

# Symplectic Structure, Lagrangian, and Involutiveness of First Integrals of the Principal Chiral Field Equation

L. A. Dickey

Leningradsky av. 28, fl. 59, SU-125040 Moscow, USSR

**Abstract.** We deal with a form of the chiral equation, for which first integrals can be written explicitly. For these equations, we find a symplectic structure, the Lagrangian and first integrals in involution.

Although chiral fields have been thoroughly studied (for a bibliography, see [1, 2]), when we became interested in the question whether local first integrals are in involution, we found that the situation here is obscure. This situation is complicated by the fact that there are two forms of the chiral field equations; the transition from one of them to the other can be performed by a transformation of the Bäcklund type (a “gauge transformation”), the symplectic and the Lagrangian structures being written for one of these forms and local first integrals for the other. It is very difficult to establish the connection between the symplectic structure and the first integrals.

In the present paper we concentrate solely on one of these forms of the chiral equations (which is less popular), namely on the one in which the first integrals can be written explicitly. For these equations we suggest a symplectic structure (apparently a new one), find the Lagrangian and first integrals, and prove their involutiveness.

This paper is very close to [3] and requires only a slight extension of the apparatus developed in this work. Although all the definitions and assertions are formulated independently, some proofs are replaced by references to [3].

The present paper is a natural continuation of the series of papers by Gelfand and the author (e.g. [4, 5]).

## 1. Equations for Principal Chiral Fields

We consider the matrix equation

$$\bar{U}_t - \bar{V}_x = [\bar{U}, \bar{V}], \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\bar{U} = \zeta^{-1}U_0 + U_1 + \zeta A$ ,  $\bar{V} = -\zeta^{-1}U_0 + U_1 + \zeta A$ ;  $U_0$ ,  $U_1$ ,  $A$  are  $N \times N$  matrices, and  $A$  is a constant diagonal matrix with distinct diagonal elements. The

equations must be satisfied identically with respect to the parameter  $\zeta$ . Equation (1.1) is an example of the Zakharov-Shabat equations, see [6] (also called zero curvature equations). Equation (1.1) is equivalent to the system of equations

$$\dot{U}_0 = -U'_0 + 2[U_0, U_1], \quad \dot{U}_1 = U'_1 - 2[A, U_0]. \tag{1.2}$$

Usually the name “chiral equations” is related to another system of equations:

$$M_\eta + N_\xi = 0, \quad M_\eta - N_\xi = [N, M]. \tag{1.3}$$

Let us elucidate the connection between them. First we pass to the cone variables  $\xi = x + t, \eta = x - t$  in (1.2):

$$U_{0\xi} = -[U_1, U_0], \quad U_{1\eta} = [A, U_0]. \tag{1.4}$$

If  $U_0$  and  $U_1$  are solutions of (1.4), then  $h$  can be found from

$$U_1 + A = h^{-1}h_\xi, \quad U_0 = h^{-1}h_\eta.$$

[Equations (1.4) guarantee compatibility of these equations.] Let  $M = 2hAh^{-1}, N = 2hV, h^{-1}$ . Then  $M$  and  $N$  satisfy (1.3). Thus each solution of (1.2) generates a solution of (1.3) for which  $M$  has a constant spectrum and vice versa. The transition from one of the equations to the other is called a “gauge transformation.”

*Remark.* An additional requirement can be imposed on the matrices  $U_0, U_1$ , and  $A$ , namely, they can be considered as belonging to a Lie subalgebra of the whole algebra  $gL(N)$ , but this is of no importance to us.

### 2. Symplectic Structure

The symbol  $\mathcal{A}$  will denote the differential algebra of the polynomials in matrix elements of some matrices  $U_0, \dots, U_n$  and their derivatives with respect to  $x$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A}/\partial\mathcal{A}$  ( $\partial = d/dx$ ) be the space of the formal integrals (also called functionals)  $\tilde{f} = \int f dx$ , where  $\int f dx$  means  $f$  modulo exact derivatives. As in [3], let  $R_-$  consist of matrices  $X = \sum_0^n X_i \zeta^{-i-1}$  with the commutator

