K. Mimachi Nagoya Math. J. Vol. 116 (1989), 149-161

CONNECTION PROBLEM IN HOLONOMIC *q*-DIFFERENCE SYSTEM ASSOCIATED WITH A JACKSON INTEGRAL OF JORDAN-POCHHAMMER TYPE

KATSUHISA MIMACHI

§0. Introduction

Fix a complex number q with |q| < 1. Let T_1, \dots, T_n be n-commuting q-difference operators defined by

$$T_{i}f(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}) = f(x_{1}, \cdots, qx_{i}, \cdots, x_{n})$$

for a function f(x), $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. Consider a system of linear *q*-difference equations in several variables for a matrix valued function $\Xi(x)$ on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ as follows:

(1)
$$T_i\Xi(x) = \Xi(x)A_i(x) \qquad (1 \le i \le n) .$$

We assume that each $A_i(x)$ is a matrix valued rational function satisfying the following conditions:

(2)
$$A_i(x)T_iA_j(x) = A_j(x)T_jA_i(x) \qquad (1 \le i, j \le n).$$

Then (1) defines a holonomic q-difference system. It is known [3] that there is a solution of the system (1) characterized by asymptotic behavior at a boundary point of $(C^*)^n$. More precisely, we denote by $L_{\nu}(x) =$ $\{(q^{\nu_1 m} x_1, \dots, q^{\nu_n m} x_n) | m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ the trajectory through $x \in (C^*)^n$ of the transformation $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \to (q^{\nu_1} y_1, \dots, q^{\nu_n} y_n)$ which is determined by integers $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$. Under Ass 1 and Ass 2 in the direction L_{ν} for $A_i(x)$ stated in [3] the above solution denoted by $\mathcal{Z}_{\nu}(x)$ is characterized by the following asymptotic behavior along L_{ν} at $m = \infty$:

$$egin{array}{lll} arsigma_{
u}(x) &\sim ar{x}_1^{A_1}ar{x}_2^{A_2}\,\cdots\,ar{x}_n^{A_n}\cdot U_
u,\ ar{x}_1 &= q^{
u_1m}x_1,\,\cdots,\,ar{x}_n &= q^{
u_nm}x_n, \qquad {
m as} \ m
ightarrow\infty, \end{array}$$

where U_{ν} denotes a certain non-singular lower triangular matrix which

Received August 23, 1988.

is constant, and $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$ denote constant diagonal matrices. We call $\mathcal{E}_{\nu}(x)$ the solution determined along the trajectory L_{ν} . We take two trajectories L_{ν} and L_{μ} for two sequences of integers ν and μ . Then there exists a linear relation between the corresponding solutions $\mathcal{E}_{\nu}(x)$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\mu}(x)$ along the trajectories L_{ν} and L_{μ} respectively as follows:

$$\Xi_{\nu}(x) = P_{\nu,\mu}(x)\Xi_{\mu}(x),$$

where $P_{\nu,\mu}(x)$ denotes a matrix valued function satisfying

$$T_{j}P_{\nu,\mu}(x) = P_{\nu,\mu}(x) \qquad (1 \le j \le n).$$

The matrix $P_{\nu,\mu}(x)$ is called a *connection matrix* between the two solutions determined by the trajectories L_{ν} and L_{μ} .

The main purpose of this paper is to solve the connection problem, namely to compute the matrices $P_{\nu,\mu}(x)$, in holonomic *q*-difference system associated with a *Jackson integral* of *Jordan-Pochhammer type* under a generic condition. Jordan-Pochhammer type is a natural extension of Heine's basic hypergeometric series.

The contents of this paper are as follows. Section 1 gives basic notation in the q-analysis and a short review of a system associated with a Jackson integral of Jordan-Pochhammer type. Section 2 is devoted to give relations among Jackson integrals over suitable q-intervals of the first kind, which play a key role in our argument. We remark that, as a bonus of these relations, a connection formula of the basic hypergeometric series is obtained. In Section 3 we compute asymptotic behavior of the solutions along generic trajectories, and solve the corresponding connection problem.

§1. Preliminaries

Fix a complex number q with |q| < 1. Following F.H. Jackson [12], for a nonzero complex number $c \in C^*$, define on a half-line [0, c]

$$\int_{[0,c]} F(t)d_qt = \int_0^c F(t)d_qt = c(1-q)\sum_{n\geq 0} F(cq^n)q^n,$$

which is a q-analogue of the Riemann integral and is called a Jackson integral. We also consider a Jackson integral on a whole line $[0, \infty(s)]$

$$\int_{[0,\infty(s)]} F(t)d_qt = \int_0^{\infty(s)} F(t)d_qt = s(1-q)\sum_{-\infty \le n \le +\infty} F(sq^n)q^n,$$

for a complex number $s \in C^*$. We shall call [0, c] a *q-interval* of the first

kind or of the second kind according as $c \in C^*$ or $c = \infty(s)$. The following is easily deduced.

$$\int_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^{\scriptscriptstyle c}F(t)d_{\scriptscriptstyle q}t\,=\,c\int_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}F(ct)d_{\scriptscriptstyle q}t\,.$$

