Y. Tanigawa Nagoya Math. J. Vol. 100 (1985), 83-96

# OF DEGREE THREE AND COMMUTATION RELATIONS OF HECKE OPERATORS

### YOSHIO TANIGAWA

In connection with the Shimura correspondence, Shintani [6] and Niwa [4] constructed a modular form by the integral with the theta kernel arising from the Weil representation. They treated the group  $Sp(1) \times O(2,1)$ . Using the special isomorphism of O(2,1) onto SL(2), Shintani constructed a modular form of half-integral weight from that of integral weight. We can write symbolically his case as " $O(2,1) \rightarrow Sp(1)$ ". Then Niwa's case is " $Sp(1) \rightarrow O(2,1)$ ", that is from the half-integral to the integral. Their methods are generalized by many authors. In particular, Niwa's are fully extended by Rallis-Schiffmann to " $Sp(1) \rightarrow O(p,q)$ ".

In [7], Yoshida considered the Weil representation of  $Sp(2) \times O(4)$  and constructed a lifting from an automorphic form on a certain subgroup of O(4) to a Siegel modular form of degree two. In this note, under the spirit of Yoshida, we consider  $Sp(3) \times O(4)$  and construct a Siegel modular form of degree three. We use Kashiwara-Vergne's results [2] for the analysis of the infinite place. Roughly speaking, the representation  $(\lambda, V_{\lambda})$  of O(4) which corresponds to an irreducible component of the Weil representation determines the representation  $\tau(\lambda)$  of GL(3, C). Then we can make the  $V_{\lambda}$ -valued theta series. By integrating the inner product of this theta series and a  $V_{\lambda}$ -valued automorphic form, we get a Siegel modular form (Proposition 1). The main results in this note are commutation relations of Hecke operators (Theorems 1, 2). By these formulas we can express the Andrianov's L-function by the product of the L-functions of original forms. It is desired that the relations of Theorems 1 and 2 are computed more naturally.

Received April 24, 1984.

### §1. Weil representation and the results of Kashiwara and Vergne

Let v be a place of Q. We fix a non-trivial additive character  $\psi_v$  of  $Q_v$ . For a positive integer n, let  $Sp(n,Q_v)$  be a symplectic group of degree n i.e.  $Sp(n,Q_v) = \{g \in GL(2n,Q_v) | {}^tgJg = J\}$  where  $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Let (E,S) be a k-dimensional quadratic space E with a quadratic form  $S[x] = {}^txSx$ . We put  $X_R = M_{k,n}(R)$  for any ring R. We also put  $S[x] = {}^txSx$  for  $x \in X_{Q_v}$ . The function  $q(x) = \psi_v(\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(S[x]))$  defines a character of second degree on  $X_{Q_v}$ . The associated self duality on  $X_{Q_v}$  is given by  $\langle x,y\rangle = \psi_v(\operatorname{tr}({}^tySx))$ . We denote by dx the self-dual measure on  $X_{Q_v}$  with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . The Fourier transform of  $\Phi$  is defined by

$$\Phi^*(x) = \int_{X_{O_n}} \Phi(y) \langle x, y \rangle dy.$$

Then the Weil representation  $R_v$  of  $Sp(n, \mathbf{Q}_v)$  is realized on  $L^2(X_{\mathbf{Q}_v})$  and has the following forms for special elements (cf. Weil [9]):

$$egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} (\ i\ ) & R_vigg(egin{aligned} 1 & b \ 0 & 1 \end{matrix}igg) & \Phi(x) & = \psi_v( ext{tr}\ bS[x]) & \Phi(x) \end{aligned} \qquad ext{for}\ \ b = {}^tb \in M_n(oldsymbol{Q}_v) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{(ii)} \quad R_v \! \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & \iota_{\boldsymbol{a}^{-1}} \end{pmatrix} \! \! \varPhi(x) = |\det\left(a\right)|^{1/2} \! \varPhi(xa) \quad \text{for } a \in GL(n, \ \boldsymbol{Q}_v)$$

(iii) 
$$R_v \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Phi(x) = \Phi^*(x).$$

It is well known that for even k,  $R_v$  is equivalent to a true representation  $\pi_v$  of  $Sp(n, \mathbf{Q}_v)$  (cf. Lion and Vergne [4] p. 212, Yoshida [8]).

Hereafter we choose an additive character so that  $\psi_{\infty}=e^{2\pi ix}$ ,  $x\in R$  and  $\psi_p=e^{-2\pi i\operatorname{Fr}(x)}$ ,  $x\in Q_p$  for each finite place p, where  $\operatorname{Fr}(x)$  is the fractional part of  $x\in Q_p$ .

In [2], Kashiwara and Vergne decompose the Weil representation  $R_{\infty}$  into irreducible components. We will recall briefly their results.

Let (E, S) be a positive definite quadratic space of dimension k. There are two groups acting on  $L^2(X_R)$ , the orthogonal group O(S) of (E, S) and Sp(n, R). The action of O(S) is defined by

$$(\sigma \Phi)(x) = \Phi({}^t \sigma x)$$
 for  $\sigma \in O(S)$ ,

and that of  $Sp(n, \mathbf{R})$  by the Weil representation. It is easily seen that they commute with each other. Therefore we can decompose  $L^2(X_{\mathbf{R}})$  under O(S). Let  $(\lambda, V_{\lambda})$  be an irreducible unitary representation of O(S). Denote by  $L^2(X_{\mathbf{R}}; \lambda)$  the space of all  $V_{\lambda}$ -valued square integrable functions

 $\phi(x)$  on  $X_R$  which satisfies  $\phi(\sigma x) = \lambda(\sigma)\phi(x)$  for  $\sigma \in O(S)$ . Then  $L^2(X_R) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \widehat{O(S)}} L^2(X_R; \lambda') \otimes V_{\lambda}$  where  $\lambda'$  is the contragradient representation of  $\lambda$ .

