

A Remark Concerning the Charge Operator in Quantum Electrodynamics

HELMUT REEH

Max-Planck-Institut für Physik und Astrophysik, München

Received June 25, 1969

Abstract. The convergence of the integral over the local charge density toward the global charge is investigated within the framework of quantum electrodynamics.

1. Introduction

In relativistic quantum field theories one frequently considers operators which are formal integrals over the entire three dimensional space of the zero component of a conserved quantity. In particular, one writes e.g. for the charge formally

$$Q = \int j_0(x) d^3x, \quad \partial^\nu j_\nu(x) = 0.$$

Recently one has learned that in case the theory does not contain states of arbitrarily small energy-momentum above the vacuum state this expression is to be understood in the sense

$$(\psi | Q \varphi) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (\psi | Q_r \varphi), \quad (1)$$

$$Q_r = \int j_0(x) f_r(x) \alpha(x^0) d^3x, \quad (2)$$

$$f_r(x) = f_0\left(\frac{x}{r}\right), \quad r \geq 1, \quad (3)$$

$$f_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x| \leq 1, \\ 0, & |x| \geq 2, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$
$$\int \alpha(x^0) dx^0 = 1$$

with $f_0(x) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^3)$, $\alpha(x^0) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^1)$. (The notation is explained at the end of this introduction.) ψ and φ are not arbitrary vectors in the Hilbert space but are generated from the vacuum state by arbitrary local operators [1–4]. This is from the mathematical point of view a rather weak kind of convergence. Strong or weak convergence in the usual sense cannot occur as is shown e.g. in [3] and [4]. On the other hand the result seems to be rather reasonable from the point of view of physics.

The question then arises of whether a similar statement holds in case the theory contains states of arbitrarily small energy-momentum and one may suggest that the same result holds provided one does not have the case of a spontaneously broken symmetry [2,4]. It is the intention of the present note to show that this indeed is true for the electric charge in quantum electrodynamics.

Mathematical Notations

- \mathbf{R}^n : with $n = 1, 3, 4$: n dimensional real Euclidean space.
 \mathbf{R}_+^1 : positive real axis including the origin.
 $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^n)$: test function space of arbitrarily often differentiable complex functions over \mathbf{R}^n with compact support.
 $\mathcal{D}(K)$: subspace of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ of functions with support in a subset $K \subset \mathbf{R}^n$.
 $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^4 - 0)$: Subspace of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^4)$ of functions the support of which does not contain the origin.
 $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$: test function space of arbitrarily often differentiable complex functions on \mathbf{R}^n which as well as all their derivatives vanish faster than any power for increasing arguments.
 $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $\mathcal{D}'(K)$, $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}^4 - 0)$, $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$: the corresponding spaces of distributions.
 $x = (x^0, \mathbf{x}) = (x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3)$: four vectors in \mathbf{R}^4 .
 $x^2 = x^{02} - \mathbf{x}^2$
 $g_{\nu\nu'}$: $g_{00} = 1$, $g_{ii} = -1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, $g_{\nu\nu'} = 0$ for $\nu \neq \nu'$.
 $L^1(d\mu)$: space of μ -Lebesgue integrable complex functions.
 ∇ : in front of a term occurring in an equation this symbol means that the equation becomes true if that term is multiplied with a certain finite and non zero constant number.

2. Assumptions and Statement

2.1. In quantum electrodynamics we have for the photon field $A_\nu(x)$ ($\nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$) and the electric current $j_\nu(x)$ the equations

$$\square A_\nu(x) = j_\nu(x), \quad (5)$$

$$\partial^\nu j_\nu(x) = 0. \quad (6)$$

We assume that $A_\nu(x)$ is an operator valued tempered distribution transforming like a vector under a unitary representation of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group on the representation space G . Unitary is meant with respect to the indefinite metric $(\cdot | \cdot)_G$ on G (G stands for Gupta) which

may be expressed by a metric operator η and a scalar product $(\cdot | \cdot)$ for which G is a Hilbert space

$$(\psi | \chi)_G = (\psi | \eta \chi), \quad \eta^\dagger = \eta, \quad \eta^2 = 1.$$

η commutes with the four translations P_μ . (However, it does not commute with the Lorentz transformations.) Hence the translations are unitarily represented on G which respect to its Hilbert space metric and give rise to a decomposition of unity.

