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## §1. Introduction and main result

If $D \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is a pseudoconvex domain and $X \subset D$ a closed analytic subset, the famous theorem B of Cartan-Serre asserts, that the restriction operator $r: \mathcal{O}(D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(X)$ mapping each function $F$ to its restriction $F \mid X$ is surjective. A very important question of modern complex analysis is to ask what happens to this result if certain growth conditions for the holomorphic functions on $D$ and on $X$ are added. If the $L^{2}$-norm with respect to the Lebesgue-measure and a plurisubharmonic weight function is taken as growth condition, then the Cartan-Serre extension has the following analogue:

Theorem 1.1. (Ohsawa-Takegoshi [3]) Let $D \subset \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ be a bounded pseudoconvex domain, $H \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ a complex affine hyperplane with $D^{\prime}:=$ $D \cap H \neq \emptyset$ and $\varphi: D \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{-\infty\}$ a plurisubharmonic function. Then there is a constant $C>0$, depending only on the diameter of $D$, such that for each function $f$ holomorphic on $D^{\prime}$ satisfying the growth condition

$$
\int_{D^{\prime}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi} d V_{n-1}<\infty
$$

where $d V_{n-1}$ denotes the Lebesgue-measure on $X \cong \mathbb{R}^{2 n-2}$ there is a holomorphic function $F$ on $D$ such that $r(F)=F \mid D^{\prime}=f$ and

$$
\int_{D}|F|^{2} e^{-\varphi} d V_{n} \leq C \int_{D^{\prime}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi} d V_{n-1}
$$

This theorem has, meanwhile, found a lot of applications in complex analysis and in algebraic geometry. Therefore, it is important to ask what kind of generalizations are possible. We mention the work of L. Manivel [2]

[^0]who treats the extension problem for certain sections of suitable holomorphic vector bundles. More directly, it can be observed, that the Theorem carries over to the case, where $H$ is replaced by a closed complex analytic subvariety $X=\{z \in U: h(z)=0\}$ of an open neighborhood $U$ of $\bar{D}$ given by a holomorphic function $h$ on $U$, such that on $X \cap U \cap \bar{D}$ there is at most a finite number of points $z$, all lying in $D$, with $\partial h(z)=0$. (Hence $X$ may have singularities, but only inside a compact subset of $D$.)

In this article we will show, that there is, however, no general OhsawaTakegoshi theorem for algebraic complex hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, even not if they are algebraic principal divisors intersecting $\partial D$ transversally and if $D$ is strictly pseudoconvex.

In order to formulate our result more precisely, we denote by $B_{n}$ the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, by $\mathcal{O}\left(B_{n}\right)$ the algebra of holomorphic functions on $B_{n}$ and by $H^{2}\left(B_{n}\right):=\mathcal{O}\left(B_{n}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(B_{n}\right)$ the Hilbert space of square-integrable (with respect to the Lebesgue measure) holomorphic functions on $B_{n}$. For a complex hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ with $X \cap B_{n} \neq \emptyset$ we mean by $H^{\infty}\left(B_{n}\right)$ the space of bounded holomorphic functions on $B_{n}$. We will show

TheOrem 1.2. There is an irreducible algebraic complex hypersurface $X$ in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ (with singularities) of the form $X=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{3}: h(z)=0\right\}$ for $a$ polynomial $h$ such that $X \cap B_{3} \neq \emptyset$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(T_{z}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\partial B_{3}\right) \cap T_{z} X\right)=1$ (here $T_{z} X$ denotes the tangent cone to $X$ at $\left.z\right)$ and a function $f \in H^{\infty}\left(X \cap B_{3}\right)$, such that $f$ has no holomorphic extension $F$ to $B_{3}$ belonging to $H^{2}\left(B_{3}\right)$.

Before we come to the proof of this theorem we remark that the techniques for constructing the desired counterexample are very close to those used in [1] for constructing smooth hypersurfaces in pseudoconvex domains with very astonishing behavior with respect to the extension of holomorphic functions.

## §2. Some auxiliary facts

For the convenience of the reader we give here at first the proof of a classical lemma needed later. For this we denote

$$
S_{n}(\varepsilon):=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}:\left|z_{1}\right|=\varepsilon^{1 / 2}, z_{2}=0, \ldots, z_{n-1}=0, z_{n}=1-\varepsilon\right\}
$$

For $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small, one obviously has $S_{n}(\varepsilon) \subset B_{n}$. The following estimate holds:

