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## 0. Introduction

Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a commutative (Noetherian) ring, $\mathbf{M}$ an $\mathbf{R}$-module and let $\mathscr{F}=$ $\left(\mathbf{F}_{i}\right)_{i \geq 0}$ be a filtration of $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{R})$ which admits $\mathbf{M}$.

A complex of $\mathbf{R}$-modules is said to be of Cousin type if it satisfies the four conditions of ([GO], 3.2) which are reproduced below (Definition (1.5)). In ([RSZ], 3.4), Riley, Sharp and Zakeri proved that the complex, which is constructed from a chain of special triangular subsets defined in terms of $\mathscr{F}$ (Example (1.3)(3)), is of Cousin type for $\mathbf{M}$ with respect to $\mathscr{F}$ (Corollary (3.5)(2)). Gibson and O'carroll ([GO], 3.6) showed that the complex, which is obtained by means of a chain $\mathscr{U}=\left(\mathbf{U}_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ of saturated triangular subsets and the filtration $\mathscr{G}=\left(\mathbf{G}_{i}\right)_{i \geq 0}$ in. duced by $\mathscr{U}$ and $\mathbf{M}$, is of Cousin type for $\mathbf{M}$ with respect to $\mathscr{G}$ (Corollary (3.5)(3)).

The purpose of this paper is to show that, when the complex is defined by a chain of triangular subsets, one can give a simpler criterion, consisting of only two conditions, for being of Cousin type (Theorem (3.1) and Corollary (3.2)). In fact, we prove that, for every complex induced by a chain of triangular subsets, the first and the second conditions of the definition of Cousin type hold (Remark (2.5)).

In ([RSZ], 3.3), Riley, Sharp and Zakeri proved that every complex of Cousin type for $\mathbf{M}$ with respect to $\mathscr{F}$ is isomorphic to the Cousin complex. Hence when we investigate the structure of a complex of Cousin type, it is useful to study the complex $\mathbf{C}(U, \mathbf{M})$ of Cousin type which is constructed from special modules of generalized fractions (Corollary (3.5)) whose properties are well known.

We also get a refinement of the Exactness theorem ([SZ2], 3.3 and [O], 3.1) in our Proposition (2.13).
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## 1. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, $\mathbf{R}$ is a commutative ring with identity and $\mathbf{M}$ is an $\mathbf{R}$-module. We use ${ }^{T}$ to denote matrix transpose and $\mathbf{D}_{n}(\mathbf{R})$ to denote the set of all $n \times n$ lower triangular matrices over $\mathbf{R}$. For $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbf{D}_{n}(\mathbf{R}),|\mathbf{H}|$ denotes the determinant of $\mathbf{H}$. N denotes the set of positive integers.

Definition (1.1) ([SZ1], 2.1). Let $n$ be a positive integer. A non-empty subset $\mathbf{U}_{n}$ of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ is said to be triangular if
(i) whenever $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{U}_{n}$, then $\left(a_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}, \ldots, a_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}\right) \in \mathbf{U}_{n}$ for all choices of posi-
tive integers $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$; and
(ii) whenever $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ and $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{U}_{n}$, then there exist $\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{U}_{n}$ and $\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{K} \in \mathbf{D}_{n}(\mathbf{R})$ such that $\mathbf{H}\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right]^{T}=\left[c_{1} \ldots c_{1}\right]^{T}=\mathbf{K}\left[b_{1} \ldots b_{n}\right]^{T}$.

Definition (1.2) ([S4], 1.1 and 1.2). Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a ring and $\mathbf{M}$ an $\mathbf{R}$-module. A filtration of $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{R})$ is a descending sequence $\mathscr{F}=\left(\mathbf{F}_{i}\right)_{i \geq 0}$ of subsets of $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{R})$, so that

$$
\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{R}) \supset \mathbf{F}_{0} \supset \mathbf{F}_{1} \supset \cdots \supset \mathbf{F}_{t} \supset \mathbf{F}_{i+1} \supset \cdots,
$$

with the property that, for each $i \geq 0$, each member of $\mathbf{F}_{i} \backslash \mathbf{F}_{i+1}$ is a minimal mem. ber of $\mathbf{F}_{t}$ with respect to inclusion. We then set $\partial \mathbf{F}_{\imath}=\mathbf{F}_{i} \backslash \mathbf{F}_{t+1}$. We say that the filtration $\mathscr{F}$ admits an $\mathbf{R}$-module $\mathbf{M}$ if $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{M}) \subset \mathbf{F}_{0}$. Let $\mathscr{F}_{M}=\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{M}_{1}}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ be the $\mathbf{M}$-height filtration of $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{R})$, i.e., $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{M} i}=\left\{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{M}): \mathrm{ht}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathfrak{p} \geq i\right\}$.

We say that a sequence of elements $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ of $\mathbf{R}$ is a poor $\mathbf{M}$-sequence if $a_{i}$ is not a zerodivisor on $\mathbf{M} /\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i-1}\right) \mathbf{M}$ for each $i=1, \ldots, n$; it is an $\mathbf{M}$-sequence if, in addition, $\mathbf{M} \neq\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \mathbf{M}$.

Example (1.3). Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian ring. Then the following five non-empty sets are triangular subsets of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$.
(1) ([SZ1], 3.10) Let $\mathbf{M}$ be a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module.

$$
\left(\mathbf{U}_{r}\right)_{n}=\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{n}: a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \text { forms a poor } \mathbf{M} \text {-sequence }\right\}
$$

(2) (cf. [SZ2], 5.2) Suppose that $\mathbf{M}$ is a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module.

$$
\left(\mathbf{U}_{h}\right)_{n}=\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{n}: \operatorname{ht}_{\mathrm{M}}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i}\right) \mathbf{R} \geq i \quad(1 \leq i \leq n)\right\}
$$

(3) ([RSZ], 2.3) Assume that $\mathbf{M}$ is an $\mathbf{R}$-module such that $\operatorname{Ass}(\mathbf{M})$ contains only finitely many minimal members.
$\left(\mathbf{U}_{\bar{h}}\right)_{n}=\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{n}:\right.$ for each $i=1, \ldots, n, \quad\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i}\right) \mathbf{R} \not \subset \mathfrak{p}$ for all $\left.\mathfrak{p} \in \partial \mathbf{F}_{i-1} \cap \operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{M})\right\}$.
(4) ([C], 1.1) Suppose that $\mathbf{M}$ is a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module of dimension $d$.

$$
\left(\mathbf{U}_{s}\right)_{n}=\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{n}: \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{M} /\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i}\right) \mathbf{M}=d-i \quad(1 \leq i \leq n)\right\}
$$

(5) ([C], 1.2) Suppose that ( $\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m}$ ) is a local ring and $\mathbf{M}$ is a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module.
$\left(\mathbf{U}_{f}\right)_{n}=\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{n}: a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right.$ is an $f$-regular sequence (See [SV], p. 252) with respect to $\mathbf{M}\}$.

$$
=\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{n}: \frac{a_{1}}{1}, \ldots, \frac{a_{n}}{1} \text { in } \mathbf{R}_{p} \text { forms an } \mathbf{M}_{p}\right. \text {-sequence for all }
$$

$\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{M}) \backslash\{\mathfrak{m}\}$ such that $\left.\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \mathbf{R} \subset \mathfrak{p}\right\}$.
For a given triangular subset $\mathbf{U}_{n}$ of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$, let $\overline{\mathbf{U}}_{n}=\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i}, 1, \ldots, 1\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{n}\right.$ : for all $i(0 \leq i \leq n), \exists a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbf{R}$ s.t. $\left.\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t}, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{U}_{n}\right\}$. This is a triangular subset of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ and is called the expansion of $\mathbf{U}_{n}$ ([SZ1], p. 38). Then, by ([SZ1], 3.2), we may assume without loss of the generality that $\mathbf{U}_{n}$ is expanded, i.e., $\mathbf{U}_{n}=\overline{\mathbf{U}}_{n}$, when we consider the module of generalized fractions for $\mathbf{M}$ with respect to $\mathbf{U}_{n}$. So, from now on, we assume that every triangular subset is expanded by means of the expansion of itself.

