Commun. Math. Phys. 155, 489-502 (1993)



# *p*-Adic Heisenberg Group and Maslov Index

## E. I. Zelenov

Steklov Mathematical Institute, Vavilov str. 42, GSP-1, 117966 Moscow, Russia

Received October 29, 1991; in revised form October 22, 1992

Abstract. A "system of coordinates" on a set  $\Lambda$  of selfdual lattices in a twodimensional *p*-adic symplectic space  $(\mathscr{V}, \mathscr{B})$  is suggested. A unitary irreducible representation of the Heisenberg group of the space  $(\mathscr{V}, \mathscr{B})$  depending on a lattice  $\mathscr{L} \in \Lambda$  (an analogue of the Cartier representation) is constructed and its properties are investigated. By the use of such representations for three different lattices  $\mathscr{L}_1, \mathscr{L}_2, \mathscr{L}_3 \in \Lambda$  one defines the Maslov index  $\mu = \mu(\mathscr{L}_1, \mathscr{L}_2, \mathscr{L}_3)$  of a triple of lattices. Properties of the index  $\mu$  are investigated and values of  $\mu$  in coordinates for different triples of lattices are calculated.

# 1. Introduction

As it is known one of the profitable methods to study a quantization procedure is to construct and to investigate topological characteristics associated with this procedure. An example of such a characteristic is the Maslov index [Ma]. Let us discuss generally one way to obtain such characteristics. Let G be a group and  $(H_i, U_i)$ , i = 1, 2, 3 be its unitary irreducible representations in the Hilbert spaces  $H_i$ , i = 1, 2, 3 respectively. Let us assume that these representations are unitary equivalent and  $F_{21}$ ,  $F_{32}$  and  $F_{13}$  be unitary intertwining operators. That is, say for  $F_{21}$ ,  $F_{21}: H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  and for all  $g \in G$  the relation

$$F_{21}^{-1}U_2(g)F_{21} = U_1(g)$$

holds (and similarly for operators  $F_{32}$  and  $F_{13}$ ). By the last formula the operator  $F = F_{13}F_{32}F_{21}$ :  $H_1 \to H_1$  commutes with all operators  $U_1(g)$ ,  $g \in G$ . In view of irreducibility of  $(H_1, U_1)$  the operator F is proportional to the identity operator, that is  $F = \mu \operatorname{Id}$  for some  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}$  ( $\mathbb{T}$  denotes a unit circle in the field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers). Hence we obtain a numerical characteristic  $\mu$  of a group G and a triple of its unitary irreducible representations.

Let us take an example, see [LV]. Let  $(\mathscr{V},\mathscr{B})$  be a two-dimensional symplectic vector space over the field  $\mathbb{R}$  of real numbers and  $\widetilde{\mathscr{V}}$  be the Heisenberg group of the space  $(\mathscr{V},\mathscr{B})$  (that is  $\widetilde{\mathscr{V}}$  is the three-dimensional Heisenberg group). Let also L be a lagrangian (that is one-dimensional for dim  $\mathscr{V} = 2$ ) subspace of  $\mathscr{V}$  provided with the natural Haar measure dm(L). As it is known there is a unitary irreducible representation  $(H(L), U_L)$  of the group  $\widetilde{\mathscr{V}}$  in the Hilbert space  $H(L) = L^2(L, dm(L))$ . For two different lagrangian subspaces  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  these representations are unitary equivalent. Let now  $L_1, L_2$  and  $L_3$  be different lagrangian subspaces in  $\mathscr{V}$ . By applying the procedure discussed above for the group  $\widetilde{\mathscr{V}}$  and for the representations  $U_{L_1}, U_{L_2}$  and  $U_{L_3}$  we obtain a numerical characteristic  $\mu(L_1, L_2, L_3)$  of these representations. It turns out that in this case  $\mu = \exp(i\pi\tau/4)$ , where  $\tau = \tau(L_1, L_2, L_3) \in \mathbb{Z}$  is the Maslov index of lagrangian subspaces  $L_1, L_2$  and  $L_3$  and  $L_3$ , see [LV].

As a different example we consider the Cartier representation [C] of the Heisenberg group  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ . This representation is unitary, irreducible and depends on a selfdual  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  in the space  $\mathcal{V}$ . By using the procedure discussed above for the Cartier representations associated with lattices  $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$  and  $\mathcal{L}_3$  we obtain an index of a triple  $(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3)$  of selfdual  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices, see [LV].

As p-adic numbers find expanding applications in mathematical physics (the active advancement began from the paper [V]) it is interesting to extend the construction discussed above for the field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  of p-adic numbers. Let now  $(\mathscr{V}, \mathscr{B})$  be a two-dimensional symplectic vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $\widetilde{\mathscr{V}}$  be the Heisenberg group of this space (for the definition of the group  $\widetilde{\mathscr{V}}$  see Sect. 3 of this paper). As for the field  $\mathbb{R}$  there is a unitary irreducible representation of  $\widetilde{\mathscr{V}}$  in the space  $L^2(L, dm(L))$ , where L is a lagrangian subspace of the space  $\mathscr{V}$  and dm(L) is the Haar measure on L, as to the corresponding index see [LV] and bibliography there.

There exist also a unitary irreducible representation of the *p*-adic Heisenberg group depending on a selfdual  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattice in the space  $\mathscr{V}$ . ( $\mathbb{Z}_p$  denotes a ring of *p*-adic integers.) This representation is an analogue of the Cartier representation mentioned above. By applying the procedure discussed above for the *p*-adic Heisenberg group and a triple of its representations associated with lattices  $\mathscr{L}_1$ ,  $\mathscr{L}_2$  and  $\mathscr{L}_3$  we obtain a complex number  $\mu = \mu(\mathscr{L}_1, \mathscr{L}_2, \mathscr{L}_3) \in \mathbb{T}$ . This number  $\mu$  we call the Maslov index of a triple  $(\mathscr{L}_1, \mathscr{L}_2, \mathscr{L}_3)$  of selfdual  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattices. This index is the subject of our investigation. It is not improbable that this index will be useful for *p*-adic quantum mechanics constructed in [VV] (see also [Me, R]).

The structure of this paper is the following. In Sect. 2 one considers  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattices and their properties. In particular one constructs a "system of coordinates" on a set  $\Lambda$  of selfdual  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattices in a two-dimensional symplectic space  $(\mathscr{V}, \mathscr{B})$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ (Proposition 1). In Sect. 3 we define the Heisenberg group  $\mathscr{V}$  of the space  $(\mathscr{V}, \mathscr{B})$  and construct a unitary irreducible representation  $(H(\mathscr{L}), W_{\mathscr{B}})$  of this group depending on a lattice  $\mathscr{L} \in \Lambda$ . We prove also some properties of this representation (Proposition 2). In Sect. 4 an intertwining operator of two such representation is constructed and its properties are investigated (Proposition 3). In Sect. 5 we construct the Maslov index of a triple of selfdual  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattices. We also obtain an explicit formula for this index (Proposition 4) and prove some natural properties of the index (Proposition 5). Section 6 is devoted to calculations of the Maslov index in coordinates defined in Sect. 2.