Here we define a Jackson integral of Jordan-Pochhammer type by

(3)
$$\int_{\mathscr{C}} t^{\alpha_0-1} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} \frac{(t/x_j)_{\infty}}{(q^{\alpha_j}t/x_j)_{\infty}} d_q t,$$

where $(a)_{\infty} = \prod_{n \ge 0} (1 - aq^n)$, $\alpha_j \in C$ $(0 \le j \le n)$ and \mathscr{C} denotes a suitable *q*-interval. The Jackson integral (3) tends to a Jordan-Pochhammer type integral:

$$\int t^{lpha_0-1} \prod\limits_{1\leq j\leq n} \left(1-rac{t}{x_j}
ight)^{lpha_j} dt$$
 ,

as $q \to 1$. In fact, if $(a)_n = (a)_{\omega}/(aq^n)_{\omega}$, then we have

$$\lim_{q\to 1}\frac{(t)_{\infty}}{(q^{\alpha}t)_{\infty}}=(1-t)^{\alpha},$$

by the q-binomial theorem ([2], [7])

(4)
$$\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{(a)_n}{(q)_n}x^n=\frac{(ax)_\infty}{(x)_\infty}.$$

The holonomic q-difference system associated with a Jackson integral of Jordan-Pochhammer type is given as follows. Set

$$egin{aligned} \Phi(t) &= t^{a_0-1} \prod\limits_{0 \leq j \leq n} rac{(t/x_j)_\infty}{(q^{a_j t}/x_j)_\infty} \,, \ \Phi_j(t) &= \Phi(t)/(1-t/x_j) \,. \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma has been communicated to the author by Prof. K. Aomoto ([4]):

LEMMA 1. 1) A holonomic q-difference system for the function $\int \Phi d_q t$ can be derived in an explicit way

(5)
$$T_k \left(\int \Phi_1 d_q t, \dots, \int \Phi_n d_q t \right) = \left(\int \Phi_1 d_q t, \dots, \int \Phi_n d_q t \right) A_k \qquad (1 \le k \le n).$$

Here each $A_k = (a_{i,j}^{(k)})_{1 \le i,j \le n}$ is an $n \times n$ matrix valued function of x with the entries which are rational in x

$$\begin{split} a_{i,j}^{(k)} &= q^{a_0} \frac{(1-q^{a_k}) \prod\limits_{\substack{1 \le l \le n \\ l \ne k}} \left(1 - \frac{x_i}{x_i} q^{a_l}\right)}{\left(q \frac{x_k}{x_j} - q^{a_k}\right) \prod\limits_{\substack{1 \le l \le n \\ l \ne i}} \left(1 - \frac{x_i}{x_l}\right)} \qquad (i, j \ne k, i \ne j) , \\ a_{i,k}^{(k)} &= q^{a_0} \frac{\prod\limits_{\substack{1 \le l \le n \\ l \ne k}} \left(1 - \frac{x_i}{x_l} q^{a_l}\right)}{\prod\limits_{\substack{1 \le l \le n \\ l \ne i}} \left(1 - \frac{x_i}{x_l}\right)} \qquad (i \ne k) , \\ a_{i,i}^{(k)} &= \frac{1 - \frac{x_i}{qx_k}}{1 - \frac{x_i}{x_k} q^{a_{k-1}}} + q^{a_0} \frac{(1 - q^{a_l}) \prod\limits_{\substack{1 \le l \le n \\ l \ne k}} \left(1 - \frac{x_i}{x_l} q^{a_l}\right)}{\left(q \frac{x_k}{x_i} - q^{a_k}\right) \prod\limits_{\substack{1 \le l \le n \\ l \ne k}} \left(1 - \frac{x_i}{x_l}\right)} \qquad (i \ne k) , \\ a_{k,j}^{(k)} &= q^{a_0} \frac{\prod\limits_{\substack{1 \le l \le n \\ l \ne k}} \left(1 - \frac{x_k}{x_l} q^{a_l}\right)}{\left(q \frac{x_k}{x_j} - q^{a_k}\right) \prod\limits_{\substack{1 \le l \le n \\ l \ne k}} \left(1 - \frac{x_k}{x_l}\right)} \qquad (k \ne j) , \\ a_{k,k}^{(k)} &= q^{a_0} \prod\limits_{\substack{1 \le l \le n \\ l \ne k}} \frac{1 - \frac{x_k}{x_l} q^{a_l}}{1 - \frac{x_k}{x_l} q^{a_l}} . \end{split}$$

2) A fundamental solution matrix is given by

$$\Xi(x) = (\Xi_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} = \left(\int_{w_i} \Phi_j(t) d_q t \right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq n},$$

where w_i denotes a q-interval $[0, x_j]$ for $1 \le i \le n$.

