(X_{1}, \cdots\right.$, $X_{r}$ ) over $A[X]$ with respect to $X_{1}, \cdots, X_{r}, b, \tau$ and let $B_{2}$ be the standardizer of $B_{1}$ over $A[X]$. Then there exists a positive integer $c^{\prime}$ such that for every $i, X_{i}^{c^{\prime}} \in \Delta_{F_{i}}\left(\left(F_{i}\right): I_{i}\right)$ for some representation $B_{2}=A[X, U] / I_{i}$ and some system $F_{i}$ from $I_{i}$. Applying Lemma 8 to $A_{u-1 q} \rightarrow \widetilde{A}^{\prime}:=A_{q}^{\prime} / q^{n} A_{q}^{\prime}$, $n:=\sup \{\tau, \lambda+r c\}, c:=10 c^{\prime}$ we find an essentially of finite type, local sub- $A$-algebra $\tilde{D}$ of $\tilde{A}^{\prime}$ containing the image of the composite map $B \xrightarrow{f} A^{\prime} \rightarrow \tilde{A}^{\prime}$ and such that $\tilde{D} \longrightarrow \tilde{A}^{\prime}$ is flat.

Let $k \subset L$ be the residue field extension given by $A_{u-1 q} \rightarrow \tilde{D}$ and $y=$ $\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{s}\right)$ a system of elements from $A^{\prime}$ which induces a $p$-basis $\bar{y}$ of $L$ over $k$. Clearly we may suppose that $y$ belongs modulo $q^{n} A_{q}^{\prime}$ to $\tilde{D}$. By Lemma 5 the $A$-morphism $\tilde{w}: A[Y] \rightarrow A^{\prime}, Y=\left(Y_{1}, \cdots, Y_{s}\right) \rightarrow y$ induces a flat map $A[Y] \rightarrow A_{q}^{\prime}$ such that $R^{\prime}:=A_{q}^{\prime} /\left(\tilde{w}^{-1} q\right) A_{q}^{\prime}$ is a regular local ring of dimension $t:=r-\operatorname{rank} \Gamma_{L / k}$. Choose a system of elements $y^{\prime}=\left(y_{1}^{\prime}\right.$, $\cdots, y_{t}^{\prime}$ ) in $q$ which induces a regular system of parameters in $R^{\prime}$. By Lemma 4 the $A[Y]$-morphism $w: A\left[Y, Y^{\prime}\right] \rightarrow A^{\prime}, \quad Y^{\prime}=\left(Y_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, Y_{t}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow y^{\prime}$ induces a flat map $w_{q}: C_{w-1 q} \rightarrow A_{q}^{\prime}, C:=A\left[Y, Y^{\prime}\right]$ such that $\left(w^{-1} q\right) A_{q}^{\prime}=$ $q A_{q}^{\prime}$. We may choose $y^{\prime}$ such that it belongs modulo $q^{n} A_{q}^{\prime}$ to $\tilde{D}$. Then $w_{q}$ induces a map $\eta: \tilde{C} \rightarrow \tilde{D}$, where $\tilde{C}:=C /\left(w^{-1} q\right)^{n} C$.

Since $\tilde{C} \otimes w_{q}$ and $\tilde{D} \longrightarrow A^{\prime}$ and faithfully flat we get $\eta$ flat and $\tilde{D} /\left(w^{-1} q\right) \tilde{D} \cong L$. The field extension $k(\bar{y}) \subset L$ is finite separable because $k \subset L$ is of finite type and $\bar{y}$ is a $p$-basis in $L / k$. Thus $\eta$ is formally smooth by [1] 43 and so smooth because it is essentially of finite type.