#### 2. Lattices

Let  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B})$  be a two dimensional symplectic space over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  be a *lattice* in  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B})$  (that is  $\mathcal{L}$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -submodule of the space  $\mathcal{V}$  containing a basis of  $\mathcal{V}$ ). A dual lattice  $\mathcal{L}^*$  is defined as follows:

$$\mathscr{S}^* = \{x \in \mathscr{V} : \mathscr{B}(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}_p \, \forall y \in \mathscr{L}\}.$$

If  $\mathscr{L} = \mathscr{L}^*$ , then  $\mathscr{L}$  is a *selfdual* lattice. Let  $\Lambda = \Lambda(\mathscr{V}, \mathscr{B})$  denote the set of all selfdual lattices in  $(\mathscr{V}, \mathscr{B})$ . Note that if  $\mathscr{L} \in \Lambda(\mathscr{V}, \mathscr{B})$ , then  $(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{B})$  is a space with symplectic inner product.

As  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a local ring, then there exists a symplectic basis  $\{e, f\}$  of the space  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B})$  (symplectic means that  $\mathcal{B}(e, f) = 1$ ) wherein (see [MH])

$$\mathscr{L} = \mathbb{Z}_p e \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p f \,.$$

Moreover for any  $\mathscr{L}_1, \mathscr{L}_2 \in \Lambda$  there is a symplectic basis  $\{e, f\}$  wherein these lattices have the form

$$\mathscr{L}_1 = \mathbb{Z}_p e \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p f, \quad \mathscr{L}_2 = p^m \mathbb{Z}_p e \oplus p^{-m} \mathbb{Z}_p f$$

for some nonnegative integer m. For the proof of existence of such basis (but is not of necessity symplectic) see for example [W1], reduction to symplectic case is rather obvious.

Now we define a "system of coordinates" on the set  $\Lambda$ . Let  $Sp(\mathscr{V})$  denote the group of all linear automorphisms of  $\mathscr{V}$  preserving the form  $\mathscr{B}$  (symplectic group) and  $Sp(\mathscr{S})$  be a stabilizer of a selfdual lattice  $\mathscr{S}$  in  $Sp(\mathscr{V})$ .  $Sp(\mathscr{V})$  acts on  $\Lambda$  in a standard manner, this action is transitive. Thus  $\Lambda$  can be identified with the homogeneous space  $Sp(\mathscr{V})/Sp(\mathscr{S})$ .

**Proposition 1.** Let  $\{e, f\}$  be a symplectic basis in  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B})$ . Then the map  $\varphi: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \to \Lambda$ ,

$$\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Q}_p / \mathbb{Z}_p \ni (m, \bar{\mu}) \stackrel{\varphi}{\mapsto} \mathbb{Z}_p p^m e \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p (\mu p^m e + p^{-m} f) \in \Lambda$$

defines a one-to-one correspondence between  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Q}_p / \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\Lambda$ . (In the right-hand part of the last formula  $\mu$  denotes an arbitrary element of a coset  $\bar{\mu}$ .)

*Proof.* Let  $\mathscr{L}_0$  denote the following lattice:

$$\mathscr{L}_0 = \mathbb{Z}_p e + \mathbb{Z}_p f \,.$$

In the basis  $\{e, f\}$   $Sp(\mathscr{V})$  and  $Sp(\mathscr{L}_0)$  have the matrix realizations:  $Sp(\mathscr{V}) \cong SL(2, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ ,  $Sp(\mathscr{L}_0) \cong SL(2, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ . Let  $\mathscr{L}$  be an arbitrary lattice from  $\Lambda$ . Then there is an element  $g \in SL(2, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  such that  $\mathscr{L} = g\mathscr{L}_0$ . By the Iwasawa decomposition (see [PR]) g can be represented in the form:

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} p^m & 0\\ 0 & p^{-m} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mu\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g_0$$

for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $g_0 \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ . Thus  $\mathscr{L}$  has the form

$$\mathscr{L} = \mathbb{Z}_p p^m e \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p (\mu p^m e + p^{-m} f)$$

and the map  $\varphi$  is surjective. As for  $m,m'\in\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mu,\mu'\in\mathbb{Q}_p$  we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p^{m'} & 0 \\ 0 & p^{-m'} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mu' \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} p^m & 0 \\ 0 & p^{-m} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mu \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} p^{m-m'} & p^{m-m'}\mu - p^{m'-m}\mu' \\ 0 & p^{m'-m} \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

if and only if m = m' and  $\mu - \mu' \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , then the definition of the map  $\varphi$  is correct (that is it doesn't depend on a choice of  $\mu$  in a coset  $\overline{\mu}$ ). This finishes the proof.

**Corollary.** For any  $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3 \in \Lambda$  there is a symplectic basis  $\{e, f\}$  wherein

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{L}_1 &= \mathbb{Z}_p e \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p f , \\ \mathscr{L}_2 &= p^m \mathbb{Z}_p e \oplus p^{-m} \mathbb{Z}_p f , \\ \mathscr{L}_3 &= \mathbb{Z}_p p^n e \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p (\nu p^n e + p^{-n} f) \end{split}$$

for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\nu \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ .

## 3. p-Adic Heisenberg Group

Let  $\chi_p$  be an additive character of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  of rank 0 (that is  $\chi_p(x) = 1$  if and only if  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ ),  $\mathbb{T}$  be a unit circle in the field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers. *Heisenberg group*  $\tilde{\mathscr{V}}$  of a space  $(\mathscr{V}, \mathscr{B})$  is the set of pairs

$$\tilde{\mathscr{V}} = \{(lpha, x), lpha \in \mathbb{T}, x \in \mathscr{V}\}$$

with the composition law

$$(\alpha, x)(\beta, y) = (\alpha \beta \chi_p(1/2\mathcal{B}(x, y)), x + y).$$

We assume that  $p \neq 2$  below. Now we construct some representation of  $\tilde{\mathscr{V}}$ . This representation depends on a lattice  $\mathscr{L} \in \Lambda$  and therefore we call it  $\mathscr{L}$ -representation. Let  $\tilde{H}(\mathscr{L})$  denote the space of finite complex valued functions on  $\mathscr{V}$  satisfying the relation

$$f(x+u) = \chi_p(1/2\mathscr{B}(x,u))f(x)$$

for all  $x \in \mathscr{V}$  and  $u \in \mathscr{L}$ . Note that if  $f, g \in \tilde{H}(\mathscr{L})$  then |f| and  $f\bar{g}$  are constant on every coset in  $\mathscr{V}/\mathscr{L}$  and nonzero only on a finite number of such cosets. For  $f, g \in \tilde{H}(\mathscr{L})$  the formula

$$(f,g) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathscr{V}/\mathscr{S}} f(\alpha) \bar{g}(\alpha)$$

defines a nonnegative hermitian form on  $\tilde{H}(\mathcal{L})$  and thus  $\tilde{H}(\mathcal{L})$  is provided by a prehilbertian structure. The space  $H(\mathcal{L})$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ -representation is defined as the completion of  $\tilde{H}(\mathcal{L})$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|^2 = (\cdot, \cdot)$ . As  $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{L}$  is a countable set, then  $H(\mathcal{L})$  is a separable Hilbert space.