For a fixed non-negative integer $n, \mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}$ denotes the module of general. ized fractions of $\mathbf{M}$ with respect to $\mathbf{U}_{n+1}([S Z 1])$. The other notation and terminology about the module of generalized fractions follow ([SZ1]).

Definition (1.4) ([RSZ], p. 52). Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a ring. A family $\mathscr{U}=\left(\mathbf{U}_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ is called a chain of triangular subsets on $\mathbf{R}$ if the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $\mathbf{U}_{i}$ is a triangular subset of $\mathbf{R}^{i}$ for all $i \in \mathbf{N}$;
(ii) (1) $\in \mathbf{U}_{1}$;
(iii) whenever $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i}\right) \in \mathbf{U}_{i}$ with $i \in \mathbf{N}$, then $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i}, 1\right) \in \mathbf{U}_{i+1}$; and (iv) whenever $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i}\right) \in \mathbf{U}_{1}$ with $1<i \in \mathbf{N}$, then $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i-1}\right) \in \mathbf{U}_{i-1}$.

Each $\mathbf{U}_{i}$ leads to a module of generalized fractions $\mathbf{U}_{i}^{-i} \mathbf{M}$ and we can obtain a complex

$$
0 \xrightarrow{e^{-1}} \mathbf{M} \xrightarrow{e^{0}} \mathbf{U}_{1}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \xrightarrow{e^{1}} \mathbf{U}_{2}^{-2} \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_{i}^{-t} \mathbf{M} \xrightarrow{e^{i}} \mathbf{U}_{i+1}^{-t-1} \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \cdots
$$

denoted by $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{U}, \mathbf{M})$, for which $e^{0}(m)=\frac{m}{(1)}$ for all $m \in \mathbf{M}$ and

$$
e^{i}\left(\frac{x}{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\imath}\right)}\right)=\frac{x}{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i}, 1\right)}
$$

for all $i \in \mathbf{N}, x \in \mathbf{M}$ and $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\imath}\right) \in \mathbf{U}_{i}$.
$H_{U}^{i}(\mathbf{M})$ denotes the $i$-th cohomology group of $\mathbf{C}(U, \mathbf{M})$. That is $H_{U}^{i}(\mathbf{M})=$ $\operatorname{Ker} e^{i} / \operatorname{Im} e^{i-1}$.

Definition (1.5) ([GO], 3.2). Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian ring and $\mathbf{M}$ an $\mathbf{R}$-module. Let $\mathscr{F}=\left(\mathbf{F}_{i}\right)_{i \geq 0}$ be a filtration of $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{R})$ that admits $\mathbf{M}$. A complex $\mathbf{X}^{*}=\left\{\mathbf{X}^{i}\right.$ : $i \geq-2\}$ of $\mathbf{R}$-modules and $\mathbf{R}$-homomorphisms is said to be of Cousin type for $\mathbf{M}$ with respect to $\mathscr{F}$ if it has the form

$$
0 \xrightarrow{d^{-2}} \mathbf{M} \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} \mathbf{X}^{0} \xrightarrow{d^{0}} \mathbf{X}^{1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathbf{X}^{t} \xrightarrow{d^{i}} \mathbf{X}^{i+1} \rightarrow \cdots
$$

and satisfies the following, for each $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$,
(i) $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{X}^{n}\right) \subset \mathbf{F}_{n}$;
(ii) $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\right.$ Coker $\left.d^{n-2}\right) \subset \mathbf{F}_{n}$;
(iii) $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\operatorname{Ker} d^{n-1} / \operatorname{Im} d^{n-2}\right) \subset \mathbf{F}_{n+1}$; and
(iv) The natural $\mathbf{R}$-homomorphism $\xi\left(\mathbf{X}^{n}\right): \mathbf{X}^{n} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p \in \partial \mathbf{F}_{n}}\left(\mathbf{X}^{n}\right)_{p}$, such that, for $x \in$ $\mathbf{X}^{n}$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in \partial \mathbf{F}_{n}$, the component of $\xi\left(\mathbf{X}^{n}\right)(x)$ in the summand $\left(\mathbf{X}^{n}\right)_{p}$ is $x / 1$, is an isomorphism.

Lemma (1.6). Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a ring and $\mathbf{M}$ an $\mathbf{R}$-module. Let $\mathbf{U}_{n}$ be an expanded triangular subset of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. Let $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ and $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ be elements of $\mathbf{U}_{n}$ such that $\mathbf{H}\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right]^{T}=\left[b_{1} \ldots b_{n}\right]^{T}$ for some $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbf{D}_{n}(\mathbf{R})$. Then we have
(1) $([\mathrm{SZ} 1], \quad 2.8$ and $3.3(\mathrm{i})) \quad \frac{m}{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)}=\frac{|\mathbf{H}| m}{\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)} \quad$ and $\frac{a_{n} m}{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)}=$ $\frac{m}{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, 1\right)}$ in $\mathbf{U}_{n}^{-n} \mathbf{M}$.
(2) ([SZ1, 3.3(ii)] and [SY, 2.2]) If $m \in\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right) \mathbf{M}$ then $\frac{m}{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)}=0$ in $\mathbf{U}_{n}^{-n} \mathbf{M}$. In particular, if each element of $\mathbf{U}_{n}$ is a poor $\mathbf{M}$-sequence, then the converse holds.
(3) $([S Z 2], 5.1$ and $[S Z 3], 2.1) \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbf{R}}\left(\frac{m}{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)}\right)=\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbf{R}}\left(\frac{m}{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, 1\right)}\right)$.

Lemma (1.7) ([C], 2.4). Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and let $\mathbf{M}$ be a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module of dimension $d$. Let $\left(\mathbf{U}_{s}\right)_{d+1}$ be the expansion of the triangular subset $\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}, 1\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{d+1}: \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{M} /\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}\right) \mathbf{M}=0\right\}$. Let $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.x_{d}\right\}$ be a fixed system of parameters for $\mathbf{M}$. Then we have

$$
\left(\mathbf{U}_{s}\right)_{d+1}^{-d-1} \mathbf{M} \cong \mathbf{U}(x)_{d}[1]^{-d-1} \mathbf{M} \cong \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{m}}^{d}(\mathbf{M})
$$

where $\mathbf{U}(x)_{d}[1]=\left\{\left(x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}, \ldots, x_{d}^{\alpha_{d}}, 1\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}\right.$ : there is $i(0 \leq i \leq d)$ such that $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i} \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\left.\alpha_{i+1}=\cdots=\alpha_{d}=0\right\}$.

Lemma (1.8) ([GO], 3.4). Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a ring. For a positive integer $n$, suppose that $\frac{m}{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, 1\right)}=0$ in $\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}$. Then there exist $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n+1}\right) \in \mathbf{U}_{n+1}$ and $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbf{D}_{n}(\mathbf{R})$ such that $\mathbf{H}\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right]^{T}=\left[b_{1} \ldots b_{n}\right]^{T}$ and $b_{n+1}|\mathbf{H}| m \in\left(b_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.b_{n}\right) \mathbf{M}$.

Lemma (1.9) ([GO], 3.3 and [SY], 2.7). Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a ring and $\mathbf{M}$ an $\mathbf{R}$-module. Let $\mathscr{U}=\left(\mathbf{U}_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ be a chain of triangular subsets on $\mathbf{R}$. Then in $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{U}, \mathbf{M})$, for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$

$$
\text { Coker } e^{n-1} \cong \mathbf{U}_{n}^{-n} \mathbf{M} / \operatorname{Im} e^{n-1} \cong \mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}
$$

where $\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]=\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, 1\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}:\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{U}_{n}\right\}$.