G is assumed to contain a subspace \mathcal{H}_1 spanned by the states on which the auxiliary condition holds. \mathcal{H}_1 contains the unique Lorentz invariant vacuum state Ω , \mathcal{H}_1 is invariant under Lorentz transformations as well as under all gauge invariant operators (but there are, of course, also non gauge invariant operators leaving \mathcal{H}_1 invariant). On \mathcal{H}_1 we have

$$(\psi | \psi)_G \geq 0.$$

If one denotes by \mathcal{H}_0 the set of vectors in \mathcal{H}_1 with

$$(\psi | \psi)_G = 0$$

then the quotient space

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 / \mathcal{H}_0$$

is the Hilbert space of physical states. On \mathcal{H} we have a unitary representation of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group, the spectrum of the translations vanishes outside the forward light cone.

In addition to the photon field there is the electron field $\psi(x)$. The fields are assumed as local, i.e. they commute resp. anticommute when smeared with test functions the supports of which are space-like to each other. When smeared with test functions, the smeared fields and all polynomials of them are assumed to be applicable to Ω thus generating a dense set in G .

All these assumptions seem to be true for quantum electrodynamics. However, up to now they are proved only for the case of free fields [5].

2.2. Consider now the Q_r . They are supposed to generate a one parameter group of internal symmetry transformations $\Phi \rightarrow \Phi_\tau$ for the local algebra \mathcal{R} formed by the field operators smeared with test functions $\in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^4)$ [6]. In case of a conserved symmetry it holds on \mathcal{H} that the vacuum expectation values are invariant

$$(\hat{\Omega} | \hat{\Phi} \hat{\Omega}) = (\hat{\Omega} | \hat{\Phi}_\tau \hat{\Omega})$$

for all local operators $\hat{\Phi}$ on \mathcal{H} . (The elements of \mathcal{H} as well as the induced operators on \mathcal{H} carry a hat in order to distinguish them from those of \mathcal{H}_1 and G .) Hence there exists a uniquely determined family of unitary

operators

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{U}(\tau) &= e^{i\hat{Q}\tau}, \\ \hat{U}(\tau)\hat{\Omega} &= \hat{\Omega}, \quad \hat{Q}\hat{\Omega} = 0, \\ \hat{\Phi}_\tau &= \hat{U}(\tau)\Phi\hat{U}^{-1}(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

The condition on the \hat{Q}_r for generating a conserved symmetry is

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (\hat{\Omega} | [\hat{Q}_r, \hat{\Phi}] \hat{\Omega}) = 0$$

for all local operators on \mathcal{H} [7, 1–4]. The connection between Q and Q_r , then is given by [1, 4]

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (\hat{\Omega} | \hat{\Phi}_1 \hat{Q}_r \hat{\Phi}_2 \hat{\Omega}) &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (\hat{\Omega} | \hat{\Phi}_1 [\hat{Q}_r, \hat{\Phi}_2] \hat{\Omega}) + \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (\hat{\Omega} | \hat{\Phi}_1 \hat{\Phi}_2 \hat{Q}_r \hat{\Omega}) \\ &= (\hat{\Omega} | \hat{\Phi}_1 \hat{Q} \hat{\Phi}_2 \hat{\Omega}) + \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (\hat{\Omega} | \hat{\Phi}_1 \hat{\Phi}_2 \hat{Q}_r \hat{\Omega}) \end{aligned}$$

for all local operators on \mathcal{H} . Hence we have to show

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (\hat{\Phi} \hat{\Omega} | \hat{Q}_r \hat{\Omega}) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (\Phi \Omega | Q_r \Omega)_G = 0$$

for all local operators $\hat{\Phi}$ on \mathcal{H} resp. for all $\Phi \in \mathcal{R}_1$ when \mathcal{R}_1 denotes the operators from \mathcal{R} which leave \mathcal{H}_1 invariant. This proves at the same time that $\Phi \rightarrow \Phi_\tau$ is a conserved symmetry as well as the connection between Q and Q_r .

Theorem. *Under the assumptions mentioned in 2.1 it follows for every $\Phi \in \mathcal{R}_1$*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (\Phi \Omega | Q_r \Omega)_G = 0. \tag{7}$$

3. Proof of the Statement

We apply an idea of Ref. [8] and [2] and make use of a Jost-Lehmann-Dyson representation derived in [9]. Before doing so, we need some preparations. In particular we extract from (5) together with the assumptions in 2.1 some information concerning the behaviour of the Fourier transform of

$$(\Phi \Omega | j_0(x) \Omega)_G$$

near the origin. Together with the spectrum condition and the relative locality of $j_0(x)$ and Φ this will enable us to prove the statement.