We investigate the behavior of $\mathcal{E}(q^{\nu_1 m} x_1, \dots, q^{\nu_n m} x_n)$ at $m = \infty$ along all generic trajectories L_{ν} determined by the n! inequalities $\nu_{\sigma(1)} < \nu_{\sigma(2)} < \cdots < \nu_{\sigma(n)}$ where σ run over the symmetric group of order n. If we put $\overline{x}_1 = x_1 q^{\nu_1 m}, \dots, \overline{x}_n = x_n q^{\nu_n m}$ for $x_j \in C^*$, then the condition $\nu_{\sigma(1)} < \dots < \nu_{\sigma(n)}$ is equivalent to the condition $|\overline{x}_{\sigma(1)}| \gg \dots \gg |\overline{x}_{\sigma(n)}|$ when m is sufficiently large. Therefore the connection problem is reduced to find a relation between $\mathcal{E}(x)$ in the region $|x_1| \gg \dots \gg |x_n| \gg 1$ and $\mathcal{E}(x)$ in the region $|x_{\sigma(1)}| \gg \dots \gg |x_{\sigma(n)}| \gg 1$, which will be denoted by $\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(x)$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(x)$ respectively.

§2. Relations among Jackson integrals of the first kind

In this section we give relations among Jackson integrals of Jordan-Pochhammer type, which will be essential in the sequel, and also give a connection formula for the basic hypergeometric series as its corollary. See [7], [15], [16] for related formulae.

We shall frequently use the theta function $\Theta(t) = (t)_{\infty}(q/t)_{\infty}(q)_{\infty}$.

LEMMA 2. Let $k = 1, \dots, n-1$. Under the condition $|q^{-\alpha_k-\dots-\alpha_n}| < |q^{\alpha_0}| < 1$ and $x_i/x_j \neq 1, q^{\pm 1}, q^{\pm 2}, \dots$, we have relations

(6)
$$\int_{w_{k}} t^{a_{0}-1} \prod_{j=k}^{n} \frac{(t/x_{j})_{\infty}}{(q^{a_{j}}t/x_{j})_{\infty}} d_{q}t + \sum_{l=k+1}^{n} C_{k,l} \int_{w_{l}} t^{a_{0}-1} \sum_{j=k}^{n} \frac{(t/x_{j})_{\infty}}{(q^{a_{j}}t/x_{j})_{\infty}} d_{q}t$$
$$= x_{k}^{a_{0}} \frac{\Theta(q^{1+a_{0}+a_{k}+\dots+a_{n}})}{\Theta(q^{a_{0}+a_{k}+1}+\dots+a_{n})} \prod_{j=k+1}^{n} \frac{\Theta(x_{j}/x_{k})}{\Theta(x_{j}/x_{x}q^{a_{j}})}$$
$$\times \int_{[0,1]} t^{-1-a_{0}-a_{k}-\dots-a_{n}} \prod_{j=k}^{n} \frac{(x_{j}x_{k}^{-1}q^{a_{k}-a_{j}}t)_{\infty}}{(x_{j}x_{k}^{-1}q^{a_{k}}t)_{\infty}} d_{q}t,$$

where

$$C_{k,l} = \left(rac{x_k}{x_l}
ight)^{a_0+1} rac{\Theta(x_k\cdot x_l^{-1}q^{a_0+a_{k+1}+\cdots+a_n+1})}{\Theta(q^{a_0+a_{k+1}+\cdots+a_n+1})\prod\limits{\substack{j=k+1\\j\neq l}}\Theta(x_i/x_l)\prod\limits{\substack{n\\i\neq l}}\Theta(x_i/x_l)\prod\limits{\substack{n\\i=k+1}}\Theta(x_kq^{a_i+1}/x_i)}$$

Remark. If a function $F(x) = \prod_{1 \le i \le n} x_i^{\lambda_i} f(x)$, where f(x) is a meromorphic function on $(C^*)^n$, satisfies $T_j F(x) = F(x)$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$, then we say F(x) to be pseudo-constant, which is also said to be q-periodic by C.R. Adams, G.D. Birkhoff, R.D. Carmichael, and W.J. Trjitzinsky ([1], [5], [6], [9]). The above functions $C_{k,l}$ $(1 \le k \le n - 1, k + 1 \le l \le n)$ are pseudo-constant.

Proof of Lemma 2. We show these relations by residue calculus, which is an extension of the method as in our previous paper [13]. Set

$$F(t) = \frac{(q^{-a_0-a_k-\dots-a_n-1}/t)_{\infty}(q^{a_0+a_k+\dots+a_n+2}t)_{\infty}}{(1/t)_{\infty}(q^{a_k+1}t)_{\infty}}\prod_{l=k+1}^n \frac{(x_k^{-1}x_lq^{a_k+1-a_l}t)_{\infty}}{(x_k^{-1}x_lq^{a_k+1}t)_{\infty}},$$

and

$$\tilde{F}(t) = x_k^{a_0} q^{a_0 + a_k + 1} \frac{(1 - q)(q)_{\infty}^2}{\Theta(q^{a_0 + a_k + 1} + \dots + a_n + 1)} \prod_{i=k+1}^n \frac{\Theta(x_i/x_k)}{\Theta(x_i/x_k q^{a_i})} F(t) \ .$$

Then F(t) is a meromorphic function on C^* . The residues of $\tilde{F}(t)$ at each point q^{-1-a_k-j} , $x_k x_l^{-1} q^{-1-a_k-j}$, q^i $(j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)$ are expressed by the following Jackson integrals.

