

MOURRE THEORY FOR TIME-PERIODIC SYSTEMS

KOICHIRO YOKOYAMA

Abstract. Studies for A.C. Stark Hamiltonian are closely related to that for the self-adjoint operator $K = -i\frac{d}{dt} + H(t)$ on torus. In this paper we use Mourre's commutator method, which makes great progress for the study of time-independent Hamiltonian. By use of it we show the asymptotic behavior of the unitary propagator $e^{-i\sigma K}$ as $\sigma \rightarrow \pm\infty$.

§1. Introduction

We consider the following Schrödinger equation with time-dependent Hamiltonian on \mathbb{R}^ν ,

$$(1.1) \quad i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(t, x) = H(t)u(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^\nu,$$

$$(1.2) \quad H(t) = -\Delta_x + V(t),$$

where $V(t)$ is a multiplicative operator by a function $V(t, x)$ which is periodic in t with period 2π :

$$(1.3) \quad V(t + 2\pi, x) = V(t, x).$$

As is well-known, with some suitable conditions on $V(t, x)$, $H(t)$ generates a unique unitary propagator $\{U_1(t, s)\}_{-\infty < t, s < \infty}$. For $H_0 = -\Delta_x$, the associated unitary propagator is denoted by $U_0(t, s) = e^{-i(t-s)H_0}$. A traditional way to study the temporal asymptotics as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ of $U_1(t, s)$ is to introduce a family of operators $\{\mathbb{U}(\sigma)\}_{\sigma \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $\mathbb{H} = L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^\nu)$ ($\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$) as follows and to investigate the asymptotic behavior of $\mathbb{U}(\sigma)$.

$$(1.4) \quad (\mathbb{U}(\sigma)f)(t, x) = (U_1(t, t - \sigma)f(t - \sigma, \cdot))(x), \quad \text{for } f \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Received May 13, 1996.
 Revised January 18, 1997.

We write the generator of this group as $-iK$. Then $K = -i\frac{d}{dt} + H(t)$ is a self-adjoint operator on \mathbb{H} . Let

$$(1.5) \quad K_0 = -i\frac{d}{dt} + H_0.$$

Then for short-range potentials, the wave operators

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\pm} &= s - \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{i\sigma K} e^{-i\sigma K_0} \quad \text{on } L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^\nu) \\ W_{\pm}(s) &= s - \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} U_1(t, s)^* U_0(t, s) \quad \text{on } L^2(\mathbb{R}^\nu) \end{aligned}$$

are known to exist, and Ω_{\pm} are asymptotically complete, namely

$$\text{Ran } \Omega_{\pm} = \mathcal{H}_{ac}(K)$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{ac}(K)$ denotes the absolutely continuous subspace of a self-adjoint operator K . Moreover, the asymptotic completeness of $W_{\pm}(s)$ holds in the following sense.

$$\text{Ran } W_{\pm}(s) = \mathcal{H}_{ac}(U_1(s, s + 2\pi)) \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}$$

These facts were first proved by Howland [How] and Yajima [Ya] by using the smoothness theory of Kato [Ka]. These results were extended to the 3-body problem by Nakamura [Na]. Kuwabara-Yajima [Ku-Y] studied the limiting absorption principle for the long-range potentials by using the pseudo-differential calculus due to Agmon and Hörmander. The asymptotic completeness of modified wave operator for long-range potential was proved by Kitada-Yajima [Ki-Y].

The aim of this paper is to accommodate the commutator technique of E. Mourre [Mo], which has brought a big progress in the spectral and scattering theory to the time-periodic 2-body Schrödinger operators. It covers almost all known results by a simpler method with weaker assumption on the potential. More precisely, we establish the limiting absorption principle for K and study propagation properties of $e^{-i\sigma K}$.

Let S be the set of functions f such that $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^\nu)$ and for all $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ and multi index β , $|\langle x \rangle^\alpha \partial_x^\beta \partial_t^\gamma f(t, x)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^\nu$ for some constant $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} > 0$. Here $\langle \cdot \rangle = (1 + |\cdot|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. As the conjugate operator A , which plays an important role in the Mourre theory, we adopt the following one.

DEFINITION 1.1.

$$(1.6) \quad A = \frac{1}{2}(L_D \cdot x + x \cdot L_D)$$

where $D_x = \frac{1}{i}\nabla_x$ and $L_D = (L_j)_{1 \leq j \leq \nu}$ with $L_j = D_{x_j}\langle D_x \rangle^{-2}$.

A is essentially self-adjoint on domain $D = D(|x|)$. (See Theorem X.36 in [R-S].)

The following assumption is imposed on $V(t)$.

ASSUMPTION 1.2. *Let V be the operator of multiplication by the function $V(t, x)$ on \mathbb{H} . We assume that*

- (i) $V, [V, A]$ are extended to K_0 -compact operators.
- (ii) $[[V, A], A]$ is extended to a K_0 -bounded operator.

We denote the extension of the form $[K, A]$ as $[K, A]^0$. This assumption is satisfied in the following case. The proof is given in Lemma 2.4.

EXAMPLE 1. The potential $V(t, x)$ is split into two parts $V^L(t, x) + V^S(t, x)$ where $V^L(t, \cdot) \in C(\mathbb{T}; C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\nu))$ and there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(1.7) \quad |\partial_x^\alpha V^L(t, x)| \leq C_\alpha \langle x \rangle^{-\delta - |\alpha|}, \quad \forall \alpha.$$

$V^S(t, \cdot)$ is compactly supported and $V^S(t, \cdot) \in C(\mathbb{T}; L^p(\mathbb{R}^\nu))$ with $p > \max\{\nu/2, 1\}$.

Under Assumption 1.2, we have the following results.