$$[X, Y]_z = \overline{[X, Y](\zeta^{n+1} + z)}, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $z$  is a fixed parameter on which the Lie algebra  $R_-$  depends, and the bar symbolizes cutting out a segment of a series in  $\zeta^{-1}$  from  $\zeta^{-1}$  to  $\zeta^{-n-1}$ . Let  $R_+$  consist of the matrices  $m = \sum_0^n m_i \zeta^i$ ; this is the dual to  $R_-$  with respect to the coupling

$$(m, X) = \text{tr res} \int mX dx = \text{tr} \int \sum_0^n m_i X_i dx,$$

where  $\text{res}$  denotes the coefficient in  $\zeta^{-1}$ . One of the elements of  $R_+$  is  $U = \sum_0^n U_k \zeta^k$ .

Two extreme values of  $z$  will play the main role:  $z = \infty$  and  $z = 0$ . We denote

$$[X, Y]^{(1)} = \overline{[X, Y]}, \quad [X, Y]^{(2)} = \overline{[X, Y]^{\zeta^{n+1}}}. \quad (2.2)$$

A differentiation in  $\mathcal{A}$  can be assigned to every  $m \in R_+$ :

$$\xi_m = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j,l=1}^N m_{k,jl}^{(i)} \frac{\partial}{\partial U_{k,jl}^{(i)}} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \text{tr} m_k^{(i)} \partial / \partial U_k^{*(i)},$$

where the asterisk denotes the transpose of a matrix, the superscript  $(i)$  denotes the  $i^{\text{th}}$  derivative with respect to  $x$ , and  $jl$  are matrix indices. Let

$$\partial / \partial U^{*(i)} = \sum_{k=0}^n (\partial / \partial U_k^{*(i)}) \zeta^{-k-1} \in R.$$

Then

$$\xi_m = \sum_{i=0}^n \text{tr res} m^{(i)} \frac{\partial}{\partial U^{*(i)}}.$$

The differentiations  $\xi_m$  commute with  $\partial = d/dx$  and can be transferred to  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ . They will also be called “vector fields.” For  $\tilde{f} = \int f dx \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  we have

$$\xi_m \tilde{f} = \int \xi_m f dx = \text{tr res} \int m \frac{\delta f}{\delta U^*} dx, \quad \frac{\delta f}{\delta U^*} = \sum \frac{\delta f}{\delta U_k^*} \zeta^{-k-1} \in R_-, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\delta f / \delta U_k^*$  is a matrix consisting of the variational derivatives with respect to the elements of  $U_k$ .

Let us define the mapping  $X \in R_- \mapsto M_X \in R_+$ :

$$M_X = \overline{[L, X] (\zeta^{n+1} + z)},$$

where  $L = U + A\zeta^{n+1} + \partial \cdot \zeta$ ,  $A$  is the diagonal matrix defined earlier, and the wavy line symbolizes cutting out a segment of a series in  $\zeta$  from  $\zeta^0$  to  $\zeta^n$ . The two extreme cases of this definition are

$$M_X^{(1)} = \overline{[L, X]}, \quad M_X^{(R)} = \overline{[L, X]^{\zeta^{n+1}}}. \quad (2.4)$$

In the case  $m = M_X$  the differentiation  $\xi_m$  will be denoted as  $\xi_X$ .

**Lemma 1.**

$$[\xi_X, \xi_Y] = \xi_{[X, Y]_z + \xi_X Y - \xi_Y X}. \quad (2.5)$$

The proof is the same as in [3]. It should be noted that the only distinction between the above definitions and those in [3] is the coefficient  $\zeta$  in the term  $\partial \cdot \zeta$  in  $L$ . This does not affect the proof of this and the following assertions in this section.

Due to this lemma the vector fields  $\xi_X$  form a Lie algebra. Let us define a differential 2-form  $\omega$ :

$$\omega(\xi_X, \xi_Y) = \text{tr res} \int M_X Y dx. \quad (2.6)$$

**Proposition.** *The form  $\omega$  is closed.*

The proof is given in [3]. Now to each functional  $\tilde{f} = \int f dx \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  a Hamiltonian vector field can be assigned. Namely, let

$$\tilde{f} \mapsto \xi_{\tilde{f}} = \xi_{\delta f / \delta U^*}. \quad (2.7)$$

**Lemma 2.**

$$\zeta_Y \tilde{f} = -\omega(\zeta_{\tilde{f}}, \zeta_Y).$$

The proof follows immediately from (2.6) and (2.3).