Let $d=\left(d_{1}, \cdots, d_{r}\right)$ be a system of elements from $C$ inducing a system of parameters in $C_{w-1 q}$. But $C_{w^{-1}} q$ is a Cohen Macaulay ring because it is a smooth algebra over an Artinian ring, $A_{u-1 q}$. Then $d$ is regular in
$C_{w-1 q}$ and changing $d_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq r$ by some of their multiples we may suppose by Corollary 7 that

$$
\left(\left(d_{1}^{c}, \cdots, d_{i-1}^{c}\right): d_{i}\right)=\left(\left(d_{1}^{c}, \cdots, d_{i-1}^{c}\right): d_{i}^{2}\right)
$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, where $d_{0}:=0$.
The linear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
w\left(d_{i}^{*}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{\mu} f\left(b_{j}\right) Z_{j i} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

has sure a solution $z_{i}=\left(z_{1 i}, \cdots, z_{\mu i}\right)$ in $A_{q}^{\prime}$ because $q^{\tau} A_{q}^{\prime} \subset f\left(H_{B / A}\right) A_{q}^{\prime}$ and we claim that we may choose $z_{i}$ such that it belongs modulo $q^{n}$ to $\tilde{D}$. Indeed, (*) has a solution $\tilde{z}_{i}$ in $\tilde{D}$ because $\tilde{D} \longrightarrow \tilde{A}^{\prime}$ is faithfully flat, let us say $\tilde{z}_{i}$ is induced by a system of elements $\hat{z}_{i}$ from $A_{q}^{\prime}$. Changing $Z_{j i}$ by $\hat{Z}_{j i}+\hat{z}_{j i}$ it remains to show that

$$
\rho_{i}:=w\left(d_{i}^{\tau}\right)-\sum_{j} f\left(b_{j}\right) \hat{z}_{j i}=\sum_{j} f\left(b_{j}\right) \hat{Z}_{j i}
$$

has a solution in $A_{q}^{\prime}$. But this is trivial because $\rho_{i} \in q^{n} A_{q}^{\prime} \subset q^{\tau} A_{q}^{\prime} \subset$ $f\left(H_{B / 4}\right) A_{q}^{\prime}$.

Let $\delta=\left(\delta_{1}, \cdots, \delta_{r}\right)$ be a system of elements in $A^{\prime} \backslash q$ such that $\delta_{i} z_{i} \in A^{\prime \mu}$ for all $i, 1 \leq i \leq r$. By flatness $w(d)$ induces a regular system in $A_{q}^{\prime}$ and so changing $\delta_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq r$ by some of their multiples we may suppose by Corollary 7 that

$$
\left(\left(\delta_{1}^{c} w\left(d_{1}^{c}\right), \cdots, \delta_{i-1}^{c} w\left(d_{i-1}^{c}\right)\right): \delta_{i} w\left(d_{i}\right)\right)=\left(\left(\delta_{1}^{c} w\left(d_{1}^{c}\right), \cdots, \delta_{i-1}^{c} w\left(d_{i-1}^{c}\right)\right): \delta_{i}^{2} w\left(d_{i}^{2}\right)\right)
$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.
Consider the $A$-morphism $\varepsilon: A[X] \rightarrow C[T], T=\left(T_{1}, \cdots, T_{r}\right)$ given by $X_{i} \rightarrow d_{i}^{\prime}:=T_{i} d_{i}$ and the $C$-morphism $w^{\prime}: C[T] \rightarrow A^{\prime}, T \rightarrow \delta$. The correspondence $Z_{i} \rightarrow \delta_{i} z_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq r$ defines a $C[T]$-morphism $C[T] \otimes_{A[X]} B_{1} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ (see Lemma 9) which extends trivially ( $Z^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$, see Lemma 10) to a $C[T]$ morphism $\beta_{2}: B_{2}^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$, where $B_{2}^{\prime}:=C[T] \otimes_{A[X]} B_{2}$. Note that $\tilde{C} \otimes_{C[T]} \beta_{2}$ factorizes through $\tilde{D}[T]$ because $z$ belongs modulo $q^{n} A_{q}^{\prime}$ to $\tilde{D}$.