A polynomial Q(x) on  $X_R$  is said to be pluriharmonic if  $\Delta_{ij}Q=0$  for all i,j. Here  $\Delta_{ij}=\sum_{\ell=1}^k (\partial/\partial x_{\ell i})(\partial/\partial x_{\ell j})$ . Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be the space of all such polynomials.  $GL(n,C)\times O(S)$  acts on  $\mathfrak{h}$  by  $Q(x)\to Q(\sigma^{-1}xa)$  for  $(a,\sigma)\in GL(n,C)\times O(S)$ . For an irreducible representation  $(\lambda,V_{\lambda})$  of O(S), we denote by  $\mathfrak{h}(\lambda)$  the space of all  $V_{\lambda}$ -valued pluriharmonic polynomials Q(x) such that  $Q(\sigma x)=\lambda(\sigma)Q(x)$  for  $\sigma\in O(S)$ . As above, we have  $\mathfrak{h}=\bigoplus_{\lambda\in\widehat{O(S)}}\mathfrak{h}(\lambda')\otimes V_{\lambda}$ . We define  $\tau(\lambda)$  as the representation of GL(n,C) on  $\mathfrak{h}(\lambda)$  by the right translation.

On the other hand, the special representation of Sp(n, R) is defined as follows. Let  $(\tau, V)$  be an irreducible representation of GL(n, C) and  $\delta(a) = \det(a)$  be a one dimensional representation. Let  $Sp(n, R)_2$  be the two fold covering group of Sp(n, R). Then for  $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we define the representation  $T(\tau, h)$  of  $Sp(n, R)_2$  in  $\mathcal{O}(H_n, V)$ , the space of all V-valued holomorphic functions  $f(\mathbb{Z})$  on the Siegel upper half plane  $H_n$ , by

$$(T(\tau, h)(g)f)(Z) = \delta(CZ + D)^{-h/2}\tau({}^{t}(CZ + D))f((AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1})$$

$$\text{for } \tilde{\mathbf{g}}^{\scriptscriptstyle{-1}} = (g, \, \delta(CZ + D)^{\scriptscriptstyle{1/2}}) \in Sp(2, \, \mathbf{\textit{R}})_{\scriptscriptstyle{2}} \, \text{ with } \, g = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem A (Kashiwara and Vergne). Let the notation be as above. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{h}(\lambda) \neq \{0\}$ , then

- (i)  $\tau(\lambda)$  is irreducible
- (ii)  $L^2(X_R; \lambda)$  is equivalent to  $(T(\tau(\lambda), k), \mathcal{O}(H_n, \mathfrak{h}(\lambda)))$ .

The correspondence  $\lambda \to \tau(\lambda)$  is also determined explicitly in their paper.

For any  $Q \in \mathfrak{h}(\lambda)$  and  $Z \in H_n$ , we put

$$f_{Q,Z}(x) = Q(x)e^{\pi\sqrt{-1}\operatorname{tr}(ZS[x])}.$$

 $f_{Q,Z}$  is a  $V_{\lambda}$ -valued function on  $X_R$ . We also put  $\tau = \tau(\lambda)$  and  $V_{\tau} = \mathfrak{h}(\lambda)$ .

Theorem B (Lion and Vergne). Let  $f_{Q,Z}$  be as above, then for any  $g=\begin{pmatrix}A&B\\C&D\end{pmatrix}\in Sp(n,\textit{R}),$ 

$$R_{\infty}(g)f_{Q,Z} = \det(CZ + D)^{-k/2}f_{\tau(t(CZ+D)^{-1})Q,g(Z)}.$$

This theorem is easily proved by checking the above formula for the

generators of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & B \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & {}^{\iota}A^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Especially for  $g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , it is obtained by acting the differential operator  $Q((1/2\pi i)(\partial/\partial x))$  on both sides of the theta formula.

## § 2. Shintani-Yoshida's construction of Siegel modular form of degree three

Let D be a quaternion algebra over Q which does not split only at  $\infty$  and 2. We denote by  $a \to a^*$  the canonical involution of D. Let R be a maximal order in D and Z the center of D. Let  $(\xi_{\nu}, V_{\nu})$  be the symmetric tensor representation of GL(2, C) of degree  $\nu$ . We put  $\sigma_{\nu}(g) = (\xi_{\nu} \cdot \iota)(g)N(g)^{-\nu/2}$  for  $g \in D_{\infty}^{\times}$ , where  $\iota$  is an embedding of  $D_{\infty}^{\times}$  into GL(2, C). Let A be the adele ring of rational field Q and  $D_{A}^{\times}$  be the adelization of  $D^{\times}$ . Then an automorphic form on  $D_{A}^{\times}$  of the type  $(R, \sigma_{\nu})$  is a  $V_{\nu}$ -valued function  $\varphi$  on  $D_{A}^{\times}$  with the following properties:

- (i)  $\varphi(\varUpsilon g) = \varphi(g)$  for any  $\varUpsilon \in D_0^{\times}$  and  $g \in D_A^{\times}$ ,
- (ii)  $\varphi(gk) = \sigma_{\nu}(k)\varphi(g)$  for any  $k \in D_{\infty}^{\times}$  and  $g \in D_{A}^{\times}$ ,
- (iii)  $\varphi(gk) = \varphi(g)$  for any  $k \in (R \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^{\times}$  and  $g \in D_A^{\times}$  where p is any finite place of Q,
  - $\text{(iv)} \quad \varphi(zg) = \varphi(g) \,\, \text{for any} \,\, z \in Z_A^\times \,\, \text{and} \,\, g \in D_A^\times.$

We put (E, S) = (D, norm) as a quadratic space over Q. So the dimension of E is four.  $D^{\times} \times D^{\times}$  acts on E by  $\rho(a, b)x = a^*xb$ ,  $(a, b) \in D^{\times} \times D^{\times}$ . Under this action, the group  $G' = \{(a, b) \in D^{\times} \times D^{\times} | N(a) = N(b) = 1\}$  operates isometrically on E, and is considered as a subgroup of O(S).

Let G=Sp(3) be a symplectic group of degree 3. We put  $K_p=Sp(3, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  for any finite place p and  $K_{\infty}=$  the stabilizer of  $\sqrt{-1}$  in  $G_R$ . We get the local (true) Weil representation  $\pi_v$  of  $G_v$  corresponding to the quadratic space E and the additive character  $\psi_v$  defined in Section 1. The global Weil representation  $\pi$  is also defined in the usual way.