THEOREM 1.3. *Suppose Assumption 1.2 is satisfied. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$, let $d(\lambda, \mathbb{Z})$ denote the distance from λ to \mathbb{Z} . Then,*

- (i) *For all $0 < \delta < d(\lambda, \mathbb{Z})$ and $f \in C_0^\infty([\lambda - \delta, \lambda + \delta])$, there exists a compact operator \tilde{C} such that the following inequality holds:*

$$(1.8) \quad f(K)i[K, A]^0 f(K) \geq \frac{2d(I, \mathbb{Z})}{d(I, \mathbb{Z}) + 1} f(K)^2 + \tilde{C},$$

where $I = [\lambda - \delta, \lambda + \delta]$ and $d(I, \mathbb{Z})$ is the distance from I to \mathbb{Z} .

- (ii) *Eigenvalues of K (the set of which are denoted by $\sigma_{pp}(K)$) are discrete with possible accumulation points in \mathbb{Z} .*

If $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (\mathbb{Z} \cup \sigma_{pp}(K))$, for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $0 < \delta < d(\lambda, \mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$(1.9) \quad f(K) i[K, A]^0 f(K) \geq \left(\frac{2d(I, \mathbb{Z})}{d(I, \mathbb{Z}) + 1} - \epsilon \right) f(K)^2$$

for all $f \in C_0^\infty([\lambda - \delta, \lambda + \delta])$.

Let $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H})$ be the set of bounded operators on \mathbb{H} .

THEOREM 1.4. *Suppose $\alpha > 1/2$.*

- (i) *For each closed interval $I \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus (\mathbb{Z} \cup \sigma_{pp}(K))$ the following inequalities hold:*

$$(1.10) \quad \sup_{\operatorname{Im} z \neq 0, \operatorname{Re} z \in I} \|\langle A \rangle^{-\alpha} (K - z)^{-1} \langle A \rangle^{-\alpha}\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H})} < \infty,$$

$$(1.11) \quad \sup_{\operatorname{Im} z \neq 0, \operatorname{Re} z \in I} \|\langle x \rangle^{-\alpha} (K - z)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\alpha}\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H})} < \infty.$$

- (ii) *There exist the norm limits in $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H})$.*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\operatorname{Im} z \rightarrow \pm 0, \operatorname{Re} z \in I} \langle A \rangle^{-\alpha} (K - z)^{-1} \langle A \rangle^{-\alpha}, \\ & \lim_{\operatorname{Im} z \rightarrow \pm 0, \operatorname{Re} z \in I} \langle x \rangle^{-\alpha} (K - z)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

$\langle A \rangle^{-\alpha} (K - \lambda \mp i0)^{-1} \langle A \rangle^{-\alpha}$ and $\langle x \rangle^{-\alpha} (K - \lambda \mp i0)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-\alpha}$ are Hölder continuous with respect to $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (\mathbb{Z} \cup \sigma_{pp}(K))$.

Next we proceed to the propagation estimates. We need the following stronger assumption on the potential.

ASSUMPTION 1.5. *There exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that*

$$(1.12) \quad V(t, \cdot) \in C(\mathbb{T}; C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\nu)), \quad |\partial_x^\alpha V(t, x)| \leq C_\alpha \langle x \rangle^{-\delta_0 - |\alpha|}, \quad \forall \alpha.$$

THEOREM 1.6. *Suppose Assumption 1.5 is satisfied. Let $E \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (\mathbb{Z} \cup \sigma_{pp}(K))$, and $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists a small open interval I containing E such that for any $f \in C_0^\infty(I)$ and $s' > s > 0$,*

$$(1.13) \quad \left\| \chi \left(\frac{|x|^2}{4\sigma^2} - \frac{d(I, \mathbb{Z})}{d(I, \mathbb{Z}) + 1} < -\epsilon \right) e^{-i\sigma K} f(K) \langle x \rangle^{-s'} \right\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H})} = O(\sigma^{-s}) \quad \text{as } \sigma \rightarrow \infty$$

where $\chi(x < a)$ denotes the characteristic function of the interval $(-\infty, a)$.

§2. Conjugate operator

We shall assume Assumption 1.2 throughout this section. We prove the following Lemma at first.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let A be as in 1.6. Then $e^{iA\alpha}$ leaves $D(K)$ invariant, i.e. for each $\Psi \in \mathbb{H}$*

$$(2.1) \quad \sup_{|\alpha| < 1} \|Ke^{iA\alpha}(K+i)^{-1}\Psi\|_{\mathbb{H}} < \infty.$$

Proof. As V is K_0 -compact, it is sufficient to show $e^{iA\alpha}$ leaves $D(K_0)$ invariant. Let \mathfrak{F} be the Fourier transformation with respect to x , and we define \hat{A} by

$$(2.2) \quad \hat{A} = \mathfrak{F}A\mathfrak{F}^{-1}.$$

Then $e^{i\hat{A}\alpha}$ can be expressed as

$$(2.3) \quad (e^{i\hat{A}\alpha}\psi)(t, p) = |\det(\frac{\partial \Gamma_\alpha^l}{\partial p_j}(p))|^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi(t, \Gamma_\alpha(p)),$$

where $\Gamma_\alpha(p) = (\Gamma_\alpha^l(p))_{1 \leq l \leq \nu}$ is the solution of the following differential equation

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d}{d\alpha} \Gamma_\alpha(p) = (1 + |\Gamma_\alpha(p)|^2)^{-1} \Gamma_\alpha(p), \\ \Gamma_0(p) = p. \end{cases}$$

We note $-i\frac{d}{dt}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ has eigenvalues $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let P_k be the associated eigenprojection. Then K_0 can be decomposed as

$$K_0 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (k + H_0) \otimes P_k.$$

And for each $\Psi \in \mathbb{H}$

$$\begin{aligned} & K_0 e^{iA\alpha} (K_0 + i)^{-1} \Psi \\ &= \mathfrak{F}^{-1} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \det \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma_l}{\partial p_j} \right) \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} (k + |p|^2 + i)(k + |\Gamma_\alpha(p)|^2 + i)^{-1} \otimes P_k \mathfrak{F} \Psi \right) \end{aligned}$$

From (2.4) it is easily seen that $||\Gamma_\alpha(p)|^2 - |p|^2| \leq 2|\alpha|$, which proves the Lemma. \square

Once we have proved Lemma 2.1, we can trace the Mourre theory in the same way.