Since $\tilde{D}[T]$ is smooth over $\tilde{C}[T]$ our Theorem holds for $\tilde{B}_{2}:=\tilde{C} \otimes_{c[T]} B_{2}^{\prime}$, $\tilde{C} \otimes \beta_{2}$ with respect to $\tilde{C} \otimes w^{\prime}$ (see Lemma 2). Note that

$$
q^{n} A_{q}^{\prime}=\left(u^{-1} q, d^{\prime}\right)^{n} A_{q}^{\prime} \subset\left(d^{\prime}\right)^{r c} A_{q}^{\prime} \subset\left(d_{1}^{\prime c}, \cdots, d_{r}^{\prime c}\right) A_{q}^{\prime}
$$

and so applying Lemma 3 and by recurrence [4] (9.1) for $d_{i}^{\prime}$ and $e=2$ we get a finite type $B_{2}^{\prime}$-algebra $B^{\prime}$ and a $B_{2}^{\prime}$-morphism $h: B^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ such that

$$
h\left(H_{B / A} B_{2}^{\prime}\right) \subset h\left(H_{B_{2}^{\prime} / C\left[T_{7}\right]}\right) \subset \sqrt{h\left(\bar{H}_{B^{\prime} / C[T]}\right) A_{q}^{\prime}} \not \subset q .
$$

As $C[T]$ is smooth over $A$ we are ready.
Proof of Lemma 8. Given a field $L$ consider the Cohen ring $R_{L}$ of residue field $L$, i.e. $L$ if $p:=\operatorname{char} L=0$ or a complete DVR of residue field $L$ which is an unramified extension of $Z_{(p)}$. By Cohen Structure Theorem we have $A \cong R_{k}[X] / \mathfrak{a}, A^{\prime} \cong R_{K}[Y] / \mathfrak{a}, X=\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{r}\right), Y=\left(Y_{1}\right.$, $\left.\cdots, Y_{s}\right)$. Let $\mathscr{L}$ be the set of all subfields $L, k \subset L \subset K$ such that $k \subset L$ is of finite type and $a^{\prime}$ is defined over $R_{L}$, i.e. $a^{\prime}$ is an extension of $a_{L}^{\prime}:=a^{\prime} \cap R_{L}[Y]$ to $R_{K}[Y]$. Then $A^{\prime}$ is a filtered inductive union of $D_{L}:=$ $R_{L}[Y] / a_{L}^{\prime}, L \in \mathscr{L}$ and by base change $D_{L} \longrightarrow D_{K}=A^{\prime}$ is flat. Since $A$ is a finite type $R_{k}$-algebra it is enough to show that there exists $L \in \mathscr{L}$ such that $D_{L}$ contains $u\left(\bar{R}_{k}\right)$, where $\bar{R}_{k}:=R_{k} /\left(p^{r}\right)$ and $\left(p^{r}\right)=a \cap R_{k}$.

If $k \subset K$ is separable then we may suppose that $u$ extends the map $\bar{R}_{k} \rightarrow \bar{R}_{K}$ and our wish is trivially fulfilled. Otherwise, by [2] (2.14) there exist two subfields $E \subset F \subset k$ such that

1) $E \subset F$ is of finite type,
2) $E \subset K$ is separable,
3) $F \subset k$ is etale.

As above we may suppose that $\left.u\right|_{\bar{R}_{E}}$ extends the map $\bar{R}_{E} \rightarrow \bar{R}_{K}$ because of 2) and so every $D_{L}, L \in \mathscr{L}$ contains $u\left(\bar{R}_{E}\right)$. Since $\bar{R}_{F}$ is essentially of finite type over $\bar{R}_{E}$ we can choose $L^{\prime} \in \mathscr{L}$ such that $D_{L^{\prime}}$ contains $u\left(\bar{R}_{F}\right)$.

We claim that $u\left(\bar{R}_{k}\right) \subset \mathrm{D}_{L^{\prime}}$. Indeed as $\bar{R}_{F} \longrightarrow \bar{R}_{k}$ is smooth there exists a ring morphism $v: \bar{R}_{k} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}_{L^{\prime}}$, extending $\left.u\right|_{\bar{R}_{F}}$ which lifts the composite map $\bar{R}_{k} \rightarrow k \hookrightarrow L^{\prime}$. Then $\left.u\right|_{\bar{R}_{k}}$ and the composite map $v^{\prime}, \bar{R}_{k} \xrightarrow{v} D_{L^{\prime}}$ $c A^{\prime}$ lift both the map $\bar{R}_{k} \rightarrow k \rightarrow K$. Thus $u=v^{\prime}$ (in particular $D_{L^{\prime}} \supset$ $\left.u\left(\bar{R}_{k}\right)\right)$ because $\bar{R}_{F} \subset \bar{R}_{k}$ is also etale.
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