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#### Abstract

We consider the problem of constructing a cyclic $L$-operator associated with a 3 -state $R$-matrix related to the $U_{q}(s l(3))$ algebra at $q^{N}=1$. This problem is reduced to the construction of a cyclic (i.e. with no highest weight vector) representation of some twelve generating element algebra, which generalizes the $U_{q}(s l(3))$ algebra. We found such representation acting in $C^{N} \otimes C^{N} \otimes C^{N}$. The necessary conditions of the existence of the intertwining operator for two representations are also discussed.


## 0. Introduction

Recently, it was observed [1] that the chiral Potts model [2-4] can be considered as a part of some new algebraic structure related to the six-vertex $R$-matrix. In particular, the high genus algebraic relations between the Boltzmann weights of the chiral Potts model arise as a condition of the existence of an intertwining operator for two different representations of some quadratic Hopf algebra [5-7], which generalizes the $U_{q}(s l(2))$ algebra. This structure leads to various functional relations [1, 8], which completely determine the spectrum of the chiral Potts model transfer matrix. In fact, the largest eigenvalue was very recently calculated [9] using these functional relations.

It is natural to make an attempt to find new solvable lattice models whose Boltzmann weights obey high genus algebraic relations generalizing the results of [1] for the case of other $R$-matrices.

As a simplest possibility, one can replace the six-vertex $R$-matrix by the eight-vertex one. In this way one can discover [10] two cases of the integrable deformation of the chiral Potts model. The first case is, in fact, the deformation of Fateev-Zamolodchikov model [11] into the "broken $Z_{N}$-model" of [12]. The second case is an integrable deformation of the super-integrable chiral Potts model [13]. Incidentally, the former case was recently studied in [14].

In the present paper we consider the case of the three-state $R$-matrix of [15, $16,20]$, which is related to the $U_{q}(s l(3))$ algebra with $q^{N}=1$. As in the case
of [1], the problem of the construction of a cyclic $L$-operator is reduced to the construction of the cyclic (i.e. with no highest weight vector) representation of some quadratic Hopf algebra containing twelve generating elements. We found an $N^{3}$-dimensional representation of this algebra parametrized by twelve complex parameters. The condition of the existence of the intertwining operator for two such representations leads to a set of high degree algebraic relations in the parameter space, which, however, leave two "spectral variable" degrees of freedom just as in the case of [1].

Up to the moment we have not yet generalized the whole program of [1] for our case. We hope to consider this in subsequent publications.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Sect. 1 we start from the $R$ matrix (1.1) and the Yang-Baxter equation (1.5) for an $L$-operator of the form (1.6). This equation is reduced to the algebra (1.10) for the elements $L_{i j}^{ \pm}$. Then we introduce an equivalent algebra (1.16). For this algebra we have two non-trivial Casimir elements given by (1.17). In Sect. 2 first we consider the subalgebra of (1.16) defined by Eqs. (2.1) because the above mentioned Casimir elements (1.17) are expressed entirely in terms of it. Using a special choice for the generating elements of this subalgebra [Eqs. (2.11), (2.12), (2.15)] we realize them by explicit expressions through simple matrices $X_{i}, Z_{i}$ in (2.23), (2.24). In Sect. 3 we restore the rest of the algebra (1.16) by introducing three more elements $L_{i i}^{-}$having simple commutation relations (2.2) with other elements. Substituting these results into (1.15) we obtain the representation of (1.10). This ends the construction of the solution of the Yang-Baxter Eq. (1.5). In Sect. 4 we consider the specialization of our main algebra (1.10) to the $U_{q}(s l(3))$ algebra. In Sect. 5 we discuss the necessary conditions for the intertwining of two $L$-operators (1.5).

## 1. The Main Algebra

Define a trigonometric $R$-matrix acting in $C^{3} \otimes C^{3}$ with the following matrix elements (the indices run over three values $1,2,3$ ) $[15,16,20]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(x)_{i j, k l}=\delta_{i j} \delta_{k l} \delta_{i k}\left(x q-x^{-1} q^{-1}\right)+\delta_{i j} \delta_{k l} \varrho_{i k}\left(x-x^{-1}\right)+\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k} \sigma_{i j} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{i j}$ is the Kroneker symbol,

$$
\begin{align*}
\varrho_{i j} & \equiv \begin{cases}0, & i=j ; \\
\lambda, & (i j)=(12),(23),(31) ; \\
\lambda^{-1} & (i j)=(21),(32),(13),\end{cases}  \tag{1.2}\\
\sigma_{i j} & \equiv \begin{cases}0, & i=j ; \\
\left(q-q^{-1}\right) x, & i<j ; \\
\left(q-q^{-1}\right) x^{-1} & i>j .\end{cases} \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $x$ is a variable, while $q, \lambda$ are considered as constants. The $R(x)$ satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation (Fig. 1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i^{\prime \prime}, j^{\prime \prime}, k^{\prime \prime}} R(x)_{i i^{\prime \prime}, j j^{\prime \prime}} R(x y)_{i^{\prime \prime} i^{\prime}, k k^{\prime \prime}} R(y)_{j^{\prime \prime} j^{\prime}, k^{\prime \prime} k^{\prime}}=\sum_{i^{\prime \prime}, j^{\prime \prime}, k^{\prime \prime}} R(y)_{j j^{\prime \prime}, k k^{\prime \prime}} R(x y)_{i i^{\prime \prime}, k^{\prime \prime} k^{\prime}} R(x)_{i^{\prime \prime} i^{\prime}, j^{\prime \prime} j^{\prime}} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$




Fig. 1. Graphical representation of Eq. (1.4)



Fig. 2. Graphical representation of Eq. (1.5)

Let $L(x)$ be an operator in $C^{3} \otimes C^{M}, M \geq 3$, satisfying the following equation (Fig. 2):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i^{\prime \prime}, j^{\prime \prime}, \beta} R(x)_{i i^{\prime \prime}, j j^{\prime \prime}} L(x y)_{i^{\prime \prime} i^{\prime}, \alpha \beta} L(y)_{j^{\prime \prime} j^{\prime}, \beta \gamma}=\sum_{i^{\prime \prime}, j^{\prime \prime}, \beta} L(y)_{j j^{\prime \prime}, \alpha \beta} L(x y)_{i i^{\prime \prime}, \beta \gamma} R(x)_{i^{\prime \prime} i^{\prime}, j^{\prime \prime} j^{\prime}}, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L(x)_{i j, \alpha \beta}, i, j=1,2,3 ; \alpha, \beta=1, \ldots, M$ denote the matrix elements of $L(x)$. Such an operator is called a quantum $L$-operator related to a given $R$-matrix.

Let us search for an $L$-operator of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(x)=x L^{+}+x^{-1} L^{-} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L^{+}\left(L^{-}\right)$is independent of $x$ and has an upper(lower) triangular form in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$. The most obvious non-trivial solution of this form for $M=3$ is the $R$-matrix itself. From (1.1) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(x)=x R^{+}+x^{-1} R^{-} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R^{+}$and $R^{-}$satisfy the above requirements and

$$
\begin{gather*}
R_{12}^{+} P_{12} R_{12}^{-}=-P_{12}  \tag{1.8}\\
R_{12}^{+}+R_{12}^{-}=\left(q-q^{-1}\right) P_{12} \tag{1.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

with $P_{i j, k l}=\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k}$ being the permutation matrix in $C^{3} \otimes C^{3}$. By using of (1.6)-(1.9) Eq. (1.5) reduces to the following relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{12}^{-} L_{1}^{ \pm} L_{2}^{ \pm}=L_{2}^{ \pm} L_{1}^{ \pm} R_{12}^{-}  \tag{1.10a}\\
& R_{12}^{-} L_{1}^{-} L_{2}^{+}=L_{2}^{+} L_{1}^{-} R_{12}^{-} \tag{1.10b}
\end{align*}
$$