LEMMA 2.2. *For K and A defined above, the following facts hold.*

- (i) $(K - z)^{-1}$ leaves $D(A)$ invariant for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(K)$.
- (ii) $(A + i\lambda)^{-1}$ leaves $D(K)$ invariant for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and
 $\lim_{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty} (K + i)i\lambda(A + i\lambda)^{-1}(K + i)^{-1}\Psi = \Psi$ for all $\Psi \in \mathbb{H}$.

COROLLARY 2.3. (the Virial theorem) *For all $\Psi \in D(K)$,
 $\lim_{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty} i[K, i\lambda A(A + i\lambda)^{-1}]\Psi = i[K, A]^0\Psi$.*

For the proof of Lemma 2.2 and Corollary 2.3, see [Mo].

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By the symbol calculus we have

$$\begin{aligned} i[K, A] &= i[H_0, A] + i[V, A] \\ &= 2H_0(H_0 + 1)^{-1} + i[V, A]. \end{aligned}$$

Let us recall the well-known formula of functional calculus [H-S]. Let $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be such that for some $m_0 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(2.5) \quad |f^{(k)}(t)| \leq C_k(1 + |t|)^{m_0 - k}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

Then we can construct an almost analytic extension $\tilde{f}(z)$ of $f(t)$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}(t) &= f(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ |\partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{f}| &\leq C_N |\operatorname{Im} z|^N \langle z \rangle^{m_0 - 1 - N}, \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \operatorname{supp} \tilde{f}(z) &\subset \{z; |\operatorname{Im} z| \leq 1 + |\operatorname{Re} z|\}. \end{aligned}$$

We remark that $\operatorname{supp} \tilde{f}$ is compact in \mathbb{C} if $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ (due to Appendix in [G  1]).

Further, if (2.5) holds with $m_0 < 0$ we have

$$(2.6) \quad f(K) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{f}(z) (z - K)^{-1} dz \wedge d\bar{z}.$$

We assume $\lambda \in (l, l + 1)$ with some $l \in \mathbb{N}$. From Assumption 1.2 and the above formula, $f(K) - f(K_0)$ is a compact operator. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(K)i[K, A]^0 f(K) &= 2f(K)H_0(H_0 + 1)^{-1}f(K) + f(K)i[V, A]^0 f(K) \\ &= 2f(K_0)H_0(H_0 + 1)^{-1}f(K_0) + (\text{compact operator}). \end{aligned}$$

By decomposing K_0 as $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (k + H_0) \otimes P_k$ again

$$(2.7) \quad 2f(K_0)H_0(H_0 + 1)^{-1}f(K_0) = 2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} H_0(H_0 + 1)^{-1}f(k + H_0)^2 \otimes P_k$$

Since $\text{supp } f(k + \cdot) \subset [\lambda - \delta - k, \lambda + \delta - k]$ and $\frac{t}{t+1}$ is a monotone increasing function for $t \geq 0$, we have the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} f(K_0)H_0(H_0 + 1)^{-1}f(K_0) &\geq \sum_{k \leq l} \frac{\lambda - \delta - l}{\lambda - \delta - l + 1} f(k + H_0)^2 \otimes P_k \\ &\geq \frac{d(I, \mathbb{Z})}{d(I, \mathbb{Z}) + 1} f(K_0)^2, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (1). By shrinking $\text{supp } f$ we also obtain (2).

We omit the proof of Theorem 1.4. Since it follows from Theorem 1.3 by the well-known arguments.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $V(t, x)$ be as in Example 1. Then as a multiplicative operator, $V = V(t, x)$ satisfies Assumption 1.2.*

Proof. As was proved by Yajima (Lemma 3.1 in [Ya]), if $W(t, x) \in C(\mathbb{T}; L^p(\mathbb{R}^\nu))$ with $p > \max\{\nu/2, 1\}$, W is K_0 -compact. K_0 -compactness of $[V^s, A]$ and K_0 -boundness of $[[V^s, A], A]$ also hold as we take $V^s(t, x)$ supported in a compact set. One can also see the following fact: For any $\delta > 0$, $\langle x \rangle^{-\delta}$ is a K_0 -compact operator. In fact, we have only to approximate $\langle x \rangle^{-\delta}$ by a compactly supported function. It indicates that V^L is K_0 -compact. For the sake of convenience, we write V and D_j instead of V^L and D_{x_j} . It is sufficient to show that $[V, X_j L_j]$ is K_0 -compact, and $[[V, X_j L_j], X_k L_k]$ is K_0 -bounded. Here $1 \leq j, k \leq \nu$ and X_j is a multiplicative operator by a function x_j . We denote $x_j V(t, x)$ as $V_j(t, x)$. At first we split the commutator into two parts

$$\begin{aligned} [V, X_j L_j] &= [V_j, L_j] + [L_j, X_j]V \\ &\equiv I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

From the assumption we assume in Example 1, we can easily see that $I_2\langle x \rangle^\delta \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H})$. For I_1 , we split it again

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \langle D_x \rangle^{-2} \{H_0 V_j D_j - D_j V_j H_0\} \langle D_x \rangle^{-2} + \langle D_x \rangle^{-2} [V_j, D_j] \langle D_x \rangle^{-2}, \\ &\equiv I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned}$$

We use the Assumption for V^L to see $I_4\langle x \rangle^{1+\delta} \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H})$. We can rewrite I_3 as

$$\langle D_x \rangle^{-2} \{(-\Delta V_j) D_j - 2((\nabla V_j) \cdot \nabla) D_j + [V_j, D_j] H_0\} \langle D_x \rangle^{-2}.$$

We use the Assumption for V^L again to prove that $[V^L, A]$ is K_0 -compact. As for the double commutator, we compute

$$[[V, X_j L_j], X_k L_k] = [I_2 + I_3 + I_4, X_k L_k].$$

We can easily obtain the following result by using the pseudo differential calculus, as we commute $X_k D_k$ with V or another PsDO.

$$[I_\alpha, X_k L_k] \text{ is } K_0\text{-compact for } \alpha = 2, 3, 4.$$

□

§3. Propagation estimate

We shall prove Theorem 1.6 in this section. We follow the abstract framework constructed by Skibsted [Sk]. In our case K is not a semi-bounded operator, which introduces a slight difference in applying the method of [Sk]. From Assumption 1.5, it follows that $[K, A]$ is extended to a bounded operator. We add this condition as an additional assumption in the abstract framework.