On the space  $\tilde{H}(\mathscr{L})$  we define the following set of operators,  $x, y \in \mathscr{V}$ :

$$(W_{\mathscr{Z}}(x)f)(y) = \chi_p(1/2\mathscr{B}(x,y))f(y-x).$$

These operators satisfy the co-called Weyl relation

$$W_{\mathscr{L}}(x)W_{\mathscr{L}}(y) = \chi_{p}(1/2\mathscr{B}(x,y))W_{\mathscr{L}}(x+y).$$

It is easy to see that  $W_{\mathscr{G}}(x), x \in \mathscr{V}$  are isometric operators on  $\tilde{H}(\mathscr{S})$  and therefore are uniquely extended to unitary operators on  $H(\mathscr{S})$  (for these operators we retain the same notation  $W_{\mathscr{G}}(x)$ ).  $\mathscr{S}$ -representation of  $\widetilde{\mathscr{V}}$  is defined as a pair  $(H(\mathscr{S}), \widetilde{W}_{\mathscr{S}})$ , where  $\widetilde{W}_{\mathscr{S}}(\alpha, x) = \alpha W_{\mathscr{S}}(x)$ . From the Weyl relation we see that this pair is in fact a unitary representation of  $\widetilde{\mathscr{V}}$ . For the sake of convenience we use the term " $\mathscr{S}$ representation" for a pair  $(H(\mathscr{S}), W_{\mathscr{S}}(x))$ . A similar representation was considered in [W2]. Note that  $\mathscr{S}$ -representation is a *p*-adic analogue of the Cartier representation [C] of the Heisenberg group over real numbers.

Let  $\phi_{\mathscr{L}}$  denote the following element of  $H(\mathscr{L})$ :

$$\phi_{\mathscr{G}}(u) = \begin{cases} 1, & u \in \mathscr{L}, \\ 0, & u \notin \mathscr{L}. \end{cases}$$

We call it a *vacuum vector* of  $(H(\mathscr{L}), W_{\mathscr{L}}(x))$ . It is easy to see that this vector satisfies the property

$$W_{\mathscr{L}}(x)\phi_{\mathscr{L}} = \phi_{\mathscr{L}} \tag{1}$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{L}$ .

Let  $\eta_{\mathscr{K}} \colon \mathscr{V} \to \mathbb{T}$  be a function satisfying the property

$$\eta_{\mathscr{C}}(x+u) = \chi_n(1/2\mathscr{B}(x,u))\eta_{\mathscr{C}}(x)$$

for all  $x \in \mathscr{V}$  and  $u \in \mathscr{S}$ . It is quite easy to prove that the map  $\mathscr{V} \to H(\mathscr{S})$ :

 $\mathscr{V} \ni x \mapsto \eta_{\mathscr{L}}(x) W_{\mathscr{L}}(x) \phi_{\mathscr{L}}$ 

is constant on every coset in  $\mathscr{V}/\mathscr{S}$  and thus one defines a map  $\psi: \mathscr{V}/\mathscr{S} \to H(\mathscr{S})$  by the same formula. The range of values of the map  $\psi$  we call a set of *coherent* states of  $\mathscr{S}$ -representation.

**Proposition 2.** The representation  $(H(\mathcal{L}), W_{\mathcal{L}}(x))$  has the properties:

- (i)  $(W_{\mathscr{L}}(x)\phi_{\mathscr{L}},\phi_{\mathscr{L}}) = \phi_{\mathscr{L}}(x);$
- (ii) the set of coherent states forms an orthonormal basis in  $H(\mathscr{B})$ ;
- (iii) the representation  $(H(\mathscr{L}), W_{\mathscr{L}}(x))$  is irreducible.

#### 4. Intertwining Operator

Let for  $\mathscr{L}_1, \mathscr{L}_2 \in \Lambda \ \varrho^{-2}(\mathscr{L}_1, \mathscr{L}_2)$  denotes the number of elements of the group  $\mathscr{L}_1/(\mathscr{L}_1 \cap \mathscr{L}_2)$ .

**Proposition 3.** Let  $(H(\mathscr{L}_1), W_{\mathscr{L}_1})$  and  $(H(\mathscr{L}_2), W_{\mathscr{L}_2})$  be  $\mathscr{L}_1$ - and  $\mathscr{L}_2$ -representations. Then the operator  $F_{\mathscr{L}_2, \mathscr{L}_1}: H(\mathscr{L}_1) \to H(\mathscr{L}_2)$  defined by the formula

$$F_{\mathscr{G}_2,\mathscr{G}_1}f(u) = \varrho(\mathscr{G}_1,\mathscr{G}_2) \sum_{\alpha \in \mathscr{G}_2/(\mathscr{G}_1 \cap \mathscr{G}_2)} \chi_p(1/2\mathscr{B}(\alpha, u)) f(u+\alpha)$$
(2)

is a unitary operator. It satisfies the property

$$F_{\mathscr{L}_2,\mathscr{L}_1}^{-1} = F_{\mathscr{L}_1,\mathscr{L}_2} \tag{3}$$

and it is an intertwining operator for the  $\mathcal{L}_1$ - and  $\mathcal{L}_2$ -representations, that is for all  $x \in \mathscr{V}$  the following relation holds:

$$F_{\mathscr{G}_{2},\mathscr{G}_{1}}^{-1}W_{\mathscr{G}_{2}}(x)F_{\mathscr{G}_{2},\mathscr{G}_{1}}=W_{\mathscr{G}_{1}}(x).$$
(4)

*Proof.* At first we check that the definition (1) is correct, that is the right-hand part of the formula (2) doesn't depend on a choice of an element in coset  $\alpha \in \mathscr{L}_1/(\mathscr{L}_1 \cap \mathscr{L}_2)$ . In fact taking into account that  $f \in H(\mathscr{L}_1)$  for  $\alpha' \in \mathscr{L}_1 \cap \mathscr{L}_2$  we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{\alpha\in\mathscr{G}_2/(\mathscr{G}_1\cap\mathscr{G}_2)}\chi_p(1/2\mathscr{B}(\alpha+\alpha',u))\,f(u+\alpha+\alpha')\\ &=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathscr{G}_2/(\mathscr{G}_1\cap\mathscr{G}_2)}\chi_p(1/2\mathscr{B}(\alpha+\alpha',u)+1/2\mathscr{B}(u+\alpha,\alpha'))\,f(u+\alpha)\\ &=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathscr{G}_2/(\mathscr{G}_1\cap\mathscr{G}_2)}\chi_p(1/2\mathscr{B}(\alpha,\alpha'))\,\chi_p(1/2\mathscr{B}(\alpha,u))\,f(u+\alpha)\\ &=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathscr{G}_2/(\mathscr{G}_1\cap\mathscr{G}_2)}\chi_p(1/2\mathscr{B}(\alpha,u))\,f(u+\alpha)\,. \end{split}$$