## 2. Associated prime ideals of modules of generalized fractions

Lemma (2.1). Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a ring and $\mathbf{M}$ an $\mathbf{R}$-module. Fix a positive integer $n$. Let $\mathbf{U}_{n}$ be a triangular subsets of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. Let $0 \neq \frac{m}{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)} \in \mathbf{U}_{n}^{-n} \mathbf{M}$. Then we have, for all $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{U}_{n}$,

$$
\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \mathbf{R} \not \subset\left(0: \frac{m}{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)}\right)
$$

Proof. Suppose that for some $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{U}_{n}$

$$
\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \mathbf{R} \subset\left(0: \frac{m}{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)}\right)
$$

Then by the definition of triangular subset there are $\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{U}_{n}$ and $\mathbf{H}$, $\mathbf{K} \in \mathbf{D}_{n}(\mathbf{R})$ such that $\mathbf{H}\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right]^{T}=\left[c_{1} \ldots c_{n}\right]^{T}=\mathbf{K}\left[b_{1} \ldots b_{n}\right]^{T}$. Hence we get $\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \mathbf{R} \subset\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \mathbf{R}$.

On the other hand, by Lemma (1.6)(1)(3) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(0: \frac{m}{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)}\right) & =\left(0: \frac{|\mathbf{H}| m}{\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)}\right)=\left(0: \frac{|\mathbf{H}| m}{\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-1}, 1\right)}\right) \\
& \supset\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \mathbf{R} \supset\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \mathbf{R} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we have the following contradiction.

$$
\frac{c_{n}|\mathbf{H}| m}{\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)}=\frac{|\mathbf{H}| m}{\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-1}, 1\right)}=0
$$

From now on, we suppose that $\mathbf{U}_{0}[1]^{-1} \mathbf{M}=\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{U}_{0}^{0} \mathbf{M}=\mathbf{M}$ and $n$ is a non-negative integer.

Lemma (2.2). Let $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{M}$ be as above. Then in $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{U}, \mathbf{M})$ we have

$$
\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \subset \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \subset \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}^{-n} \mathbf{M}\right) .
$$

Proof. For the first half, this follows from the following short exact sequence

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} e^{n} / \operatorname{Im} e^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_{n}^{-n} \mathbf{M} / \operatorname{Im} e^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_{n}^{-n} \mathbf{M} / \operatorname{Ker} e^{n} \rightarrow 0, \\
\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}
\end{gathered}
$$

since $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)=\operatorname{Supp}\left(\operatorname{Im} e^{n}\right)$ by Lemma (1.6)(3).
For the second inclusion, it follows from Lemma (1.9) that

$$
\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)=\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}^{-n} \mathbf{M} / \operatorname{Im} e^{n-1}\right) \subset \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}^{-n} \mathbf{M}\right)
$$

Example (2.3). In general, $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \neq \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{u}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)$. Let ( $\mathbf{R}, \mathrm{m}$ ) be a Noetherian local ring. Suppose that $\mathbf{M}$ is an $f$-module (see [SZ4], $1.8(\mathrm{ii}))$ of dimension $d$. Then $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{f}\right)_{d}[1]^{-d-1} \mathbf{M}\right)=\operatorname{Supp}\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{s}\right)_{d+1}^{-d-1} \mathbf{M}\right)=\{\mathfrak{m}\}$. But $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{f}\right)_{d+1}^{-d-1} \mathbf{M}\right)=\emptyset$ by $([\mathrm{C}], 2.3)$.

Lemma (2.4). Let $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{M}$ be as above. Then in $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{U}, \mathbf{M})$ we have

$$
\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \subset \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \subset \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{M} n} \subset \mathbf{F}_{n}
$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma (2.2), ([HS], 3.1) and ([C], 2.7).

Remark (2.5). Lemma (2.4) shows that, for every complex $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{U}, \mathbf{M})$, the first and the second conditions of the definiton of Cousin type hold by Lemma (1.9).

Lemma (2.6). Let $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{M}$ be as above. Then in $\mathbf{C}(U, \mathbf{M})$ we have the follow. ing.
(1) $\partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \cap \operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{M})=\left(\cup_{i=0}^{n} \partial \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{M} i}\right) \cap \partial \mathbf{F}_{n}$.
(2) (cf. [ST], 2.7) $\partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \cap \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \subset \partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \cap \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \subset \partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \cap$ $\partial \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{M} n}$.
(3) $\partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \cap \partial \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{M} n}=\cup_{q \in \partial \mathbf{F}_{n-1} \cap \partial \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{M}(n-1)}}\left(V(\mathrm{q}) \cap \partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \cap \partial \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{M} n}\right)$.

Proof. (1) Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \cap \operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{M}) \backslash \cup_{i=0}^{n} \partial \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{M} i}$. Hence $\mathrm{ht}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathfrak{p}>n$. Therefore there is $\mathfrak{q} \in \partial \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{M} n}\left(\subset \mathbf{F}_{n}\right)$ such that $\mathfrak{q} \varsubsetneqq \mathfrak{p}$. That is, $\mathfrak{p}$ is not minimal in $\mathbf{F}_{n}$.
(2) Since $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \subset \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \subset \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{M} n}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \cap \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) & \subset \partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \cap \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \subset \partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \cap \operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{M}) \cap \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{M} n} \\
& \subset\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{n} \partial \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{M} i}\right) \cap \partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \cap \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{M} n}=\partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \cap \partial \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{M} n}
\end{aligned}
$$

by (1).
(3) Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \partial \mathbf{F}_{n}$ and $h t_{\mathbb{M}} \mathfrak{p}=n$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{q} \notin \partial \mathbf{F}_{n-1}$ for some $\mathfrak{q} \in$ $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{M})$ such that $\mathrm{ht}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathfrak{q}=n-1$ and $\mathfrak{q} \cong \mathfrak{p}$. Hence $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathbf{F}_{n}$, since $\partial \mathbf{F}_{n-1}=\mathbf{F}_{n-1} \backslash$ $\mathbf{F}_{n}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{M}(n-1)} \subset \mathbf{F}_{n-1}$. This contradicts that $\mathfrak{p}$ is a minimal element in $\mathbf{F}_{n}$.

Lemma (2.7). Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a ring and $\mathbf{M}$ an $\mathbf{R}$-molule. Then in $\mathbf{C}(U, \mathbf{M})$, for each $\frac{m}{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)}+\operatorname{Im} e^{n-1} \in H_{U}^{n}(\mathbf{M})$, there are $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n+1}\right) \in \mathbf{U}_{n+1}$ and $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbf{D}_{n}(\mathbf{R})$ such that $\mathbf{H}\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right]^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}b_{1} & \ldots & b_{n}\end{array}\right]^{T}$ and

$$
\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n+1}\right) \mathbf{R} \subset\left(\operatorname{Im} e^{n-1}: \frac{m}{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)}\right)
$$

Proof. Since $\frac{m}{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)} \in \operatorname{Ker} e^{n}$, we have $\frac{m}{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, 1\right)}=0$ in $\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}$. Hence by Lemma (1.8) there are $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n+1}\right) \in \mathbf{U}_{n+1}$ and $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbf{D}_{n}(\mathbf{R})$ such that $\mathbf{H}\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right]^{T}=\left[b_{1} \ldots b_{n}\right]^{T}$ and $b_{n+1}|\mathbf{H}| m \in\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \mathbf{M}$. Therefore we have

$$
\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n+1}\right) \mathbf{R} \subset\left(\operatorname{Im} e^{n-1}: \frac{|\mathbf{H}| m}{\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)}\right)=\left(\operatorname{Im} e^{n-1}: \frac{m}{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)}\right)
$$