We are going to define a lifting from an automorphic form on  $G'_A$  to that on  $G_A$ . As before we let  $X=M_{4,3}$ . For any finite place p, let  $f_p$  be the characteristic function of  $X_{Z_p}$ . For the infinite place  $\infty$ , let  $\sigma_{n_1}\otimes\sigma_{n_2}$  be an irreducible representation of  $G'_R$  such that  $n_1\equiv n_2\pmod{2}$ . We put  $m_1=(n_1+n_2)/2$ ,  $m_2=|n_1-n_2|/2$  and  $\lambda$  the irreducible representation of  $O(S)_R$  with the signature  $(m_1,m_2)$ . Then  $\sigma_{n_1}\otimes\sigma_{n_2}$  is naturally included in  $\lambda$ . Let  $\tau(\lambda')$  be the representation of GL(3,C) which corres-

ponds to  $\lambda'$ . For any  $Q \in \mathfrak{h}(\lambda')$ , we put  $f_Q = \prod_{v \neq \infty} f_v \times f_{Q, \sqrt{-1}} \in \mathscr{S}(X_A) \otimes V_{\lambda'}$  where  $f_{Q, \sqrt{-1}} = Q(x)e^{-\pi \operatorname{tr}(S[x])}$ . Now we define the theta series by

$$\theta_{f_Q}(g, h) = \sum_{x \in X_Q} (\pi(g) f_Q) (\rho(h) x)$$

for  $g \in G_A$ ,  $h \in G'_A$ . Then from Theorem B, we get

(2.1) 
$$\theta_{f_Q}(gk, h) = \theta_{f_Q}(g, h), \quad \text{for any } k = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix} \in K_{\infty},$$

where  $Q' = (\delta^2 \otimes \tau(\lambda'))({}^t(-B\sqrt{-1} + A)^{-1})Q$ .

Let  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  be automorphic forms on  $D_A^{\times}$  of type  $(R, \sigma_{n_1})$  and  $(R, \sigma_{n_2})$  respectively. Then  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2$  can be regarded as a  $V_{\lambda}$ -valued automorphic form on  $G_A$ . Define a function of  $G_A$  by

$$\Phi_{f_{\mathcal{Q}}}(g) = \int_{G'_{\mathcal{Q}} \setminus G'_{\mathcal{A}}} (\theta_{f_{\mathcal{Q}}}(g, h), \varphi(h)) dh.$$

Here ( , ) is the natural inner product on  $V_{\lambda'}$  and  $V_{\lambda}$ . Take a basis  $B = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_m\}$  of  $\mathfrak{h}(\lambda')$  and fix it. The matrix representation of  $\tau(\lambda')$  with respect to B is also denoted by the same letter. Finally we define the  $C^m$ -valued function on  $G_{\lambda}$  by

(2.2) 
$$\Phi_{B}(g) = (\Phi_{f_{Q_{1}}}(g), \cdots, \Phi_{f_{Q_{m}}}(g)).$$

The next Proposition follows at once by the definitions.

PROPOSITION 1. Let the notation be as above. Then  $\Phi_B(g)$  is a Siegel modular form with respect to the representation  $\delta^2 \otimes \tau(\lambda')$ ; it satisfies the following properties,

- (i)  $\Phi_{{\scriptscriptstyle B}}({\scriptscriptstyle eta}g)=\Phi_{{\scriptscriptstyle B}}(g) \ \ {\it for \ any} \ \ {\it \gamma}\in G_{\it Q}, \ g\in G_{{\scriptscriptstyle A}},$
- (ii)  $\Phi_{\scriptscriptstyle B}(gk) = \Phi_{\scriptscriptstyle B}(g)(\delta^2 \otimes \tau(\lambda'))({}^{\iota}(-B\sqrt{-1}+A)^{-1})$  for any  $k = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix}$   $\in K_{\infty}, \ g \in G_{\scriptscriptstyle A},$ 
  - (iii)  $\Phi_{\scriptscriptstyle B}(gk) = \Phi_{\scriptscriptstyle B}(g)$  for any  $k \in K_{\scriptscriptstyle p}, \, g \in G_{\scriptscriptstyle A}, \, where \, p \, \, \text{is any finite place}.$

To transform into classical notation, we put  $j(g,Z)=(\delta^2\otimes \tau(\lambda'))\cdot ({}^\iota(CZ+D))$  for any  $Z\in H_3$  and  $g=\begin{pmatrix}A&B\\C&D\end{pmatrix}\in Sp(3,\textbf{R})$ . Then j(g,Z) satisfies the cocycle relation  $j(g_1g_2,Z)=j(g_2,Z)j(g_1,g_2(Z))$ . For any point  $Z\in H_3$  we choose an element  $g\in Sp(3,\textbf{R})$  such that  $g(\sqrt{-1})=Z$  and put  $g'=1_f\cdot g\in G_A$ , where  $1_f$  is an element of the finite part of  $G_A$  such that all the p-component is equal to 1. Then  $F(Z)=\Phi_B(g')j(g,\sqrt{-1})$  satisfies the transformation formula  $F(\varUpsilon(Z))=F(Z)j(\varUpsilon,Z)$  for  $\varUpsilon\in Sp(3,\textbf{Q})\cap\prod_{p\neq\infty}K_p$ .