It is easy to check that for  $f \in H(\mathscr{L}_1)$  the condition  $F_{\mathscr{L}_2,\mathscr{H}_1} f \in H(\mathscr{L}_2)$  holds. Let us prove unitarity of  $F_{\mathscr{L}_2,\mathscr{L}_1}$ . From the definition of the operator  $F_{\mathscr{L}_2,\mathscr{L}_1}$  we get

$$F_{\mathscr{B}_{2},\mathscr{B}_{1}}f(u) = \varrho(\mathscr{B}_{1},\mathscr{B}_{2}) \sum_{\alpha \in \mathscr{B}_{2}/(\mathscr{B}_{1} \cap \mathscr{B}_{2})} \chi_{p}(\mathscr{B}(\alpha, u)) W_{\mathscr{B}_{1}}(-\alpha) f(u) .$$
(5)

From the definition of  $\mathscr{L}$ -representation, orthogonality of coherent states, Parseval-Stokes relation and the last formula we have

$$\|F_{\mathscr{G}_{2},\mathscr{G}_{1}}f\|_{H(\mathscr{G}_{2})}^{2} = \varrho^{2}(\mathscr{G}_{1},\mathscr{G}_{2}) \sum_{\alpha \in \mathscr{G}_{2}/(\mathscr{G}_{1}\cap \mathscr{G}_{2})} \|W_{\mathscr{G}_{1}}(-\alpha)f\|_{H(\mathscr{G}_{1})}^{2} = \|f\|_{H(\mathscr{G}_{1})}^{2}.$$

Now we prove the formula (3). Taking into account the condition  $f \in H(\mathscr{L}_1)$  we get

$$\begin{split} F_{\mathscr{L}_{1},\mathscr{L}_{2}}F_{\mathscr{L}_{2},\mathscr{L}_{1}}f(u) \\ &= \varrho^{2}(\mathscr{L}_{1},\mathscr{L}_{2})\sum_{\beta\in\mathscr{L}_{1}/(\mathscr{L}_{1}\cap\mathscr{L}_{2})}\chi_{p}(1/2\mathscr{B}(\beta,u)) \\ &\times \sum_{\alpha\in\mathscr{L}_{2}/(\mathscr{L}_{1}\cap\mathscr{L}_{2})}\chi_{p}(1/2\mathscr{B}(\alpha,u+\beta))f(u+\alpha+\beta) \\ &= \varrho^{2}(\mathscr{L}_{1},\mathscr{L}_{2})\sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathscr{L}_{2}/(\mathscr{L}_{1}\cap\mathscr{L}_{2})\\\beta\in\mathscr{L}_{1}/(\mathscr{L}_{1}\cap\mathscr{L}_{2})}}\chi_{p}(1/2\mathscr{B}(\alpha,u+\beta)+1/2\mathscr{B}(u+\alpha,\beta))f(u+\alpha) \\ &= \varrho^{2}(\mathscr{L}_{1},\mathscr{L}_{2})\sum_{\alpha\in\mathscr{L}_{2}/(\mathscr{L}_{1}\cap\mathscr{L}_{2})}\chi_{p}(1/2\mathscr{B}(\alpha,u))f(u+\alpha)\sum_{\beta\in\mathscr{L}_{1}/(\mathscr{L}_{1}\cap\mathscr{L}_{2})}\chi_{p}(\mathscr{B}(\alpha,\beta)) \end{split}$$

and (3) follows from the formula

$$\varrho^{2}(\mathscr{L}_{1},\mathscr{L}_{2})\sum_{\beta\in\mathscr{L}_{1}/(\mathscr{L}_{1}\cap\mathscr{L}_{2})}\chi_{p}(\mathscr{B}(\alpha,\beta)) = \begin{cases} 1\,, & \alpha\in\mathscr{L}_{1}\cap\mathscr{L}_{2}\,,\\ 0\,, & \alpha\notin\mathscr{L}_{2}\cap\mathscr{L}_{1}\,. \end{cases}$$
(6)

For  $\alpha \in \mathscr{L}_1 \cap \mathscr{L}_2$  (6) obviously follows from the definition of  $\varrho(\mathscr{L}_1, \mathscr{L}_2)$ . For  $\alpha \notin \mathscr{L}_2 \cap \mathscr{L}_1$  let us choose  $\beta' \in \mathscr{L}_1$  satisfying the condition  $\chi_p(\mathscr{B}(\alpha, \beta')) \neq 1$  (by virtue of selfduality of  $\mathscr{L}_1$  such  $\beta'$  always exists). Then

$$\begin{split} \varrho^2(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2) & \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{I}_1/(\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2)} \chi_p(\mathcal{B}(\alpha, \beta)) \\ &= \varrho^2(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2) \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{L}_1/(\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2)} \chi_p(\mathcal{B}(\alpha, \beta + \beta')) \\ &= \chi_p(\mathcal{B}(\alpha, \beta')) \varrho^2(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2) \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{L}_1/(\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_2)} \chi_p(\mathcal{B}(\alpha, \beta)) \end{split}$$

and therefore (6) is valid. The property (4) of the operator  $F_{\mathscr{C}_2,\mathscr{L}_1}$  can be proved by analogy to that of (3).

The operator  $F_{\mathscr{G}_2, \mathscr{G}_1}$  we call a *canonical intertwining operator*.

In particular from the last proposition it follows that  $\mathcal{L}_1$ - and  $\mathcal{L}_2$ -representations are unitary equivalent.

#### 5. Maslov Index

Let  $\mathscr{L}_1, \mathscr{L}_2, \mathscr{L}_3 \in \Lambda$ . Then the corresponding representations  $(H(\mathscr{L}_1), W_{\mathscr{L}_1}), (H(\mathscr{L}_2), W_{\mathscr{L}_2})$  and  $(H(\mathscr{L}_3), W_{\mathscr{L}_3})$  are unitary equivalent. Let us consider the unitary operator  $\mathscr{F} = F_{\mathscr{L}_1, \mathscr{L}_3} F_{\mathscr{L}_3, \mathscr{L}_2} F_{\mathscr{L}_2, \mathscr{L}_1}$  on the space  $H(\mathscr{L}_1)$ . By using the formula (4) for intertwining operators  $F_{\mathscr{L}_1, \mathscr{L}_3}, F_{\mathscr{L}_3, \mathscr{L}_2}$  and  $F_{\mathscr{L}_2, \mathscr{L}_1}$  it is easy to see that the operator  $\mathscr{F}$  commutes with all operators  $W_{\mathscr{L}_1}(x), x \in \mathscr{V}$  and by virtue of irreducibility of the  $\mathscr{L}_1$ -representation  $(H(\mathscr{L}_1), W_{\mathscr{L}_1})$  it is proportional to the identity operator on  $H(\mathscr{L}_1)$ . Thus we have

$$\mathscr{F} = \mu(\mathscr{L}_1, \mathscr{L}_2, \mathscr{L}_3)$$
 Id.

The number  $\mu(\mathscr{L}_1, \mathscr{L}_2, \mathscr{L}_3) \in \mathbb{T}$  we call the *Maslov index* of a triple of selfdual lattices.