Lemma (2.8). Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a ring and $\mathbf{M}$ an $\mathbf{R}$-module. Let $\mathscr{U}=\left(\mathbf{U}_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ be a chain of triangular subsets on $\mathbf{R}$. Then in $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{U}, \mathbf{M})$, for a fixed non-negative integer $n$, we have the following.
(1) $\operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \cap \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+2+i}^{-n-2-i} \mathbf{M}\right)=\emptyset$ for all $i \geq 0$.
(2) $\operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \cap \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1+i}[1]^{-n-2-i} \mathbf{M}\right)=\emptyset$ for all $i \geq 0$.
(3) $\operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)=\operatorname{Ass}\left(\operatorname{Im} e^{n}\right)=\operatorname{Ass}\left(\operatorname{Ker} e^{n+1}\right)$.
(4) $\operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \cap \operatorname{Supp}\left(H_{U}^{n+i}(\mathbf{M})\right)=\emptyset$ for all $i \geq 0$.
(5) $\operatorname{Ass}\left(H_{U}^{n}(\mathbf{M})\right) \subset \operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \subset \operatorname{Ass}\left(H_{U}^{n}(\mathbf{M})\right) \cup \operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)$.
(6) If $\mathbf{R}$ is Noetherian, then

$$
\partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \cap \operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)=\left(\partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \cap \operatorname{Ass}\left(H_{U}^{n}(\mathbf{M})\right)\right) \cup\left(\partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \cap \operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)\right)
$$

(7) $\operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \cap \operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}[1]^{-n-2} \mathbf{M}\right) \subset \operatorname{Ass}\left(H_{U}^{n}(\mathbf{M})\right)$.

Proof. (1) and (2) follow from Lemma (2.1) and Lemma (1.6)(2).
(3) Since $\operatorname{Im} e^{n} \subset \operatorname{Ker} e^{n+1} \subset \mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}$, this follows from Lemma (1.6)(3).
(4) This follows from Lemma (2.1), Lemma (2.7) and Lemma. (1.6)(2).
(5) The following short exact sequence and (3) complete the proof.
(*)

(6) By Lemma (2.4), we have
$\partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \cap \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)=\partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \cap \operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \subset \partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \cap \operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)$.
Hence the assertion follows from (5).
(7) This follows from (1), (4) and (5).

Remark (2.9). If we also change associated prime to weakly associated in the sense of ([B], p. 289 ex. 17), then we can omit the Noetherian condition of Proposition (2.8)(6).

Proposition (2.10). Let $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{M}$ be as above. Assume that $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{R})$. In $\mathbf{C}(U, \mathbf{M})$, consider the following statements:
(i) For all $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n+1}\right) \in \mathbf{U}_{n+1},\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n+1}\right) \mathbf{R} \not \subset \mathfrak{p}$;
(ii) $\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{p} \cong\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{p}$;
(ii') $\left(H_{U}^{n}(\mathbf{M})\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}=0$ and $\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}[1]^{-n-2} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}=0$;
(iii) $\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong\left(\operatorname{Im} e^{n}\right)_{p}$;
(iii) $\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}[1]^{-n-2} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}=0$;
(iii") $\left(H_{U}^{n+1}(\mathbf{M})\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}=0$ and $\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+2}^{-n-2} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}=0$;
(iv) $\left(\operatorname{Ker} e^{n+1}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong\left(\operatorname{Im} e^{n}\right)_{p}$;
(iv') $\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}[1]^{-n-2} \mathbf{M}\right)_{p} \cong\left(\operatorname{Im} e^{n+1}\right)_{p}$.
Then we have the following.
(1) (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii').
(2) (iii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii') $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii").
(3) (iv) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv').
(4) (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). That is, if (i) holds, then

$$
\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong\left(\operatorname{Im} e^{n}\right)_{p} \cong\left(\operatorname{Ker} e^{n+1}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}
$$

(5) If $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)$, then the above four modules are isomorphic.
(6) If $\mathfrak{p} \notin \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+2}^{-n-2} \mathbf{M}\right)$, then (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (iii).

Proof. (1) Using the short exact exact sequence (*), we prove as follows.
$(\Rightarrow)$ Assume that $\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then, from the following short exact sequence

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Im} e^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_{n}^{-n} \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_{n}^{-n} \mathbf{M} / \operatorname{Im} e^{n-1} \rightarrow 0, \\
\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}
\end{gathered}
$$

we have a commutative diagram with exact rows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow\left(\operatorname{Im} e^{n-1}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}^{-n} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow 0 \\
& \| \| \\
& 0 \rightarrow\left(\operatorname{Im} e^{n-1}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}^{-n} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \xrightarrow{e^{n}} \quad\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we get

$$
\left(\operatorname{Ker} e^{n}\right)_{p}=\left(\operatorname{Im} e^{n-1}\right)_{p} .
$$

Hence, from the following short exact sequence

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
0 \rightarrow\left(H_{U}^{n}(\mathbf{M})\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow & \left(\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow\left(\operatorname{Im} e^{n}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow 0 \\
\| & \left.\|_{n+1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}
\end{array}
$$

induced from the short exact sequence ( $*$ ), we have

$$
\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong\left(\operatorname{Im} e^{n}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}
$$

Therefore from the following short exact sequence
(**)

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Im} e^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_{n+1}[1]^{-n-2} \mathbf{M} \rightarrow 0
$$

we have

$$
\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}[1]^{-n-2} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}=0
$$

$(\Leftarrow) \quad$ By the assumption and the short exact sequences $(*)(* *)$, we have

$$
\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong\left(\operatorname{Im} e^{n}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}
$$

(2) The first equivalence follows immediately from the above short exact sequence $(* *)$. For the second half, this follows from

$$
\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}[1]^{-n-2} \mathbf{M}\right)=\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{H}_{U}^{n+1}(\mathbf{M})\right) \cup \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+2}^{-n-2} \mathbf{M}\right)
$$

induced by the short exact sequence ( $*$ ) with $n+1$ instead of $n$ and Lemma (2.8) (3).
(3) This follows similarly from the short exact sequence $(*)$ with $n$ replaced by $n+1$.
(4) Suppose that (i) holds. By the hypothesis and Lemma (1.6)(2) we have $\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}[1]^{-n-2} \mathbf{M}\right)_{p}=0$. On the other hand, from the assumption and Lemma (2.7), we have $\left(H_{U}^{n}(\mathbf{M})\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}=0$.

The other assertions are obvious.
(5) This follows from the hypothesis, Lemma (2.1) and (4).
(6) This follows easily from (2), since $\left(H_{U}^{n+1}(\mathbf{M})\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}=0$.