and

$$\sum_{j \ge 0} \mathop{\mathrm{Res}}_{t=q^j} ilde{F}(t) = x_k^{lpha_0} rac{\Theta(q^{1+lpha_0+lpha_k+\dots+lpha_n)}{\Theta(q^{lpha_0+lpha_k+1}+\dots+lpha_n)} \prod_{j=k+1}^n rac{\Theta(x_j/x_k)}{\Theta(x_j/x_k q^{a_j})} \ imes \int_{[0,1]} t^{-1-lpha_0-lpha_k-\dots-lpha_n} \sum_{j=k}^n rac{(x_j x_k^{-1} q^{lpha_k-lpha_j} t)_\infty}{(x_j x_k^{-1} q^{lpha_k} t)_\infty} d_q t \,,$$

where $\operatorname{Res}_{t=x} F(t)$ denotes the residue of a function F(t) at t = x. Therefore it remains to prove

$$\sum_{j\geq 0} \operatorname{Res}_{t=q^{-1-\alpha_k-j}} F(t) + \sum_{l=k+1}^n \sum_{j\geq 0} \operatorname{Res}_{t=x_k x_l^{-1}q^{-1-\alpha_k-j}} F(t) + \sum_{j\geq 0} \operatorname{Res}_{t=q^j} F(t) = 0.$$

Here we set two circles \mathscr{C}_m , $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_m$ for a natural number m as follows:

$${\mathscr C}_{m}\!:=\left\{
ho_{m}\exp\left(arphi\sqrt{-1}
ight)|\,
ho_{m}\!:=rac{1}{2}(|q|^{m}+|q|^{m+1}),\;0\leqarphi\leq2\pi
ight\},\ ilde{\mathscr C}_{m}\!:=\left\{ ilde{
ho}_{m}\exp\left(arphi\sqrt{-1}
ight)|\, ilde{
ho}_{m}\!:=rac{1}{2}|q^{-a_{k}-1}|(|q|^{-m-1}+|q|^{-m}),\;0\leqarphi\leq2\pi
ight\},$$

with the counterclockwise direction. Then we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m} \operatorname{Res}_{t=q^{-1-\alpha_{k}-j}} F(t) + \sum_{l=k+1}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{m(l)} \operatorname{Res}_{t=x_{k}x_{l}^{-1}q^{-1-\alpha_{k}-j}} F(t) + \sum_{j=0}^{m} \operatorname{Res}_{t=q^{j}} F(t) \\ = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{e}}_{m}} F(t) dt - \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\mathfrak{e}_{m}} F(t) dt ,$$

where each m(l) $(l = k + 1, \dots, n)$ is a certain positive integer. And there exists a positive number M such that

$$|F(
ho_m e^{\sqrt{-1}\,arphi})| \leq M |q^{-1-lpha_0-lpha_k-\dots-lpha_n}|^m$$

for $0 \le \varphi \le 2\pi$. Indeed

$$\begin{split} F(\rho_{m}e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi}) &= F(\rho_{0}|q|^{m}e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi}) \\ &= (q^{-1-\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{k}-\cdots-\alpha_{n}})^{m}\frac{(q^{2-m+\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{k}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}}|q|^{m}\rho_{0}e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi})_{m}}{(q^{1-m}|q|^{m}\rho_{0}e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi})_{m}} \\ &\times \frac{(q^{m-1-\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{k}-\cdots-\alpha_{n}}/|q|^{m}\rho_{0}e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi})_{\infty}(q^{2+\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{k}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}}|q|^{m}\rho_{0}e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi})_{\infty}}{(q^{m}/|q|^{m}\rho_{0}e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi})_{\infty}(q^{1+\alpha_{k}}|q|^{m}\rho_{0}e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi})^{\infty}} \end{split}$$

$$imes \prod_{l=k+1}^n rac{(x_k^{-1} x_l q^{1+lpha_k-lpha_l} |q|^m
ho_0 e^{\sqrt{-1}\,arphi})_\infty}{(x_k^{-1} x_l q^{1+lpha_k} |q|^m
ho_0 e^{\sqrt{-1}\,arphi})_\infty} \, .$$

Hence we get the following estimates.

$$igg|rac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\int_{{}^{\mathscr{G}}{}_m}F(t)dtigg|\leq \Big|rac{
ho_m}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}F(
ho_m e^{\sqrt{-1}arphi})darphi\Big|\leq
ho_m \mathop{
m Max}_{0\leqarphi\leq 2\pi}|F(
ho_m e^{\sqrt{-1}arphi})|\ \leq
ho_m M|q^{-1-lpha_0-lpha_k-\cdots-lpha_n}|^m\leq
ho_0 M|q^{-lpha_0-lpha_k-\cdots-lpha_n}|^m\,.$$

Thus we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\int_{\mathscr{C}_m}F(t)dt\longrightarrow 0\qquad (m\to\infty)\,,$$

when $|q^{-\alpha_0-\alpha_k-\cdots-\alpha_n}|$ is less than one. By the same argument we can show

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_m}F(t)dt\longrightarrow 0\qquad (m\to\infty)\,,$$

when $|q^{\alpha_0}|$ is less than one. This completes the proof.