Let us take an explicit formula for the Maslov index.

**Proposition 4.** Let  $\mathscr{L}_1, \mathscr{L}_2, \mathscr{L}_3 \in \Lambda$ . Then the following formula holds:

$$\mu(\mathscr{L}_1, \mathscr{L}_2, \mathscr{L}_3) = \frac{\varrho(\mathscr{L}_1, \mathscr{L}_2) \varrho(\mathscr{L}_2, \mathscr{L}_3)}{\varrho(\mathscr{L}_3, \mathscr{L}_1)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathscr{L}_2/(\mathscr{L}_2 \cap \mathscr{L}_3) \\ \beta \in \mathscr{L}_3/(\mathscr{L}_3 \cap \mathscr{L}_1) \\ \alpha + \beta \in \mathscr{L}_1}} \chi_p(1/2\mathscr{B}(\alpha, \beta)) \,.$$

*Proof* leans upon the formula (2) for a canonical intertwining operator. Let  $f \in H(\mathscr{L}_1)$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{F}f(u) &= \varrho(\mathscr{L}_{1},\mathscr{L}_{2})\,\varrho(\mathscr{L}_{2},\mathscr{L}_{3})\,\varrho(\mathscr{L}_{3},\mathscr{L}_{1}) \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathscr{L}_{1}/(\mathscr{L}_{3}\cap\mathscr{L}_{1})\\\beta \in \mathscr{L}_{3}/(\mathscr{L}_{2}\cap\mathscr{L}_{3})\\\alpha \in \mathscr{L}_{2}/(\mathscr{L}_{1}\cap\mathscr{L}_{2})}} \chi_{p}(1/2\mathscr{R}(\gamma, u) \\ &+ 1/2\mathscr{R}(\beta, u + \gamma) + 1/2\mathscr{R}(\alpha, u + \beta + \gamma))f(u + \alpha + \beta + \gamma) \\ &= \varrho(\mathscr{L}_{1},\mathscr{L}_{2})\,\varrho(\mathscr{L}_{2},\mathscr{L}_{3})\,\varrho(\mathscr{L}_{3},\mathscr{L}_{1}) \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathscr{L}_{1}/(\mathscr{L}_{3}\cap\mathscr{L}_{1})\\\beta \in \mathscr{L}_{3}/(\mathscr{L}_{2}\cap\mathscr{L}_{3})\\\alpha \in \mathscr{L}_{2}/(\mathscr{L}_{1}\cap\mathscr{L}_{2})}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \chi_p(1/2\mathscr{B}(\alpha,\beta) + \mathscr{B}(\alpha+\beta,\gamma))f(u+\alpha+\beta).$$

By using the last formula for  $f = \phi_{\mathscr{L}_1}$  we get the needed formula:

$$\mu(\mathscr{L}_{1}, \mathscr{L}_{2}, \mathscr{L}_{3}) = (\mathscr{F}\phi_{\mathscr{L}_{1}}, \phi_{\mathscr{L}_{1}})_{H(\mathscr{L}_{1})}$$

$$= \frac{\varrho(\mathscr{L}_{1}, \mathscr{L}_{2}) \varrho(\mathscr{L}_{2}, \mathscr{L}_{3})}{\varrho(\mathscr{L}_{3}, \mathscr{L}_{1})} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathscr{L}_{2}/(\mathscr{L}_{2} \cap \mathscr{L}_{3})\\\beta \in \mathscr{L}_{3}/(\mathscr{L}_{3} \cap \mathscr{L}_{1})\\\alpha + \beta \in \mathscr{L}_{3}}} \chi_{p}(1/2\mathscr{B}(\alpha, \beta)) \qquad \Box$$

Proposition 4 shows that the Maslov index of a triple of selfdual lattices does depend on only the "relative positions" of lattices, although in its definition one uses a representation of the Heisenberg group.

**Proposition 5.** Let  $\mathscr{L}_1, \mathscr{L}_2, \mathscr{L}_3, \mathscr{L}_4 \in \Lambda$ . The following statements are valid. (i)  $\mu(\mathscr{L}_1, \mathscr{L}_2, \mathscr{L}_3) = \mu(g\mathscr{L}_1, g\mathscr{L}_2, g\mathscr{L}_3)$  for all  $g \in Sp(\mathscr{V})$ ; (ii)  $\mu(\mathscr{L}_1, \mathscr{L}_2, \mathscr{L}_3) = 1$  if at least two lattices in the triple coincide; (iii)  $\mu(\mathscr{L}_1, \mathscr{L}_2, \mathscr{L}_3)$  remains the same under an even permutation of lattices in the triple and transfers to a conjugate expression under an odd one; (iv) the following cocycle relation holds:

$$\mu(\mathscr{L}_1, \mathscr{L}_2, \mathscr{L}_3) \,\mu(\mathscr{L}_1, \mathscr{L}_3, \mathscr{L}_4) = \mu(\mathscr{L}_2, \mathscr{L}_3, \mathscr{L}_4) \,\mu(\mathscr{L}_2, \mathscr{L}_4, \mathscr{L}_1) \,.$$

*Proof.* (i) follows directly from the explicit formula for  $\mu$  (Proposition 4). The statement (ii)–(iv) one proves in a similar manner immediately from the definition of  $\mu$ . Let us prove the statement (iv). From the definition of the Maslow index we have:

$$\begin{split} & \mu(\mathscr{L}_{1},\mathscr{L}_{2},\mathscr{L}_{3})\mu(\mathscr{L}_{1},\mathscr{L}_{3},\mathscr{L}_{4}) \text{ Id} \\ &= F_{\mathscr{L}_{1},\mathscr{L}_{4}}F_{\mathscr{L}_{4},\mathscr{L}_{3}}F_{\mathscr{L}_{3},\mathscr{L}_{2}}F_{\mathscr{L}_{2},\mathscr{L}_{1}} = F_{\mathscr{L}_{2},\mathscr{L}_{1}}^{-1}(F_{\mathscr{L}_{2},\mathscr{L}_{1}}F_{\mathscr{L}_{1},\mathscr{L}_{4}}F_{\mathscr{L}_{4},\mathscr{L}_{2}}) \\ & \times (F_{\mathscr{L}_{2},\mathscr{L}_{4}}F_{\mathscr{L}_{4},\mathscr{L}_{3}}F_{\mathscr{L}_{3}}F_{\mathscr{L}_{3},\mathscr{L}_{2}})F_{\mathscr{L}_{2},\mathscr{L}_{1}} = \mu(\mathscr{L}_{2},\mathscr{L}_{3},\mathscr{L}_{4})\mu(\mathscr{L}_{2},\mathscr{L}_{4},\mathscr{L}_{1}) \text{ Id} \ . \end{split}$$