Explicitly we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[L_{i i}^{ \pm}, L_{j j}^{ \pm}\right]=\left[L_{i i}^{+}, L_{j j}^{-}\right]=0}  \tag{1.11a}\\
L_{i i}^{ \pm} L_{i j}=q^{\mp 1} \varrho_{i j} L_{i j} L_{i i}^{ \pm}, \quad i \neq j,  \tag{1.11b}\\
L_{i i}^{ \pm} L_{j i}=q^{ \pm 1} \varrho_{j i} L_{j i} L_{L i}^{ \pm}, \quad i \neq j,  \tag{1.11c}\\
L_{i i}^{ \pm} L_{j k}=\lambda^{-2 \varepsilon} L_{j k} L_{i i}^{ \pm}  \tag{1.11d}\\
L_{i j} L_{i k}=\lambda^{\varepsilon} q^{-\varepsilon} L_{i k} L_{i j}  \tag{1.11e}\\
L_{k i} L_{j i}=\lambda^{\varepsilon} q^{\varepsilon} L_{j i} L_{k i}  \tag{1.11f}\\
{\left[L_{k i}, L_{i j}\right]=-\varepsilon\left(q-q^{-1}\right) \lambda^{-\varepsilon} L_{i i}^{\varepsilon} L_{k j},}  \tag{1.11~g}\\
L_{i j} L_{j i} \varrho_{i j}-L_{j i} L_{i j} \varrho_{j i}=\left(q-q^{-1}\right)\left(L_{j j}^{-} L_{i i}^{+}-L_{j j}^{+} L_{i i}^{-}\right), \quad i \neq j \tag{1.11h}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $(i, j, k)$ in $(1.11 \mathrm{~d})-(1.11 \mathrm{~g})$ is any permutation of $(1,2,3)$ and $\varepsilon$ denotes its sign;

$$
L_{i j} \equiv \begin{cases}L_{i j}^{+}, & i<j  \tag{1.12}\\ L_{i j}^{-}, & i>j\end{cases}
$$

These relations can be considered as the defining ones for some quadratic Hopf algebra [5-7] with twelve generating elements and co-multiplication $\Delta L_{i j}^{ \pm} \equiv$ $\sum_{k} L_{i k}^{ \pm} \otimes L_{k j}^{ \pm}$which generalizes the $U_{q}(s l(3))$ algebra $[6,7]$.

We are interested in the most general irreducible finite dimensional representations of the algebra (1.10) satisfying the requirements

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} L_{i j}^{ \pm} \neq 0, \quad i, j=1,2,3 \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the relations (1.11b)-(1.11f) it follows that this is possible provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{M} \lambda^{-M}=\lambda^{2 M}=1, \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is the dimension of representation. It will be more convenient to deal with some other relations instead of (1.10). For this let us introduce a matrix $S^{+}$ in $C^{3} \otimes C^{M}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k} S_{i k}^{+} L_{k j}^{+}=\sum_{k} L_{i k}^{+} S_{k j}^{+}=\delta_{i j} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where in the right-hand side of (1.15) the identity matrix in $C^{M}$ is implied. Solving Eq. (1.15) with respect fo $L^{+}$we rewrite (1.10) as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{12}^{-} L_{1}^{-} L_{2}^{-}=L_{2}^{-} L_{1}^{-} R_{12}^{-},  \tag{1.16a}\\
& R_{12}^{-} S_{2}^{+} S_{1}^{+}=S_{1}^{+} S_{2}^{+} R_{12}^{-},  \tag{1.16b}\\
& S_{2}^{+} R_{12}^{-} L_{1}^{-}=L_{1}^{-} R_{12}^{-} S_{2}^{+} . \tag{1.16c}
\end{align*}
$$

One can show that the operators of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{N}=\operatorname{tr}\left\{\Omega\left(L^{-} S^{+}\right)^{N}\right\}, \quad N=1,2, \ldots \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the trace is taken in $C^{3}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega \equiv \operatorname{diag}\left(q^{2}, 1, q^{-2}\right) \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

are Casimir elements of algebra (1.16). Only two of them $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ are independent.

## 2. The Subalgebra

There is a subalgebra of (1.16) being generated by seven elements $L_{21}^{-}, L_{32}^{-}, S_{12}^{+}, S_{23}^{+}$, $A_{i} \equiv L_{i i}^{-} S_{i i}^{+}, i=1,2,3$ with the following defining relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[A_{i}, A_{j}\right] }=\left[L_{21}^{-}, S_{23}^{+}\right]=\left[L_{32}^{-}, S_{12}^{+}\right]=\left[L_{21}^{-}, A_{3}\right] \\
&=\left[L_{32}^{-}, A_{1}\right]=\left[S_{12}^{+}, A_{3}\right]=\left[S_{23}^{+}, A_{1}\right]=0  \tag{2.1a}\\
& {\left[L_{21}^{-}, S_{12}^{+}\right]=(1-\omega)\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right) } \\
& {\left[L_{32}^{-}, S_{23}^{+}\right]=(1-\omega)\left(A_{2}-A_{3}\right) ; }  \tag{2.1b}\\
& L_{32}^{-}\left(L_{21}^{-}\right)^{2}-(1+\omega) L_{21}^{-} L_{32}^{-} L_{21}^{-}+\omega\left(L_{21}^{-}\right)^{2} L_{32}^{-}=0 \\
&\left(L_{32}^{-}\right)^{2} L_{21}^{-}-(1+\omega) L_{32}^{-} L_{21}^{-} L_{32}^{-}+\omega L_{21}^{-}\left(L_{32}^{-}\right)^{2}=0 \\
& S_{12}^{+}\left(S_{23}^{+}\right)^{2}-(1+\omega) S_{23}^{+} S_{12}^{+} S_{23}^{+}+\omega\left(S_{23}^{+}\right)^{2} S_{12}^{+}=0  \tag{2.1c}\\
&\left(S_{12}^{+}\right)^{2} S_{23}^{+}-(1+\omega) S_{12}^{+} S_{23}^{+} S_{12}^{+}+\omega S_{23}^{+}\left(S_{12}^{+}\right)^{2}=0 \\
& L_{32}^{-} A_{2}=\omega A_{2} L_{32}^{-}, \quad L_{21}^{-} A_{2}=\omega^{-1} A_{2} L_{21}^{-} \\
& S_{23}^{+} A_{2}=\omega^{-1} A_{2} S_{23}^{+}, \quad S_{12}^{+} A_{2}=\omega A_{2} S_{12}^{+}  \tag{2.1d}\\
& L_{32}^{-} A_{3}=\omega^{-1} A_{3} L_{32}^{-}, \quad L_{21}^{-} A_{1}=\omega A_{1} L_{21}^{-}, \\
& S_{23}^{+} A_{3}=\omega A_{3} S_{23}^{+}, \quad S_{12}^{+} A_{1}=\omega^{-1} A_{1} S_{12}^{+}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\omega \equiv q^{2}$. Note that this algebra does not depend on $\lambda$. Moreover, if one adds three more elements, e.g. $L_{i i}^{-}, i=1,2,3$ with the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[L_{i i}^{-}, L_{j j}^{-}\right]=\left[L_{i i}^{-}, A_{j}\right]=0 \tag{2.2a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
L_{32}^{-} L_{11}^{-} & =\lambda^{-2} L_{11}^{-} L_{32}^{-}, & & L_{32}^{-} L_{33}^{-}=q^{-1} \lambda L_{33}^{-} L_{32}^{-}, \\
S_{23}^{+} L_{11}^{-} & =\lambda^{2} L_{11}^{-} S_{23}^{+}, & & S_{23}^{+} L_{33}^{-}=q \lambda^{-1} L_{33}^{-} S_{23}^{+}, \\
L_{21}^{-} L_{11}^{-}=q \lambda L_{11}^{-} L_{21}^{-} & & L_{21}^{-} L_{33}^{-}=\lambda^{-2} L_{33}^{-} L_{21}^{-},  \tag{2.2b}\\
S_{12}^{+} L_{11}^{-}=q^{-1} \lambda^{-1} L_{11}^{-} S_{12}^{+}, & & S_{12}^{+} L_{33}^{-}=\lambda^{2} L_{33}^{-} S_{12}^{+}, \\
L_{32}^{-} L_{22}^{-}=q \lambda L_{22}^{-} L_{32}^{-}, & L_{21}^{-} L_{22}^{-}=q^{-1} \lambda L_{22}^{-} L_{21}^{-}, \\
S_{23}^{+} L_{22}^{-}=q^{-1} \lambda^{-1} L_{22}^{-} S_{23}^{+}, & S_{12}^{+} L_{22}^{-}=q \lambda^{-1} L_{22}^{-} S_{12}^{+},
\end{array}
$$