### § 3. Hecke operators

Let  $\tilde{G}$  be the group of symplectic similitude of degree 3 i.e.

$$ilde{G}_F = \{g \in GL(6,F) | {}^tgJg = m(g)J, m(g) \in F^{ imes} \}$$

for any field F. In order to consider Hecke operators we must extend the function on  $G_Q\backslash G_A$  to the function on  $\tilde{G}_A$ . For that purpose we will adopt Yoshida's standard extension. Put  $\tilde{K}_p = \tilde{G}_{Q_p} \cap GL(6, Z_p)$  and  $\tilde{G}_{\infty,+} = \{g \in GL(6,R) \mid {}^t gJg = m(g)J, m(g)>0\}$ . By the approximation theorem, we have  $\tilde{G}_A = \tilde{G}_Q \cdot \prod_{p \neq \infty} \tilde{K}_p \cdot \tilde{G}_{\infty,+}$ . Let  $\nu$  be an element of  $\tilde{G}_A$  such that  $\nu_p = \begin{pmatrix} 1_3 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_p 1_3 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\mu_p \in Z_p^{\times}$ , for each finite place p and p are p and p are p and p and p and p and p and p are p and p are p and p and p and p are p and p are p and p are p and p are p and p are p and p are p and p are p are p and p are p are p and p are p ar

We put  $S_p = \{g \in M_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbf{Z}_p) | {}^tgJg = m(g)J, m(g) \neq 0\}$ . For the Hecke pair  $(\tilde{K}_p, S_p)$  we denote by  $\mathscr{L}(\tilde{K}_p, S_p)$  the corresponding Hecke ring. It is well known that the complete representatives of the double cosets  $\tilde{K}_p \backslash S_p / \tilde{K}_p$  is given by

where  $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq d_3 \leq e_3 \leq e_2 \leq e_1$  and  $m(\alpha) = p^{d_i + e_i}$  for any i. We denote the element  $\tilde{K}_p \alpha \tilde{K}_p$  of  $\mathcal{L}(\tilde{K}_p, S_p)$  by  $T(p^{d_1}, p^{d_2}, p^{d_3}, p^{e_1}, p^{e_2}, p^{e_3})$  and put  $m(\tilde{K}_p \alpha \tilde{K}_p) = m(\alpha)$ . For a non-negative integer n, we define the Hecke operator of degree  $p^n$  by  $T(p^n) = \sum \tilde{K}_n \alpha \tilde{K}_p$  where the summation is taken over all distinct double cosets  $\tilde{K}_p \alpha \tilde{K}_p$  with  $m(\tilde{K}_p \alpha \tilde{K}_p) = p^n$ .

 $\mathscr{L}(\vec{K}_p,S_p)$  is a polynomial ring generated by  $T_0=T(1,\,1,\,1,\,p,\,p,\,p),$   $T_1=T(1,\,1,\,p,\,p^2,\,p^2,\,p),$   $T_2=T(1,\,p,\,p,\,p^2,\,p,\,p)$  and  $T_3=T(p,\,p,\,p,\,p,\,p,\,p).$  Define a local Hecke series by  $D_p(s)=\sum_{n=0}^\infty T(p^n)p^{-n\,s}.$ 

Theorem C (Andrianov). Let the notation be as above and put  $t=p^{-s}$ . Then

(3.1) 
$$D_p(s) = \left[\sum_{n=0}^{6} (-1)^{n+1} e(n) t^n\right] \times \left[\sum_{n=0}^{8} (-1)^n f(n) t^n\right]^{-1},$$

where

$$\begin{array}{l} e(0)=-1,\ e(1)=0,\ e(2)=p^2(T_2+(p^4+p^2+1)T_3),\ e(3)=p^4(p+1)T_0T_3,\\ e(4)=p^7(T_2T_3+(p^4+p^2+1)T_3^2),\ e(5)=0,\ e(6)=-p^{15}T_3^3;\ f(0)=1,\\ f(1)=T_0,\ f(2)=pT_1+p(p^2+1)T_2+(p^5+p^4+p^3+p)T_3,\\ f(3)=p^3(T_0T_2+T_0T_3),\ f(4)=p^6T_0^2T_3+p^6T_2^2-2p^7T_1T_3-2p^6(p-1)T_2T_3\\ -(p^{12}+2p^{11}+2p^{10}+2p^7-p^6)T_3^2,\ f(5)=p^6T_3f(3),\ f(6)=p^{12}T_3^2f(2),\\ f(7)=p^{18}T_3^3f(1),\ f(8)=p^{24}T_3^4. \end{array}$$

For  $\tilde{K}_p \alpha \tilde{K}_p \in \mathcal{L}(\tilde{K}_p, S_p)$ , let  $\tilde{K}_p \alpha \tilde{K}_p = \bigcup \alpha_i \tilde{K}_p$  be a right cosets decomposition.  $\alpha_i$  may be considered as an element of  $\tilde{G}_A$  by the canonical embedding  $\tilde{G}_{Q_p} \longrightarrow \tilde{G}_A$ . Let  $\Phi$  be a Siegel modular form and  $\tilde{\Phi}$  its standard extension. We define the action of  $\tilde{K}_p \alpha \tilde{K}_p$  on  $\tilde{\Phi}$  by  $(\tilde{\Phi} \mid \tilde{K}_p \alpha \tilde{K}_p)(g) = \sum_i \tilde{\Phi}(g\alpha_i)$ , which does not depend on the choice of representatives  $\alpha_i$ . Suppose that  $\Phi$  is an eigenfunction of all Hecke operators:  $\tilde{\Phi} \mid T(m) = \lambda(m)\tilde{\Phi}$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , m > 0. Then by the Theorem C of Andrianov, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda(p^n) n^{-ns} = G_{p,\phi}(p^{-s}) H_{p,\phi}(p^{-s})^{-1},$$

where  $G_{p,\phi}$  (resp.  $H_{p,\phi}$ ) is the polynomial given by the numerator (resp. denominator) in (3.1) after replacing the e(n) (resp. f(n)) with the corresponding eigenvalues. We define the Andrianov's L-function by the Euler product

$$L(s,\Phi) = \prod_{p} H_{p,\phi}(p^{-s})^{-1}.$$

On the other hand, any odd prime p is unramified in D. Therefore  $D_p = D \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p$  is isomorphic to  $M_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ . Hence we get the p-part of Hecke operators in the usual way. If  $\varphi$  is an automorphic form  $D_A^{\times}$  such that  $\varphi \mid T(p) = \lambda'(p)\varphi$  for all  $p \neq 2$ , we define the L-function of  $\varphi$  by

$$L(s, \varphi) = \prod_{p \neq 2} \frac{1}{1 - \lambda'(p)p^{-s} + p^{1-2s}}.$$

Note that in this paper we don't set any normalization in the definitions of Hecke operators and L-functions.

The following Proposition will be used in Section 4.