DEFINITION 3.1. Given $\beta, \alpha \geq 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$, we denote by $\mathfrak{F}_{\beta, \alpha, \epsilon}$ as the set of function g of the form, $g(x, \tau) = g_{\beta, \alpha, \epsilon}(x, \tau) = -\tau^{-\beta}(-x)^\alpha \chi(\frac{x}{\tau})$ defined for $(x, \tau) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$, where $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and satisfies the following properties:

$$\chi(x) = 1 \text{ for } x < -2\epsilon, \quad \chi(x) = 0 \text{ for } x > -\epsilon.$$

$$\frac{d}{dx} \chi(x) \leq 0 \text{ and } \alpha \chi(x) + x \frac{d}{dx} \chi(x) = \tilde{\chi}(x)^2 \text{ for some } \tilde{\chi} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad \tilde{\chi} \geq 0$$

It follows from the last equation that $(g^{(1)}(x, \tau))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is smooth. Here $g^{(n)}(x, \tau) = (\partial/\partial x)^n g(x, \tau)$. For operators P and Q , we define $\text{ad}_Q^0(P) = P$ and for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\text{ad}_Q^m(P) = [\text{ad}_Q^{m-1}(P), Q]$ inductively.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let A and P be linear operators on \mathbb{H} . Suppose A is self-adjoint and P -bounded. Suppose that the form $\text{ad}_A^m(P)$ extends to a bounded operator for $1 \leq m \leq n$. Then for any $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying 2.5 with $m_0 < n$*

(i)

$$(3.1) \quad Pg(A) = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \frac{g^{(m)}(A)}{m!} \text{ad}_A^m(P) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{g}(z) R_{n,A,P}^r(z) dz \wedge d\bar{z},$$

where $R_{n,A,P}^r(z) = (z - A)^{-n} \text{ad}_A^n(P)(z - A)^{-1}$.

(ii)

$$(3.2) \quad g(A)P = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \text{ad}_A^m(P) \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} g^{(m)}(A) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{g}(z) R_{n,A,P}^l(z) dz \wedge d\bar{z},$$

where $R_{n,A,P}^l(z) = (z - A)^{-1} \text{ad}_A^n(P)(A - z)^{-n}$ and $\tilde{g}(z)$ denotes an almost analytic extension of $g(x)$.

These formulas of asymptotic expansion are obtained by virtue of (2.6) and the calculus of the commutator $[(z - A)^{-1}, P]$. (See Lemma 3.3 in [G 2].)

ASSUMPTION 3.3. *Let $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sigma_0 > 0$, $n_0 - \frac{1}{2} > \alpha_0 > 0$. Let $f, f_2 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $f_2 f = f$ and $K, A(\tau), B$ be self-adjoint operators on \mathbb{H} . Assume that $A(\tau)$ have common domain D for $\tau = \sigma + \sigma_0$, $\sigma \geq 0$, $D(K) \cap D$ is dense in $D(K)$, $B \geq I$ and that $\langle A_0 \rangle^{\frac{n_0}{2}} \langle B \rangle^{-\frac{n_0}{2}} \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H})$ with $A_0 = A(\sigma_0)$. Assume moreover*

(i) *With $1 \leq n \leq n_0$, $i^n \text{ad}_{A(\tau)}^n(K)$ extends to a bounded self-adjoint operator, and $\text{ad}_{A(\tau)}^n(K) = O(1)$ in $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H})$ as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$.*

(ii) *If $A(\tau)$ is unbounded, $\sup_{|\alpha| < 1} \|K e^{iA(\tau)\alpha} \psi\|_{\mathbb{H}} < \infty$ for any $\psi \in D(K)$ and $\tau \geq \sigma_0$.*

- (iii) For each $\tau_1, \tau_2 \geq \sigma_0$, $A(\tau_1) - A(\tau_2)$ is a bounded operator, and the derivative $d_\tau A(\tau) = \frac{d}{d\tau} A(\tau)$ exists in $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H})$. Further $\text{ad}_{A(\tau)}^{n-1}(d_\tau A(\tau)) = O(1)$ in $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H})$ as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ for $1 \leq n \leq n_0$.
- (iv) For $n \leq n_0$ $\text{ad}_{A(\tau)}^n(K)$ and $\text{ad}_{A(\tau)}^{n-1}(d_\tau A(\tau))$ are continuous $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H})$ -valued functions of $\tau \geq \sigma_0$.
- (v) There exists $\delta > 0$ such that the following condition $q(\beta_0, \alpha_0, \delta)$ holds.
 $q(\beta_0, \alpha_0, \delta)$: Let $DA(\tau)$ denote the symmetric operator $i[K, A(\tau)] + d_\tau A(\tau)$.

There exist bounded operators $B_1(\tau)$ and $B_2(\tau)$ on \mathbb{H} such that

$$(3.3) \quad f_2(K)DA(\tau)f_2(K) \geq B_1(\tau) + B_2(\tau).$$

$\|B_1(\tau)\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H})} = O(\tau^{-\delta})$ as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$, and for $(\beta, \alpha) = (0, 1), \dots, (0, \alpha'_0)$, (β_0, α_0) ($\alpha'_0 = \max\{m \in \mathbb{N}: m < \alpha_0\}$) ($= (\beta_0, \alpha_0)$ if $\alpha_0 < 1$) the following estimates holds:

Given $\epsilon > 0$ and $g(x, \tau) \in \mathfrak{F}_{\beta, \alpha, \epsilon}$, there exists $C > 0$ such that with $\zeta(\sigma) = (g^{(1)}(A(\tau), \tau))^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-i\sigma K} f(K) B^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \phi$

$$(3.4) \quad \int_0^\infty d\sigma |(\zeta(\sigma), B_2(\tau)\zeta(\sigma))_{\mathbb{H}}| \leq C\|\phi\|^2, \quad \forall \phi \in \mathbb{H},$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbb{H}} = (\cdot, \cdot)$ is the inner product of \mathbb{H} .