then the resulting algebra is equivalent to the whole one (1.16) with

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{i i}^{+}=A_{i}\left(L_{i i}^{-}\right)^{-1}  \tag{2.3a}\\
L_{31}^{-}=-\frac{\lambda}{\left(q-q^{-1}\right)}\left[L_{21}^{-}, L_{32}^{-}\right]\left(L_{22}^{-}\right)^{-1}  \tag{2.3b}\\
S_{13}^{+}=\frac{\lambda}{\left(q-q^{-1}\right)}\left[S_{12}^{+}, S_{23}^{+}\right]\left(S_{22}^{+}\right)^{-1} \tag{2.3c}
\end{gather*}
$$

First, we shall construct the representation of algebra (2.1). Let us introduce the new notations:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
H_{1} \equiv L_{32}^{-}, & & G_{1} \equiv S_{23}^{+}  \tag{2.4}\\
H_{3} \equiv L_{21}^{-}, & G_{3} \equiv S_{12}^{+}
\end{array}
$$

After some tedious manipulations one can rewrite $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ from (1.17) in terms of generators of the algebra (2.1):

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{i} N_{j} G_{i}=\varepsilon_{j i} \frac{(1-\omega)}{(1+\omega)^{2}}\left(\omega Q_{1}^{2}-Q_{2}\right)+\frac{\omega^{\theta_{j i}}}{1+\omega}\left(N_{1} N_{3}+N_{3} N_{1}\right)-\alpha_{j} N_{j}+\beta_{j}  \tag{2.5a}\\
& G_{i} N_{j} H_{i}=\varepsilon_{i j} \frac{(1-\omega)}{(1+\omega)^{2}}\left(\omega Q_{1}^{2}-Q_{2}\right)+\frac{\omega^{\theta_{i j}}}{1+\omega}\left(N_{1} N_{3}+N_{3} N_{1}\right)-\alpha_{j}^{\prime} N_{j}+\beta_{j}^{\prime} \tag{2.5b}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
N_{i} \equiv H_{i} G_{i}+\omega^{\theta_{i j}} A_{j}+\omega^{\theta_{j i}} A_{2}=G_{i} H_{i}+\omega^{\theta_{j i}} A_{j}+\omega^{\theta_{i j}} A_{2}  \tag{2.6}\\
\alpha_{i} \equiv \omega^{\theta_{i j}}\left(\omega^{\varepsilon_{j i}} A_{i}+\omega^{\varepsilon_{i j}} A_{2}\right) \\
\alpha_{i}^{\prime} \equiv \omega^{\theta_{j i}}\left(\omega^{\varepsilon_{i j}} A_{i}+\omega^{\varepsilon_{j i}} A_{2}\right) ;  \tag{2.7}\\
\beta_{i} \equiv \varepsilon_{i j} \omega \frac{(1-\omega)}{1+\omega} A_{2}\left(A_{i}-\omega^{\varepsilon_{i j}}\left(A_{j}+Q_{1}\right)\right)  \tag{2.8}\\
\beta_{i}^{\prime} \equiv \varepsilon_{j i} \omega \frac{(1-\omega)}{1+\omega} A_{2}\left(A_{i}-\omega^{\varepsilon_{j i}}\left(A_{j}+Q_{1}\right)\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

In these formulae the indiuces $i, j$ run over two values 1,3 and not coincide. The symbols $\theta_{i j}, \varepsilon_{i j}$ mean the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta_{i j} & \equiv \begin{cases}1, & i>j \\
0, & i<j\end{cases}  \tag{2.9}\\
\varepsilon_{i j} & \equiv \theta_{i j}-\theta_{j i} \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

## Define four operators

$$
\begin{align*}
\kappa_{1} & \equiv \frac{1}{(1-\omega)^{2} \Delta^{1 / 3}}\left(\omega H_{3} N_{1}\left(H_{3}\right)^{-1}-N_{1}\right)  \tag{2.11a}\\
\kappa_{3} & \equiv \frac{1}{(1-\omega)^{2} \Delta^{1 / 3}}\left(\omega G_{1} N_{3}\left(G_{1}\right)^{-1}-N_{3}\right)  \tag{2.11b}\\
\phi_{i} & \equiv \frac{1}{\Delta^{1 / 3}} A_{i}\left(\kappa_{i}+\frac{1}{(1-\omega) \Delta^{1 / 3}} N_{i}\right), \quad i=1,3 \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \equiv A_{1} A_{2} A_{3} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

being the Casimir element. From (2.1), (2.5) it follows that they form the closed algebra

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right] } & =\phi_{1}-\phi_{3}  \tag{2.14a}\\
{\left[\phi_{1}, \phi_{3}\right] } & =\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{3}  \tag{2.14b}\\
\omega \phi_{i} \kappa_{i}-\kappa_{i} \phi_{i} & =\frac{\omega}{1-\omega}, \quad i=1,3  \tag{2.14c}\\
\omega \kappa_{i} \phi_{j}-\phi_{j} \kappa_{i} & =\frac{\omega}{1-\omega}, \quad i \neq j \tag{2.14d}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us take them together with $H_{3}, G_{1}, A_{1}, A_{3}$ as a generating set of operators in (2.1). Apart from (2.14) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[H_{3}, G_{1}\right] } & =\left[H_{3}, \kappa_{i}\right]=\left[H_{3}, \phi_{i}\right]=\left[H_{3}, A_{3}\right] \\
& =\left[G_{1}, \kappa_{i}\right]=\left[G_{1}, \phi_{i}\right]=\left[G_{1}, A_{1}\right] \\
& =\left[A_{j}, \kappa_{i}\right]=\left[A_{j}, \phi_{i}\right]=\left[A_{1}, A_{3}\right]=0,  \tag{2.15a}\\
H_{3} A_{1} & =\omega A_{1} H_{3}, \quad G_{1} A_{3}=\omega A_{3} G_{1} . \tag{2.15b}
\end{align*}
$$

Then relations (2.14a) and (2.14b) can be replaced by their resolved form with two Casimir elements $\varrho, \sigma$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\kappa_{i} \kappa_{j}=\varrho-\frac{\phi_{j}+\omega \phi_{i}}{1-\omega}, & i \neq j  \tag{2.16a}\\
\phi_{i} \phi_{j}=\sigma-\frac{\kappa_{i}+\omega \kappa_{j}}{1-\omega}, & i \neq j \tag{2.16b}
\end{align*}
$$