PROPOSITION D (Yoshida). Let V be a vector space over  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $f = \prod f_v$ , where  $f_p$  is a characteristic function of  $X_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  for finite p and  $f_{\infty}$  is an element of  $\mathscr{S}(X_{\mathbf{R}}) \otimes V$ . Define the theta series by  $\theta_f(g, h) = \sum_{x \in X_0} \pi(g) f(\rho(h)x)$  for

 $(g,h) \in G_A \times O(S)_A$ . For a double coset  $\tilde{K}_p \alpha \tilde{K}_p$  with  $m(\alpha) = p^{d_1 + e_1}$ , let  $\tilde{K}_p \alpha \tilde{K}_p = \bigcup \alpha_i \tilde{K}_p$  be a right cosets decomposition.

(i) When  $d_1 + e_1$  is odd,  $d_1 + e_1 = 2t + 1$   $(t \in \mathbb{Z})$ , we put

$$z = p^{-t} igg( egin{matrix} 1_3 & 0 \ 0 & p^{-1} 1_s \end{pmatrix} \in ilde{G}_Q.$$

Then for any element  $g \in \tilde{G}_{\scriptscriptstyle{A}}$  we have

$$\sum_{i} \tilde{ heta}_{f}(glpha_{i},h) = \tilde{ heta}_{f'}\left(egin{pmatrix} 1_{3} & 0 \ 0 & p^{-1}1_{3} \end{pmatrix}_{\infty}g,h
ight)$$

where  $f' = \prod_{v \neq p} f_v \times f'_p$  and  $f'_p = \sum_i \pi_p(z_p \alpha_i) f_p$ .

(ii) When  $d_1+e_1$  is even,  $d_1+e_1=2t(t\in Z)$ , we put  $z=p^{-t}1_{\scriptscriptstyle 6}\in \tilde{G}_Q$ . Then for any element  $g\in \tilde{G}_A$ , we have

$$\sum_{i} \tilde{\theta}_{f}(g\alpha_{i}, h) = \tilde{\theta}_{f}(g, h)$$

where  $f' = \prod_{v \neq p} f_v \times f'_p$  and  $f'_p = \sum_i \pi_p(z_p \alpha_i) f_p$ .

### § 4. Local computation of Hecke operators

In this section we will compute the action of Hecke operators on  $\Phi_B$  explicitly. It is enough to determine  $f_p' = \sum_i \pi_p(\mathbf{z}_p \alpha_i) f_p$  by Proposition D. First note that, if we put  $\Gamma = Sp(3, \mathbf{Z})$ , the left cosets decomposition  $\Gamma \alpha \Gamma = \bigcup_i \Gamma \alpha_i$  corresponds to the right cosets decomposition  $\tilde{K}_p \alpha \tilde{K}_p = \bigcup_i m(\alpha) \alpha_i^{-1} \tilde{K}_p$ . It is well known that the representatives  $\{\alpha_i\}$  can be given by

$$lpha_{ijk} = egin{pmatrix} A_i & B_{ik} \\ 0 & D_i \end{pmatrix} egin{pmatrix} U_{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & {}^tU_{ii}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $A_i=egin{pmatrix} p^{a_{i1}} & 0 \ 0 & p^{a_{i2}} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $0{\leqq}a_{i1}{\leqq}a_{i2}{\leqq}a_{i3}$  with  $D_i=m(lpha)A_i^{-1}$  integral,

 $B_{ik}$  is taken over the complete set of representatives of integral matrices mod  $D_i$  with

$$egin{pmatrix} A_i & B_{ik} \ 0 & D_i \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma lpha \Gamma, \quad ext{and} \quad SL(3, oldsymbol{Z}) = igcup_j (SL(3, oldsymbol{Z}) \cap A_i^{-1} SL(3, oldsymbol{Z}) A_i) U_{ij}.$$

Suppose that  $m(\alpha) = p$  or  $p^2$ . For each i, we define the function on  $X_{q_p}$  by

$$f_p^{(i)}(x) = \sum_k \psi_p(\text{tr}(-B_{ik}D_i^{-1}S[x]))f_p(x).$$

Then by Proposition D, we have

$$egin{aligned} f_p'(x) &= \sum\limits_{ijk} \pi_p(z_p m(lpha) lpha_{ijk}^{-1}) f_p(x) \ &= \sum\limits_{ijk} \pi_pigg(igg(egin{aligned} U_{ij}^{-1} & 0 \ 0 & {}^{\iota}U_{ij} \end{matrix}igg) \cdot \pi_pigg(igg(egin{aligned} pA_i^{-1} & 0 \ 0 & m(lpha)/pD_i^{-1} \end{matrix}igg) igg(igg1 & -B_{ik}D_i^{-1} igg) igg) f_p(x) \ &= \sum\limits_{i,j} \pi_pigg(igg(igcU_{ij}^{-1} & 0 \ 0 & {}^{\iota}U_{ij} \end{matrix}igg) igg) |\det(pA_i^{-1})|^2 \\ & imes \sum\limits_{k} \psi_p(\operatorname{tr}(-B_{ik}D_i^{-1}S[xpA_i^{-1}])) f_p(xpA_i^{-1}) \ &= \sum\limits_{i} |\det(pA_i^{-1})|^2 \sum\limits_{i} f_p^{(i)}(xp(A_iU_{ij})^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Henceforth we write the above  $f'_p(x)$  by  $(f_p | \tilde{K}_p \alpha \tilde{K}_p)(x)$  to clarify the operation of  $\tilde{K}_n \alpha \tilde{K}_p$ .

First we deal with the Hecke operator of degree p.