Example (2.11). (1) In Proposition (2.10), (ii) dose not imply (i). Let $\mathbf{R}=$ $k[[X, Y]]$. Let $\mathbf{M}$ be the quotient field of $\mathbf{R}$. Let $\mathbf{U}_{1}=\mathbf{R} \backslash(X)$ and $\mathfrak{p}=(X, Y)$. Then $\left(\mathbf{U}_{1}^{-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}=\mathbf{M}=\left(\mathbf{U}_{0}[1]^{-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}=\left(\operatorname{Im} e^{0}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ but $\mathbf{U}_{1} \cap \mathfrak{p} \neq \emptyset$.
(2) ((iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii)) is not the case. See Example (2.3) and note that $\left(\mathbf{U}_{f}\right)_{d+1}[1]^{-d-2} \mathbf{M}$ $=0$. When $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)$, we don't know whether this holds or not.
(3) If $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+2}^{-n-2} \mathbf{M}\right)$, then $((i v) \Rightarrow$ (iii)) does not hold. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Buchsbaum ring of dimension $d \geq 3$ such that $\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{m}}^{1}(\mathbf{R}) \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{m}}^{n}(\mathbf{R})=0$ for $n \neq 1, d$. Let $U_{f}=\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{f}\right)_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ be the chain of triangular subsets on $\mathbf{R}$ in the following Proposition (2.15) (when $\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{R}$ ). Then by Proposition (2.15) we have $\operatorname{Ker} f^{1} / \operatorname{Im} f^{0}=\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{m}}^{1}(\mathbf{R}) \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{Ker} f^{n} / \operatorname{Im} f^{n-1}=\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{m}}^{n}(\mathbf{R})=0$ for $n \neq 1, d$. Hence by the short exact sequence $(\boldsymbol{*})$ we have

$$
\left(\mathbf{U}_{f}\right)_{n+1}[1]^{-n-2} \mathbf{R} \cong \operatorname{Im} f^{n+1}
$$

for $n \neq 0, d-1$. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{R})$ such that ht $\mathfrak{p}=n+1$ for $n=1, \ldots, d-2$. Then $\left(\operatorname{Im} f^{n+1}\right)_{p} \neq 0$ since $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\operatorname{Im} f^{n+1}\right)=\operatorname{Supp}\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{f}\right)_{n+2}^{-n-2} \mathbf{R}\right)=\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{R}(n+1)}$ by Lemma (2.8)(3) and ([C], 2.15). Therefore $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{f}\right)_{n+1}[1]^{-n-2} \mathbf{R}\right)$.
(4) In general, the converse of Proposition (2.10)(5) is not true. Let $\mathbf{R}=$ $k[[X, Y, Z]] /(X) \cap(Y, Z)=k[[x, y, z]]$. Then $\operatorname{Ass}(\mathbf{R})=\{(x),(y, z)\}$. Put $\mathfrak{p}=(x, y, z)$ and $\mathbf{U}_{1}=\mathbf{R} \backslash \mathfrak{p}$. Hence Ass $\left(\mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=\{(x),(y, z)\}$. Let $\mathfrak{q}=(x, y)$. Then $\left(\mathbf{U}_{1}^{-1} \mathbf{R}\right)_{q}=\left(\mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_{q}=\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{q}}=\left(\mathbf{U}_{0}[1]^{-1} \mathbf{R}\right)_{\mathrm{q}}=\left(\operatorname{Im} e^{0}\right)_{\mathrm{q}} \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{U}_{1} \cap \mathfrak{q}=\emptyset$. But $\mathfrak{q} \notin \operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$.

Corollary (2.12). Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian ring and $\mathbf{M}$ an $\mathbf{R}$-module. Then we have the following.
(1) $\operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \subset \operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)$.
(2) $\operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)=\operatorname{Ass}\left(H_{U}^{n}(\mathbf{M})\right) \cup \operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)$.

Proof. (1) Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}_{\mathbf{R}}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)$. Then $\mathfrak{p} \mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \operatorname{Ass}_{\mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ by ([M], p. 38 Corollary). Hence $\mathfrak{p R}_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \operatorname{Ass}_{\mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ by Proposition (2.10)(5).

Therefore $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}_{\mathbf{R}}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)$ again by ([M], p. 38 Corollary).
(2) This follows from (1) and Lemma (2.8)(5).

Proposition (2.13). Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a ring and $\mathbf{M}$ an $\mathbf{R}$-module. Fix a non-negative integer $t$. Then in $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{U}, \mathbf{M})$, the following four conditions are equivalent.
(1) $H_{U}^{n}(\mathbf{M})=0$ for all $n=0, \ldots, t$.
(2) $\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M} \cong \operatorname{Im} e^{n}$ for all $n=0, \ldots, t$.
(3) For all $n=0, \ldots$, $t$, for each $\frac{m}{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n+1}\right)} \in \mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}$,
$\left(0: \frac{m}{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n+1}\right)}\right)=\left(0: \frac{m}{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, 1\right)}\right)$ where $\frac{m}{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, 1\right)} \in \mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}$.
(4) For all $n=0, \ldots, t$, each element of $\mathbf{U}_{n+1}$ forms a poor $\mathbf{M}$-sequence.

In particular, let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian local ring and let $\mathbf{M}$ be a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module of dimension $d$. Assume that the above conditions hold for $t=d-1$ and $\mathbf{U}_{d}[1]^{-d-1} \mathbf{M} \neq 0$. Then $\mathbf{M}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay module.

Proof. (1) $\Leftrightarrow(2)$ From the short exact sequence (*) this is clear.
$(2) \Rightarrow(3)$ By Lemma (1.6)(3) this is obvious.
$(3) \Rightarrow(4)$ We proceed by induction on $n$. In the case $n=0$, assume that $a_{1} m=0$ for some $0 \neq m \in \mathbf{M}$ and $\left(a_{1}\right) \in \mathbf{U}_{1}$. Then we have $a_{1} \in(0: m)=$ ( $\left.0: \frac{m}{\left(b_{1}\right)}\right)$ for some $\frac{m}{\left(b_{1}\right)} \in \mathbf{U}_{1}^{-1} \mathbf{M}$ by the hypothesis. This contradicts Lemma (2.1).

Now suppose that each element of $\mathbf{U}_{n}$ is a poor $\mathbf{M}$-sequence. Assume that $a_{n+1} m \in\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \mathbf{M}$ for some $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n+1}\right) \in \mathbf{U}_{n+1}$ and $m \in \mathbf{M}$. Then by Lemma (1.6)(2) we have $\frac{a_{n+1} m}{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n+1}\right)}=0$. That is, by ([SZ3], 2.1), we have

$$
\frac{m}{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n+1}\right)}=0 \text { in } \mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M} .
$$

Hence by the hypothesis we have

$$
\frac{m}{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, 1\right)}=0 \text { in } \mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M} .
$$

Then, by the definition of module of generalized fractions, there are $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}, 1\right)$ $\in \mathbf{U}_{n}[1]$ and $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbf{D}_{n+1}(\mathbf{R})$ such that $\mathbf{H}\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{n} 1\right]^{T}=\left[b_{1} \ldots b_{n} 1\right]^{T}$ and $|\mathbf{H}| m \in\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \mathbf{M}$.

On the other hand, since $h_{n+1, n+1}=1-\left(h_{n+1,1} a_{1}+\cdots+h_{n+1, n} a_{n}\right)$, by ([SZ1], 2.2) we have

$$
h_{11} \cdots h_{n n} m \in\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \mathbf{M}
$$

Note that by the inductive hypothesis $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ is a poor $\mathbf{M}$-sequence and $\mathbf{H}^{\prime}\left[a_{1}\right.$ $\left.\ldots a_{n}\right]^{T}=\left[b_{1} \ldots b_{n}\right]^{T}$ where $\mathbf{H}^{\prime}$ is the top left $n \times n$ submatrix of $\mathbf{H}$. Hence by ([O], 3.2) we get

$$
m \in\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \mathbf{M}
$$

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let $\frac{m}{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)} \in \operatorname{Ker} e^{n}$ with $\frac{m}{\left(a_{0}\right)}=m$. Then $\frac{m}{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, 1\right)}=$ 0 in $\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}$. Hence by Lemma (1.6)(2), we have

$$
m \in\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \mathbf{M}
$$

Therefore we have $\frac{m}{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)} \in \operatorname{Im} e^{n-1}$.
For the last assertion, since $\mathbf{U}_{d}[1]^{-d-1} \mathbf{M} \neq 0$, there is $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}\right) \in \mathbf{U}_{d}$ such that $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}$ is an $\mathbf{M}$-sequence.