3.1. The assumptions imply a Källén-Lehmann representation

$$(A_\nu(x)\Omega | A_{\nu'}(y)\Omega)_G = \int_{p \in \mathbf{R}^4} e^{ip(x-y)} \{g_{\nu\nu'} d\mu_1(p) + p_\nu p_{\nu'} d\mu_2(p)\} \tag{8}$$

where $d\mu_2(p)$ and $d\mu_1(p)$ define tempered Lorentz invariant measures on \mathbf{R}^4 .

[Proof. As usual one concludes that the Fourier transform of (8) is a distribution of the form

$$g_{\mu\nu} \varrho_1(p) + p_\mu p_\nu \varrho_2(p) \tag{9}$$

where ϱ_1 and ϱ_2 are Lorentz invariant. We take that for granted and concentrate on showing that $\varrho_2(p) d^4p$ defines a measure on \mathbf{R}^4 . The commutativity of η with P_μ implies that (9) is a measure on \mathbf{R}^4 . In particular that is the case for $p_1 p_2 \varrho_2(p)$. Hence ϱ_2 is a measure on $\mathbf{R}^4 - 0$. The Lorentz invariance of ϱ_2 will enable us to infer that $\varrho_2(p)$ is a measure on \mathbf{R}^4 : $\varrho_2(p)$ is clearly in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}^4 - 0)$. Hence, by a result on Lorentz invariant distributions [10] one has for $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^4 - 0)$

$$\int f(p) p_1 p_2 \varrho_2(p) d^4p = \bar{q}_e[\bar{f}^e] + \bar{q}_0[\bar{f}^0]$$

with the uniquely determined distributions $\bar{q}_e \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$, $\bar{q}_0 \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}^1_+)$ and

$$\bar{f}^e(s) = \int p_1 p_2 f(p) \delta(p^2 - s) d^4p,$$

$$\bar{f}^0(s) = \int p_1 p_2 f(p) \varepsilon(p_0) \delta(p^2 - s) d^4p.$$

Consider now $g(s) \in \mathcal{D}(K)$ with a compact $K \in \mathbf{R}^1$ and put

$$f_1(p) = g(p^{02} - \mathbf{p}^2) p_0 p_1 p_2 F(\mathbf{p}^2),$$

$$f_2(p) = g(p^{02} - \mathbf{p}^2) p_0^2 p_1 p_2 F(\mathbf{p}^2).$$

With a suitably chosen non negative $F(\mathbf{p}^2) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^1)$ we have $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^4 - 0)$ and

$$\bar{f}_0^0(s) = g(s) \cdot a,$$

$$a = \int p_1^2 p_2^2 F(\mathbf{p}^2) d^3\mathbf{p} > 0,$$

$$\bar{f}_2^g(s) = g(s) h(s),$$

$$h(s) = \int \sqrt{|\mathbf{p}|^2 + s} p_1^2 p_2^2 F(\mathbf{p}^2) d^3\mathbf{p}.$$

$h(s)$ is apparently infinitely often differentiable and unequal zero for all $s \geq 0$. By choosing $F(\mathbf{p}^2)$ appropriately, this stays true for all values $s \in K$. We now replace f_1 and f_2 by respectively

$$f_3(p) = \frac{1}{a} f_1(p)$$

$$f_4(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{g(p^{02} - \mathbf{p}^2)}{h(p^{02} - \mathbf{p}^2)} p_0^2 p_1 p_2 F(\mathbf{p}^2) & \text{for } p \in \text{supp } f_2 \\ 0 & \text{for } p \notin \text{supp } f_2, \end{cases}$$

which are still in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^4 - 0)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{f}_3^e(s) &= 0, \\ \bar{f}_3^0(s) &= g(s), \\ \bar{f}_4^e(s) &= g(s), \\ \bar{f}_4^0(s) &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

and furthermore

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{p \in \mathbf{R}^4} f_3(p) p_1 p_2 &\leq \sup_{s \in K} g(s) C_K, \\ C^K &= \sup_{p^{0^2} - p^2 \in K} a \cdot p_0 p_1^2 p_2^2 F(\mathbf{p}^2), \\ \sup_{p \in \mathbf{R}^4} f_4(p) p_1 p_2 &\leq \sup_{s \in K} g(s) C'_K, \\ C_{K'} &= \sup_{p^{0^2} - p^2 \in K} \frac{p_0^2 p_1^2 p_2^2}{h(p_0^2 - p^2)} F(\mathbf{p}^2).\end{aligned}$$

Now we know that $\varrho_2(p)$ is a measure, i.e. a distribution of order zero on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^4 - 0)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}|\bar{\varrho}_e[g]| &= \left| \int f_4(p) p_1 p_2 \varrho_2(p) d^4 p \right| \leq \sup_{p \in \mathbf{R}^4} f_4(p) p_1 p_2 C_1 \\ &\leq \sup_{s \in K} g(s) C_1 \cdot C'_K\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}|\bar{\varrho}_0[g]| &= \left| \int f_3(p) p_1 p_2 \varrho_2(p) d^4 p \right| \leq \sup_{p \in \mathbf{R}^4} f_3(p) p_1 p_2 C_2 \\ &\leq \sup_{s \in K} g(s) C_2 \cdot C_K\end{aligned}$$

(C_1 and C_2 depend on K too).

Hence [11] $\bar{\varrho}_e$ and $\bar{\varrho}_0$ are Radon measures on \mathbf{R}^1 and \mathbf{R}_+^1 respectively.

We know that $p_1 p_2 \varrho_2(p)$ is a measure on \mathbf{R}^4 with value zero at the origin. Hence it is fixed uniquely by its values on testfunctions from $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^4 - 0)$ and we have for $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^4)$

$$\int f(p) p_1 p_2 \varrho_2(p) d^4 p = \bar{\varrho}_e[\bar{f}^e] + \bar{\varrho}_0[\bar{f}^0].$$

We extend now $\varrho_2(p)$ to all of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^4)$ by

$$\int f(p) \varrho_2(p) d^4 p = \bar{\varrho}_e[\bar{f}^e] + \bar{\varrho}_0[\bar{f}^0]$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{f}^e(s) &= \int f(p) \delta(p^2 - s) d^4 p, \\ \bar{f}^0(s) &= \int f(p) \varepsilon(p^0) \delta(p^2 - s) d^4 p\end{aligned}$$

which is possible, since $\bar{f}^e(s)$, $\bar{f}^0(s)$ are continuous on \mathbf{R}^1 and \mathbf{R}_+^1 respectively. Furthermore we have for $f \in \mathcal{D}(K^1)$ with a compact $K^1 \subset \mathbf{R}^4$

and with suitable numbers $C_{K^1}^1, C_{K^1}^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \in \mathbf{R}^1} \bar{f}^e(s) &\leq C_{K^1}^1 \sup_{p \in K^1} f(p), \\ \sup_{s \in \mathbf{R}^1} \bar{f}^0(s) &\leq C_{K^1}^2 \sup_{p \in K^1} f(p). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\varrho_2(p) dp \equiv d\mu_2(p)$ defines a Radon measure on \mathbf{R}^4 , and so does $p_\nu p_\nu \varrho_2 dp = p_\nu p_\nu d\mu_2(p)$ and $\varrho_1(p) dp \equiv d\mu_1(p)$. The temperedness is implied by the temperedness of $A_\nu(x)$.

From (5) and (6) it follows that

$$(j_\nu(x) \Omega | j_\nu(y) \Omega)_G = \int_{p \in \mathbf{R}^4} e^{ip(x-y)} (p^2)^2 (p_\nu p_\nu - p^2 g_{\nu\nu}) d\mu_2(p)$$

and in particular

$$(j_0(x) \Omega | j_0(y) \Omega)_G = \int e^{ip(x-y)} (p^2)^2 |p|^2 d\mu_2(p). \tag{10}$$

Since the current is gauge invariant, it follows that $\mu_2(p)$ is a positive measure off the light cone. The spectrum condition on \mathcal{H} implies that $p^2 d\mu_2(p)$ vanishes outside the forward light cone.