Theorem 1. We assume that p is an odd prime number. Put

$$egin{aligned} G_0(x) &= \sum\limits_{v=0}^{p-1} f_p\Big(
ho\Big(inom{p}{0} & vig), 1\Big)x\Big) + f_p\Big(
ho\Big(inom{1}{0} & 0ig), 1\Big)x\Big) \ &+ \sum\limits_{v=0}^{p-1} f_p\Big(
ho\Big(1, inom{p}{0} & vig)\Big)x\Big) + f_p\Big(
ho\Big(1, inom{1}{0} & pig)\Big)x\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Then for an element  $T_0 = T(1, 1, 1, p, p, p)$  of  $\mathcal{L}(\tilde{K}_p, S_p)$ , we have

(4.1) 
$$(f_p | T_0)(x) = \frac{p+1}{p} G_0(x).$$

*Proof.* We will write  $Y=M_{4,1}$  for simplicity. We prove the above equality case by case. First note that for any  $a\in GL(3, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  both sides of the equality are invariant under  $x\to xa$ . We will frequently use this remark for a= permutation matrices,  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  etc. Now let us write down all  $A_i$  and  $U_{ij}$ .

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{(ii)} & A_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} = 1_{\scriptscriptstyle 3} & \text{and } \{U_{\scriptscriptstyle 1j}\} = \{1_{\scriptscriptstyle 3}\} \\ \text{(iii)} & A_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \{U_{\scriptscriptstyle 2j}\} = \left\{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 & \beta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ 0 \leq \alpha, \ \beta, \ \gamma \leq p-1 \right\}$$

(iv) 
$$A_4 = p1_3$$
 and  $\{U_{4j}\} = \{1_3\}.$ 

We put  $S[x] = (u_{ij})$  and define the subsets of  $X_{z_p}$  by

$$egin{aligned} V_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} &= \{x \in X_{oldsymbol{Z}_p} \mid u_{\scriptscriptstyle ij} \in poldsymbol{Z}_p \; ext{ for all } i \; ext{ and } j\}, \ V_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} &= \{x \in X_{oldsymbol{Z}_p} \mid u_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}, \; u_{\scriptscriptstyle 12}, \; u_{\scriptscriptstyle 22} \in poldsymbol{Z}_p\}, \ V_{\scriptscriptstyle 3} &= \{x \in X_{oldsymbol{Z}_p} \mid u_{\scriptscriptstyle 11} \in poldsymbol{Z}_p\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\phi_i$  denote the characteristic function of  $V_i$ . Then we have

$$f_p^{(1)} = p^6 \phi_1, \quad f_p^{(2)} = p^3 \phi_2, \quad f_p^{(3)} = p \phi_3, \quad f_p^{(4)} = f_p.$$

Therefore for  $x=(x_{\scriptscriptstyle 1},\,x_{\scriptscriptstyle 2},\,x_{\scriptscriptstyle 3})\in X_{q_{\scriptscriptstyle p}},\;x_{\scriptscriptstyle i}\in Y_{q_{\scriptscriptstyle p}},$  we have

$$egin{aligned} (f_p | T_0) &= \phi_1(px) + p^{-1} \{ \sum\limits_{0 \leq lpha, eta \leq p-1} \phi_2(px_1, px_2, -lpha x_1 - eta x_2 + x_3) \ &+ \sum\limits_{0 \leq \gamma \leq p-1} \phi_2(px_1, px_3, eta x_1 - x_2) + \phi_2(px_2, px_3, x_1) \} \ &+ p^{-1} \{ \sum\limits_{0 \leq lpha, eta \leq p-1} \phi_3(px_1, -lpha x_1 + x_2, -eta x_1 + x_3) \ &+ \sum\limits_{0 \leq \gamma \leq p-1} \phi_3(px_2, -eta x_2 + x_3, x_1) + \phi_3(px_3, x_1, x_2) \} + f_p(x). \end{aligned}$$

By the above remark, we have only to consider the following cases.

Case 1. We assume  $x\in X_{Z_p}$ . In this case, all the terms occur, so that  $(f_p\,|\,T_0)(x)=(2(p\,+\,1)^2)/p$  and  $G_0(x)=2(p\,+\,1)$ .

Case 2. We assume  $px \notin X_{z_p}$ . In this case, all the terms vanish, so that both sides of (4.1) equal to zero.

Case 3. We assume that  $x_1 \notin Y_{Z_p}$  and  $px_1, x_2, x_3 \in Y_{Z_p}$ . Then

$$(f_p | T_0)(x) = egin{cases} 2(p+1)/p & ext{if } u_{11} \in p^{-1} Z_n \ 0 & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now let us compute  $G_0(x)$ . By the above remark we may assume that

$$x_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix} \quad \alpha \in p^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_p, \quad \alpha \notin \mathbf{Z}_p.$$

Then we have

$$egin{aligned} inom{p}{0} & v \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^* x_1 &= inom{lpha - v eta}{0}, & inom{1}{0} & p \end{pmatrix}^* x_1 &= inom{p lpha 0}{0} & eta, \\ x_1 inom{p}{0} & v \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} &= inom{p lpha v lpha}{0}, & x_1 inom{1}{0} & p \end{pmatrix} &= inom{lpha 0}{0} & p eta. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  if and only if  $u_{11} \in p^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Therefore we have

$$G_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(x) = egin{cases} 2 & ext{if} \;\; u_{\scriptscriptstyle 11} \in p^{\scriptscriptstyle -1} Z_{\scriptscriptstyle p} \ 0 & ext{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Case 4. We assume that  $x_1, x_2 \notin Y_{Z_p}, px_1, px_2, x_3 \in Y_{Z_p}$ , and there is no  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $sx_1 + x_2 \in Y_{Z_p}$ . Then we have

$$(f_p | T_0)(x) = egin{cases} (p+1)/p & ext{if } u_{11}, \ u_{12}, \ u_{22} \in p^{-1}Z_p \ 0 & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, let  $x_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}$  and  $x_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha' & \gamma' \\ \delta' & \beta' \end{pmatrix}$  with  $\alpha \notin \mathbf{Z}_p$ . As in the Case 3,  $G_0(x) = 0$  if  $u_{11} \notin p^{-1}\mathbf{Z}_p$ . Hence we can suppose that  $\beta \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ . We have only to consider the following two terms:

$$egin{pmatrix} ig( 1 & 0 \ 0 & p ig)^* x_2 = ig( egin{pmatrix} plpha' & p\gamma' \ \delta' & eta' \end{pmatrix} & ext{and} & x_2ig( egin{pmatrix} p & 0 \ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = ig( egin{pmatrix} plpha' & \gamma' \ p\delta' & eta' \end{pmatrix}.$$