Remark (2.14). In Proposition (2.13), if $\mathbf{R}$ is Noetherian, then we can change the condition (3) for $\operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)=\operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)$ for all $n=0, \ldots, t$.

Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and let $\mathbf{M}$ be a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module of dimension $d$. Let $\mathscr{U}_{f}=\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{f}\right)_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ be the chain of the expansions of triangular subsets (Example (1.3)(5)) on $\mathbf{R}$. Then we have the following complex

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbf{M} \xrightarrow{f^{0}}\left(\mathbf{U}_{f}\right)_{1}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \xrightarrow{f^{1}}\left(\mathbf{U}_{f}\right)_{2}^{-2} \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow\left(\mathbf{U}_{f}\right)_{d-1}^{-d+1} \mathbf{M} \xrightarrow{f^{d-1}}\left(\mathbf{U}_{f}\right)_{d}^{-d} \mathbf{M} \xrightarrow{f^{d}} 0
$$

since $\left(\mathbf{U}_{f}\right)_{d+i}^{-d-i} \mathbf{M}=0$ for all $i \geq 1$ by ([C], 2.3).
Proposition (2.15). Let $\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{U}_{f}$ be as above. Then the following four conditions are equivalent.
(1) $\mathbf{M}$ is an $f$-module (see [SZ4], 1.8 (ii)).
(2) $\operatorname{Ker} f^{n} / \operatorname{Im} f^{n-1} \cong \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{m}}^{n}(\mathbf{M})$ for all $n=0, \ldots, d$.
(3) $\operatorname{Ass}\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{f}\right)_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \subset\{\mathfrak{m}\} \cup \operatorname{Ass}\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{f}\right)_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)$ for all $n=0, \ldots, d$.
(4) $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\operatorname{Ker} f^{n} / \operatorname{Im} f^{n-1}\right) \subset\{m\} \quad$ for all $n=0, \ldots, d$.

In particular, if $\mathbf{M}$ is a Cohen-Macauly module, then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{Ass}\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{f}\right)_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)=\operatorname{Ass}\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{f}\right)_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)=\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{M} n} \quad \text { for all } n<d \\
\operatorname{Ass}\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{f}\right)_{d}[1]^{-d-1} \mathbf{M}\right)=\{\mathrm{m}\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) In the case $n=0, \ldots, d-1$, this follows from ([SZ4], 2.4), since $\left(\mathbf{U}_{f}\right)_{n}=\left(\mathbf{U}_{s}\right)_{n}$. In the case $n=d$, we have
$\operatorname{Ker} f^{d} / \operatorname{Im} f^{d-1} \cong \mathbf{U}_{d}^{-d} \mathbf{M} / \operatorname{Im} f^{d-1} \cong \mathbf{U}_{d}[1]^{-d-1} \mathbf{M} \cong\left(\mathbf{U}_{s}\right)_{d+1}^{-d-1} \mathbf{M} \cong \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{m}}^{d} \mathbf{M}$
by Lemma (1.9) and Lemma (1.7).
$(2) \Rightarrow(3) \Leftrightarrow(4)$ These follow from Corollary $(2.12)(2)$ and Lemma (2.8)(4).
$(4) \Rightarrow(1)$ This follows from ([SZ4], 2.3).
The last assertion follows from (2), Corollary (2.12)(2) and ([C], 2.15).

## 3. Modules of generalized fractions and complexes of Cousin type

In this section, suppose that $\mathbf{R}$ is a Noetherian ring.

Theorem (3.1). Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian ring and $\mathbf{M}$ an $\mathbf{R}$-module. Let $\mathcal{U}=$ $\left(\mathbf{U}_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ be a chain of triangular subsets on $\mathbf{R}$. Let $\mathscr{F}=\left(\mathbf{F}_{i}\right)_{i \geq 0}$ be a filtration of $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{R})$ which admits $\mathbf{M}$. Then
the complex $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{U}, \mathbf{M})$ is of Cousin type for $\mathbf{M}$ with respect to $\mathscr{F}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \cap \partial \mathbf{F}_{n}=\operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \quad \text { for all } n \geq 0 \text { and } \\
& \mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M} \cong \underset{p \in \partial \mathbf{F}_{n}}{\bigoplus}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{p} \text { for all } n \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. ( $\uparrow$ ) We must verify the properties (i)-(iii) of the definition of Cousin type (see (1.4)).
(i) and (ii) By Remark (2.5) these always hold for arbitrary complexes $\mathbf{C}(U, \mathbf{M})$.
(iii) We must show that $\operatorname{Supp}\left(H_{U}^{n}(\mathbf{M})\right) \subset \mathbf{F}_{n+1}$. Note that $\operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)=$ Ass $\left(\underset{p \in \partial \mathbf{F}_{n}}{\oplus}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \subset \partial \mathbf{F}_{n}$ by Lemma (2.4). By Lemma (2.8)(5) and Lemma (2.4), we have $\operatorname{Supp}\left(H_{U}^{n}(\mathbf{M})\right) \subset \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \subset \mathbf{F}_{n}$. But it follows from the hypothesis and Lemma (2.8)(4)(6) that $\partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \cap \operatorname{Supp}\left(H_{U}^{n}(\mathbf{M})\right)=\emptyset$.
$(\downarrow)$ It is enough to show that the first condition of Theorem holds. By the third and the fourth conditions of the definition of Cousin type, we have $\partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \cap$ $\operatorname{Supp}\left(H_{U}^{n}(\mathbf{M})\right)=\emptyset$ and $\operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \subset \partial \mathbf{F}_{n}$. Hence Lemma (2.8)(6) completes the proof of Theorem.

Corollary (3.2). With the same notation and assumption as in Theorem (3.1), we have the following.
(1) Suppose that $\partial \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{M} n} \cap \partial \mathbf{F}_{n}=\operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)$ for all $n \geq 0$ and

$$
\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M} \cong \underset{p \in \ni \mathbf{F}_{n}}{\oplus}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \text { for all } n \geq 0 .
$$

Then the complex $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{U}, \mathbf{M})$ is of Cousin type for $\mathbf{M}$ with respect to $\mathscr{F}$.
(2) In particular, assume that $\partial \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{M} n} \cap \partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \subset \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Then the converse of $(1)$ is true.

Proof. (1) This follows from Theorem (3.1), since $\operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \subset$ $\operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \cap \partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \subset \partial \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{M} n} \cap \partial \mathbf{F}_{n}$ by Corollary (2.12)(1) and Lemma (2.6)(2).
(2) It is sufficient to show that $\partial \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{M} n} \cap \partial \mathbf{F}_{n}=\operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)$, since the second isomorphisms hold by the definition of Cousin type.
( $\supset$ ) Since $\operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \subset \partial \mathbf{F}_{n}$, it follows from Lemma (2.6)(2) that $\operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \subset \partial \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{M} n} \cap \partial \mathbf{F}_{n}$.
(С) We proceed by induction on $n$. In the case $n=0$, let $\mathfrak{p} \in \partial \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{M} 0} \cap \partial \mathbf{F}_{0}$. Consider the following complex

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbf{M} \xrightarrow{e^{0}} \mathbf{U}_{1}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \xrightarrow{e^{1}} \mathbf{U}_{2}^{-2} \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \cdots .
$$

Then by the definition of Cousin type, we have the following exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\mathfrak{p}} \xlongequal{\cong}\left(\mathbf{U}_{1}^{-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow 0
$$

Since $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}(\mathbf{M})$, we have $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{1}^{-1} \mathbf{M}\right)$ by ([M], p. 38 Corollary).
Suppose that $n \geq 1$. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \partial \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{M} n} \cap \partial \mathbf{F}_{n}$. Consider the following complex

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_{n-1}^{-n+1} \xrightarrow{e^{n-1}} \mathbf{U}_{n}^{-n} \mathbf{M} \xrightarrow{e^{n}} \mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \cdots
$$

It follows from the definition of Cousin type that we have the following exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow\left(\operatorname{Im} e^{n-1}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}^{-n} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow 0
$$

since $\left(\operatorname{Ker} e^{n}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong\left(\operatorname{Im} e^{n-1}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Hence by the inductive hypothesis and Lemma (2.6) (3), we have $\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}^{-n} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$. On the other hand, by Proposition (2.10)(2) and the assumption $\partial \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{M} n} \cap \partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \subset \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)$, we get

$$
\left(\operatorname{Im} e^{n-1}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}^{-n} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}
$$

That is $\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$. Hence we conclude that $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)$ by Lemma (2.4).