The Poisson bracket is defined thus:

$$\{\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}\} = \zeta_{\tilde{f}} \tilde{g} = \omega(\zeta_{\tilde{f}}, \zeta_{\tilde{g}}) = \text{tr res} \int M_{\delta f / \delta U^*} \cdot \frac{\delta g}{\delta U^*} dx. \tag{2.8}$$

The differential equation corresponding to a Hamiltonian  $\tilde{f}$  is

$$\dot{U} = M_{\delta f / \delta U^*}. \tag{2.9}$$

We have constructed a more general theory (for an arbitrary  $n$ ) than is necessary here. The theory admits further generalisation by replacing  $\partial \cdot \zeta$  by a more general term  $\partial \cdot \zeta^p$ ,  $p \leq n$ . This is useful for the study of more general Zakharov-Shabat equations than (1.1).

The case of chiral fields corresponds to  $n=1$ . Then we have  $M_X = M_{X,0} + M_{X,1} \cdot \zeta$ ; for the first symplectic structure ( $z = \infty$ ) we have

$$M_{X,0}^{(1)} = [U_1, X_0] + X'_0 + [A, X_1], \quad M_{X,1}^{(1)} = [A, X_0], \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\{\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}\}^{(1)} = \text{tr} \int \left\{ \left[ \left[ U_1, \frac{\delta f}{\delta U_0^*} \right] + \left( \frac{\delta f}{\delta U_0^*} \right)' + \left[ A, \frac{\delta f}{\delta U_1^*} \right] \right] \frac{\delta g}{\delta U_0^*} + \left[ A, \frac{\delta f}{\delta U_0^*} \right] \frac{\delta g}{\delta U_1^*} \right\} dx. \tag{2.11}$$

For the second one ( $z=0$ ) we have

$$M_{X,0}^{(2)} = [U_0, X_1], \quad M_{X,1}^{(2)} = [U_1, X_1] + X'_1 + [U_0, X_0], \tag{2.12}$$

and

$$\{\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}\}^{(2)} = \text{tr} \int \left\{ \left[ U_0, \frac{\delta f}{\delta U_1^*} \right] \frac{\delta g}{\delta U_0^*} + \left( \left[ U_1, \frac{\delta f}{\delta U_1^*} \right] + \left( \frac{\delta f}{\delta U_1^*} \right)' + \left[ U_0, \frac{\delta f}{\delta U_0^*} \right] \right) \frac{\delta g}{\delta U_1^*} \right\} dx. \tag{2.13}$$

*Remark.* For the first symplectic structure, the last of Eq. (2.9) (corresponding to  $\zeta^n$ ) is  $\dot{U}_n = [A, \delta f / \delta U_0^*]$ . Thus  $\text{diag} \dot{U}_n = 0$ . This makes it possible to reduce Eq. (2.9) to the submanifold  $\text{diag} U_n = 0$  and to put

$$U_n = [A, \varphi_0]. \tag{2.14}$$

**3. The Hamiltonian for the Principal Chiral Field**

It will be shown that Eq. (1.2) can be written as (2.9) with a Hamiltonian  $\tilde{f}$ . We shall use the first symplectic structure. As to the second structure, we shall make a relevant remark on it at the end of the section.

Equation (2.14) for  $n=1$  has the form  $U_1 = [A, \varphi_0]$ . Let

$$H = \text{tr} \left\{ \frac{1}{3} [[A, \varphi_0], \varphi'_0] \varphi_0 + \varphi'_0 U_0 - \frac{1}{2} \varphi_0'^2 - U_0^2 \right\}. \tag{3.1}$$

**Theorem.** Equation (2.9) for  $f = H$  goes into (1.2).

*Proof.* From

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\tilde{f} &= \text{tr} \int \left( \frac{\delta f}{\delta\varphi_0^*} \delta\varphi_0 + \frac{\delta f}{\delta U_0^*} \delta U_0 \right) dx = \text{tr} \int \left( \frac{\delta f}{\delta U_1^*} \delta U_1 + \frac{\delta f}{\delta U_0^*} \delta U_0 \right) dx \\ &= \text{tr} \int \left( \frac{\delta f}{\delta U_1^*} [A, \delta\varphi_0] + \frac{\delta f}{\delta U_0^*} \delta U_0 \right) dx = \text{tr} \int \left( - \left[ A, \frac{\delta f}{\delta U_1^*} \right] \delta\varphi_0 + \frac{\delta f}{\delta U_0^*} \delta U_0 \right) dx, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\frac{\delta f}{\delta\varphi_0^*} = - \left[ A, \frac{\delta f}{\delta U_1^*} \right].$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \delta H &= \text{tr} \left\{ \frac{1}{3} [[A, \delta\varphi_0], \varphi_0'] \varphi_0 + \frac{1}{3} [[A, \varphi_0], \delta\varphi_0'] \varphi_0 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{3} [[A, \varphi_0], \varphi_0'] \delta\varphi_0 + \delta\varphi_0' U_0 + \varphi_0' \delta U_0 - \varphi_0' \delta\varphi_0 - 2U_0 \delta U_0 \right\} \\ &= \text{tr} \left\{ [[A, \varphi_0], \varphi_0'] - U_0' + \varphi_0'' \right\} \delta\varphi_0 + \text{tr} (\varphi_0' - 2U_0) \delta U_0 + \partial(\quad), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\partial(\quad)$  denotes an exact differential. Hence

$$\frac{\delta H}{\delta\varphi_0^*} = [[A, \varphi_0], \varphi_0'] - U_0' + \varphi_0'', \quad \frac{\delta H}{\delta U_0^*} = \varphi_0' - 2U_0. \tag{3.2}$$

Equation (2.9) for  $n=1$  takes the form

$$\dot{U}_0 = \left[ U_1, \frac{\delta f}{\delta U_0^*} \right] + \left( \frac{\delta f}{\delta U_0^*} \right)' + \left[ A, \frac{\delta f}{\delta U_1^*} \right], \quad \dot{U}_1 = \left[ A, \frac{\delta f}{\delta U_0^*} \right]. \tag{3.3}$$

Substituting  $-\delta f/\delta\varphi_0^*$  for  $[A, \delta f/\delta U_1^*]$  and using (3.2), we obtain the required system of Eqs. (1.2).

Changing slightly the Hamiltonian, namely putting

$$\hat{H} = \text{tr} \left\{ \frac{1}{3} [[A, \varphi_0], \varphi_0'] \varphi_0 + \varphi_0' U_0 - \frac{1}{2} \varphi_0'^2 \right\}, \tag{3.4}$$

we obtain

$$\frac{\delta \hat{H}}{\delta\varphi_0^*} = [[A, \varphi_0], \varphi_0'] - U_0' + \varphi_0'', \quad \frac{\delta \hat{H}}{\delta U_0^*} = \varphi_0',$$

and Eq. (2.9) goes into

$$\dot{U}_0 = U_0', \quad \dot{U}_1 = U_1'. \tag{3.5}$$

This simplest equation corresponds to the vector field  $\xi = \partial$ . For our further aims the Hamiltonian  $H + \hat{H}$  will be of particular interest. The corresponding equations are

$$\dot{U}_0 = 2[U_0, U_1], \quad \dot{U}_1 = 2U_1' - 2[A, U_0]. \tag{3.6}$$

*Remark.* With the second symplectic form the situation is more complicated. Instead of (3.3) we have

$$\dot{U}_0 = \left[ U_0, \frac{\delta f}{\delta U_1^*} \right], \quad \dot{U}_1 = \left[ U_1, \frac{\delta f}{\delta U_1^*} \right] + \left( \frac{\delta f}{\delta U_1^*} \right)' + \left[ U_0, \frac{\delta f}{\delta U_0^*} \right]. \tag{3.7}$$

Equation (3.6) can be obtained from (3.7) without difficulty: it is only necessary to take  $f = \text{tr}(U_1^2 + 2AU_0)$ . However we cannot find a Hamiltonian for the vector field  $\xi = \partial$  since the equation  $U'_0 = [U_0, X]$  has no solution in  $\mathcal{A}$ . In terms of the Kirillov-Kostant's orbit theory the field  $\xi = \partial$  is not tangent to the orbit. This means that in the second Hamiltonian structure equation (1.2) is not Hamiltonian, at least within the algebraic framework outlined here.

**4. Lagrangian**

Equation (2.9) for the first symplectic structure will be obtained from a variational principle.

The matrix  $U_n$  is already replaced by  $\varphi_0$ , according to (2.13). Now all the matrices  $U_i$  will be expressed in terms of  $\varphi_i$ . Let  $\varphi = \sum_0^n \varphi_i \zeta^{-i-1} \in R_-$  and let

$$U + A\zeta^{n+1} + \partial \cdot \zeta = \overbrace{(1 + \varphi)^{-1}(A\zeta^{n+1} + \partial \cdot \zeta)(1 + \varphi)} \tag{4.1}$$

(In [3]  $\zeta$  was not involved in the term  $\partial \cdot \zeta$ .) The last equation (corresponding to the term with  $\zeta^n$ ) coincides with (2.13). Let

$$\mathcal{L} = -f + \text{tr res}(1 + \varphi)^{-1} A\zeta^{n+1} \dot{\varphi} + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \dot{\varphi}_0 \varphi'_0 \tag{4.2}$$

**Theorem.** *The equation  $\delta\mathcal{L}/\delta\varphi_0^* = 0$  is equivalent to (2.9).*

*Proof.* We have  $\delta f = \text{tr res}(\delta f/\delta U^*)\delta U + \partial(\ )$ , where  $\partial(\ )$  is the derivative of a 1-form which is unimportant to us now. Thus

$$\delta\mathcal{L} = \text{tr res} \left\{ -\frac{\delta f}{\delta U^*} \delta U + (1 + \varphi)^{-1} A\zeta^{n+1} \delta\dot{\varphi} - (1 + \varphi)^{-1} \delta\varphi(1 + \varphi)^{-1} A\zeta^{n+1} \dot{\varphi} + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \delta\dot{\varphi}_0 \cdot \varphi'_0 + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \dot{\varphi}_0 \delta\varphi'_0 \right\}$$

Substituting

$$\delta U = \overbrace{(1 + \varphi)^{-1} \delta\varphi(1 + \varphi)^{-1} (A\zeta^{n+1} + \partial \cdot \zeta)(1 + \varphi)} + \overbrace{(1 + \varphi)^{-1} (A\zeta^{n+1} + \partial \cdot \zeta) \delta\varphi}$$

we get

$$\delta\mathcal{L} = \text{tr res} \left( \left( U + A\zeta^{n+1} + \partial \cdot \zeta, \frac{\delta f}{\delta U^*} \right) - \dot{U} \right) (1 + \varphi)^{-1} \delta\varphi + \partial(\ ) + \partial_t(\ )$$

( $\partial_t = \partial/\partial t$ ). Hence

$$\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}}{\delta\varphi^*} = \overbrace{\left( \left( U + A\zeta^{n+1} + \partial \cdot \zeta, \frac{\delta f}{\delta U^*} \right) - \dot{U} \right) (1 + \varphi)^{-1}}$$

This means that  $\delta\mathcal{L}/\delta\varphi_i^*$  are connected with  $\dot{U}_i - (M_{\delta f/\delta U^*})_i$  by a triangular transformation with unities on the diagonal. Therefore  $\delta\mathcal{L}/\delta\varphi^* = 0$  is equivalent to  $\dot{U} - M_{\delta f/\delta U^*} = 0$ .  $\square$

In the particular case of the chiral fields  $n = 1, f = H$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \text{tr} \left( -\frac{1}{3} [[A, \varphi_0], \varphi'_0] \varphi_0 - \varphi'_0 U_0 + \frac{1}{2} \varphi_0'^2 + U_0^2 \right. \\ & \left. - \varphi_0 A \dot{\varphi}_1 - \varphi_1 A \dot{\varphi}_0 + \varphi_0^2 A \dot{\varphi}_0 + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\varphi}_0 \varphi_0' \right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$U_0 = [A, \varphi_1] - \varphi_0[A, \varphi_0] + \varphi'_0, \quad U_1 = [A, \varphi_0].$$

**5. Resolvent of an Operator Bundle**

The following definitions will be used for the construction of first integrals of the above equations. A resolvent of the operator  $L = U + A\zeta^{n+1} + \partial \cdot \zeta$  is a series  $\mathfrak{R}(\zeta) = \sum_0^\infty R_k \zeta^{-k-1}$  (the elements of the matrices  $R_k$  belong to  $\mathcal{A}$ ) which satisfies the equation

$$[L, \mathfrak{R}] = 0. \tag{5.1}$$

Although the definition of the operator  $L$  here is slightly different from [3], the theory of the resolvent remains exactly the same. Therefore we confine ourselves to stating theorems and referring the reader to [3] for the proofs.

Let

$$\mathfrak{R}_p = \sum_{k=0}^n R_{p+k} \zeta^{-k-1} \in R_-, \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(z, \zeta) = \sum_{l=0}^\infty z^{-l} \mathfrak{R}_{-r_0+(n+1)l} \in R_-(z^{-1}),$$

where  $r_0$  is a fixed integer  $0 \leq r_0 \leq n$  and  $z$  is a new parameter. It is clear that  $\mathfrak{R}(\zeta) = \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\zeta^{n+1}, \zeta) \cdot \zeta^{r_0}$ . We shall call  $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(z, \zeta)$  the polarization of the resolvent. The mapping  $M$  defined in Sect. 2 can be extended to  $R_-(z^{-1})$ .

**Proposition.** *The polarizations of the resolvents are all the solutions of the equation*

$$M_{\mathfrak{R}} = [L, \mathfrak{R}](\zeta^{n+1} + z) = 0. \tag{5.2}$$

**Lemma.** *Equation (5.2) is equivalent to the recurrence relations*

$$M_{\mathfrak{R}_{-r_0+(n+1)l}}^{(1)} + M_{\mathfrak{R}_{-r_0+(n+1)(l+1)}}^{(2)} = 0$$

for any fixed  $r_0$ .

This is an obvious corollary of the previous proposition (to this end one has to expand (5.2) into series with respect to  $\zeta$ ).

**Corollary.** *For any natural  $r$  the resolvents satisfy the equation*

$$M_{\mathfrak{R}_r}^{(1)} + M_{\mathfrak{R}_{r+n+1}}^{(2)} = 0. \tag{5.3}$$

**Theorem** (on the existence of resolvents). *For any constant diagonal matrix  $B$ , there exists a resolvent  $\mathfrak{R}$  for which  $R_0 = B$ , and there are no constants in other  $R_k$ .*

We denote such a resolvent by  $\mathfrak{R}^{(B)}$ .

**Theorem** (on the variational derivatives)

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta U^*} \operatorname{tr} U_\zeta \mathfrak{R} \Big|_r = (-r+1) \mathfrak{R}_{r-1}. \tag{5.4}$$

The subscript  $r$  denotes the coefficient in  $\zeta^{-r}$ , and the subscript  $\zeta$  denotes the derivative with respect to  $\zeta$ .

Now let

$$H_r^{(B)} = \frac{1}{-r+1} \operatorname{tr} U_\xi \mathfrak{R}^{(B)} \Big|_r. \tag{5.5}$$

**Theorem** (on the involutiveness of  $H_r$ ). *For any constant diagonal matrices  $B$  and  $C$ , we have*

$$\int \operatorname{tr} \operatorname{res} M_{\mathfrak{R}^{(B)}}^{(1)} \cdot \mathfrak{R}_s^{(C)} dx = - \int \operatorname{tr} \operatorname{res} M_{\mathfrak{R}^{(B)}}^{(2)} \cdot \mathfrak{R}_s^{(C)} dx = 0.$$

In particular, due to (5.4) this means that  $\{H_r^{(B)}, H_s^{(C)}\} = 0$  for both symplectic structures.