As a corollary of Lemma 2, a connection formula of the basic hypergeometric series, which tends to that of hypergeometric series as $q \to 1$, can be deduced. To state the corollary, we recall the definitions of the basic hypergeometric series $_{2}\varphi_{1}$ and a q-analogue of the gamma function Γ_{q} :

$${}_{2}arphi_{1}(lpha,eta,arphi;x)=\sum\limits_{n\geq 0}rac{(q^{lpha})_{n}(q^{eta})_{n}}{(q^{arphi})_{n}(q)_{n}}x^{n}\,,$$
 $\Gamma_{q}(x)=rac{(q)_{\infty}}{(q^{x})_{\infty}}(1-q)^{1-x}\,.$

Refer to [2], [5], [6], [11] for details.

COROLLARY.

$${}_{2}\!arphi_{1}\!(lpha,eta,arphi;x)=rac{{\displaystyle \Gamma_{q}(arphi)\Gamma_{q}(eta-lpha)}}{{\displaystyle \Gamma_{q}(eta)\Gamma_{q}(arphi-lpha)}}rac{{\displaystyle \Theta(q^{a}x)}}{\displaystyle \Theta(x)}{}_{2}\!arphi_{1}\!(lpha,lpha-arphi+1,lpha-eta+1;q^{ au+1-lpha-eta}x^{-1})} \ +rac{{\displaystyle \Gamma_{q}(arphi)\Gamma_{q}(lpha-eta)}}{{\displaystyle \Gamma_{q}(lpha)\Gamma_{q}(arphi-eta)}}rac{{\displaystyle \Theta(q^{a}x)}}{\displaystyle \Theta(x)}{}_{2}\!arphi_{1}\!(eta,eta-arphi+1,eta-lpha+1;q^{ au+1-lpha-eta}x^{-1})}.$$

Proof. Consider the case of k = 1 and n = 2 in (6). Putting $\alpha_0 = 1 + \beta - \gamma$, $\alpha_1 = \gamma - 1 - \alpha$, $\alpha_2 = -\beta$ and $x_1 \cdot x_2^{-1} = q^{\gamma - \alpha} x^{-1}$, one has

$$\int_0^1 t^{\alpha_0-1} \frac{(qt)_{\scriptscriptstyle \infty}(q^{\scriptscriptstyle \beta}xt)_{\scriptscriptstyle \infty}}{(q^{\scriptscriptstyle \gamma-\alpha}t)_{\scriptscriptstyle \infty}(qxt)_{\scriptscriptstyle \infty}} d_q t$$

$$= \frac{\Theta(q^{\beta+1-r})\Theta(q^{\beta}x)}{\Theta(q^{\alpha-\beta})\Theta(x)} \int_{0}^{1} t^{\beta-r} \frac{(qt)_{\infty}(q^{r-\alpha+1}x^{-1}t)_{\infty}}{(q^{r-\alpha}t)_{\infty}(q^{r+1-\alpha-\beta}x^{-1}t)_{\infty}} d_{q}t \\ + \frac{\Theta(q^{1-\beta})\Theta(q^{\alpha}x)}{\Theta(q^{1+\alpha-\beta})\Theta(x)} \int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha-1} \frac{(qt)_{\infty}(q^{2-\beta}x^{-1}t)_{\infty}}{(q^{1-\beta}t)_{\infty}(q^{r+1-\alpha-\beta}x^{-1}t)_{\infty}} d_{q}t \,.$$

Thanks to the Jackson integral representation of the basic hypergeometric series

$$_{_{2}}\varphi_{_{1}}(lpha,eta,eta;x)=rac{\Gamma_{q}(eta)}{\Gamma_{q}(lpha)\Gamma_{q}(eta-lpha)}\int_{_{0}}^{1}t^{lpha-1}rac{(qt)_{\sim}(q^{eta}xt)_{\infty}}{(q^{ au-a}t)_{\sim}(xt)_{\infty}}d_{q}t\,,$$

we obtain the required relation.

§3. Solution to the connection problem

Let $s = \max\{|x_2/x_1|, |x_3/x_2|, \dots, |x_n/x_{n-1}|\}$. For $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we have the following estimates, which are easily shown by the *q*-binomial theorem (4).

1) For
$$l = k, \dots, n$$
,

$$\int_{w_k} \Phi(t) d_q t = \int_{w_l} t^{a_0 - 1} \prod_{j=k}^n \frac{(t/x_j)_{\infty}}{(q^{a_j} t/x_j)_{\infty}} d_q t (1 + O(s)) \quad (s \to 0).$$

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\Theta(q^{1+\alpha_0+a_k+\dots+a_n})}{\Theta(q^{\alpha_0+\alpha_{k+1}+\dots+\alpha_n})} \int_{[0,1]} t^{-1-\alpha_0-\alpha_k-\dots-\alpha_n} \prod_{j=k}^n \frac{(x_j x_k^{-1} q^{\alpha_k-\alpha_j} t)_{\infty}}{(x_j x_k^{-1} q^{\alpha_k} t)_{\infty}} d_q t \\ &= \frac{\Theta(q^{1+\alpha_0+\alpha_k+\dots+\alpha_n})}{\Theta(q^{\alpha_0+\alpha_{k+1}+\dots+\alpha_n})} \int_{[0,1]} t^{-1-\alpha_0-\alpha_k-\dots-\alpha_n} \frac{(t)_{\infty}}{(q^{\alpha_k} t)_{\infty}} d_q t (1+O(s)) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma_q(1+\alpha_k)\Gamma_q(\alpha_0+\alpha_{k+1}+\dots+\alpha_n)}{\Gamma_q(1+\alpha_0+\alpha_k+\dots+\alpha_n)} q^{-\alpha_0-\alpha_k-\dots-\alpha_n} (1+O(s) \quad (s\to 0) \,. \end{split}$$