When  $\beta' \notin \mathbb{Z}_p$  we have  $G_0(x) = 0$ . On the other hand, if  $\beta' \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , the above condition implies that there does not occur the case that both  $\gamma'$  and  $\delta'$  belong to  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . So that we have

$$G_0(x) = egin{cases} 1 & ext{if } \varUpsilon' \in oldsymbol{Z}_p ext{ and } \delta' 
otin oldsymbol{Z}_p, ext{ or } \varUpsilon' 
otin oldsymbol{Z}_p ext{ and } \delta' \in oldsymbol{Z}_p \ 0 & ext{if } \varUpsilon', \, \delta' \in p^{-1}oldsymbol{Z}_p - oldsymbol{Z}_p. \end{cases}$$

Anyway,  $G_0(x) = 1$  if and only if  $\beta$ ,  $\beta' \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $\gamma'\delta' \in p^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\gamma' \notin \mathbb{Z}_p$  or  $\delta' \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , which is equivalent to  $u_{11}$ ,  $u_{12}$ ,  $u_{22} \in p^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Otherwise  $G_0(x) = 0$ . Therefore we get the equality (4.1) in this case.

Case 5. We assume that  $x_i\not\in Y_{Z_p}$ ,  $px_i\in Y_{Z_p}$  for i=1,2,3, and for any pair (i,j) there is no  $r\in Z$  such that  $rx_i+x_j\in Y_{Z_p}$  and there are no  $s,t\in Z$  such that  $sx_1+tx_2+x_3\in Y_{Z_p}$ . Then we have  $(f_p|T_0)(x)=\phi_1(px)$ . We shall see that it is equal to zero. Let  $x_1=\begin{pmatrix}\alpha&0\\0&\beta\end{pmatrix},\ x_2=\begin{pmatrix}\alpha'&\gamma'\\\delta'&\beta'\end{pmatrix}$  and  $x_3=\begin{pmatrix}\alpha''&\gamma''\\\delta''&\beta''\end{pmatrix}$  with  $\alpha\not\in Z_p$ . Suppose that  $u_{ij}\in p^{-1}Z_p$  for all i and j. Then we have  $\beta\in Z_p$ ,  $\beta'\in Z_p$ ,  $\gamma''\delta'\in p^{-1}Z_p$ ,  $\beta''\in Z_p$ ,  $\gamma''\delta''\in p^{-1}Z_p$  and  $\gamma'\delta''+\gamma''\delta'\in p^{-1}Z_p$ .

Subcase 1. We assume  $i' \notin \mathbb{Z}_p$ . We have  $\delta' \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\delta'' \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . But then there exist r and s in  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $rx_1 + sx_2 + x_3 \in Y_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ , which contradicts our assumption.

Subcase 2. We assume  $\delta' \notin \mathbb{Z}_p$ . This is also impossible as above.

Subcase 3. We assume  $\varUpsilon$ ,  $\delta' \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . From  $x_2 \notin Y_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$  we have  $\alpha' \notin \mathbb{Z}_p$ , but then there exists r in  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $rx_1 + x_2 \in Y_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ , which also contradicts our assumption. Therefore we have  $(f_p \mid T_0)(x) = 0$ .

On the other hand, by the same method as Case 4, we have  $G_0(x)=1$  if and only if

$$\beta$$
,  $\beta'$ ,  $\beta'' \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ ,

and

$$\widetilde{\gamma}' \in p^{-1} \boldsymbol{Z}_p - \boldsymbol{Z}_p, \quad \delta' \in \boldsymbol{Z}_p, \quad \widetilde{\gamma}'' \in p^{-1} \boldsymbol{Z}_p - \boldsymbol{Z}_p, \quad \delta'' \in \boldsymbol{Z}_p, \quad \text{or}$$

$$\widetilde{\gamma}' \in \boldsymbol{Z}_p, \quad \delta' \in p^{-1} \boldsymbol{Z}_p - \boldsymbol{Z}_p, \quad \widetilde{\gamma}'' \in \boldsymbol{Z}_p, \quad \delta'' \in p^{-1} \boldsymbol{Z}_p - \boldsymbol{Z}_p.$$

If this is true, there exist s and t in Z such that  $sx_1 + tx_2 + x_3 \in Y_{Z_p}$ , which also contradicts our assumption, so that we have  $G_0(x) = 0$ . This completes the proof. q.e.d.

Let  $\varphi_i$  be an automorphic form on  $D_A^{\times}$ . We constructed the Siegel modular form  $\Phi_B$  of degree 3 for some fixed basis B of  $\mathfrak{h}(\lambda)$  in Proposition 1. The following corollary is an easy consequence of Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 1. Let p be an odd prime number. Suppose that  $\varphi_i$  is an eigenfunction of T(p) with the eigenvalue  $\lambda_i(p)$ . Then  $\Phi_B$  is also an eigenfunction of  $T_0$  with eigenvalue  $p^2(p+1)(\lambda_1(p)+\lambda_2(p))$ .

Next we deal with the Hecke operators of degree  $p^2$ . To state the commutation relations for Hecke operators  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ , we introduce two functions:

$$G_1(x) = \sum_{v_1, v_2 = 0}^{p-1} f_p \Big( 
ho \Big( inom{p}{0} \quad v_1 \Big), inom{p}{0} \quad v_2 \Big) \Big) x \Big) + \sum_{v_1 = 0}^{p-1} f_p \Big( 
ho \Big( inom{p}{0} \quad v_1 \Big), inom{1}{0} \quad p \Big) x \Big) \\ + \sum_{v_2 = 0}^{p-1} f_p \Big( 
ho \Big( inom{1}{0} \quad p \Big), inom{p}{0} \quad v_2 \Big) \Big) x \Big) + f_p \Big( 
ho \Big( inom{1}{0} \quad p \Big), inom{1}{0} \quad p \Big) x \Big) \\ G_2(x) = \sum_{v=0}^{p^2-1} f_p \Big( 
ho \Big( inom{p^2}{0} \quad v \Big), 1 \Big) x \Big) + \sum_{v=1}^{p-1} f_p \Big( 
ho \Big( inom{p}{0} \quad v \Big), 1 \Big) x \Big) + f_p \Big( 
ho \Big( inom{1}{0} \quad p^2 \Big), 1 \Big) x \Big) \\ + \sum_{v=0}^{p^2-1} f_p \Big( 
ho \Big( 1, inom{p^2}{0} \quad v \Big) \Big) x \Big) + \sum_{v=1}^{p-1} f_p \Big( 
ho \Big( 1, inom{p}{0} \quad v \Big) \Big) x \Big) + f_p \Big( 
ho \Big( 1, inom{1}{0} \quad p^2 \Big) \Big) x \Big).$$