# 6. Calculations of the Maslov Index

Let us remind that any  $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^*$  can be uniquely represented in the following form:

$$x = p^{\operatorname{ord}_p(x)} \varepsilon(x) \,,$$

where  $\operatorname{ord}_p : \mathbb{Q}_p^* \to \mathbb{Z}$  and  $|x|_p = p^{-\operatorname{ord}_p(x)}$ ;  $\varepsilon : \mathbb{Q}_p^* \to \mathbb{Z}_p^*$  and  $\varepsilon(x) = x_0 + x_1 p + \dots$ ,  $x_j = 0, 1, \dots, p-1, x_0 \neq 0$ . Fractional part  $\{x\}_p$  equals 0 if  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  and for  $x \notin \mathbb{Z}_p$  is defined by the formula

$$\{x\}_p = p^{\operatorname{ord}_p(x)}(x_0 + x_1p + \ldots + x_{-\operatorname{ord}_p(x)-1}p^{-\operatorname{ord}_p(x)-1}).$$

Let  $\lambda_p: \mathbb{Q}_p \to \mathbb{T}$  be a function defined by the formula (see [VV]):

$$\begin{split} \lambda_p(0) &= 1 \,. \\ \lambda_p(x) &= \begin{cases} 1 \,, & \operatorname{ord}_p(x) = 2k, k \in \mathbb{Z} \,, \\ \left(\frac{\varepsilon(x)}{p}\right), & \operatorname{ord}_p(x) = 2k+1, k \in \mathbb{Z}, p \equiv 1 \,(\operatorname{mod} 4) \,, \\ i \Big(\frac{\varepsilon(x)}{p}\Big), & \operatorname{ord}_p(x) = 2k+1, k \in \mathbb{Z}, p \equiv 3 \,(\operatorname{mod} 4) \,, \end{cases} \end{split}$$

where  $\left(\frac{\varepsilon(x)}{p}\right)$  is the Legendre symbol of a *p*-adic unit  $\varepsilon(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ . This function has the following properties.

**Lemma 1.** Function  $\lambda_p$  has the properties:

(i)  $\lambda_p(-x) = \overline{\lambda_p(x)};$ (ii)  $\lambda_p(a^2x) = \lambda_p(x), a \in \mathbb{Q}_p^*;$ (iii)  $\lambda_p(x)\lambda_p(y) = \lambda_p\left(\frac{x+y}{xy}\right)\lambda_p(x+y);$ (iv)  $\lambda_p(x)\lambda_p(y) = (x,y)\lambda_p(xy),$  where (x,y) is the Hilbert symbol.

*Proof.* For the proof of the properties (i)–(iii) see [VV]. Taking into account that  $\lambda_p(x) = 1$  for  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ , statement (ii) and the symmetry of (iv) it is sufficient to check

(iv) for the cases x = y = p,  $x = y = \eta p$ , x = p,  $y = \eta p$ , where  $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ ,  $\left(\frac{\eta}{p}\right) = -1$  that can be done by direct calculations.

From the definition of  $\lambda_p$  it is easy to make out the connection of this function with the Gauss sum

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p^{n}-1} \exp\left(2\pi i a \, \frac{k^{2}}{p^{n}}\right) = p^{n/2} \lambda_{p}(a p^{n}) \,, \tag{7}$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and a is not divisible by p.

Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \mu, \overline{\nu} \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $\{e, f\}$  be a symplectic basis of  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B})$ . We consider now the following triple of selfdual lattices in  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B})$ :

$$\begin{split} & \mathscr{L}_1 = \mathbb{Z}_p e \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p f , \\ & \mathscr{L}_2 = \mathbb{Z}_p p^m e \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p (\mu p^m e + p^{-m} f) , \\ & \mathscr{L}_3 = \mathbb{Z}_p p^n e \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p (\nu p^n e + p^{-n} f) . \end{split}$$

As it is evident from the foregoing the Maslov index of these triples can be represented as function of  $m, n, \mu$  and  $\nu$ , that is  $\mu(\mathscr{L}_1, \mathscr{L}_2, \mathscr{L}_3) = M(m, \mu; n, \nu)$  for some function  $M: (\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Q}_p) \times (\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Q}_p) \to \mathbb{T}$ . The explicit formulas for the function M in simplest cases is given by the following theorem. Theorem. The following formulas are valid:

(i) 
$$M(m,0;n,0) = 1$$
 for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ;  
 $\begin{cases} 1, & m \ge 0 & or \quad \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \end{cases}$ 

(ii) 
$$M(m,0;0,\nu) = \begin{cases} \lambda_p(-\nu), & m < 0, 1 < |\nu|_p < p^{-2m}, \\ 1, & m < 0, p^{-2m} \le |\nu|_p; \end{cases}$$

(iii) 
$$M(0,\mu;0,\nu) = \begin{cases} 1, & \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ or } \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ or } \mu - \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \\ \lambda_p(\mu\nu(\mu-\nu)) \text{ in other cases.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Since  $|\mu(\mathscr{L}_1, \mathscr{L}_2, \mathscr{L}_3)| = 1$ , then all calculations can be carried out up to some real positive factor and instead of the equality sign we shall write the sign  $\sim$ . By virtue of Proposition 4 and the last remark we have

$$\begin{split} M(m,\mu,n,\nu) \sim \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_1/(\mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{L}_3) \\ \beta \in \mathcal{L}_3/(\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{L}_1) \\ \alpha + \beta \in \mathcal{L}_1}} \chi_p(1/2\mathcal{B}(\alpha,\beta)) \,. \end{split}$$

(i) Taking into account Proposition 5 (ii) it is sufficient to consider the case  $m \neq 0$ ,  $m \neq n$ ,  $n \neq 0$ . Besides that we can reduce the general case to the case of m > n, m > 0 by means of changes of order of lattices in the triple and transformation of basis  $e \rightarrow f$ ,  $f \rightarrow -e$  if it is necessary. Since  $\alpha \in \mathscr{L}_2$  and  $\beta \in \mathscr{L}_3$  they can be represented in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \alpha_1 p^m e + \alpha_2 p^{-m} f \,, \\ \beta &= \beta_1 p^n e + \beta_2 p^{-n} f \,, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha_1, a_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . As  $p^m \alpha_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  if m > 0 and  $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  then the condition  $\alpha + \beta \in \mathcal{Z}_1$  has the form:

$$p^n \beta_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \qquad p^{-m} \alpha_2 + p^{-n} \beta_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p.$$
(8)

Since  $\chi_p$  is of rank 0 and taking into account the condition m-n > 0 and the formula (8) we get:

$$\begin{split} \chi_p(\mathscr{B}(\alpha,\beta)) &= \chi_p(p^{m-n}\alpha_1\beta_2 - p^{n-m}\alpha_2\beta_1) = \chi_p(-p^{n-m}\alpha_2\beta_1) \\ &= \chi_p(-p^n\beta_1(p^{-n}\beta_2 + p^{-m}\alpha_2 - p^{-n}\beta_2)) \\ &= \chi_p(-p^n\beta_1(p^{-n}\beta_2 + p^{-m}\alpha_2) + \beta_1\beta_2) = 1 \end{split}$$

and therefore M(m, 0; n, 0) = 1 for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(ii) Taking into account Proposition 1 and 5 (ii) it is sufficient to consider the case  $m \neq 0$ ,  $\nu \notin \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Let  $\alpha \in \mathscr{L}_2$  and  $\beta \in \mathscr{L}_3$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \alpha_1 p^m e + \alpha_2 p^{-m} f \,, \\ \beta &= \beta_1 e + \beta_2 (\nu e + f) \,, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . The condition  $\alpha + \beta \in \mathscr{L}_1$  has the form:

$$p^m \alpha_1 + \nu \beta_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad p^{-m} \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p.$$
 (9)