Lemma 2.1. For $F \in H^{2}\left(B_{n}\right)$ and all $z \in S_{n}(\varepsilon)$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(z)| \leq c\|F\|_{H^{2}\left(B_{n}\right)} \varepsilon^{-(n+1) / 2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a universal constant $c>0$.
Proof. Notice that there are positive constants $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ such that for $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small the polydisc around any point $z \in S_{n}(\varepsilon)$ given by $P(z):=$
$\left\{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^{n}:\left|\zeta_{1}-z_{1}\right| \leq a_{1} \varepsilon^{1 / 2}, \ldots,\left|\zeta_{n-1}-z_{n-1}\right| \leq a_{n-1} \varepsilon^{1 / 2},\left|\zeta_{n}-z_{n}\right| \leq a_{n} \varepsilon\right\}$
is contained in $B_{n}$. Applying the Cauchy estimates to it we obtain immediately

$$
\begin{aligned}
|F(z)| & \leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(P(z))} \int_{P(z)}|F(\zeta)| d \lambda(\zeta) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(P(z))}\left(\int_{P(z)}|F(\zeta)|^{2} d \lambda(\zeta)\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{P(z)} d \lambda(\zeta)\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(P(z))}\right)^{1 / 2}\|F\|_{H^{2}\left(B_{n}\right)} \\
& \leq c\|F\|_{H^{2}\left(B_{n}\right)} \varepsilon^{-(n+1) / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the Lemma.
In the next proposition we construct the desired hypersurface $X$ in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ and the crucial holomorphic function $f$ on $X \cap B_{3}$.

Proposition 2.2. We put $X:=\left\{z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{3}: z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{q}=0\right\}$ for any fixed uneven integer $q>3$ and define the holomorphic function $f$ on $B_{3}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z):=\frac{z_{1}}{\left(1-z_{3}\right)^{q / 4}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $f$ is bounded on $X^{\prime}:=X \cap B_{3}$ and, if $\theta>0$ is any given constant and $\varepsilon>0$ is sufficiently small (independently of the choice of $\theta$ ), then $f$ does not have a holomorphic extension $F$ from $X^{\prime}$ to $B_{3}$ satisfying the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(z)| \leq c \varepsilon^{1 / 2+\theta-q / 4} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in S_{3}(\varepsilon)$ and any constant $c>0$.

Proof. For all $z \in B_{3}$ we have $\left|1-z_{3}\right| \geq c\left(\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)$. Furthermore, $\left|z_{1}\right|=\left|z_{2}\right|^{q / 2}$ on $X^{\prime}$. Hence $f$ is bounded on $X^{\prime}$.

In order to show the second part of the Proposition, we argue by contradiction. Let us suppose for some holomorphic extension $F$ of $f \mid X^{\prime}$ to $B_{3}$ there exists a constant $\theta>0$ such that for all $z \in S_{3}(\varepsilon)$ with $\varepsilon>0$ small enough and a suitable constant $c>0$ inequality (2.3) holds. Since the function $z \longmapsto z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{q}$ is irreducible, the function $F$ can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\frac{1}{\left(1-z_{3}\right)^{q / 4}}\left(z_{1}+\left(z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{q}\right) g(z)\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a holomorphic function $g$ on $B_{3}$. Then it follows from (2.3) that $g$ verifies for each $z_{1}$ with $\left|z_{1}\right|=\varepsilon^{1 / 2}$ the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{z_{1}}+g\left(z_{1}, 0,1-\varepsilon\right)\right| \leq c \varepsilon^{\theta-1 / 2} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\left|z_{1}\right|=\varepsilon^{1 / 2}}\left(\frac{1}{z_{1}}+g\left(z_{1}, 0,1-\varepsilon\right)\right) d z_{1}=O\left(\varepsilon^{\theta}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

However,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\left|z_{1}\right|=\varepsilon^{1 / 2}} g\left(z_{1}, 0,1-\varepsilon\right) d z_{1}=0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $g$ is holomorphic on $B_{3}$, and, hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \pi i=\int_{\left|z_{1}\right|=\varepsilon^{1 / 2}} \frac{1}{z_{1}} d z_{1}=\int_{\left|z_{1}\right|=\varepsilon^{1 / 2}}\left(\frac{1}{z_{1}}+g\left(z_{1}, 0,1-\varepsilon\right)\right) d z_{1} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equations (2.6) and (2.8) obviously contradict each other.

## §3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $X$ and $f$ be as in Proposition 2.2. We may assume, that the uneven integer $q>3$ has been chosen such that $1 / 2-q / 4<-2$. A simple calculation shows that the transversality condition for $X$ at $\partial B_{3}$ required in Theorem 1.1 is satisfied. If now $f$ would have a holomorphic extension $F \in H^{2}\left(B_{3}\right)$, then, according to Lemma 2.1, we would have for any point $z \in S_{3}(\varepsilon)$ (with $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small) the inequality

$$
|F(z)| \leq c\|F\|_{H^{2}\left(B_{3}\right)} \varepsilon^{-2}
$$

Because of the choice of $q$ such that $1 / 2-q / 4<-2$. and Proposition 2.2 this is, however, impossible.
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