### 6. First Integrals of Chiral Field Equations

**Theorem.** *The Hamiltonian  $H + \hat{H}$  (see Sect. 3) is one of the coefficients  $H_r$ , defined above.*

*Proof.* Let us find several first terms of the resolvent  $\mathfrak{R}^{(-2A)}$ . From the recurrence formula

$$[A, R_{i+1}] + [U_1, R_i] + R'_i + [U_0, R_{i-1}] = 0 \tag{6.1}$$

we find, in succession,  $R_0 = -2A$ ,  $R_1 = -2U_1 = -2[A, \varphi_0]$ ,  $R_2 = 2\varphi'_0 - 2U_0$  (outside the diagonal). Further, we have

$$[A, R_3] + [U_1, R_2] + R'_2 + [U_0, R_1] = 0$$

and, according to Eq. (5.4),  $\delta H_4 / \delta U_0^* = R_2$ , and  $\delta H_4 / \delta U_1^* = R_3$ . If  $H_4$  is taken as a Hamiltonian, Eq. (3.3) take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{U}_0 &= [U_1, R_2] + R'_2 + [A, R_3] = -[U_0, R_1] = 2[U_0, U_1], \\ \dot{U}_1 &= [A, R_2] = 2[A, \varphi'_0] - 2[A, U_0] = 2U'_1 - 2[A, U_0], \end{aligned}$$

i.e. the system of Eq. (3.6) is obtained. This means that  $H + \hat{H} = H_4$  with  $B = -2A$ .  $\square$

**Lemma.** *The Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}$  commutes (is in involution) with all  $H_r$ .*

*Proof.* The vector field corresponding to  $\hat{H}$  is  $\partial$  and therefore commutes with all  $\xi_{H_r}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem.**  *$H_r$  are first integrals (in involution) of the chiral field Eq. (1.6).*

*Proof.*  $H + \hat{H} = H_4$  commutes with all  $H_r$ .  $\hat{H}$  also commutes with them, and hence  $H$  commutes with all  $H_r$ .  $\square$

*Remark.* If we act from the very beginning in the cone variables  $\xi, \eta$ , Eq. (1.1) will be replaced by

$$\bar{U}_\eta - \bar{V}_\xi = [\bar{V}, \bar{U}], \tag{6.2}$$

where  $\bar{U} = -(U_1 + \zeta A)$ ,  $\bar{V} = -U_0 \zeta^{-1}$ . A resolvent is now a solution of the equation  $R_\xi = [\bar{U}, R]$ . This coincides with a resolvent of the simplest matrix differential operator  $L = \partial + U + \zeta A$ . The quantities  $\int \operatorname{tr} ARd\xi|_r$  are first integrals of (6.2) which

is easy to prove (see also [1]). They are in involution with respect to the Poisson bracket  $\int \left[ A, \frac{\delta f}{\delta U_1^*} \right] \frac{\delta g}{\delta U_1^*} d\xi$ , but it is unclear whether this Poisson bracket has any connection to Eq. (6.2). The cone variables are characteristic to this equation, i.e. the equation is not one of the Cauchy-Kovalevsky type and therefore cannot be Hamiltonian.

## References

1. Cherednik, I.V.: Algebraic aspects of two-dimensional chiral fields. II. *Itogi Nauki i Tkh. Ser. Algebra, Topology, Geometry* **18**, 73–150 (1981) (in Russian)
2. Perelomov, A.M.: Instanton-like solutions in chiral models. *Usp. Fiz. Nauk* **134**, 577–609 (1981) (in Russian)
3. Dickey, L.A.: Hamiltonian structures and Lax equations generated by matrix differential operators with polynomial dependence on a parameter. *Commun. Math. Phys.* (to appear)
4. Gelfand, I.M., Dickey, L.A.: Fractional powers of operators and Hamiltonian systems. *Funct. Anal. Priloz.* **10**, 13–29 (1976) (in Russian)
5. Gelfand, I.M., Dickey, L.A.: Family of Hamiltonian structures connected with integrable nonlinear differential equations. Preprint of the Inst. Appl. Math. **136**, 1–41 (1978) (in Russian)
6. Novikov, S.P. (ed.): *The soliton theory*. Moscow: Nauka 1980 (in Russian)

Communicated by A. Jaffe

Received July 1, 1982