By the above estimates 1), the left hand side of (6) is

$$\left(\int_{w_k} \Phi d_q t + \sum_{l=k+1}^n C_{k,l} \int_{w_l} \Phi d_q t\right) (1+O(s)) \qquad (s\to 0)\,,$$

and by 2) the right hand side of (6) is

$$\frac{\Gamma_q(1+\alpha_k)\Gamma_q(\alpha_0+\alpha_{k+1}+\cdots+\alpha_n)}{\Gamma_q(1+\alpha_0+\alpha_k+\cdots+\alpha_n)}q^{-\alpha_0-\alpha_k-\cdots-\alpha_n} \times x_k^{\alpha_0}\prod_{j=k+1}^n \frac{\Theta(x_j/x_k)}{\Theta(x_j/x_kq^{\alpha_j})}(1+O(s)) \quad (s\to 0)$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2, we obtain the following.

LEMMA 3. Let $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ and \tilde{W}_k be the q-interval $w_k + \sum_{l=k+1}^n C_{k,l} w_l$. Then we have

$$egin{aligned} &\int_{ar{w}_k} \varPhi(t) d_q t = ilde{C}_k rac{{\Gamma}_q(1+lpha_k){\Gamma}_q(lpha_0+lpha_{k+1}+\cdots+lpha_n)}{{\Gamma}_q(1+lpha_0+lpha_k+\cdots+lpha_n)} \, q^{-a_0-a_k-\cdots-a_n} \ & imes x_k^{a_0} \prod\limits_{j=k+1}^n igg(rac{x_k}{x_j}igg)^{a_j}(1+O(s)) \qquad (s o 0)\,, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$ilde{C}_k \,=\, \prod\limits_{j=k+1}^n \left(rac{x_j}{x_k}
ight)^{lpha_j} rac{ artheta(x_j/x_k)}{ artheta(x_j/x_k q^{lpha_j})}$$

Remark. The functions $ilde{C}_k$ $(1 \le k \le n-1)$ are pseudo-constant.

By using Lemma 3, we give the asymptotic behavior of fundamental solutions of the system (5) in the region $|x_1| \gg \cdots \gg |x_n| \gg 1$. In fact, if the variables α_j and x_j are changed by $\alpha_j - 1$ and $x_j q^{-1}$ respectively, then $\int_{w_l} \Phi(t) d_q t$ is transformed into $\int_{w_l} \Phi_j(t) d_q t$ for $1 \leq j, l \leq n$, and $\tilde{C}_k, C_{k,l}$ remain invariant. Hence if we put $\tilde{W}_n = w_n$, we have

(7)
$$\begin{cases} \int_{\vec{w}_{1}} \Phi_{1}d_{q}t, \dots, \int_{\vec{w}_{n}} \Phi_{n}d_{q}t \\ \dots \\ \int_{\vec{w}_{n}} \Phi_{1}d_{q}t, \dots, \int_{\vec{w}_{n}} \Phi_{n}d_{q}t \end{cases} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & C_{1,2} \dots & C_{1,n} \\ \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & \ddots & C_{n-1,n} \\ 0 & \ddots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \int_{w_{1}} \Phi_{1}d_{q}t, \dots, \int_{w_{n}} \Phi_{n}d_{q}t \\ \dots \\ \int_{w_{n}} \Phi_{1}d_{q}t, \dots, \int_{w_{n}} \Phi_{n}d_{q}t \\ \dots \\ \int_{w_{n}} \Phi_{n}d_{q}t, \dots, \int_{w_{n}} \Phi_{n}d_{q}t \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{C}_k \frac{\Gamma_q(1+\alpha_k)\Gamma_q(\alpha_0+\alpha_{k+1}+\cdots+\alpha_n)}{\Gamma_q(1+\alpha_0+\alpha_k+\cdots+\alpha_n)} q^{1-\alpha_0-\alpha_k-\cdots-\alpha_n} \\ \times x_k^{\alpha_0} \prod_{l=k+1}^n \left(\frac{x_k}{x_l}\right)^{\alpha_l} & (1 \le k \le n-1, \ 1 \le j \le k-1), \\ \tilde{C}_k \frac{\Gamma_q(\alpha_k)\Gamma_q(\alpha_0+\alpha_{k+1}+\cdots+\alpha_n)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha_0+\alpha_k+\cdots+\alpha_n)} q^{1-\alpha_0-\alpha_k-\cdots-\alpha_n} \\ \times (q^{-1}x_k)^{\alpha_0} \prod_{l=k+1}^n \left(\frac{x_k}{qx_l}\right)^{\alpha_l} & (1 \le k \le n-1, \ j = k), \end{cases}$$