To satisfy (2.5) the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho=\frac{\omega Q_{1}^{2}-Q_{2}}{(1+\omega)(1-\omega)^{2} \Delta^{2 / 3}}, \quad \sigma=\frac{\omega Q_{1}}{(1-\omega)^{2} \Delta^{1 / 3}} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

must be valid. So, if we know a representation of the algebra (2.14), then solving (2.12) with respect to $N_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{i}=(1-\omega) \Delta^{1 / 3}\left(\Delta^{1 / 3}\left(A_{i}\right)^{-1} \phi_{i}-\kappa_{i}\right), \quad i=1,3 \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

we know the representation of (2.6).
To construct a representation of (2.14) let us choose any relation from (2.14c), (2.14d), which has the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega A B-B A=\frac{\omega}{1-\omega} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A, B$ denote any pair of operators from (2.14c), (2.14d). Introduce an operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \equiv A B+\frac{\omega}{(1-\omega)^{2}}=\omega^{-1} B A+\frac{1}{(1-\omega)^{2}} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can show that it satisfies the simple commutation relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
A C=\omega^{-1} C A, \quad B C=\omega C B \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be realized explicitly as (in the case of nongenerate $A$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
A & =a X_{0} \\
C & =c Z_{0} \\
B & =\frac{1}{a} X_{0}^{-1}\left(c Z_{0}-\frac{\omega}{(1-\omega)^{2}}\right) \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a, c$ are arbitrary parameters. Here the matrices $Z_{i}, X_{i}$ have the following properties:

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[X_{i}, X_{j}\right] } & =\left[Z_{i}, Z_{j}\right]=0 \\
Z_{i} X_{j} & =\omega^{\delta_{i j}} X_{j} Z_{i}, \quad i, j=0,1,3 \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

and can be realized explicitly as

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle n| X_{i}|m\rangle & =\bar{\delta}_{n, m+\delta_{i}}  \tag{2.24a}\\
\langle n| Z_{i}|m\rangle & =\omega^{n_{i}} \bar{\delta}_{n, m} \tag{2.24b}
\end{align*}
$$

We use Dirac's notations for bra- and ket-vectors with three component indices ( $n=\left(n_{0}, n_{1}, n_{3}\right)$ ) running over $N^{3}$ values, where $N$ is a minimal number such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\omega^{N}=1  \tag{2.25}\\
\bar{\delta}_{n, m} \equiv \begin{cases}1, & n=m(\bmod N) \\
0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \tag{2.26}
\end{gather*}
$$

and $\delta_{i}$ means the addition of unity modulo $N$ to the $i$-the component of the index. The two pairs of matrices $Z_{i}, X_{i}$ with $i=1,3$ will be used below. Let us choose

$$
\begin{align*}
& A \equiv \kappa_{3}  \tag{2.27}\\
& B \equiv \phi_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Then by means of (2.16) we have (the case $c \neq 0$ ):

$$
\begin{align*}
\kappa_{1} & =\frac{1}{\omega c} Z_{0}^{-1}\left(\varrho \phi_{1}-\frac{1}{1-\omega} \phi_{1}^{2}-\frac{\omega}{1-\omega} \sigma-\frac{\omega^{2}}{(1-\omega)^{2}} \kappa_{3}\right),  \tag{2.28a}\\
\phi_{3} & =\frac{1}{c} Z_{0}^{-1}\left(\sigma \kappa_{3}+\frac{\omega}{1-\omega} \kappa_{3}^{2}+\frac{1}{1-\omega} \varrho-\frac{1}{(1-\omega)^{2}} \phi_{1}\right) . \tag{2.28b}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking into account (2.15) the operators $H_{3}, G_{1}, A_{1}, A_{3}$ can be realized in terms of $Z_{i}, X_{i}$ by the formulae

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{3}=h_{3} Z_{1}, & G_{1}=g_{1} Z_{3} \\
A_{1}=a_{1} X_{1}, & A_{3}=a_{3} X_{3} \tag{2.29}
\end{array}
$$

where $h_{3}, g_{1}, a_{1}, a_{3}$ are arbitrary parameters. For the remaining operators in (2.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}=a_{2} X_{1}^{-1} X_{3}^{-1} \tag{2.30a}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the parameter $a_{2}$ being such that $\Delta=a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{1}= & \frac{1}{g_{1}}\left[(1-\omega) \frac{\Delta^{2 / 3}}{a_{1}} X_{1}^{-1} \phi_{1}-(1-\omega) \Delta^{1 / 3} \kappa_{1}-\omega a_{2} X_{1}^{-1} X_{3}^{-1}-a_{3} X_{3}\right] \\
& \times Z_{3}^{-1},  \tag{2.30b}\\
G_{3}= & \frac{1}{h_{3}}\left[(1-\omega) \frac{\Delta^{2 / 3}}{a_{3}} X_{3}^{-1} \phi_{3}-(1-\omega) \Delta^{1 / 3} \kappa_{3}-\omega a_{2} X_{1}^{-1} X_{3}^{-1}-a_{1} X_{1}\right] \\
& \times Z_{1}^{-1} . \tag{2.30c}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, we have constructed the representation of the subalgebra (2.1). The expressions of the generating elements are given by Eqs. (2.4), (2.29), (2.30). This representation contains nine complex parameters $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, g_{1}, h_{3}, a, c, \varrho, \sigma$.

It is interesting to note that four-element algebra (2.14) at $\omega \neq-1$ contains a central extension of the algebra recently introduced in [19] as a new possible quantum deformation of the $s l(2)$ algebra. Define

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{i} & \equiv \frac{1}{1+\omega}\left(\xi_{i} \phi_{i}-\xi_{i}^{-1} \kappa_{i}\right), \quad i=1,3 \\
e_{2} & \equiv \frac{1}{1+\omega}\left(\xi_{2} \phi_{3}-\xi_{2}^{-1} \kappa_{1}+\xi_{3} \xi_{1}^{-1}\left[\kappa_{1}, \phi_{3}\right]\right) \tag{2.31}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\xi_{i}$ being arbitrary complex parameters with one constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{1} \xi_{2} \xi_{3}=1 \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then from (2.14) and (2.16) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega e_{i} e_{j}-e_{j} e_{i}=e_{k}+\zeta_{k}, \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(i, j, k)$ is any even permutation of $(1,2,3)$ and the central elements $\zeta_{i}$ have the following explicit form:

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta_{i}= & \frac{\omega-1}{(\omega+1)^{2}}\left(\varrho \xi_{i}+\sigma \xi_{i}^{-1}\right) \\
& +\frac{\omega}{(\omega-1)(\omega+1)^{2}}\left(\xi_{j} \xi_{k}^{-1}+\xi_{k} \xi_{j}^{-1}\right), \quad i \neq j \neq k \neq i \tag{2.34}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the parameters $\xi_{i}$ can be chosen so that the elements $e_{i}, i=1,3$ will be proportional to $N_{i}$. The algebra (2.33) with $\zeta_{i}=0$ was introduced in [19], where some plausible arguments in favour of the existence of co-multiplication in this case were also given. There is a Casimir element generalizing that of ref. [19]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(2+\omega^{2}\right)\left(e_{1} e_{2} e_{3}+e_{2} e_{3} e_{1}+e_{3} e_{1} e_{2}\right) \\
& \quad-\left(2 \omega+\omega^{-1}\right)\left(e_{2} e_{1} e_{3}+e_{1} e_{3} e_{2}+e_{3} e_{2} e_{1}\right)+3 \sum_{i=1}^{3} \zeta_{i} e_{i} \tag{2.35}
\end{align*}
$$

A question about the explicit formula for the co-multiplication law in this algebra is obscure until now.