Since of  $\chi_p$  is a character of rank 0 and taking into account the formula (9) we get:

$$\chi_{p}(\mathcal{B}(\alpha,\beta)) = \chi_{p}(p^{m}\alpha_{1}\beta_{2} - p^{-m}\nu\alpha_{2}\beta_{2})$$
  
=  $\chi_{p}(p^{m}\alpha_{1}\beta_{2} - p^{-m}\alpha_{2}(p^{m}\alpha_{1} + \nu\beta_{2} - p^{m}\alpha_{1}))$   
=  $\chi_{p}(p^{m}\alpha_{1}\beta_{2} - p^{-m}\alpha_{2}(p^{m}\alpha_{1} + \nu\beta_{2}) + \alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}) = \chi_{p}(p^{m}\alpha_{1}\beta_{2}).$  (10)

If  $m \ge 0$  then as it follows from (10)  $\chi_p(\mathscr{B}(\alpha,\beta)) = 1$  and  $M(m,0;0,\nu) = 1$ . Let now m < 0 and  $|\nu|_p \ge p^{-2m}$ , that is  $\operatorname{ord}_p(\nu) \le 2m$ . By virtue of (9) and (10) we have

$$\begin{split} \chi_p(\mathscr{B}(\alpha,\beta)) &= \chi_p(p^{m-\mathrm{ord}_p(\nu)}\varepsilon^{-1}(\nu)\alpha_1(p^m\alpha_1+\nu\beta_2-p^m\alpha_1)) \\ &= \chi_p(p^{m-\mathrm{ord}_p(\nu)}\varepsilon^{-1}(\nu)\alpha_1(p^m\alpha_1+\nu\varphi_2)-p^{2m-\mathrm{ord}_p(\nu)}\varepsilon^{-1}(\nu)\alpha_1^2) \\ &= \chi_p(-p^{2m-\mathrm{ord}_p(\nu)}\varepsilon^{-1}(\nu)\alpha_1^2) = 1 \end{split}$$

and  $M(m, 0; 0, \nu) = 1$ . In the last case m < 0 and  $1 < |\nu|_p < p^{-2m}$  the proof is given below for the case  $1 < |\nu|_p \le p^{-m}$  (the case  $p^{-m} < |\nu|_p < p^{-2m}$  one considers analogously). Let a and b denote  $\alpha_1$  and  $\beta_2$  respectively, n denotes  $\operatorname{ord}_p(\nu)$ and  $\varepsilon$  denotes  $\varepsilon(\nu)$ . As any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  can be represented in the form

$$x = x_0 + x_1 p + x_2 p^2 + \dots, \quad x_j = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1,$$

then the condition (9) takes the form

$$p^m(a_0 + a_1p + \ldots) + p^n(b_0 + b_1p + \ldots) \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_p$$

From the last formula we get that the formula (9) is equivalent to the set of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= a_1 = \ldots = a_{n-m-1} = 0, \\ a_{n-m} + (b\varepsilon)_0 &= 0, \\ &\vdots \\ a_{-m-1} + (b\varepsilon)_{-n-1} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

thus from (10) we have

$$\chi_{p}(\mathscr{B}(\alpha,\beta)) = \chi_{p}(p^{n}(a_{n-m} + a_{n-m+1}p + \dots)(b_{0} + b_{1}p + \dots))$$

$$= \chi_{p}(-p^{n}((b\varepsilon)_{0} + (b\varepsilon)_{1}p + \dots + (b\varepsilon)_{-n-1}p^{-n-1}))$$

$$\times (b_{0} + b_{1}p + \dots + b_{-n-1}p^{-n-1}))$$

$$= \chi_{p}(-p^{n}(b_{0} + b_{1}p + \dots + b_{-n-1}p^{-n-1})^{2}\eta), \qquad (11)$$

where  $\eta = \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1 p + \ldots + \varepsilon_{-n-1} p^{-n-1}$ . It is easy to see that the set  $\mathscr{L}_3 \cap \mathscr{L}_1$  has the form:

$$\mathscr{L}_3 \cap \mathscr{L}_1 = \left\{ \beta_1 e + \beta_2 (\nu e + f), \beta_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \nu \beta_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p \right\},\$$

and from the last formula and (11) we have

$$M(m,0;0,\nu) \sim \sum_{b_0,b_1,\ldots,b_{-n-1}=0}^{p-1} \chi_p(-p^n \eta (b_0 + \ldots + b_{-n-1}p^{-n-1})^2),$$

whence it follows that

$$M(m,0;0,\nu) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{p^{-n}-1} \exp\left(-2\pi i\eta \frac{k^2}{p^{-n}}\right).$$

(Here we use the explicit form for the character  $\chi_p(\xi) = \exp(2\pi i \{\xi\}_p)$ ). Taking into account the formula (7) we get the needed formula  $M(m, 0; 0, \nu) = \lambda_p(-p^{-n}\eta) = \lambda_p(-\nu)$ .

(iii) Taking into account Propositions 1 and 5 (ii) it is sufficient to consider the case  $\mu \notin \mathbb{Z}_p, \ \mu - \nu \notin \mathbb{Z}_p, \ \nu \notin \mathbb{Z}_p$ . We present here the proof only for the case of  $|\mu|_p \neq |\nu|_p$ , otherwise (iii) can be proved analogously. By the symmetry we can suppose that  $|\nu|_p < |\mu|_p$ . Let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_2, \ \beta \in \mathcal{L}_3$ , then

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 e + \alpha_2 (\mu e + f),$$
  
$$\beta = \beta_1 e + \beta_2 (\nu e + f),$$

where  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . The condition  $\alpha + \beta \in \mathscr{L}_1$  takes the form:

$$\mu\alpha_2 + \nu\beta_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$$

Since the rank of  $\chi_p$  equals 0 we have:

$$\chi_p(\mathscr{B}(\alpha,\beta)) = \chi_p(\mu\alpha_2\beta_2 - \nu\alpha_2\beta_2) = \chi_p((\mu - \nu)\alpha_2\beta_2).$$
(12)

Let  $\operatorname{ord}_p(\mu) - m$ ,  $\operatorname{ord}_p(\nu) = -n$ ,  $\alpha_2 = a$ ,  $\beta_2 = b$ . As for the proof of the statement (ii) from the formula (12) we get:

$$p^{-m}\varepsilon(\mu)(a_0+a_1p+\ldots)+p^{-n}\varepsilon(\nu)(b_0+b_1p+\ldots)\in\mathbb{Z}_p.$$