Remark (3.3). Using Lemma (2.6)(2), Lemma (2.8)(6), the third and the fourth conditions of the definition of Cousin type, we have another proof of Corollary (3.2)(2) as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{M} n} \cap \partial \mathbf{F}_{n} & =\partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \cap \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)=\partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \cap \operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \\
& =\left(\partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \cap\left(H_{U}^{n}(\mathbf{M})\right)\right) \cup\left(\partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \cap \operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)\right) \\
& =\partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \cap \operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)=\operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark (3.4). If $\mathbf{M}$ is a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module and a complex $\mathbf{C}(\mathscr{U}, \mathbf{M})$ is of Cousin type for $\mathbf{M}$ with respect to $\mathscr{F}_{\mathrm{M}}$, then $\operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)=\{\mathfrak{p} \in$ $\left.\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{M}): \mathrm{ht}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathfrak{p}=n\right\}$ by ([RSZ], 3.3), ([C], 2.11) and the following Corollary (3.5) (1).

Corollary (3.5). Let $\mathbf{M}$ be a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}$-module of dimension d. Let $\mathscr{F}=\left(\mathbf{F}_{i}\right)_{i \geq 0}$ be a filtration of $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{R})$ which admits $\mathbf{M}$. Let $\mathscr{F}_{\mathbf{M}}=\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{M} i}\right)_{i \geq 0}$ be the M-height filtration.
(1) (cf. [SY], 3.9) $\mathbf{C}\left(U_{h}, \mathbf{M}\right)$ is of Cousin type for $\mathbf{M}$ w. r. t. $\mathscr{F}_{\mathrm{M}}$, where $\mathscr{U}_{h}=$ $\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{h}\right)_{i}\right)_{i \geq 0}$.
(2) ([RSZ], 3.4) $\mathbf{C}\left(\mathscr{U}_{\bar{n}}, \mathbf{M}\right)$ is of Cousin type for $\mathbf{M}$ w. r. t. $\mathscr{F}$, where $\mathscr{U}_{\bar{n}}=\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{\bar{h}}\right)_{i}\right)_{i \geq 0}$.
(3) ([GO], 3.6) Let $\mathscr{U}=\left(\mathbf{U}_{i}\right)_{i \geq 0}$ be a chain of saturated triangular subsets on $\mathbf{R}$. Put $\mathbf{G}_{0}=\operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{M})$ and for $i \in \mathbf{N}$, define $\mathbf{G}_{i}=\{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{M})$ : there exists $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i}\right) \in \mathbf{U}_{i}$ with $\left.\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i}\right) \mathbf{R} \subset \mathfrak{p}\right\}$. Assume that $\mathscr{G}=\left(\mathbf{G}_{i}\right)_{i \geq 0}$, induced by $\mathscr{U}$ and $\mathbf{M}$, is a filtration of $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{R})$ which admits $\mathbf{M}$. Then
$\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{U}, \mathbf{M})$ is of Cousin type for $\mathbf{M}$ w. r.t. $\mathscr{G}$.
(4) If $\operatorname{dim} \mathbf{M}=\mathrm{ht}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{q}+\operatorname{dim} \mathbf{M} / \mathrm{q} \mathbf{M}$ for all $\mathrm{q} \in \operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{M})$, then
$\mathbf{C}\left(U_{s}, \mathbf{M}\right)$ is of Cousin type for $\mathbf{M}$ w. r. t. $\mathscr{F}_{\mathrm{M}}$, where $\mathscr{U}_{s}=\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{s}\right)_{i}\right)_{i \geq 0}$.
(5) Let $U_{r}=\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{r}\right)_{i}\right)_{i \geq 0}$. Then we have the following equivalent conditions.
$\mathbf{M}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay module
$\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{C}\left(U_{r}, \mathbf{M}\right)$ is of Cousin type for $\mathbf{M}$ w. r. t. $\mathscr{F}_{\mathbf{M}}$
$\Leftrightarrow\left(\mathbf{U}_{r}\right)_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M} \cong \underset{\mathrm{ht}_{\mathrm{Mp}}=n}{ }\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{r}\right)_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $n \geq 0$.
(6) Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a Noetherian local ring. Then
$\mathbf{M}$ is a Gorenstein module
$\Leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathbf{C}\left(\mathcal{U}_{r}, \mathbf{M}\right) \text { is of Cousin type for } \mathbf{M} \text { w. r. t. } \mathscr{F}_{\mathrm{M}} \text { and } \\ \left(\mathbf{U}_{r}\right)_{d+1}^{-d-1} \mathbf{M} \text { is an injective } \mathbf{R} \text {-module }\end{array}\right.$

$$
\Leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\mathbf{U}_{r}\right)_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M} \cong \underset{{ }_{\mathrm{ht}}^{\mathbf{M} p=n}}{ }\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{r}\right)_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \text { for all } n \geq 0, \text { and } \\
\left(\mathbf{U}_{r}\right)_{d+1}^{-d-1} \mathbf{M} \text { is an injective } \mathbf{R} \text {-module. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. (1) This follows from ([C], 2.11 and 3.3(2)) and Corollary (3.2).
(2) By ([RSZ], 2.6 or $[\mathrm{C}], 3.3(1))$, we have for all $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$

$$
\left(\mathbf{U}_{\bar{h}}\right)_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M} \cong \underset{p \in \partial \mathbf{F}_{n}}{ }\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{\bar{h}}\right)_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}
$$

Hence by Lemma (2.4) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ass}\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{\bar{h}}\right)_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) & =\operatorname{Ass}\left(\bigoplus_{p \in \partial \mathbf{F}_{n}}\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{\bar{h}}\right)_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \\
& =\underset{p \in \partial \mathbf{F}_{n}}{\cup} \operatorname{Ass}\left(\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{\bar{h}}\right)_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \subset \partial \mathbf{F}_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma (2.7) and the definition of $\left(\mathbf{U}_{\bar{h}}\right)_{n+1}$, we have, for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \cap$ Supp (M),

$$
\left(H_{U}^{n}(\mathbf{M})\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}=0 .
$$

Therefore we have $\partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \cap \operatorname{Ass}\left(H_{U}^{n}(\mathbf{M})\right)=\emptyset$, since $\operatorname{Ass}\left(H_{U}^{n}(\mathbf{M})\right) \subset \operatorname{Supp}(\mathbf{M})$. Hence we obtain

$$
\partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \cap \operatorname{Ass}\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{\bar{h}}\right)_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)=\partial \mathbf{F}_{n} \cap \operatorname{Ass}\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{\bar{h}}\right)_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)=\operatorname{Ass}\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{\bar{n}}\right)_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)
$$

by Lemma (2.8) (6). Then Theorem (3.1) completes the proof.
(3) By ([GO], 3.6), we have for all $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$

$$
\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M} \cong \underset{p \in \partial \mathbf{G}_{n}}{\bigoplus}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}
$$

Hence we get Ass $\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \subset \partial \mathbf{G}_{n}$.
Next for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \partial \mathbf{G}_{n}$ we have

$$
\left(H_{U}^{n}(\mathbf{M})\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}=0
$$

In fact, if $\left(H_{U}^{n}(\mathbf{M})\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$, then there is $x \in H_{U}^{n}(\mathbf{M})$ such that $(0: x) \subset \mathfrak{p}$. But by Lemma (2.7), we have $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n+1}\right) \mathbf{R} \subset(0: x) \subset \mathfrak{p}$ for some $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n+1}\right) \in$ $\mathbf{U}_{n+1}$. Hence from the definition of $\mathbf{G}_{n+1}$ we have $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbf{G}_{n+1}$. This contradicts $\mathfrak{p} \in \partial \mathbf{G}_{n}$.