THEOREM 3.4. Suppose Assumption 3.3 is satisfied and in addition,

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \alpha_0 + 2 < \beta_0 + n_0, \\ & \alpha'_0 + 2 < n_0, \quad (\text{if } \alpha_0 > 1) \\ & \frac{\alpha_0}{2} + \frac{5}{2} < n_0 + \beta_0, \\ & \alpha'_0 + \frac{5}{2} \leq n_0, \quad (\text{if } \alpha_0 > 1). \end{aligned}$$

Then for $(\beta, \alpha) = (0, 1), \dots, (0, \alpha'_0)$, (β_0, α_0) ($= (\beta_0, \alpha_0)$ if $\alpha_0 < 1$), any $\epsilon > 0$ and $g(x, \tau) \in \mathfrak{F}_{\beta, \alpha, \epsilon}$,

$$(3.6) \quad \|(-g_{\beta, \alpha, \epsilon}(A(\tau), \tau))^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-i\sigma K} f(K) B^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H})} = O(1) \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow \infty$$

COROLLARY 3.5. *Under the same conditions in Theorem 3.4, we have the following result:*

For $(\beta, \alpha) = (0, 1), \dots, (0, \alpha'_0), (\beta_0, \alpha_0)$, any $\epsilon > 0$, $g(x, \tau) \in \mathfrak{F}_{\beta, \alpha, \epsilon}$, and $1 \geq \theta \geq 0$

$$(3.7) \quad \|(-g_{0, \alpha(1-\theta), \epsilon}(A(\tau), \tau))^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-i\sigma K} f(K) B^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H})} = O(\tau^{(\beta-\alpha\theta)/2}) \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow \infty.$$

We note that (3.7) is easily obtained by (3.6) and the inequality

$$-\tau^{-\beta}(\epsilon\tau)^{\alpha\theta} g_{0, \alpha(1-\theta), 2\epsilon}(x, \tau) \leq -g_{\beta, \alpha, \epsilon}(x, \tau).$$

Sketch of Proof. The proof of Theorem 3.4 is almost the same as that of Theorem 2.4 in [Sk]. Let $f_1 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be real valued and satisfy $f_1 f_2 = f_2$. We denote $\psi(\sigma) = e^{-i\sigma K} f(K) B^{-\alpha/2} \phi$, and $D_1 A(\tau) = d_\tau A(\tau) + i[f_1(K)K, A(\tau)]$. Then $(\psi(\sigma), g(A(\tau), \tau)\psi(\sigma))$ is continuously differentiable with

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{d}{d\sigma}(\psi(\sigma), g(A(\tau), \tau)\psi(\sigma)) = (\psi(\sigma), Dg(A(\tau), \tau)\psi(\sigma)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Dg(A(\tau), \tau) &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} g\right)(A(\tau), \tau) + \sum_{m=1}^{n_0-1} (m!)^{-1} g^{(m)}(A(\tau), \tau) \text{ad}_{A(\tau)}^{m-1}(D_1 A(\tau)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{g}(z, \tau) (z - A(\tau))^{-n_0} \text{ad}_{A(\tau)}^{n_0-1}(D_1 A(\tau)) (z - A(\tau))^{-1} dz \wedge d\bar{z}. \end{aligned}$$

We can then prove that $(\psi(\sigma), Dg(A(\tau), \tau)\psi(\sigma))$ is integrable with respect to τ , which indicates the assertion of Theorem 3.4. Corollary 3.5 follows from the same argument as in [Sk]. \square

With these results, we proceed to prove the propagation estimate for operator K with potential V satisfying Assumption 1.5.

Suppose $E \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 < E' < \frac{d(E, \mathbb{Z})}{d(E, \mathbb{Z})+1}$. We choose f and f_2 as in Assumption 3.3, with support in a small interval $I \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$. Put $\sigma_0 = 1$, $A_1(\tau) = A - 2E'\tau$ ($\tau = \sigma + 1$), and $B = \langle A_1(1) \rangle$.

By virtue of Lemma 2.1 and some elementary calculus one can prove that $A_1(\tau)$ verifies Assumption 3.3 with arbitrary n_0, α_0, β_0 . By the same argument as in the proof of Corollary 3.5 we have that:

$$(3.9) \quad \|(-\frac{A_1(\tau)}{\tau})^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi(\frac{A_1(\tau)}{\tau}) e^{-i\sigma K} f(K) B^{-s}\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H})} = O(\tau^{-s}) \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow \infty$$

for $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

LEMMA 3.6. Fix $0 < E'' < E' < \frac{d(E, \mathbb{Z})}{d(E, \mathbb{Z})+1}$. Let $f_2, f, \sigma_0, \beta_0$ and α_0 as above. For an arbitrary fixed $\epsilon'' > 0$ we take $g \in \mathfrak{F}_{0,1,\epsilon''}$ satisfying $(-g(x, \tau))^{\frac{1}{2}}, (-\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} g)(x, \tau))^{\frac{1}{2}} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+)$. We put $M(x, \xi) = (E'' - \frac{|x|^2}{4\langle \xi \rangle^2})^{\frac{1}{2}}, G = (-g(-\tau M(\frac{x}{\tau}, \xi), \tau))^{\frac{1}{2}}|_{\xi=D_x}$ and set $A_2(\tau) = -G^*G$

Then for all β_0, α_0, n_0 , there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $A_2(\tau)$ satisfies Assumption 3.3.

Before the proof of this Lemma, we introduce a symbol class and asymptotic expansion formulas.

DEFINITION 3.7. For $l, m \in \mathbb{R}$, let $S(\tau^l \langle \xi \rangle^m)$ be the set of functions $a_\tau(x, \xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_x^\nu \times \mathbb{R}_\xi^\nu)$ such that

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a_\tau(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \tau^{l-|\alpha|} \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\beta|}, \quad (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}_x^\nu \times \mathbb{R}_\xi^\nu$$

for all multi-indexes α, β .