## 3. Representation of the Main Algebra

To write down the $L_{i i}^{-}, S_{i i}^{+}, L_{31}^{-}, S_{13}^{+}$in terms of $X_{i}$ and $Z_{i}$ let us define the integer numbers $s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(q \lambda)^{-1}=\omega^{s_{1}}, \quad q \lambda^{-1}=\omega^{s_{2}}, \quad \lambda^{2}=\omega^{s_{3}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega=\exp (2 \pi i / N)$. From (3.1) and (2.25) it follows that equations

$$
\begin{align*}
s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3} & =0(\bmod N), \\
s_{2}-s_{1} & =1(\bmod N) \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

are valid. By use of (1.16), (3.1), (3.2), and (3.3) we come to

$$
\begin{gather*}
L_{11}^{-}=b_{1} X_{1}^{-s_{1}} X_{3}^{s_{3}}, \quad S_{11}^{+}=a_{1} / b_{1} X_{1}^{s_{2}} X_{3}^{-s_{3}}, \\
L_{22}^{-}=b_{2} X_{1}^{-s_{2}} X_{3}^{s_{1}}, \quad S_{22}^{+}=a_{2} / b_{2} X_{1}^{s_{1}} X_{3}^{-s_{2}},  \tag{3.3}\\
L_{33}^{-}=b_{3} X_{1}^{-s_{3}} X_{3}^{s_{2}}, \quad S_{33}^{+}=a_{3} / b_{3} X_{1}^{s_{1}} X_{3}^{-s_{1}}, \\
S_{13}^{+}=\omega^{s_{1}} \frac{b_{2} g_{1}}{a_{2} h_{3}} X_{1}^{-s_{2}} X_{3}^{s_{1}}\left((1-\omega) \frac{\Delta^{2 / 3}}{a_{3}} X_{1} \phi_{3}-\omega a_{2}\right) Z_{3} Z_{1}^{-1},  \tag{3.4a}\\
L_{31}^{-}=\omega^{s_{1}} \frac{h_{3}}{b_{2} g_{1}} X_{1}^{s_{1}} X_{3}^{-s_{2}}\left((1-\omega) \frac{\Delta^{2 / 3}}{a_{1}} X_{3} \phi_{1}-\omega a_{2}\right) Z_{1} Z_{3}^{-1} . \tag{3.4b}
\end{gather*}
$$

That ends our construction of the representation for the algebra (1.16). The transition to that of (1.10) is straightforward. For completness we list the whole set of formulae:

$$
\begin{gather*}
L_{11}^{+}=b_{1} / a_{1} X_{1}^{-s_{2}} X_{3}^{s_{3}}, \quad L_{11}^{-}=b_{1} X_{1}^{-s_{1}} X_{3}^{s_{3}}, \\
L_{22}^{+}=b_{2} / a_{2} X_{1}^{-s_{1}} X_{3}^{s_{2}}, \quad L_{22}^{-}=b_{2} X_{1}^{-s_{2}} X_{3}^{s_{1}},  \tag{3.5a}\\
L_{33}^{+}=b_{3} / a_{3} X_{1}^{-s_{3}} X_{3}^{s_{1}}, \quad L_{33}^{-}=b_{3} X_{1}^{-s_{3}} X_{3}^{s_{2}} ; \\
L_{12}^{+}=-\omega^{s_{1}} \frac{b_{1} b_{2}}{a_{1} a_{2} h_{3}} X_{1}^{s_{3}} X_{3}^{-s_{1}}\left[(1-\omega) \frac{\Delta^{2 / 3}}{a_{3}} X_{3}^{-1} \phi_{3}\right. \\
\left.-(1-\omega) \Delta^{1 / 3} \kappa_{3}-\omega a_{2} X_{1}^{-1} X_{3}^{-1}-a_{1} X_{1}\right] Z_{1}^{-1},  \tag{3.5b}\\
L_{13}^{+}=-\frac{b_{1} b_{2} b_{3} g_{1}}{\omega \Delta h_{3}}\left((1-\omega) \Delta^{1 / 3} \kappa_{3}+a_{1} X_{1}\right) Z_{3} Z_{1}^{-1},  \tag{3.5c}\\
L_{23}^{+}=-\omega^{s_{1}} \frac{b_{2} b_{3} g_{1}}{a_{2} a_{3}} X_{1}^{s_{2}} X_{3}^{-s_{3}} Z_{3},  \tag{3.5d}\\
L_{21}^{-}=h_{3} Z_{1},  \tag{3.5e}\\
L_{31}^{-}=\omega^{s_{1}} \frac{h_{3}}{b_{2} g_{1}} X_{1}^{s_{1}} X_{3}^{-s_{2}}\left((1-\omega) \frac{\Delta^{2 / 3}}{a_{1}} X_{3} \phi_{1}-\omega a_{2}\right) Z_{1} Z_{3}^{-1},  \tag{3.5f}\\
L_{32}^{-}=\frac{1}{g_{1}}\left[(1-\omega) \frac{\Delta^{2 / 3}}{a_{1}} X_{1}^{-1} \phi_{1}-(1-\omega) \Delta^{1 / 3} \kappa_{1}-\omega a_{2} X_{1}^{-1} X_{3}^{-1}-a_{3} X_{3}\right] \\
\times Z_{3}^{-1} . \tag{3.5~g}
\end{gather*}
$$

This representation is realized in $C^{N} \otimes C^{N} \otimes C^{N}$ and is defined by twelve complex parameters, namely. $a_{i}, b_{i}, i=1,2,3, g_{1}, h_{3}, a, c, \varrho, \sigma$. For the meaning of the other symbols in (3.5) refer to (2.22)-(2.28), (3.1), (3.2).

Consider now a simplest one-dimensional realization of (2.14) when all the operators commute among themselves. In this case we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi_{i}=\phi ; \quad \kappa_{i}=\kappa, \quad \phi \kappa=-\frac{\omega}{(1-\omega)^{2}}  \tag{3.6}\\
\varrho=\kappa^{2}+\frac{1+\omega}{1-\omega} \phi, \quad \sigma=\phi^{2}-\frac{1+\omega}{1-\omega} \kappa \tag{3.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\phi$ and $\kappa$ are some parameters. One sees that there is a relation between $\varrho$ and $\sigma$ owing to (3.6), (3.7) and therefore by (2.17) so between $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ and $\Delta$. Let us consider more closely the structure of formulae (3.5) in that case. It is not difficult to see that the long expressions in square brackets in (3.5b), (3.5g) factorize leading to the formulae

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{12}^{+}=\omega^{s_{1}} \frac{b_{1} b_{2}}{a_{1} a_{2} h_{3}} X_{1}^{s_{3}} X_{3}^{-s_{1}}\left(1+\frac{\omega a_{2} X_{1}^{-1} X_{3}^{-1}}{(1-\omega) \Delta^{1 / 3} \kappa}\right)\left((1-\omega) \Delta^{1 / 3} \kappa+a_{1} X_{1}\right) Z_{1}^{-1}  \tag{3.8}\\
& L_{32}^{-}=-\frac{(1-\omega) \Delta^{1 / 3} \kappa}{a_{2} g_{1}}\left(1+\frac{\omega a_{2} X_{1}^{-1} X_{3}^{-1}}{(1-\omega) \Delta^{1 / 3} \kappa}\right)\left(\frac{\Delta^{2 / 3}}{(1-\omega) \kappa a_{1}} X_{3}+a_{2}\right) Z_{3}^{-1} \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Introducing new matrices $W_{i}$ instead of $Z_{i}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{i}^{-1} \equiv \frac{1}{\left(1-\left(\frac{a_{2}}{(\omega-1) \Delta^{1 / 3} \kappa}\right)^{N}\right)^{1 / N}}\left(1+\frac{\omega a_{2} X_{1}^{-1} X_{3}^{-1}}{(1-\omega) \Delta^{1 / 3} \kappa}\right) Z_{i}^{-1}, \quad i=1,3 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for which we have the same algebraic relations (2.23) where all $Z_{i}$ are replaced by the $W_{i}$, we rewrite the $(3.5 \mathrm{~b})-(3.5 \mathrm{~g})$ in form