In the case of  $m > n \ge 1$  from the last formula we have:

$$(\varepsilon(\mu(a)_0 = (\varepsilon(\mu)a)_1 = (\varepsilon(\mu)a)_{m-n-1} = 0,$$
  

$$(\varepsilon(\mu)a)_{m-n} + (\varepsilon(\nu)b)_0 = 0,$$
  

$$\vdots$$
  

$$(\varepsilon(\mu)a)_{m-1} + (\varepsilon(\nu)b)_{n-1} = 0.$$
(13)

As for the proof of (ii) from (12) and (13) we have:

$$\chi_p(\mathscr{B}(\alpha,\beta)) = \chi_p\left(-(\mu-\nu)\frac{\varepsilon(\nu)}{\varepsilon(\mu)}p^{m-n}(b_0+b_1p+\ldots+b_{n-1}p^{n-1})^2\right).$$

Since  $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(\mu - \nu) = \operatorname{ord}_{p}(\mu)$  from the last formula we obtain:

$$\chi_p(\mathscr{B}(\alpha,\beta)) = \chi_p(p^{-n}\eta(b_0 + b_1p + \ldots + b_{n-1}p^{n-1})^2),$$

where

$$\eta = \left(\varepsilon(\nu-\mu)\frac{\varepsilon(\nu)}{\varepsilon(\mu)}\right)_0 + \left(\varepsilon(\nu-\mu)\frac{\varepsilon(\nu)}{\varepsilon(\mu)}\right)_1 p + \ldots + \left(\varepsilon(\nu-\mu)\frac{\varepsilon(\nu)}{\varepsilon(\mu)}\right)_n p^{n-1}$$

The set  $\mathscr{L}_3 \cap \mathscr{L}_1$  has the form

$$\mathscr{L}_3 \cap \mathscr{L}_1 = \left\{\beta_1 e + \beta_2 (\nu e + f), \beta_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \nu \beta_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p\right\},\$$

500

and as for the proof of (ii) we have:

$$M(0,\mu;0,\nu) = \lambda_n(p^n\eta)$$
.

Taking into account the properties of the function  $\lambda_p$  and the relation  $\operatorname{ord}_p(\nu - \mu) = \operatorname{ord}_p(\mu)$  we derive from the last formula:

$$M(0,\mu;0,\nu) = \lambda_p \left( p^n \varepsilon(\nu-\mu) \frac{\varepsilon(\nu)}{\varepsilon(\mu)} \right)$$
$$= \lambda_p (p^n \varepsilon(\nu) p^m \varepsilon(\mu) p^m \varepsilon(\nu-\mu)) = \lambda_p (\nu(\nu-\mu)).$$

The proved theorem makes possible to calculate the Maslov index in the general case. By Proposition 1 for an arbitrary triple  $(\mathscr{L}_1, \mathscr{L}_2, \mathscr{L}_3)$  of selfdual lattices there is a symplectic basis  $\{e, f\}$  wherein

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{L}_1 &= \mathbb{Z}_p e \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p f ,\\ \mathscr{L}_2 &= \mathbb{Z}_p p^m e \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p p^{-m} f ,\\ \mathscr{L}_3 &= \mathbb{Z}_p p^n e \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p (\nu p^n e + p^{-n} f) , \end{aligned}$$
(14)

where  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\nu \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ . Therefore the Maslov index of this triple is given by the relation

$$\mu(\mathscr{L}_1, \mathscr{L}_2, \mathscr{L}_3) = M(m, 0; n, \nu).$$

Let  $\mathscr{L}_4 = \mathbb{Z}_p p^n e \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p p^{-n} f$ . In the symplectic basis  $\{\tilde{e} = p^n e, \tilde{f} = p^{-n} f\}$  we have

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{L}_1 &= \mathbb{Z}_p p^{-n} \tilde{e} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p p^n \tilde{f} \,, \\ \mathscr{L}_2 &= \mathbb{Z}_p p^{m-n} \tilde{e} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p p^{n-m} \tilde{f} \,, \\ \mathscr{L}_3 &= \mathbb{Z}_p \tilde{e} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p (\nu \tilde{e} + \tilde{f}) \,, \\ \mathscr{L}_4 &= \mathbb{Z}_p \tilde{e} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p \tilde{f} \,. \end{split}$$

Taking into account Proposition 5(i), (iii), (iv) we have

$$\mu(\mathscr{L}_1, \mathscr{L}_2, \mathscr{L}_3) = \bar{\mu}(\mathscr{L}_1, \mathscr{L}_3, \mathscr{L}_4) \, \mu(\mathscr{L}_2, \mathscr{L}_3, \mathscr{L}_4) \, \mu(\mathscr{L}_2, \mathscr{L}_4, \mathscr{L}_1)$$
  
=  $\bar{M}(-m, 0; 0, \nu) \, M(m-n, 0; 0, \nu) \, M(-n, 0; m-n, 0) \, .$ 

By virtue of the theorem and the last formula the following corollary is valid. **Corollary.** For the lattices  $\mathscr{L}_1, \mathscr{L}_2, \mathscr{L}_3$  of the form (14) we have

$$\mu(\mathscr{L}_1, \mathscr{L}_2, \mathscr{L}_3) = \begin{cases} 1, & m = 0 \quad or \quad \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_p \quad of \quad n \le 0, \\ \lambda_p(\nu), & 0 < n \le m, 1 < |\nu|_p < p^{2n}, \\ 1, & 0 < n \le m, p^{2n} \le |\nu|_p, \\ 1, & m < n, 1 < |\nu|_p < p^{2(n-m)}, \\ \lambda_p(\nu), & m < n, p^{2(n-m)} \le |\nu|_p < p^{2n}, \\ 1, & m < n, p^{2n} \le |\nu|_p. \end{cases}$$

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank I. Volovich for helpful discussions and the referee for valuable comments.

## References

- [C] Cartier, P.: Quantum mechanical commutation relations and theta-functions. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 9, 361–383 (1966)
- [LV] Lion, G., Vergne, M.: The Weil representation, Maslov index and theta-series. Boston: Birkhäuser 1980
- [Ma] Maslov, V.P.: Aymptotic methods and perturbation theory. Moscow: Nauka 1988
- [Me] Meurice, Y.: Quantum mechanics with *p*-adic numbers. Int. J. Math. Phys. A 4, 5133–5147 (1989)
- [MH] Milnor, J., Husemoller, D.: Symmetric bilinear forms. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer 1973
- [PR] Platonov, V.P., Rapinchuk, A.S.: Algebraic groups and number theory. Moscow: Nauka 1990
- [R] Ruelle, P., Thiran, E., Verstegen, D., Weyers, J.:, Quantum mechanics on p-adic fields. J. Math. Phys. 30, 2854–2861 (1989)
- [VV] Vladimirov, V.S., Volovich, I.V.: p-Adic quantum mechanics. Commun. Math. Phys. 123, 659–676 (1989)
- [V] Volovich, I.V.: p-Adic strings. Class. Quant. Grav. 4, L 83–L 87 (1987)
- [W1] Weil, A.: Basic number theory. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer 1967
- [W2] Weil, A.: Sur certains groupes d'operateurs unitaires. Acta Mathem. 111, 143-211 (1964)

Communicated by H. Araki