Theorem 2. Let the notation be as above. We assume that p is an odd prime number. Let  $T_2 = T(1, p, p, p^2, p, p)$  and  $T(p^2)$  be elements of Hecke ring  $\mathcal{L}(\tilde{K}_v, S_v)$  defined in Section 3. Then

$$(4.2) (f_p | T_2)(px) + f_p(px) = p^2 \{G_1(x) + (p^2 + p + 1)f_p(px)\}$$

$$(4.3) (f_p | T(p^2))(px) = p^4(p^2 + p + 1)G_2(x) + p^5(p + 2)G_1(x) + p^5(2p + 1)f_p(px).$$

The proofs of (4.2) and (4.3) are similar to that of (4.1) but more complicated, so we omit them here.

COROLLARY 2. Let  $\varphi_i$  be an automorphic form on  $D_A^{\times}$  for i=1,2 and  $\Phi_B$  be the Siegel modular form constructed by them. Suppose that  $\varphi_i$  be an eigenfunction of T(1,p) with eigenvalue  $\lambda_i(p)$ , i=1,2. Then

(i) 
$$\Phi_B | T_2 = (p^2 \lambda_1(p) \lambda_2(p) + p^4 + p^3 + p^2 - 1) \Phi_B$$

$$egin{aligned} ext{(ii)} \quad & arPhi_{\scriptscriptstyle B} \, | \, T(p^2) = \{ p^4(p^2+p+1) (\lambda_1(p)^2 + \lambda_2(p)^2) + p^5(p+2) \lambda_1(p) \lambda_2(p) \ & - p^4(2p^3+2p^2+3p+2) \} arPhi_{\scriptscriptstyle B}. \end{aligned}$$

In fact,  $\varphi_i$  is also an eigenfunction of  $T(1, p^2)$  with the eigenvalue  $\mu_i(p^2) = \lambda_i(p)^2 - (p+1)$ . Then (i) and the following (ii)' are easy consequences of Theorem 2:

$$egin{aligned} ext{(ii)'} & arPhi_B | T(p^2) = \{ p^4(p^2+p+1) (\mu_1(p^2)+\mu_2(p^2)) + p^5(p+2) \lambda_1(p) \lambda_2(p) \ & + p^5(2p+1) \} arPhi_B. \end{aligned}$$

We get (ii) at once from (ii)'.

It is clear that the Hecke operator  $T_3 = T(p, p, p, p, p, p)$  acts trivially on  $f_p$  so we have  $\Phi_B | T_3 = \Phi_B$ .

By Theorem C of Andrianov, we know the following relation:

$$pT_1 = T_0^2 - T(p^2) - p(p^2 + p + 1)T_2 - p(p^5 + p^4 + 2p^3 + p^2 + p + 1)T_3.$$

This gives us the eigenvalue of  $T_1$ :

$$egin{aligned} arPhi_B | \, T_1 &= \{ p^4 (\lambda_1(p)^2 + \lambda_2(p)^2) + p^2 (p^3 + p^2 + p - 1) \lambda_1(p) \lambda_2(p) \ &+ p^2 (p^4 - p^3 - p^2 - 2p - 1) \} arPhi_B. \end{aligned}$$

Let f(n) be as defined in Theorem C and  $\lambda(n)$  the corresponding eigenvalue:  $\Phi_B | f(n) = \lambda(n)\Phi_B$ . Then, using these formulas, we have

$$H_{p,\phi_B}(t) = \sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda(n) t^n = \prod\limits_{i=1}^{2} (1-\lambda_i(p) p^3 t + p^7 t^2) (1-\lambda_i(p) p^2 t + p^5 t^2).$$

Therefore we get the following theorem.

Theorem 3. Let the notation and assumptions be as in Corollary 2. Define the L-function of  $\varphi_i$  by

$$L(s, \varphi_i) = \prod_{p \neq 2} (1 - \lambda_i(p)p^{-s} + p^{1-2s})^{-1}.$$

Then, up to the Euler 2-factor, the L-function of  $\Phi_B$  can be expressed by

$$L(s, \Phi_{\scriptscriptstyle B}) = \prod\limits_{i=1}^{2} L(s-3, \varphi_{\scriptscriptstyle i}) L(s-2, \varphi_{\scriptscriptstyle i}).$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. N. Andrianov, Shimura's conjecture for Siegel's modular group of genus 3, Soviet Math. Dokl., 8 (1967), No. 6, 1474-1478.
- [2] M. Kashiwara and M. Vergne, On the Segal-Shale-Weil representations and harmonic polynomials, Invent. Math., 44 (1978), 1-47.
- [3] G. Lion and M. Vergne, The Weil representation, Maslov index and theta series, Birkhäuser, Progress in Math., 6 (1980).
- [4] S. Niwa, Modular forms of half integral weight and the integral of certain theta functions, Nagoya Math. J., 56 (1974), 147-161.
- [5] G. Shimura, On modular forms of half integral weight, Ann. of Math., 97 (1973). 440-481.
- [6] T. Shintani, On construction of holomorphic cusp forms of half integral weight, Nagoya Math. J., 58 (1975), 83-126.
- [7] H. Yoshida, Siegel's modular forms and the arithmetic of quadratic forms, Invent. Math., 60 (1980), 193-248.
- [8] —, Weil's representations of the symplectic groups over finite fields, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 31 (1979), 399-426.
- [9] A. Weil, Sur certains groupes d'opérateurs unitaires, Acta Math., 111 (1964), 143-211.

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Nagoya University Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464 Japan