We write $a_\tau(x, D_x) \in \text{Op } S(\tau^l \langle D_x \rangle^m)$, if $a_\tau(x, \xi) \in S(\tau^l \langle \xi \rangle^m)$.

LEMMA 3.8. Suppose $a_\tau(x, \xi) \in S(\tau^l \langle \xi \rangle^m)$, and $b_\tau(x, \xi) \in S(\tau^{l'} \langle \xi \rangle^{m'})$. Then $a_\tau(x, D_x)^* \in \text{Op } S(\tau^l \langle D_x \rangle^m)$ and $a_\tau(x, D_x) b_\tau(x, D_x) \in \text{Op } S(\tau^{l+l'} \langle D_x \rangle^{m+m'})$. We have the following asymptotic formulas.

$$(3.10) \quad a_\tau(x, D_x)^* - \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \bar{a}_{\tau(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)|_{\xi=D_x} \in \text{Op } S(\tau^{l-N} \langle D_x \rangle^{m-N}),$$

where $p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) = D_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta p(x, \xi)$,

$$(3.11) \quad a_\tau(x, D_x) b_\tau(x, D_x) - \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} a_{\tau(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) b_{\tau(\alpha)}(x, \xi)|_{\xi=D_x} \in \text{Op } S(\tau^{l+l'-N} \langle D_x \rangle^{m+m'-N}).$$

Proof of Lemma 3.6. We rewrite $DA_2(\tau) = -(d_\tau G)^*G - G^*(d_\tau G) + i[K, A_2(\tau)]$. Let M denote $M(\frac{x}{\tau}, \xi)$. It can be easily verified that $G \in S(\tau^{\frac{1}{2}})$ and

$$(3.12) \quad (d_\tau G)^*G = -\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} g \right) (-\tau M, \tau) - g^{(1)}(-\tau M, \tau) E'' M^{-1} \right\} |_{\xi=D_x} + \text{Op } S(\tau^{-1}).$$

Using the assumption for g in Lemma 3.6, we have

$$(3.13) \quad -\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}g\right)(-\tau M, \tau)|_{\xi=D_x} = \left\{ \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}g\right)|_{\xi=D_x}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}^* \left\{ \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}g\right)|_{\xi=D_x}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} + \text{Op } S(\tau^{-1}).$$

The last term $i[K, A_2(\tau)]$ has the following expression

$$(3.14) \quad i[K, A_2(\tau)] = \left\{ g^{(1)}(-\tau M, \tau) M^{-1} \cdot \frac{1}{2\tau} \frac{x \cdot \xi}{\langle \xi \rangle^2} \right\}_{|\xi=D_x} + i[V, A_2(\tau)] + \text{Op } S(\tau^{-1})$$

We denote $(g^{(1)}(-\tau M, \tau) M^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}|_{\xi=D_x}$ as $g_H(x, D_x) \in \text{Op } S(1)$. We also remark that $\frac{1}{\tau} \frac{x \cdot \xi}{\langle \xi \rangle^2} g_H(x, \xi) \in S(1)$. We can rewrite the right hand side of (3.14) as

$$\frac{1}{2} g_H(x, D_x)^* \left(\frac{A_1(\tau)}{\tau} + 2E' \right) g_H(x, D_x) + i[V, A_2(\tau)] + R_0(\tau),$$

where $\|R_0(\tau)\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H})} = O(\tau^{-1})$ as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$.

For $i[V, A_2(\tau)]$, we obtain $\|[V, A_2(\tau)]\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H})} = O(\tau^{-\delta_0})$ by computing $\nabla_x V^L \cdot \nabla_\xi(g(-\tau M, \tau))$.

Summing up, we have

$$(3.15) \quad DA_2(\tau) \geq \frac{1}{2} g_H(x, D_x)^* \left(\frac{A_1(\tau)}{\tau} + 2(E' - E'') \right) g_H(x, D_x) + R_1(\tau),$$

where $\delta_1 = \min\{\delta_0, 1\}$ and $\|R_1(\tau)\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H})} = O(\tau^{-\delta_1})$ as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$.

Since

$$(3.16) \quad \frac{A_1(\tau)}{\tau} + 2(E' - E'') \geq \frac{A_1(\tau)}{\tau} \chi\left(\frac{A_1(\tau)}{\tau}\right),$$

we can replace $\frac{A_1(\tau)}{\tau} + 2(E' - E'')$ by $\frac{A_1(\tau)}{\tau} \chi\left(\frac{A_1(\tau)}{\tau}\right)$ with $\epsilon = E' - E''$. Thus it suffices to prove $(-\frac{A_1(\tau)}{\tau})^{1/2} \chi\left(\frac{A_1(\tau)}{\tau}\right) g_H(x, D_x) f_2(K) (g_{\beta, \alpha, \epsilon}^{(1)}(A_2(\tau), \tau))^{1/2} e^{-i\sigma K} f(K) B^{-\alpha/2}$ is square integrable.

For $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we put $g_l(x, \tau) = (\frac{\partial}{\partial x})^l ((-\frac{x}{\tau})^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi(\frac{x}{\tau}))$ and we write the

almost analytic extension of $g_l(x, \tau)$ as $\tilde{g}_l(z, \tau)$. From (3.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(-\frac{A_1(\tau)}{\tau}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi\left(\frac{A_1(\tau)}{\tau}\right) g_H(x, D_x) \\
 (3.17) \quad &= \sum_{m=0}^{n_0-1} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} \operatorname{ad}_{A_1(\tau)}^m(g_H(x, D_x)) g_0^{(m)}(A_1(\tau), \tau) \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{g}_0(z, \tau) R_{n_0, A_1(\tau), g_H(x, D_x)}^l(z) dz \wedge d\bar{z}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By the symbol calculus of PsDO, we have

$$\|\operatorname{ad}_{A_1(\tau)}^m(g_H(x, D_x))\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H})} = O(1) \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq m \leq n_0.$$