$$
\begin{gather*}
L_{12}^{+}=\omega^{s_{1}} \frac{b_{1} b_{2}}{a_{1} a_{2} h_{3}^{\prime}} X_{1}^{s_{3}} X_{3}^{-s_{1}}\left((1-\omega) \Delta^{1 / 3} \kappa+a_{1} X_{1}\right) W_{1}^{-1},  \tag{3.11a}\\
L_{23}^{+}=-\omega^{s_{1}} \frac{b_{2} b_{3} g_{1}^{\prime}}{a_{2} a_{3}} X_{1}^{s_{2}} X_{3}^{-s_{3}}\left(1+\frac{a_{2} X_{1}^{-1} X_{3}^{-1}}{(1-\omega) \Delta^{1 / 3} \kappa}\right) W_{3},  \tag{3.11b}\\
L_{13}^{+}=-\frac{b_{1} b_{2} b_{3} g_{1}^{\prime}}{\omega \Delta h_{3}^{\prime}}\left((1-\omega) \Delta^{1 / 3} \kappa+a_{1} X_{1}\right) W_{3} W_{1}^{-1},  \tag{3.11c}\\
L_{21}^{-}=h_{3}^{\prime}\left(1+\frac{a_{2} X_{1}^{-1} X_{3}^{-1}}{(1-\omega) \Delta^{1 / 3} \kappa}\right) W_{1},  \tag{3.11d}\\
L_{32}^{-}=-\frac{(1-\omega) \Delta^{1 / 3} \kappa}{a_{2} g_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\Delta^{2 / 3}}{(1-\omega) \kappa a_{1}} X_{3}+a_{2}\right) W_{3}^{-1},  \tag{3.11e}\\
L_{31}^{-}=-\omega^{s_{1}} \frac{\omega h_{3}^{\prime}}{b_{2} g_{1}^{\prime}} X_{1}^{s_{1}} X_{3}^{-s_{2}}\left(\frac{\Delta^{2 / 3}}{(1-\omega) \kappa a_{1}} X_{3}+a_{2}\right) W_{1} W_{3}^{-1}, \tag{3.11f}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the symbols $g_{1}^{\prime}$ and $h_{3}^{\prime}$ mean the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{g_{1}}{g_{1}^{\prime}}=\frac{h_{3}}{h_{3}^{\prime}} \equiv\left(1-\left(\frac{a_{2}}{(\omega-1) \Delta^{1 / 3} \kappa}\right)^{N}\right)^{1 / N} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us define the new parameters by formulae:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\xi \equiv(\omega-1)^{1 / 2} \Delta^{1 / 6} \kappa^{1 / 2}, \quad u_{i}^{-} \equiv b_{i}, \quad u_{i}^{+} \equiv b_{i} / a_{i}, \quad i=1,2,3  \tag{3.13}\\
c_{12}=-\frac{1}{c_{21}} \equiv \xi \frac{u_{2}^{+}}{h_{3}^{\prime}}, \quad c_{23}=-\frac{1}{c_{32}} \equiv \xi^{-1} u_{3}^{+} g_{1}^{\prime}, \quad c_{13}=-\frac{1}{c_{31}} \equiv-\xi \frac{u_{2}^{+} u_{3}^{+} g_{1}^{\prime}}{\omega h_{3}^{\prime}} . \tag{3.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then relations (3.11) take the following compact form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i j}=c_{i j}\left(u_{i}^{-} \xi^{-1} X_{i}-u_{i}^{+} \xi\right) X_{i}^{-\theta s_{2}} W_{i j} X_{j}^{\theta s_{1}}, \quad i \neq j \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{i j}$ is defined in (1.12),

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{12} \equiv W_{1}^{-1}, \quad W_{23} \equiv W_{3}, \quad W_{13} \equiv W_{1}^{-1} W_{3}, \quad X_{2} \equiv X_{1}^{-1} X_{3}^{-1} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\theta \equiv \begin{cases}1, & \text { if }(i, j, k) \text { is even permutation of }(1,2,3)  \tag{3.17}\\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Here the matrices $X_{i}, W_{i j}$ satisfy the closed algebraic relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[X_{i}, X_{i^{\prime}}\right]=\left[W_{i j}, W_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}\right]=0, \quad W_{i j} X_{p}=\omega^{\delta_{j p}-\delta_{i p}} X_{p} W_{i j}, \quad i \neq j, \quad i^{\prime} \neq j^{\prime} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with additional constraints:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{i j} W_{j i}=W_{i j} W_{j k} W_{k i}=X_{1} X_{2} X_{3}=1, \quad i \neq j \neq k \neq i \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

At last note that the parameters in (3.15) can be considered independently of their definition (3.13), (3.14) if we impose on them the following constraints:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{i j} c_{j i}=-1, \quad c_{i j} c_{j k} c_{k i}=\varepsilon \omega^{\varepsilon} \xi^{-\varepsilon}, \quad i \neq j \neq k \neq i, \quad \varepsilon=2 \theta-1 . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. Specialization to the $\boldsymbol{U}_{q}(s l(3))$ Algebra

Let us make more transparent the connection of the algebra (1.10) with the $U_{q}(s l(3))$ announced in Sect. 1. Impose the following constraints:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda=1  \tag{4.1a}\\
L_{i i}^{+} L_{i i}^{-}=L_{11}^{ \pm} L_{22}^{ \pm} L_{33}^{ \pm}=1 \tag{4.1b}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then the algebra (1.10) as a Hopf algebra with co-multiplication $\bar{\Delta} L_{i j}^{ \pm} \equiv \sum_{k} L_{k j}^{ \pm}$ $\otimes L_{i k}^{ \pm}$is equivalent to $U_{q}(s l(3))$ by the following identification:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
L_{11}^{+}=k_{1}^{-4 / 3} k_{2}^{-2 / 3}, & L_{11}^{-}=k_{1}^{4 / 3} k_{2}^{2 / 3}, \\
L_{22}^{+}=k_{1}^{2 / 3} k_{2}^{-2 / 3}, & L_{22}^{-}=k_{1}^{-2 / 3} k_{2}^{2 / 3}, \\
L_{33}^{+}=k_{1}^{2 / 3} k_{2}^{4 / 3}, & L_{33}^{-}=k_{1}^{-2 / 3} k_{2}^{-4 / 3}, \\
L_{12}^{+}=\left(q-q^{-1}\right) k_{1}^{-1 / 3} k_{2}^{-2 / 3} e_{1}, & L_{21}^{-}=-\left(q-q^{-1}\right) k_{1}^{1 / 3} k_{2}^{2 / 3} f_{1}, \\
L_{23}^{+}=\left(q-q^{-1}\right) k_{1}^{2 / 3} k_{2}^{1 / 3} e_{2}, & L_{32}^{-}=-\left(q-q^{-1}\right) k_{1}^{-2 / 3} k_{2}^{-1 / 3} f_{2} \tag{4.2b}
\end{array}
$$