3.2. Consider $\Phi \in \mathcal{R}_1$, define $\Phi(x) = U(x) \Phi U^{-1}(x)$ where

$$U(x) = \int e^{-ipx} dE(p)$$

is the unitary representation of the space-time translations, $x \in \mathbf{R}^4$, $p \in \mathbf{R}^4$, $px = p^0 x^0 - \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p}$. In

$$(\Phi \Omega | j_0(x) \Omega)_G = \int e^{-ipx} d\sigma(p).$$

$\sigma(p)$ is a tempered complex measure on \mathbf{R}^4 which is locally finite. (This follows like the next equations immediately from the translation invariance and from $j_0(x)$ being an operator valued tempered distribution.) $\sigma(p)$ vanishes outside the forward light cone due to the spectrum condition. Hence $\tilde{\alpha}(p^0) d\sigma(p)$ with $\tilde{\alpha}(p^0) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^1)$ is a finite complex measure on \mathbf{R}^4 . With the notation $\Phi[g] = \int \Phi(x) g(x) d^4x$, etc., we have due to translational invariance ($\check{g}(x) \equiv g(-x)$):

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi[g] \Omega | j_0(x) \Omega)_G &= (\Phi(-x) \Omega | j_0[\check{g}] \Omega)_G \\ &= \int e^{-ipx} (\Phi \Omega | \eta dE(p) j_0[\check{g}] \Omega) \\ &= \int e^{-ipx} \check{g}(p) d\sigma(p), \end{aligned}$$

with $\check{g}(p) = \int e^{ipy} g(y) d^4y$. This holds for all test functions $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^4)$ and all $x \in \mathbf{R}^4$. Therefore, it follows for every Borel set $\Delta \in \mathbf{R}^4$ that

$$\int_{p \in \Delta} \check{g}(p) d\sigma(p) = \int_{p \in \Delta} (\Phi \Omega | dE(p) j_0[\check{g}] \Omega)_G.$$

From this we get by Schwarz' inequality on \mathcal{H}_1

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Delta} \tilde{g}(p) d\sigma(p) \right| &\leq \| \Phi \Omega \|_G \left\{ \int_{\Delta} (j_0 [\check{g}] \Omega | dE(p) j_0 [\check{g}] \Omega) \right\}^{1/2} \\ &= \| \Phi \Omega \|_G \left\{ \int_{\Delta} |\tilde{g}(p)|^2 (p^2)^2 |p|^2 d\mu_2(p) \right\}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

We now put $\tilde{g}(p) = \tilde{g}_1(p) \tilde{\alpha}(p^0)$ with $\tilde{\alpha}(p^0) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^1)$, $\tilde{g}_1(p) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^4)$ and write $\tilde{g}(p)$ instead of $\tilde{g}_1(p)$

$$\left| \int_{\Delta} \tilde{g}(p) \tilde{\alpha}(p^0) d\sigma(p) \right| \leq \| \Phi \Omega \|_G \left\{ \int_{\Delta} |\tilde{g}(p)|^2 (p^2)^2 |p|^2 |\tilde{\alpha}(p^0)|^2 d\mu_2(p) \right\}^{1/2}. \tag{11}$$

$\tilde{\alpha}(p^0) d\sigma(p)$ and $|\tilde{\alpha}(p^0)|^2 d\mu_2(p)$ define finite measures on \mathbf{R}^4 . (11) holds for every continuous function $\tilde{g}(p)$ bounded by a polynomial for large p , in particular for $\tilde{g}(p) = 1$. Application of the Radon-Nikodym theorem [12] implies

$$\tilde{\alpha}(p^0) d\sigma(p) = m(p) (p^2)^2 |p|^2 |\tilde{\alpha}(p^0)|^2 d\mu_2(p)$$

with $m(p) \in L^1((p^2)^2 |p|^2 |\tilde{\alpha}(p^0)|^2 d\mu_2(p))$. Inserting this into (11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Delta} \tilde{g}(p) m(p) (p^2)^2 |p|^2 |\tilde{\alpha}(p^0)|^2 d\mu_2(p) \right| \\ \leq \| \Phi \Omega \|_G \left\{ \int_{\Delta} |\tilde{g}(p)|^2 (p^2)^2 |p|^2 |\tilde{\alpha}(p^0)|^2 d\mu_2(p) \right\}^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Let now Δ be bounded, contain the origin and let $\tilde{g}(p) \geq 0$ on Δ . If $m(p)$ is decomposed into its real and imaginary parts, then (12) holds for each part separately. By decomposing Δ into $\Delta_r^+ \cup \Delta_r^-$ (or $\Delta_i^+ \cup \Delta_i^-$ respectively) with $\text{Re } m(p) \geq 0$ on Δ_r^+ , $\text{Re } m(p) < 0$ on Δ_r^- (respectively for $\text{Im } m(p)$) [14], and by applying (12) on Δ_r^+ and Δ_r^- (or Δ_i^+ , Δ_i^-) separately, one shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Delta} \tilde{g}(p) |m(p)| (p^2)^2 |p|^2 |\tilde{\alpha}(p^0)|^2 d\mu_2(p) \\ \leq 2 \sqrt{2} \| \Phi \Omega \|_G \left\{ \int_{\Delta} |\tilde{g}(p)|^2 (p^2)^2 |p|^2 |\tilde{\alpha}(p^0)|^2 d\mu_2(p) \right\}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

We let now $\tilde{g}(p)$ converge toward $(p^2 |p|)^{-1}$ pointwise on Δ . Fatou's lemma [13] shows that

$$m(p) \in L^1(p^2 |p|) |\alpha(p^0)|^2 d\mu_2(p). \tag{14}$$

Since $\mu_2(p)$ is tempered and $p^2 d\mu_2(p)$ vanishes outside the forward light cone, this stays true (compare the last inequality!) if $m(p)$ is multiplied by any power of the components of p .

3.3. Consider now with $\alpha(x^0) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^1)$

$$(\Phi[\tilde{\alpha}] \Omega | j_0(x) \Omega)_G$$

the Fourier transform of which, $\tilde{\alpha}(p^0) d\sigma(p)$, is discussed above. According to [9] one has for this a Jost-Lehmann-Dyson representation

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Phi[\tilde{\alpha}] \Omega | j_0(x) \Omega)_G \\ &= \oint \int_{D_1} d^3 \xi' \int d\sigma(p) \tilde{\alpha}(p^0) e^{-i p \xi'} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} - i p^0 \right) A_{\sqrt{p^2}}^+(x - \xi') \\ &= \oint \int_{D'} d^3 \xi' \int d\mu_2(p) m(p) (p^2)^2 |\mathbf{p}|^2 |\tilde{\alpha}(p^0)|^2 e^{-i p \xi'} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} - i p^0 \right) A_{\sqrt{p^2}}^+(x - \xi'), \end{aligned}$$

where D_1 denotes a compact region in \mathbf{R}^3 . We keep now x^0 finite (in fact, we shall put it zero) and let \mathbf{x} increase. Since D_1 is compact, $x - \xi'$ will become space-like for sufficiently large \mathbf{x} , and we get (observe the factor p^2 !)

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Phi[\tilde{\alpha}] \Omega | j_0(x) \Omega)_G \\ &= \oint \int_{D_1} d^3 \xi' \int d\mu_2(p) m(p) p^2 |\mathbf{p}|^2 |\tilde{\alpha}(p^0)|^2 e^{-i p \xi'} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} - i p^0 \right) \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \cdot \sqrt{p^2}^3 \frac{K_1(\sqrt{p^2} \sqrt{-(x - \xi')^2})}{\sqrt{-(x - \xi')^2}} \end{aligned}$$

with the cylindrical function K_1 . Hence for $|\mathbf{x}|$ sufficiently large

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\Phi[\tilde{\alpha}] \Omega | j_0(x) \Omega)_G| \\ & \leq \oint \left| \int_{D_1} d^3 \xi' \right| \cdot \int |m(p)| p^2 |\mathbf{p}|^2 p^0 |\tilde{\alpha}(p^0)|^2 d\mu_2(p) \cdot \sup_{y \in \mathbf{R}^1} (y^3 K_1(y)) \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}|^4} \\ & + \oint \left| \int_{D_1} d^3 \xi' \right| \cdot \int |m(p)| p^2 |\mathbf{p}|^2 |\tilde{\alpha}(p^0)|^2 d\mu_2(p) \cdot \sup_{y \in \mathbf{R}^1} (y^3 K_1(y)) \frac{x^0}{|\mathbf{x}|^6} \\ & + \oint \left| \int_{D_1} d^3 \xi' \right| \cdot \int |m(p)| p^2 |\mathbf{p}|^2 |\tilde{\alpha}(p^0)|^2 d\mu_2(p) \cdot \sup_{y \in \mathbf{R}^1} \left(y^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} K_1(y) \right) \frac{x^0}{|\mathbf{x}|^6}. \end{aligned}$$

Since for small y $K_1(y) \approx 1/y$, and since for large y K_1 drops exponentially, it follows for $x^0 = 0$ and $|\mathbf{x}|$ large

$$|(\Phi[\tilde{\alpha}] \Omega | j_0(x) \Omega)_G| \leq \oint \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}|^4}.$$

In particular, we see now that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (\Phi \Omega | Q_r \Omega)$$

exists.

3.4. We may write

$$(\Phi[\check{\alpha}] \Omega | j_0(x) \Omega)_G = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} F_i(x)$$

with

$$F_i(x) = \oint_{D_1} d^3 \xi' \int d\mu_2(p) m(p) (p^2)^2 p_i |\check{\alpha}(p^0)|^2 e^{-i p \xi'} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} - i p^0 \right) \Delta_{V p^2}^+(x - \xi').$$

As in 3.3 it follows for $x^0 = 0$ and $|\mathbf{x}|$ large

$$|F_i(x)| \leq \oint \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}|^4}.$$

Hence the Fourier transform $\tilde{F}_i(\mathbf{p})$ of $F_i(\mathbf{x}, 0)$ is bounded and continuous in \mathbf{p} and

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi \Omega | Q_r \Omega)_G &= \int \tilde{f}_r(\mathbf{p}) p_i \tilde{F}_i(\mathbf{p}) d^3 \mathbf{p} \\ &= \frac{1}{r} \int \tilde{f}_0(\mathbf{q}) q_i \tilde{F}_i\left(\frac{\mathbf{q}_i}{r}\right) d^3 \mathbf{q} \end{aligned}$$

which converges toward zero for $r \rightarrow \infty$ as it was stated above.

Acknowledgement. I benefitted from discussions with Dr. P. Breitenlohner, Dr. D. Maison, E. Rudolph and Dr. S. Schlieder.

Note added in proof. As it was pointed out to the author by J. A. Swieca, perturbation theory indicates that $\varrho_2(p)$ has a contribution of the first derivative of a delta function on the light cone. The preceding proof works also if such a contribution is present because it does not show up in the two point function of the current, and our statement stays true. However, the assumption that η commutes with P_μ then has to be modified. — I thank Prof. Swieca for this information.

References

1. Robinson, D. W.: Istanbul lectures 1966.
2. Swieca, J. A.: Nuovo Cimento **52**, 242 (1967).
3. Schroer, B., and P. Stichel: Commun. Math. Phys. **3**, 258 (1966).
4. Reeh, H.: Fortschr. Physik **16**, 687 (1968).
5. cf. Gårding, L., and A. S. Wightman: Arkiv Fys. **28**, 129—184 (1965) and the standard textbooks on quantum electrodynamics.
6. See e.g. [4]. "Internal" means that the space-time support of the operators is not changed. Compare in this connection also: Maison, D.: Symmetry Transformations from local currents, to be published.

7. Kastler, D., D. W. Robinson, and A. Swieca: *Commun. Math. Phys.* **2**, 108 (1966).
8. Ezawa, H., and J. A. Swieca: *Commun. Math. Phys.* **5**, 330 (1967).
9. Araki, H., K. Hepp, and D. Ruelle: *Helv. Phys. Acta* **35**, 164 (1962).
10. Gårding, L., and J.L. Lions: *Nuovo Cimento* **14**, Suppl. 9 (1959), Sect. 8; Rieckers, A., and W. Güttinger: *Commun. Math. Phys.* **7**, 190 (1967).
11. cf. e.g. Trèves, F.: *Topological vector spaces, distributions and kernels*, Sect. 21. New York-London: Academic Press 1967.
12. cf. e.g. Berberian, S. K.: *Measure and integration*, Sect. 52, Theorem 1. New York: Macmillan Comp. 1965.
13. cf. e.g. Berberian, S. K.: l.c. [12], Sect. 32, Theorem 1.
14. Compare e.g. Berberian, S. K.: l.c. [12], Sect. 49 for the decomposition into positive and negative parts.

H. Reeh

Max-Planck-Institut für Physik und Astrophysik
8000 München 23, Föhringer Ring 6