Therefore we have $\partial \mathbf{G}_{n} \cap \operatorname{Ass}\left(H_{U}^{n}(\mathbf{M})\right)=\emptyset$.
Then by Lemma (2.8)(6) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial \mathbf{G}_{n} \cap \operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n}[1]^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right) & =\left(\partial \mathbf{G}_{n} \cap \operatorname{Ass}\left(H_{U}^{n}(\mathbf{M})\right)\right) \cup\left(\partial \mathbf{G}_{n} \cap \operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The result follows from Theorem (3.1).
(4) This follows from ([C], 2.12 and 3.3(3)) and Corollary (3.2).
(5) Since $\mathbf{C}\left(U_{r}, \mathbf{M}\right)$ is an exact sequence by Proposition (2.13), the first equivalence follows from ([S2], 2.4). From Proposition (2.13)(3) and Theorem (3.1), we have the second equivalence.
(6) This follows from (5) and ([S2], 3.11).

Remark (3.6). Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian ring and let $\mathbf{M}$ be a finitely generated $f$-module of dimension $d$. Then $\mathbf{C}\left(\mathscr{U}_{s}, \mathbf{M}\right)$ is of Cousin type for $\mathbf{M}$ with respect to $\mathscr{F}_{\mathbf{M}}\left(\right.$ Corollary (3.5)(4)) but $\mathbf{C}\left(\mathscr{U}_{f}, \mathbf{M}\right)$ is not, even though $\left(\mathbf{U}_{f}\right)_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M} \cong$ $\oplus_{\mathrm{ht}_{\mathbf{M p}}=n}\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{f}\right)_{n+1}^{-n-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $n \geq 0$ ([C], 3.3(5)). For, by ([C], 2.15), we have $\operatorname{Ass}\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{f}\right)_{d+1}^{-d-1} \mathbf{M}\right)=\emptyset$ but $\operatorname{Ass}\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{f}\right)_{d}[1]^{-d-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \cap \partial \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{M} d}=\operatorname{Ass}\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{s}\right)_{d+1}^{-d-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \cap$ $\partial \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{M} d}=\{\mathfrak{m}\}$. Hence we have

$$
\operatorname{Ass}\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{f}\right)_{d}[1]^{-d-1} \mathbf{M}\right) \cap \partial \mathbf{F}_{M d} \neq \operatorname{Ass}\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{f}\right)_{d+1}^{-d-1} \mathbf{M}\right)
$$

Therefore the result follows from Theorem (3.1).
Example (3.7). Let $\mathbf{R}=k[[x, y, z]]$. Let $\mathbf{U}_{1}=\left\{\left(t x^{\alpha}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{1}: 0 \neq t \in k\right.$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}\}$. Let $\mathbf{U}_{i}=\mathbf{U}_{t-1}[1]$ for $i=2,3, \ldots$. Then $\mathscr{U}=\left(\mathbf{U}_{i}\right)_{t \geq 1}$ is a chain of saturated triangular subsets on $\mathbf{R}$. Put $\mathbf{G}_{0}=\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{R}), \mathbf{G}_{1}=\{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{R}): x$ $\in \mathfrak{p}\}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{t}=\emptyset$ for $i \geq 2$. Then $\mathscr{G}=\left(\mathbf{G}_{i}\right)_{i \geq 0}$ is induced by $\mathscr{U}$ and $\mathbf{M}$ as in (3) of Corollary (3.5), but is not a filtration of $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{R})$. For, $\partial \mathbf{G}_{0}=\mathbf{G}_{0} \backslash \mathbf{G}_{1} \supset\{(y)$, $(y, z)\}$.

Example (3.8). Let $\mathbf{R}=k[[X, Y, Z]] /(X) \cap(Y, Z)=k[[x, y, z]]$. Then $\mathbf{R}$ is not equidimensional and $\{(x),(y, z)\}=\partial \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{R} 0} \cap \operatorname{Spec}\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{s}\right)_{0}[1]^{-1} \mathbf{R}\right) \not \subset$ $\operatorname{Ass}\left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{s}\right)_{1}^{-1} \mathbf{R}\right)=\{(x)\}$. Hence $\mathbf{C}\left(\mathscr{U}_{s}, \mathbf{R}\right)$ is not of Cousin type for $\mathbf{R}$ w. r. t. $\mathscr{F}_{\mathbf{R}}$. In fact, $k((y, z)) \times k((x)) \cong\left(\mathbf{U}_{h}\right)_{1}^{-1} \mathbf{R} \not \equiv\left(\mathbf{U}_{s}\right)_{1}^{-1} \mathbf{R} \cong k((y, z))$ (cf. Corollary (3.5)(1) (4)).

Example (3.9). Let $\mathbf{R}=k[[x, y]]$. Let $\mathbf{U}_{1}=\left\{\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{1}: \alpha \in \mathbf{N} \cup\right.$ $\{0\}\}$ and $\mathbf{U}_{n}=\left\{\left(x^{\alpha}, 1, \ldots, 1\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{n}: \alpha \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}\right\}$ for $n \geq 2$. Then we have $\operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{1}^{-1} \mathbf{R}\right)=\{(0)\}=\partial \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{R} 0} \cap \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{0}[1]^{-1} \mathbf{R}\right), \operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{2}^{-2} \mathbf{R}\right)=\{(x)\}=\partial \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{R} 1}$ $\cap \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathbf{U}_{2}^{-2} \mathbf{R}\right)=\partial \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{R} 1} \cap \operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{1}[1]^{-2} \mathbf{R}\right)$ and $\mathbf{U}_{i}^{-i} \mathbf{R}=0$ for all $i \geq 3$. But $\mathbf{U}_{2}^{-2} \mathbf{R} \neq\left(\mathbf{U}_{2}^{-2} \mathbf{R}\right)_{(x)}$.

Example (3.10). Let $\mathbf{R}=k[[X, Y, Z]]$ and $\mathbf{M}=k[[X, Y, Z]] /(X) \cap\left(X^{2}, Y\right)$ $=k[[x, y, z]]$. Let $\mathbf{U}_{1}=\left\{\left(Y^{n}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{1}: n \geq 0\right\}$. Let $\mathbf{F}_{i}=\{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{R}):$ ht $\mathfrak{p} \geq$
$i+1\} \quad$ for $\quad i \geq 0$. Then $\quad \operatorname{Ass}\left(\mathbf{U}_{1}^{-1} \mathbf{M}\right)=\{(X)\}=\partial \mathbf{F}_{0} \cap \operatorname{Ass}(\mathbf{M})=\partial \mathbf{F}_{0} \cap$ Ass $\left(\mathbf{U}_{0}[1]^{-1} \mathbf{M}\right)$ but $\mathbf{M}_{Y} \cong \mathbf{U}_{1}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \not \equiv\left(\mathbf{U}_{1}^{-1} \mathbf{M}\right)_{(X)} \cong \mathbf{M}_{(X)}$.
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