Here we omitted the corresponding expressions for operators $L_{13}^{+}$and $L_{31}^{-}$since they are dependent ones by $(1.11 \mathrm{~g})$. Hereafter, the commutation relations (1.11)
lead to the standard commutation relations of the $U_{q}(s l(3))$ algebra [7]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[k_{i}, k_{j}\right]=0,}  \tag{4.3a}\\
& k_{i} e_{j}=q^{a_{i j} / 2} e_{j} k_{i}, \quad k_{i} f_{j}=q^{-a_{i j} / 2} f_{j} k_{i},  \tag{4.3b}\\
& {\left[e_{i}, f_{j}\right]=\delta_{i j} \frac{k_{i}^{2}-k_{i}^{-2}}{q-q^{-1}},}  \tag{4.3c}\\
& e_{i} e_{j}^{2}-\left(q+q^{-1}\right) e_{j} e_{i} e_{j}+e_{j}^{2} e_{i}=0, \quad i \neq j,  \tag{4.3d}\\
& f_{i} f_{j}^{2}-\left(q+q^{-1}\right) f_{j} f_{i} f_{j}+f_{j}^{2} f_{i}=0, \quad i \neq j, \tag{4.3e}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i i}=2, \quad a_{i j}=-1, \quad i \neq j \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the following co-multiplication law:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta\left(k_{i}\right)=k_{i} \otimes k_{i}, \\
& \Delta\left(e_{i}\right)=k_{i} \otimes e_{i}+e_{i} \otimes k_{i}^{-1}  \tag{4.5}\\
& \Delta\left(f_{i}\right)=k_{i} \otimes f_{i}+f_{i} \otimes k_{i}^{-1}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, any representation of (1.10) obeying the constraints (4.1) becomes the representation of $U_{q}(s l(3))$. For example, let us rewrite (3.11) as a representation of $U_{q}(s l(3))$. The relations (4.1b) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}=b_{i}^{2}, \quad i=1,2,3, \quad b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}=1 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expressing now $k_{i}, e_{i}, f_{i}$ from (4.2) and using (3.5a), (3.11) and (4.6) we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{1}= & \sqrt{b_{1} / b_{2}} X_{1}^{1 / 2} X_{3}^{1 / 4}, \quad k_{2}=\sqrt{b_{2} / b_{3}} X_{1}^{-1 / 4} X_{3}^{-1 / 2} \\
e_{1}= & \frac{\sqrt{b_{1} / b_{2} \xi}}{\left(q-q^{-1}\right) h_{3}^{\prime}}\left[\frac{b_{1}}{\xi} X_{1}^{1 / 2}-\frac{\xi}{b_{1}} X_{1}^{-1 / 2}\right] \tilde{W}_{1}^{-1} \\
e_{2}= & \frac{\sqrt{b_{2} / b_{3}} g_{1}^{\prime}}{\left(q-q^{-1}\right) \xi}\left[\frac{b_{2}}{\xi} X_{2}^{1 / 2}-\frac{\xi}{b_{2}} X_{2}^{-1 / 2}\right] \tilde{W}_{3}  \tag{4.7}\\
f_{1}= & \frac{\sqrt{b_{2} / b_{1} h_{3}^{\prime}}}{\left(q-q^{-1}\right) \xi}\left[\frac{b_{2}}{\xi} X_{2}^{1 / 2}-\frac{\xi}{b_{2}} X_{2}^{-1 / 2}\right] \tilde{W}_{1} \\
f_{2}= & \frac{\sqrt{b_{3} / b_{2} \xi}}{\left(q-q^{-1}\right) g_{1}^{\prime}}\left[\frac{b_{3}}{\xi} X_{3}^{1 / 2}-\frac{\xi}{b_{3}} X_{3}^{-1 / 2}\right] \tilde{W}_{3}^{-1} \\
& X_{2} \equiv X_{1}^{-1} X_{3}^{-1}, \quad \xi \equiv(\omega-1)^{1 / 2} \kappa^{1 / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{W}_{1} \equiv X_{1}^{-1 / 2} X_{3}^{-1 / 4} W_{1} \\
& \tilde{W}_{3} \equiv q^{-1} X_{1}^{-1 / 4} X_{3}^{-1 / 2} W_{3} \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that Eqs. (4.7) contain five independent parameters.

## 5. Necessary Conditions for $\boldsymbol{S}$-Matrix Existence

Let $L(x)$ and $L(\tilde{x})$ be two solutions of Eq. (1.5) just constructed with two different sets of parameters. Below the argument $x(\tilde{x})$ will be omitted since by redefinition
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of the parameters of the representation it can be absorbed into other parameters. Let us find necessary conditions for the existence of an intertwining matrix $S$, which satisfies the equation (Fig. 3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta L_{i j} S=S \bar{\Delta} L_{i j} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta L_{i j} \equiv \sum_{k} L_{i k} \otimes \tilde{L}_{k j}  \tag{5.2a}\\
& \bar{\Delta} L_{i j} \equiv \sum_{k} L_{k j} \otimes \tilde{L}_{i k} . \tag{5.2b}
\end{align*}
$$

From (5.1) it follows that the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta L_{i j}\right)^{n} S=S\left(\bar{\Delta} L_{i j}\right)^{n} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $n$ being an arbitrary positive integer must be valid too. From (5.3) it follows that (if $S^{-1}$ does exist)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\Delta L_{i j}\right)^{n}=\operatorname{tr}\left(\bar{\Delta} L_{i j}\right)^{n}, \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the trace is taken in $C^{M}\left(M=N^{3}\right)$. Let us expand the operators $\Delta L_{i j}$ in the sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta L_{i j}=\Delta L_{i j}^{+}+\Delta L_{i j}^{0}+\Delta L_{i j}^{-}, \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta L_{i j}^{ \pm} & \equiv \sum_{k} L_{i k}^{ \pm} \otimes \tilde{L}_{k j}^{ \pm}  \tag{5.6}\\
\Delta L_{i j}^{0} & \equiv \sum_{k} L_{i k}^{+} \otimes \tilde{L}_{k j}^{-}+L_{i k}^{-} \otimes \tilde{L}_{k j}^{+}
\end{align*}
$$

By using (1.10) one can show that the individual terms in (5.5) are commutative with each other (a similar expansion is valid for the $\bar{\Delta} L_{i j}$ ). This means that they are intertwined independently, i.e. the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\Delta L_{i j}^{ \pm}\right)^{n} & =\operatorname{tr}\left(\bar{\Delta} L_{i j}^{ \pm}\right)^{n}  \tag{5.7}\\
\operatorname{tr}\left(\Delta L_{i j}^{0}\right)^{n} & =\operatorname{tr}\left(\bar{\Delta} L_{i j}^{0}\right)^{n}
\end{align*}
$$

should be valid. For the cyclic representations with $\omega^{N}=1$, where $N$ is a prime number Eqs. (5.7) at $n<N$ are trivial (of the form $0=0$ ). Calculating the traces in the case when $n=N$ and discarding the common factors $N^{3}$ we have the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k}\left(L_{i k}^{ \pm}\right)^{N}\left(\tilde{L}_{k j}^{ \pm}\right)^{N} & =\sum_{k}\left(L_{k j}^{ \pm}\right)^{N}\left(\tilde{L}_{i k}^{ \pm}\right)^{N},  \tag{5.8a}\\
\sum_{k}\left(L_{i k}^{+}\right)^{N}\left(\tilde{L}_{k j}^{-}\right)^{N}+\left(L_{i k}^{-}\right)^{N}\left(\tilde{L}_{k j}^{+}\right)^{N} & =\sum_{k}\left(L_{k j}^{+}\right)^{N}\left(\tilde{L}_{i k}^{-}\right)^{N}+\left(L_{k j}^{-}\right)^{N}\left(\tilde{L}_{i k}^{+}\right)^{N} . \tag{5.8b}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (5.8a) are equivalent to

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\frac{\left(L_{11}^{+}\right)^{N}-\left(L_{22}^{+}\right)^{N}}{\left(L_{12}^{+}\right)^{N}} & =c_{1}^{+}, & \frac{\left(L_{11}^{-}\right)^{N}-\left(L_{22}^{-}\right)^{N}}{\left(L_{21}^{-}\right)^{N}}=c_{1}^{-} \\
\frac{\left(L_{33}^{+}\right)^{N}-\left(L_{22}^{+}\right)^{N}}{\left(L_{23}^{+}\right)^{N}} & =c_{2}^{+}, & \frac{\left(L_{33}^{-}\right)^{N}-\left(L_{22}^{-}\right)^{N}}{\left(L_{32}^{-}\right)^{N}}=c_{2}^{-}, \\
\frac{c_{1}^{+}\left(L_{13}^{+}\right)^{N}+\left(L_{23}^{+}\right)^{N}}{c_{2}^{+}\left(L_{13}^{+}\right)^{N}+\left(L_{12}^{+}\right)^{N}}=c_{3}^{+}, & \frac{c_{1}^{-}\left(L_{31}^{-}\right)^{N}+\left(L_{32}^{-}\right)^{N}}{c_{2}^{-}\left(L_{31}^{-}\right)^{N}+\left(L_{21}^{-}\right)^{N}}=c_{3}^{-}, \tag{5.9c}
\end{array}
$$

where $c_{i}^{ \pm}, i=1,2,3$ are invariants (in the sense that they should be the same for $L_{i j}$ and $\tilde{L}_{i j}$. For the generic case, i.e., when there are no special relations between $\left(L_{i j}^{ \pm}\right)^{N}$, Eqs. (5.8) are equivalent to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\left(L_{i i)^{ \pm}}\right)^{+}-\left(L_{j j}^{ \pm}\right)^{N}}{\left(L_{12}^{+}\right)^{N}}=\frac{\left(\tilde{L}_{i i 1}^{ \pm}\right)^{N}-\left(\tilde{L}_{j j}^{ \pm}\right)^{N}}{\left(\tilde{L}_{12}^{+}\right)^{N}}, \quad i \neq j,  \tag{5.10}\\
\frac{\left(L_{i j}\right)^{N}}{\left(L_{12}^{+}\right)^{N}}=\frac{\left(\tilde{L}_{i j}\right)^{N}}{\left(\tilde{L}_{12}^{+}\right)^{N}}, \quad i \neq j,
\end{gather*}
$$

where the symbol $L_{i j}$ means as it stands in (1.12). Note that we have the eleven free parameters excluding the common normalization factor listed after Eqs. (3.5) and the nine equations (5.10), which define the two-dimensional spectral parameter surface just as in the case of [1]. However, we don't know if is it possible to factorize the two-dimensional complex surface defined by Eqs. (5.10) into a product of two complex curves.

A complete analysis of different particular cases with special relations between $\left(L_{i j}^{ \pm}\right)^{N}$ is too cumbersome and will not be presented here. Consider only one special case, when

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(L_{11}^{ \pm}\right)^{N}=\left(L_{33}^{ \pm}\right)^{N},  \tag{5.11}\\
c_{3}^{+} c_{3}^{-}=1, \quad c_{4}^{+} c_{4}^{-}=c_{2}^{+} c_{2}^{-}+1, \tag{5.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{4}^{+} \equiv \frac{\left(L_{13}^{+}\right)^{N}-c_{2}^{-}\left(L_{12}^{+}\right)^{N}}{\left(L_{21}^{-}\right)^{N}}, \quad c_{4}^{-} \equiv \frac{\left(L_{31}^{-}\right)^{N}-c_{2}^{+}\left(L_{21}^{-}\right)^{N}}{\left(L_{12}^{+}\right)^{N}} \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case Eqs. (5.8b) require only that $c_{4}^{ \pm}$should be the invariants.
For example, consider the representation in (3.11) with additional constraints

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{i i}^{ \pm}\right)^{N}=\left(L_{j j}^{ \pm}\right)^{N}, \quad i, j=1,2,3 \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case Eqs. (5.12) are valid, and the invariants have the following explicit form:

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{1}^{ \pm}=c_{2}^{ \pm}=0  \tag{5.15}\\
c_{3}^{ \pm}=\left(\frac{g_{1}^{\prime} h_{3}^{\prime}}{a_{1}(\omega-1) \kappa}\right)^{ \pm N}, \quad c_{4}^{ \pm}=\left(\frac{b_{1}^{3}\left(g_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{a_{1}^{3} h_{3}^{\prime}}\right)^{ \pm N} c_{3}^{\mp} \tag{5.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

where we have used $a_{1}=a_{2}=a_{3}, b_{1}=b_{2}=b_{3}$.
To end this section let us list the formulae for $\left(L_{i j}^{ \pm}\right)^{N}$ entering (5.8) for the general case of our $L$-operator given by (3.5),

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(L_{i i}^{+}\right)^{N}=\left(b_{i} / a_{i}\right)^{N}, \quad\left(L_{i i}^{-}\right)^{N}=b_{i}^{N},  \tag{5.17a}\\
\left(L_{12}^{+}\right)^{N}=\left(\frac{b_{1} b_{2}}{a_{1} a_{2} h_{3}}\right)^{N}\left[-(\omega-1)^{N} \Delta^{N / 3} \kappa_{3}^{N}\right. \\
\left.-(1-\omega)^{N} \frac{\Delta^{2 N / 3}}{a_{3}^{N}} \phi_{3}^{N}+a_{2}^{N}+a_{1}^{N}\right],  \tag{5.17b}\\
\left(L_{13}^{+}\right)^{N}=\left(\frac{b_{1} b_{2} b_{3} g_{1}}{\Delta h_{3}}\right)^{N}\left[(\omega-1)^{N} \Delta^{N / 3} \kappa_{3}^{N}-a_{1}^{N}\right],  \tag{5.17c}\\
\left(L_{23}^{+}\right)^{N}=-\left(\frac{b_{2} b_{3} g_{1}}{a_{2} a_{3}}\right)^{N},  \tag{5.17d}\\
\left(L_{32}^{-}\right)^{N}=\frac{1}{g_{1}^{N}}\left[(1-\omega)^{N} \frac{\left.\Delta^{-}\right)^{N}=h_{3}^{N}}{a_{1}^{N}} \phi_{1}^{N}+(\omega-1)^{N} \Delta^{N / 3} \kappa_{1}^{N}-a_{2}^{N}-a_{3}^{N}\right] ;  \tag{5.17e}\\
\kappa_{3}^{N}=a^{N}, \quad \phi_{1}^{N}=\frac{(-1)^{N}}{a^{N}}\left(\frac{1}{(1-\omega)^{2 N}}-c^{N}\right),  \tag{5.17f}\\
\left.b_{2} g_{1}\right)^{N}\left[(1-\omega)^{N} \frac{\Delta^{2 N / 3}}{a_{1}^{N}} \phi_{1}^{N}-a_{2}^{N}\right],  \tag{5.17~g}\\
\kappa_{1}^{N}=\frac{(-1)^{N}}{\phi_{1}^{N}}\left(\frac{1}{(1-\omega)^{2 N}}-C_{1}^{N}\right),  \tag{5.18a}\\
C_{1}^{N}=\frac{1}{(1-\omega)^{N} c^{N}}\left(v_{1}^{N}-\phi_{1}^{N}\right)\left(v_{2}^{N}-\phi_{1}^{N}\right)\left(v_{3}^{N}-\phi_{1}^{N}\right),  \tag{5.18b}\\
C_{2}^{N}=\frac{1}{(1-\omega)^{N} c^{N}}\left(\mu_{1}^{N}-\kappa_{3}^{N}\right)\left(\mu_{2}^{N}-\kappa_{3}^{N}\right)\left(\mu_{3}^{N}-\kappa_{3}^{N}\right),  \tag{5.18c}\\
\kappa_{3}^{N}  \tag{5.19a}\\
\left.(1-\omega)^{2 N}-C_{2}^{N}\right) ;  \tag{5.19b}\\
1
\end{gather*}
$$

where the $v_{i}$ are defined by the following system of equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{1}+v_{2}+v_{3} & =\left(\omega^{-1}-1\right) \varrho \\
v_{1} v_{2}+v_{2} v_{3}+v_{3} v_{1} & =\omega^{-1} \sigma  \tag{5.20}\\
v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} & =\frac{1}{(1-\omega)^{3}}
\end{align*}
$$

while the $\mu_{i}$ are given by formulae:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{i}=-\frac{\omega}{(1-\omega)^{2} v_{i}}, \quad i=1,2,3 \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note, that expressions (5.17-5.19) are valid for any prime $N \geq 2$ and for any choice of $s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}$ satisfying (3.2).
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