The last term in the right hand side of (3.17) is dominated from above by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.18) \quad & \int_{|z| \geq \epsilon'' \tau} \tau^{-n_0-1} \left\langle \frac{z}{\tau} \right\rangle^{-3/2-n_0} |dz \wedge d\bar{z}| \cdot \|\operatorname{ad}_{A_1(\tau)}^{n_0}(g_H(x, D_x))\| \\
 & = O(\tau^{1-n_0})
 \end{aligned}$$

So it remains to prove that for $0 \leq m \leq n_0$

$$(3.19) \quad g_m(A_1(\tau), \tau) f_2(K) \left(g_{\beta, \alpha, \epsilon}^{(1)}(A_2(\tau), \tau)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-i\sigma K} f(K) B^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$$

is square integrable. We apply (3.2) again to see that this is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.20) \quad & \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{n_0-1} \frac{(-1)^l}{l!} \operatorname{ad}_{A_1(\tau)}^l(f_2(K)) g_m^{(l)}(A_1(\tau), \tau) + O_{\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H})}(\tau^{1-n_0}) \right\} \\
 & \quad \times (g_{\beta, \alpha, \epsilon}^{(1)}(A_2(\tau), \tau))^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-i\sigma K} f(K) B^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here we note that $\tau^{1-n_0} (g_{\beta, \alpha, \epsilon}^{(1)}(A_2(\tau), \tau))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is square integrable with respect to τ because of the assumption (3.5) and the fact $\sup_{\tau \geq 1} \left\| \frac{A_2(\tau)}{\tau} \right\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H})} = L < \infty$. Again using (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & g_{m+l}(A_1(\tau), \tau) (g_{\beta, \alpha, \epsilon}^{(1)}(A_2(\tau), \tau))^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 (3.21) \quad &= \sum_{j=0}^{n_0-1} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \operatorname{ad}_{A_1(\tau)}^j ((g_{\beta, \alpha, \epsilon}^{(1)}(A_2(\tau), \tau))^{\frac{1}{2}}) g_{m+l}^{(j)}(A_1(\tau), \tau) \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{g}_{m+l}(z, \tau) R_{n_0, A_1(\tau), g^{(1)}}^l(z) dz \wedge d\bar{z}
 \end{aligned}$$

We rewrite $(g_{\beta,\alpha,\epsilon}^{(1)}(x, \tau))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ as $\tau^{\frac{1}{2}(-\beta+\alpha-1)}(-\frac{x}{\tau})^{\alpha/2-1/2}\tilde{\chi}(\frac{x}{\tau})$ and put

$$(3.22) \quad h_\tau(x) = \tau^{\frac{1}{2}(-\beta+\alpha-1)}(-x)^{\alpha/2-1/2}\tilde{\chi}(x).$$

Let $\rho(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be real valued and satisfies $\rho(x) \equiv 1$ on $|x| \leq L+1$. By constructing an almost analytic extension of $h_\tau(x)\rho(x)$, which we denote by $\tilde{h}_\tau(z)$, we have

$$(3.23) \quad (g_{\beta,\alpha,\epsilon}^{(1)}(A_2(\tau), \tau))^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{h}_\tau(z) (z - \frac{A_2(\tau)}{\tau})^{-1} dz \wedge d\bar{z},$$

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{ad}_{A_1(\tau)}^j ((g_{\beta,\alpha,\epsilon}^{(1)}(A_2(\tau), \tau))^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{h}_\tau(z) \text{ad}_{A_1(\tau)}^j ((z - \frac{A_2(\tau)}{\tau})^{-1}) dz \wedge d\bar{z}. \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we can see that for $\text{Im } z \neq 0$

$$(3.25) \quad \|\text{ad}_{A_1(\tau)}^j ((z - \frac{A_2(\tau)}{\tau})^{-1})\| \leq C_j |\text{Im } z|^{-j-1},$$

where C_j is independent of τ . Combining (3.24) and (3.25)

$$(3.26) \quad \|\text{ad}_{A_1(\tau)}^j ((g_{\beta,\alpha,\epsilon}^{(1)}(A_2(\tau), \tau))^{\frac{1}{2}})\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H})} = O(\tau^{(-\beta+\alpha-1)/2}) \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using (3.2) we compute

$$(3.27) \quad \begin{aligned} & g_{m+l}(A_1(\tau), \tau) (g_{\beta,\alpha,\epsilon}^{(1)}(A_2(\tau), \tau))^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-i\sigma K} f(K) B^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \\ &= O(\tau^{\frac{1}{2}(-\beta+\alpha-1)}) \sum_{j=0}^{n_0-1} g_{m+l}^{(j)}(A_1(\tau), \tau) e^{-i\sigma K} f(K) B^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \\ & \quad + O(\tau^{1-n_0+(-\beta+\alpha-1)/2}) e^{-i\sigma K} f(K) B^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we apply (3.9) with $B = \langle A_1(1) \rangle^{1+\kappa}$ ($\kappa > 0$). Then

$$(3.28) \quad g_{m+l}^{(j)}(A_1(\tau), \tau) e^{-i\sigma K} f(K) B^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} = O(\tau^{-\alpha(1+\kappa)/2})$$

So we have proved

$$\begin{aligned} & (-\frac{A_1(\tau)}{\tau})^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi(\frac{A_1(\tau)}{\tau}) g_H(x, D_x) f_2(K) (g_{\beta,\alpha,\epsilon}^{(1)}(A_2(\tau), \tau))^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-i\sigma K} f(K) B^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \\ &= O(\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\alpha\kappa}{2}}) \end{aligned}$$

is square integrable in τ . \square

Hence the conclusions of Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.5 hold. i.e.

$$(3.29) \quad \left\| \chi\left(\frac{A_2(\tau)}{\tau}\right) e^{-i\sigma K} f(K) \langle A \rangle^{-s'} \right\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H})} = O(\tau^{-s})$$

for all $0 < s < s'$ as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$

Our final aim is to change the weight in (3.29) by functions of x .