$$\int_{\tilde{W}_{k}} \Phi_{j} d_{q} t \sim \begin{cases} \tilde{C}_{k} \frac{\Gamma_{q}(1+\alpha_{k})\Gamma_{q}(\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{k+1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}-1)}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{k}+\cdots+\alpha_{n})} q^{(-\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{k}-\cdots-\alpha_{n})+\alpha_{j}} \\ \times x_{j} x_{k}^{\alpha_{0}-1} \prod_{l=k+1}^{n} \left(\frac{x_{k}}{x_{l}}\right)^{\alpha_{l}} & (1 \leq k \leq n-1, \ k+1 \leq j \leq n), \\ \frac{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha_{0})\Gamma_{q}(\alpha_{n}+1)}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{n}+1)} (qx_{n})^{\alpha_{0}} & (k=n, \ 1 \leq j \leq n-1), \\ \frac{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha_{0})\Gamma_{q}(\alpha_{n})}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{n})} x_{n}^{\alpha_{0}} & (k=n, \ j=n). \end{cases}$$

The matrix on the left hand side of (7), which will be denoted by \mathcal{Z}_{ϵ} , may be taken as a fundamental solution of system (5) in the region $|x_1| \gg \cdots \gg |x_n| \gg 1$. Then the matrix expressed by

(8)
$$E_{\sigma}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sigma(C_{1,2}) \cdots & \sigma(C_{1,n}) \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ & 0 & \ddots & \\ & 0 & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \int_{w_{\sigma(1)}} \Phi_1 d_q t, \cdots, \int_{w_{\sigma(n)}} \Phi_n d_q t \\ & \ddots & \ddots \\ & \int_{w_{\sigma(n)}} \Phi_1 d_q t, \cdots, \int_{w_{\sigma(n)}} \Phi_n d_q t \end{pmatrix}$$

defines a fundamental solution in the region $|x_{\sigma(1)}| \gg \cdots \gg |x_{\sigma(n)}| \gg 1$, where σ is an element of the symmetric group of order *n*. By the expressions (7) and (8), we can get the connection matrix $P_{\sigma,e}(x)$ between the solutions $\Xi_{e}(x)$ and $\Xi_{\sigma}(x)$. Indeed,

$$egin{aligned} & \mathcal{E}_{\sigma}(x) = egin{pmatrix} 1 & \sigma(C_{1,2}) & \cdots & \sigma(C_{1,n}) \ 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \ 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \sigma(C_{n-1,n}) \ 0 & \ddots & 1 \ \end{pmatrix} & egin{pmatrix} \int w_{\sigma}^{(1)} arphi_{1}d_{q}t, \ \cdots, \ \int_{w_{\sigma}^{(1)}} arphi_{n}d_{q}t \ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \ \int_{w_{\sigma}^{(n)}} arphi_{n}d_{q}t \ \end{pmatrix} \ & = egin{pmatrix} 1 & \sigma(C_{1,2}) & \cdots & \sigma(C_{1,n}) \ 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \ 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \ 0 & \ddots & 1 \ \end{pmatrix} & \cdot S_{\sigma} \cdot arepsilon(x) \ & & & & \\ \end{array}$$

where S_{σ} is a permutation defined by

$$S_{\sigma}\begin{pmatrix}x_{1}\\ \vdots\\ x_{n}\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}x_{\sigma(1)}\\ \vdots\\ x_{\sigma(n)}\end{pmatrix},$$

and the action of σ on $C_{i,j}$ is defined by

$$\sigma(C_{i,j}) = C_{i,j}(\alpha_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, \alpha_{\sigma(n)}; x_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, x_{\sigma(n)}).$$

Hence we finally come to the main theorem of this paper.

THEOREM. The connection matrix between the solution Ξ_e and Ξ_s is given by

$$P_{\sigma,e} = egin{pmatrix} 1 & \sigma(C_{1,2}) \cdots \sigma(C_{1,n}) \ & \ddots & \ddots \ 1 & \ddots & \ddots \ & 0 & \ddots & \cdots \ 0 & \ddots & \sigma(C_{n-1,n}) \ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \ & 1 & \ddots & \ddots \ & 0 & \ddots & \ddots \ & 0 & \ddots & 0 \ & \ddots & 1 \ \end{pmatrix} \cdot S_{\sigma} \cdot egin{pmatrix} 1 & C_{1,2} \cdots & C_{1,n} \ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \ & 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \ & 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \ & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \ & & & & 1 \ \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

Remark. The connection matrices $P_{\sigma,e}$ satisfy the following cocycle conditions: $P_{\sigma,\tau} = P_{\sigma,e}P_{\tau,e}^{-1}$, $P_{\sigma\nu,e} = \sigma(P_{\tau,e})P_{\sigma,e}$ for arbitrary two permutations σ and τ .

§4. Appendix

During the preparation of this paper K. Aomoto suggested to the author the following question: to evaluate the integral (3) over an arbitrary qinterval $\mathscr{C} = [0, \infty(s)]$ of the second kind in terms of the integrals (3) over w_i $(1 \le i \le n)$. The answer is the following.

THEOREM. Under the condition $|q^{-\alpha_1-\cdots-\alpha_n}| < |q^{\alpha_0}| < 1$, we have

$$\int_{[0,\infty(s)]} \Phi(t) d_q t = \sum_{l=1}^n R_l \int_{[0,x_l]} \Phi(t) d_q t ,$$

where

$$R_{\iota}=\left(rac{s}{qx_{\iota}}
ight)^{a_{\mathfrak{0}}-1}rac{\varTheta(q^{a_{l}+1})\varTheta(q^{a_{\mathfrak{0}}+\cdots+a_{n}-2}sx_{\iota}^{-1})}{\varTheta(q^{a_{\mathfrak{0}}+\cdots+a_{n}-1})\varTheta(q^{-1}sx_{\iota}^{-1})}\prod_{j=1}^{n}rac{\varTheta(s/x_{j})}{\varTheta(q^{a_{j}}s/x_{j})}\prod_{j=1}^{n}rac{\varTheta(x_{j}x_{\iota}^{-1}q^{-a_{j}})}{\varTheta(x_{j}x_{\iota}^{-1})}$$
 ,

and

$$\Phi(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(t/x_j)_{\infty}}{(q^{a_j t}/x_j)_{\infty}} .$$

Proof. Set

$$F(t) = \frac{(q^{\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_n-1}/t)_{\infty}(q^{2-\alpha_0-\alpha_1-\alpha_n}t)_{\infty}}{(1/t)_{\infty}(qt)_{\infty}} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{(qx_j/q^{\alpha_j}st)_{\infty}}{(qx_j/st)_{\infty}}$$

and

$$ilde{F}(t)=rac{s^{lpha_0}(1-q)(q)_{\infty}^2}{(q^{lpha_0+lpha_1+\dots+lpha_n-1})_{\infty}(q^{-lpha_0-lpha_1-\dots-lpha_n+2})_{\infty}}\prod_{j=1}^nrac{\varTheta(s/x_j)}{\varTheta(sq^{lpha_j}/x_j)}F(t)\,.$$

Then the residues of $\tilde{F}(t)$ are expressed by the following Jackson integrals.

$$\sum_{-\infty < i < +\infty} \operatorname{Res}_{t=q^i} ilde{F}(t) = \int_{[0,\infty(s)]} ilde{\Phi}(t) d_q t \, ,$$
 $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Res}_{t=qx_l/sq^i} ilde{F}(t) = -R_l \int_{[0,x_l]} ilde{\Phi}(t) d_q t$

where $\operatorname{Res}_{t=x} F(t)$ denotes the residue of a function F(t) at t = x. Therefore it remains to prove

$$\sum_{-\infty < i < +\infty} \operatorname{Res}_{t=q^i} F(t) + \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \operatorname{Res}_{t=qx_l/sq^i} F(t) = 0.$$

We can show it in a similar way to that of Lemma 2; i.e. estimates of the integration of the function F(t) on suitable cycles.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to express his gratitude to Professor Kazuhiko Aomoto for valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] C. R. Adams, On the linear ordinary q-difference equation, Ann. of Math., 30 (1929), 195-205.
- [2] G. E. Andrews, "q-Series: Their development and application in analysis, number theory, combinatorics, physics, and computer algebra", CBMS Regional Conference Series in Math. 66 AMS, 1986.
- [3] K. Aomoto, A note on holonomic q-difference system, Algebraic Analysis I, ed. by M. Kashiwara and T. Kawai, Acad. Press, 1988, 25-28.
- [4] —, private communications with the author.
- [5] R. Askey, The q-gamma and q-beta functions, Applicable Anal., 8 (1980), 346-359.
- [6] —, Ramanujan's extensions of the gamma and beta functions, Amer. Math. Monthly, 87 (1980), 346-359.
- [7] W. N. Bailey, "Generalized Hypergeometric Series", Cambridge University Press, 1935.
- [8] G. D. Birkhoff, The generalized Riemann problem for linear differential and the allied problems for linear difference and q-difference equations, Proc. Amer. Acad. Arts and Sci., 49 (1914), 521-568.

- [9] R. D. Carmichael, The general theory of linear q-difference equations, Amer. J. Math., 34 (1912), 147-168.
- [10] E. Heine, Uber die Reihe...., J. Reine Angew. Math., 32 (1846), 210-212.
- [11] —, Untersuchungen über die Reihe..., J. Reine Angew. Math., 34 (1847), 285– 328.
- [12] F. H. Jackson, On q-definite integrals, Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math., 11 (1910), 193-203.
- [13] K. Mimachi, A proof of Ramanujan's identity by use of loop integrals, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 19 (1988), 1490-1493.
- [14] L. Pochhammer, Ueber eine lineare Differentialgleichung n-ter Ordnung mit einem endlichen singulären Punkte, J. Reine Angew. Math., 108 (1891), 50-87.
- [15] L. J. Slater, "Generalized Hypergeometric Functions", Cambridge University Press, 1966.
- [16] W. J. Trjitzinsky, Analytic theory of linear q-difference equations, Acta Math., 61 (1933), 1-38.
- [17] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, "A Course of Modern Analysis", The Macmillan Company, 1943.

Department of Mathematics School of Science Nagoya University Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-01, Japan