Proof of Theorem 1.6. It follows from (3.29) that

$$(3.30) \quad \left\| \chi\left(\frac{A_2(\tau)}{\tau} < -\epsilon\right) e^{-i\sigma K} f(K) \langle x \rangle^{-s'} \right\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H})} = O(\sigma^{-s}) \quad \text{as } \sigma \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore Theorem 1.6 is proved if we show for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(3.31) \quad \begin{aligned} & \chi\left(\frac{|x|^2}{4\tau^2} - \frac{d(I, \mathbb{Z})}{d(I, \mathbb{Z}) + 1} < -\epsilon\right) \chi\left(\frac{A_2(\tau)}{\tau} < -\epsilon\right) \\ &= \chi\left(\frac{|x|^2}{4\tau^2} - \frac{d(I, \mathbb{Z})}{d(I, \mathbb{Z}) + 1} < -\epsilon\right) + O_{\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H})}(\tau^{-N}) \quad \text{as } \sigma \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Again we use an almost analytic extension of $\chi\rho$ (denoted by $\tilde{\chi}$) and

$$(3.32) \quad \chi\left(\frac{A_2(\tau)}{\tau}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbf{C}} \partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{\chi}(z) \left(z - \frac{A_2(\tau)}{\tau}\right)^{-1} dz \wedge d\bar{z}.$$

We denote the symbol of $\frac{A_2(\tau)}{\tau}$ as $a_\tau(x, \xi)$.

Then

$$(3.33) \quad R_\tau(x, \xi) = a_\tau(x, \xi) - \frac{1}{\tau} g(-\tau M, \tau) \in S(\tau^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1}).$$

We construct a parametrix of $(z - \frac{A_2(\tau)}{\tau})$ by putting

$$(3.34) \quad \begin{cases} q_0(x, \xi) = (-\frac{1}{\tau} g(-\tau M, \tau) + z)^{-1} \\ q_j(x, \xi) = - \sum_{\substack{j'+|\alpha|=j \\ j' < j}} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \left(-\frac{1}{\tau} g(-\tau M, \tau) + z\right)^{(\alpha)} q_{j'(\alpha)} q_0 \\ \quad - \sum_{j'+|\alpha|=j-1} \frac{1}{\tau} R_\tau^{(\alpha)} q_{j(\alpha)} q_0 \quad (j \geq 1) \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(3.35) \quad \left(z - \frac{A_2(\tau)}{\tau}\right) \sum_{j=0}^N q_j(x, D_x) - \mathbf{I} \in \text{Op } S(\tau^{-N}).$$

Moreover we have the following estimates: There exists $l \gg 1$ such that

$$(3.36) \quad \left\| \left(z - \frac{A_2(\tau)}{\tau} \right) \sum_{j=0}^N q_j - \mathbf{I} \right\|_{\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H})} \leq C \tau^{-N} |\operatorname{Im} z|^{-N-l}.$$

So replacing the resolvent by the parametrix $\sum q_j(x, D_x)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi\left(\frac{A_2(\tau)}{\tau}\right) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{\chi}(z) \left(z - \frac{A_2(\tau)}{\tau} \right)^{-1} dz \wedge d\bar{z} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^N \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{\chi}(z) q_j(x, D_x) dz \wedge d\bar{z} \\ &\quad + \tau^{-N} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{\chi}(z) O(|\operatorname{Im} z|^{-N-l-1}) dz \wedge d\bar{z} \end{aligned}$$

Combined with the fact that

$$\chi(E'' - \epsilon > \frac{|x|^2}{4\tau^2}) \chi(-g(-\tau M(\frac{x}{\tau}, \xi), \tau)/\tau) = \chi(E'' - \epsilon > \frac{|x|^2}{4\tau^2}),$$

this shows

$$(3.37) \quad \begin{aligned} \chi(E'' - \epsilon > \frac{|x|^2}{4\tau^2}) \chi\left(\frac{A_2(\tau)}{\tau}\right) \\ = \chi(E'' - \epsilon > \frac{|x|^2}{4\tau^2}) + O_{\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{H})}(\tau^{-N}) \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since N is arbitrary, we take $N > s$ and obtain Theorem 1.6. \square

REFERENCES

- [Gé1] C. Gérard, *Sharp propagation estimates for N -particle systems*, Duke Math. J., **67** (1992), 483–515.
- [Gé2] C. Gérard, *Asymptotic completeness for 3-particle long-range systems*, Invent. Math., **114** (1993), 333–397.
- [H-S] B. Helffer and J. Sjöstrand, *Equation de Schrödinger avec champ magnétique et équation de Harper*, Lecture Notes in Physics, **345**, Schrödinger Operators, (1989), Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 118–197.
- [How] J. Howland, *Scattering Theory for Hamiltonians Periodic in Time*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **28** (1979), 471–494.
- [Ka] T. Kato, *Wave operators and similarity for some nonselfadjoint operators*, Math. Ann., **162** (1966), 258–276.

- [Ki-Y] H. Kitada and K. Yajima, *A scattering theory for time-dependent long range potentials*, Duke Math. J., **49** (1982), 341–376.
- [Ku-Y] Y. Kuwabara and K. Yajima, *The limiting absorption principle for Schrödinger operators with long-range time-periodic potentials*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA, Math., **34** (1987), 833–851.
- [Mo] E. Mourre, *Absence of singular continuous spectrum for certain self-adjoint operators*, Commun. Math. Phys., **78** (1981), 391–408.
- [Na] S. Nakamura, *Asymptotic completeness for three-body Schrödinger equations with time periodic potentials*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA, Math., **33** (1986), 379–402.
- [PSS] P. Perry, I. M. Sigal and B. Simon, *Spectral analysis of N -body Schrödinger operators*, Ann. Math., **114** (1981), 519–567.
- [R-S] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics*, 1–4, Academic Press, New York-San Francisco-London.
- [Sk] E. Skibsted, *Propagation estimates for N -body Schrödinger operators*, Commun. Math. Phys., **91** (1991), 67–98.
- [Ya] K. Yajima, *Scattering theory for Schrödinger operators with potentials periodic in time*, J. Math. Soc. Jpn., **29** (1977), 729–743.

Department of Mathematics

Osaka University

Toyonaka 560-0043

Japan

yokoyama@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp