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#### Abstract

We use variational methods to study the existence of at least one positive solution of the following Schrödinger-Poisson system $$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u+u+l(x) \phi u=k(x)|u|^{2^{*}-2} u+\mu h(x)|u|^{q-2} u & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}, \\ -\Delta \phi=l(x) u^{2} & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3},\end{cases}
$$ under some suitable conditions on the non-negative functions $l, k, h$ and constant $\mu>0$, where $2 \leq q<2^{*}$ (critical Sobolev exponent).
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the existence of solutions of the system (1.2) involving a critical growth with the following form

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u+u+l(x) \phi u=k(x)|u|^{2^{*}-2} u+\mu h(x)|u|^{q-2} u & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3},  \tag{1.1}\\ -\Delta \phi=l(x) u^{2} & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3},\end{cases}
$$

[^0]where $2 \leq q<2^{*}$. We use the standard Mountain Pass Theorem to show the existence of a solution. However, since the nonlinearity involves a critical exponent, the Sobolev embedding $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)(2 \leq s \leq 6)$ is not compact. This will create great difficulies in the proof of the Palais-Smale condition. We will transform the problem into a nonlocal elliptic equation in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and we also consider the limiting case $q=2$.

It is known that the Schrödinger-Poisson systems have a strong physical meaning because they appear in quantum mechanics models (see e.g. [6, 9, 22]) and in semiconductor theory (see e.g. [4, 5, 23, 24]). In particular, systems like (1.2) have been introduced in Benci-Fortunato [4, 5] as a model describing solitary waves for the nonlinear stationary Schrödinger equations in three-dimensional space interacting with the electrostatic field which is not a priori assigned. Further applications to superconductors are currently under investigation.

Very recently, Cerami-Vaira [10] studied the existence of positive solutions for the Schrödinger-Poisson system

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u+u+l(x) \phi u=f(x, u) & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}  \tag{1.2}\\ -\Delta \phi=l(x) u^{2} & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}\end{cases}
$$

where they considered $f(x, u)=k(x)|u|^{p-2} u$ with $4<p<6$ and assumed that $l \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and $k: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are non-negative functions satisfying $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} l(x)=0, l \neq 0, \lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} k(x)=$ $k_{\infty}>0$ and $k(x)-k_{\infty} \in L^{6 /(6-p)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.

After Cerami-Vaira [10] many researchers have looked to problem (1.2), such as D'Avenia-Pomponio-Vaira [18], Li-Peng-Wang [21], Sun-Chen-Nieto [27] and Vaira [30], under various assumptions on the non-constant function $l$. Similar problems continue to attract attention as one can see from the latest works of He -Zou [20] and their references.

Before Cerami-Vaira [10] similar problems to (1.2), with constant function $l$, had also been widely investigated. We point out the works of Ambrosetti-Ruiz [2], Coclite [12], D’Avenia [17], D'Aprile et al. [13, 14, 15, 16], Ruiz [26] and others. Among of these, Azzollini-Pomponio [3], D’Aprile-Mugnai [14] and Zhao-Zhao [32] dealt with critical exponent case.

There are no existence results about system (1.1) with non-constant function $l$. In ZhaoZhao [32], they studied a similar system to (1.1) with function $l=1$. They established the existence of at least one positive solution for $4 \leq q<2^{*}$ and at least one positive radial solution for $2<q<4$ with some restrictions on functions $k, h$ and $\mu$. Moreover, note that there was no information about the case where $q=2$.

The main result, in this work, generalizes some of above results. We consider the following hypotheses $(H)$ :
$\left(H_{l}\right) l \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right), l(x) \geq 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $l \not \equiv 0 ;$
$\left(H_{k_{1}}\right) k(x) \geq 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$;
$\left(H_{k_{2}}\right)$ There exists $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, \delta_{1}>0$ and $\rho_{1}>0$ such that $k\left(x_{0}\right)=\max _{\mathbb{R}^{3}} k(x)$ and $\mid k(x)-$ $k\left(x_{0}\right)\left|\leq \delta_{1}\right| x-\left.x_{0}\right|^{\alpha}$ for $\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\rho_{1}$ with $1 \leq \alpha<3$;
$\left(H_{h_{1}}\right) h \in L^{6 /(6-q)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and $h(x) \geq 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $h \not \equiv 0 ;$
$\left(H_{h_{2}}\right)$ There are $\delta_{2}>0$ and $\rho_{2}>0$ such that $h(x) \geq \delta_{2}\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{-\beta}$ for $\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\rho_{2}$ and $2-\frac{q}{2}<\beta<3$, where $x_{0}$ is given by $\left(H_{k_{2}}\right)$;
$\left(H_{h_{\mu}}\right) 0<\mu<\bar{\mu}$ when $2 \leq q<4 ; \mu>0$ when $4 \leq q<6$, where $\bar{\mu}$ is defined by

$$
\bar{\mu}:=\mu_{h}=\inf _{u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \backslash\{0\}}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{2}\right) d x: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x)|u|^{q} d x=1\right\} .
$$

Remark 1.1. The hypotheses $\left(H_{k_{1}}\right)$ and $\left(H_{k_{2}}\right)$ mean that $k \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.
Remark 1.2. The function $k$, which satisfies a Hölder condition of order $\alpha$ with $1 \leq \alpha<3$ on $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and achieves its maximum, is a special case of $\left(H_{k_{2}}\right)$.

Remark 1.3. In Lemma 2.3, we show that $\bar{\mu}$ is achieved.
By a solution $(u, \phi)$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \times D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ of problem (1.1), we mean that for any $v \in$ $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ it holds

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(\nabla u \nabla v+u v+l(x) \phi u v) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(k(x)|u|^{2^{*}-2} u v+\mu h(x)|u|^{q-2} u v\right) d x \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \nabla \phi \nabla v d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} l(x) u^{2} v d x
\end{array}\right.
$$

We say the solution is positive if $u(x)>0$ and $\phi(x)>0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$.
We shall prove the following theorem.
Theorem 1.4. Assume the hypotheses $(H)$ hold and $2 \leq q<2^{*}$. Then problem (1.1) has at least one positive solution $\left(u, \phi_{u}\right)$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \times D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.

To prove the result above, we use a combination of techniques, e.g. techniques motivated by Willem [31], to overcome the lack of compactness of the Sobolev embedding, and methods used by Chen-Li-Li [11] and Zhao-Zhao [32], to estimate carefully the energy level.

Notations. Throughout this paper, $L^{p} \equiv L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)(1 \leq p<+\infty)$ is the usual Lebesgue space with the norm $\|u\|_{p}^{p}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|u|^{p} d x ; L^{\infty} \equiv L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ is the space of all essentially bounded functions with the norm $\|u\|_{\infty}=\operatorname{ess} \sup |u| ; H^{1} \equiv H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ denotes the usual Sobolev space with the norm $\|u\|^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+|u|^{2}\right) d x ; H^{-1}$ is the dual space of $H^{1}$ and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle \equiv\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{H^{-1} \times H^{1}}$ is dual bracket; $D^{1} \equiv D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ is the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ with respect to the norm $\|u\|_{D}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x ; B_{\rho}(x)$ and $B_{\rho}$ denote a ball with radius $\rho$ centred at $x$ and 0 , respectively in a related space. Let $u^{+}=\max \{u, 0\}$ and $u^{-}=\max \{-u, 0\}$. We denote strong (weak) convergence for a sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $u$ in a Banach space by $u_{n} \rightarrow u\left(u_{n} \rightharpoonup u\right)$, respectively. $N$ is used to denote the dimension, so $N=3$ if there is no special explanation. The so-called critical Sobolev exponent is denoted by $2^{*}=\frac{2 N}{N-2}$. The symbol $C$ denotes different positive constants and the value of $C$ is allowed to change from line to line and in the same formula.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we are going to give some preliminary lemmas. Since our methods are variational, first of all, it is necessary to transform the problem (1.1) into a Schrödinger
equation with a nonlocal term. In fact, for any $u \in H^{1}$, denote $L_{u}(v)$ the linear functional in $D^{1}$ by

$$
L_{u}(v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} l(x) u^{2} v d x .
$$

It follows from the hypothesis $\left(H_{l}\right)$, Hölder and Sobolev inequalities that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|L_{u}(v)\right| \leq\|l\|_{\infty}\|u\|_{12 / 5}^{2}\|v\|_{6} \leq C\|l l\|_{\infty}\|u\|_{12 / 5}^{2}\|v\|_{D} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the Lax-Milgram theorem implies that there exists, for each $u$ in $H^{1}$, a unique $\phi_{u} \in$ $D^{1}$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \nabla \phi_{u} \nabla v=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} l(x) u^{2} v d x \quad \text { for any } v \in D^{1},
$$

i.e., $\phi_{u}$ is the weak solution of $-\Delta \phi=l(x) u^{2}$. It holds

$$
\phi_{u}(x)=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{l(y) u^{2}(y)}{|x-y|} d y .
$$

In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\phi_{u}\right\|_{D}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla \phi_{u}\right|^{2} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} l(x) \phi_{u} u^{2} d x . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.1) and (2.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\phi_{u}\right\|_{6} \leq C\left\|\phi_{u}\right\|_{D} \leq C\|u\|_{12 / 5}^{2} \leq C\|u\|^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} l(x) \phi_{u}(x) u^{2}(x) d x \leq C\|u\|^{4} .
$$

Thus $F: H^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is well defined with

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} l(x) \phi_{u}(x) u^{2}(x) d x . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To give the smoothness of the functional $F$ (about the smoothness, we can find the statement in previous works, but we didn't find complete details), first, it is necessary to introduce the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. [25, p.31] Let $0<\beta<N$ and $f \in L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), g \in L^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{r}+\frac{\beta}{N}=2$ and $1<q, r<\infty$. Then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|f(x) \| g(y)|}{|x-y|^{\beta}} d x d y \leq C(q, r, \beta, N)\|f\|_{q}\|g\|_{r}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{N},
$$

where $C(q, r, \beta, N)$ is a positive constant depending on $q, r, \beta$ and $N$.
Lemma 2.2. If the hypothesis $\left(H_{l}\right)$ holds, then $F \in C^{1}\left(H^{1}, \mathbb{R}\right)$.

Proof. From Lemma 2.1 and hypothesis $\left(H_{l}\right)$ we obtain

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{\left|l(x) u^{2}(x)\right||l(y) u(y) v(y)|}{|x-y|} d x d y
$$

$\leq C\|u\|_{12 / 5}^{2}\|u v\|_{6 / 5} \leq C\|u\|_{12 / 5}^{2}\|u\|_{12 / 5}\|v\|_{12 / 5}$
for any $u, v \in H^{1}$. Then we may use the Lebesgue Theorem and Fubini Theorem and get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(u+t v)-F(u)}{t} \\
= & \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{l(x)}{t}\left((u+t v)^{2}\left(\phi_{u}+2 t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{l(y) u(y) v(y)}{|x-y|} d y+t^{2} \phi_{v}\right)-\phi_{u} u^{2}\right) d x \\
= & 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} l(x)\left(u^{2}(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{l(y) u(y) v(y)}{|x-y|} d y+u(x) v(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{l(y) u^{2}(y)}{|x-y|} d y\right) d x \\
= & 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} l(x) \phi_{u} u v d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the Gateaux derivative of $F$ on $H^{1}$ exists and $\left\langle\frac{1}{4} F^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} l(x) \phi_{u} u v d x$. Let $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $H^{1}$ and $v \in H^{1}$, then by $\left(H_{l}\right)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|F^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-F^{\prime}(u)\right\|_{H^{-1}}=\sup _{\|v\|=1}\left|\left\langle F^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-F^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle\right| \\
= & 4 \sup _{\|v\|=1}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} l(x)\left(\phi_{u_{n}} u_{n}-\phi_{u_{n}} u+\phi_{u_{n}} u-\phi_{u} u\right) v d x\right|  \tag{2.5}\\
\leq & 4\|l\| \|_{\infty} \sup _{\|v\|=1}\left(\left\|\phi_{u_{n}}\right\| 6\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{12 / 5}\|v\|_{12 / 5}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\phi_{u_{n}}-\phi_{u} \| u v\right| d x\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\phi_{u_{n}}-\phi_{u} \| u v\right| d x \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{\left|u(x) v(x) \| u_{n}^{2}(y)-u^{2}(y)\right|}{|x-y|} d x d y \\
\leq & C\left\|u_{n}^{2}-u^{2}\right\|_{6 / 5}\|u v\|_{6 / 5} \leq C\left\|u_{n}^{2}-u^{2}\right\|_{6 / 5}\|u\|_{12 / 5}\|v\|_{12 / 5} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (2.3), (2.5), (2.6) and the fact that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $H^{1}$, we obtain

$$
\left\|F^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-F^{\prime}(u)\right\|_{H^{-1}} \rightarrow 0
$$

Thus $F$ has a continuous Gateaux derivative on $H^{1}$. Therefore $F \in C^{1}\left(H^{1}, \mathbb{R}\right)$.
Let's introduce the Euler functional of the problem (1.1) as $I: H^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{1}{4} F(u)-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\frac{1}{2^{*}} k(x)\left|u^{+}\right|^{2^{*}}+\frac{\mu}{q} h(x)\left|u^{+}\right|^{q}\right) d x . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.2 we know that the functional $I$ is of class $C^{1}$ and its critical points are weak solutions of (1.1).

To prove Theorem 1.4, we still need some other preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 2.3. Assume that the hypothesis $\left(H_{l}\right)$ holds. Then $F$ is a weakly continuous functional.

Proof. Suppose $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^{1}$. Since $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L_{l o c}^{2}$, going if necessary to a subsequence, we can assume that

$$
u_{n} \rightarrow u \text { a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^{3} \quad \text { and } \quad \phi_{u_{n}} \rightarrow \phi_{u} \text { a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

In fact, the last statement is true since, by $\left(H_{l}\right)$ and Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\phi_{u_{n}}(x)-\phi_{u}(x)\right| & \leq \frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|l(y) \| u_{n}^{2}(y)-u^{2}(y)\right| \frac{1}{|x-y|} d y \\
& \leq C\left\|u_{n}^{2}-u^{2}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{R}(x)\right)}\left(\int_{|x-y| \leq R} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{2}} d y\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& +C\left\|u_{n}^{2}-u^{2}\right\|_{L^{4 / 3}\left(B_{R}^{c}(x)\right)}\left(\int_{|x-y|>R} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{4}} d y\right)^{1 / 4}  \tag{2.7}\\
& \leq C\left\|u_{n}^{2}-u^{2}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{R}(x)\right)}+C R^{-\frac{1}{4}}\left\|u_{n}^{2}-u^{2}\right\|_{L^{4 / 3}\left(B_{R}^{c}(x)\right)} \\
& \rightarrow 0
\end{align*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $R \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\phi_{u_{n}} u_{n}^{2} \rightarrow \phi_{u} u^{2}$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Moreover, the sequence $\left(\phi_{u_{n}} u_{n}^{2}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L^{2}$, since

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\phi_{u_{n}} u_{n}^{2}\right)^{2} d x \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \phi_{u_{n}}^{6} d x\right)^{1 / 3}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u_{n}^{6} d x\right)^{2 / 3}=\left\|\phi_{u_{n}}\right\|_{6}^{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{6}^{4} \leq C\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{6}
$$

Hence $\phi_{u_{n}} u_{n}^{2} \rightharpoonup \phi_{u} u^{2}$ in $L^{2}$. By $\left(H_{l}\right)$ we have

$$
F\left(u_{n}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} l(x) \phi_{u_{n}} u_{n}^{2} d x \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} l(x) \phi_{u} u^{2} d x=F(u)
$$

We have proved that $F$ is weakly continuous.
Lemma 2.4. Assume the hypothesis $\left(H_{l}\right)$ holds. Let $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^{1}$, then

$$
F\left(u_{n}-u\right)=F\left(u_{n}\right)-F(u)+o(1) .
$$

Proof. Since $\left(H_{l}\right)$ holds, from the proof of [32, Lemma 2.1], the result follows.

From a similar proof as in [31, Lemma 2.13], we obtain the next result.
Lemma 2.5. If the hypothesis $\left(H_{h_{1}}\right)$ holds and $2 \leq q<6$, then the functional

$$
\psi_{h}: H^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: u \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x)|u|^{q} d x
$$

is weakly continuous.
Lemma 2.6. Suppose the hypothesis $\left(H_{h_{1}}\right)$ holds and $2 \leq q<4$. Then the following infimum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\mu}:=\mu_{h}=\inf _{u \in H^{1} \backslash\{0\}}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{2}\right) d x: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x)|u|^{q} d x=1\right\} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is achieved.

Proof. Let $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset H^{1}$ be a minimizing sequence such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{9} d x=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+u_{n}^{2}\right) d x \rightarrow \mu_{h}, \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

So $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $H^{1}$. Then there exists a subsequence satisfying $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^{1}$. Since $h \in L^{6 /(6-q)}$, by Lemma 2.5, we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q} d x \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x)|u|^{q} d x . \quad \text { Hence } \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x)|u|^{q} d x=1 .
$$

Then, by the weakly lower semi-continuous property of the norm, we get

$$
\mu_{h}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+u_{n}^{2}\right) d x \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{2}\right) d x \geq \mu_{h} .
$$

Thus the infimum $\mu_{h}$ is achieved.
Lemma 2.7. Suppose the hypotheses $\left(H_{l}\right),\left(H_{k_{1}}\right),\left(H_{h_{1}}\right)$ and $\left(H_{h_{\mu}}\right)$ hold. Then $I(0)=0$ and ( $I_{1}$ ) there are constants $\rho, \alpha>0$ such that $\left.I\right|_{\partial B_{\rho}} \geq \alpha$; and
( $I_{2}$ ) there is $\bar{u} \in H^{1} \backslash \bar{B}_{\rho}$ such that $I(\bar{u})<0$.
Proof. It is clear from the definition of $I$ that $I(0)=0$. To prove $\left(I_{1}\right)$ and $\left(I_{2}\right)$, we consider $2 \leq q<4$ and $4 \leq q<6$ respectively. First, for $2 \leq q<4$, we have $0<\mu<\bar{\mu}$ by $\left(H_{h_{\mu}}\right)$. It follows from $\left(H_{k_{1}}\right)$, Lemma 2.6 and Sobolev inequality that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(u) & =\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{1}{4} F(u)-\left.\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} k(x)\left|u^{+} 2^{*} d x-\frac{\mu}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x)\right| u^{+}\right|^{q} d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-C\|u\|^{2^{*}}-\frac{\mu}{q \bar{\mu}}\|u\|^{2}=\|u\|^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\mu}{q \bar{\mu}}-C\|u\|^{2^{*}-2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Set $\rho=\|u\|$, small enough such that $C \rho^{2^{*}-2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\mu}{q \bar{\mu}}\right)$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\mu}{q \bar{\mu}}\right) \rho^{2} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\mu}{q \bar{\mu}}\right) \rho^{2}$. Then we get the result $\left(I_{1}\right)$. By (2.3) and the fact that $\mu h(x) \geq 0$, for fixed $u_{0}$ with $\left\|u_{0}\right\|=1$ and $\operatorname{supp}\left(u_{0}\right) \subset \operatorname{supp}(k)$, we have

$$
I\left(t u_{0}\right) \leq t^{2^{*}}\left(\frac{1}{2 t^{4}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2}+\frac{C}{4 t^{2}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{4}-\frac{C}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} k(x)\left|u_{0}^{+}\right|^{*} d x\right) .
$$

Let $t$ be large enough such that $t>\rho$ and

$$
\frac{1}{2 t^{4}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2}+\frac{C}{4 t^{2}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{4}-\frac{C}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} k(x)\left|u_{0}^{+}\right|^{*} d x<0 .
$$

Take $\bar{u}=t u_{0}$. Then $\left(I_{2}\right)$ follows.

Next, we consider $4 \leq q<6$, so $\mu>0$ by $\left(H_{h_{\mu}}\right)$. Since $\left(H_{k_{1}}\right)$ and $\left(H_{h_{1}}\right)$ hold, the Hölder inequality and Sobolev inequality implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(u) & =\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{1}{4} F(u)-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} k(x)\left|u^{+}\right|^{2^{*}} d x-\frac{\mu}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x)\left|u^{+}\right|^{q} d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-C\|u\|^{2^{*}}-\frac{\mu}{q}\|h\|_{\frac{6}{6-q}}\|u\|_{6}^{q} \\
& \geq\|u\|^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}-C\|u\|^{2^{*}-2}-C\|u\|^{q-2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for each $\mu>0$ fixed. Hence ( $I_{1}$ ) follows from the similar estimate with (2.9). The proof of $\left(I_{2}\right)$ is the same to the case $2 \leq q<4$.

## 3 The proof of Theorem 1.4

To prove Theorem 1.4, we will apply the Mountain Pass Theorem to find a solution of problem (1.1) and then prove that it is a positive solution. Let us first recall (one of the versions of) the Mountain Pass Theorem.

Mountain Pass Theorem [1]. Let $E$ be a real Banach space and $I \in C^{1}(E, R)$. Suppose $I(0)=0$ and
( $I_{1}$ ) there are constants $\rho, \alpha>0$ such that $\left.I\right|_{\partial B_{\rho}} \geq \alpha$; and
$\left(I_{2}\right)$ there is $\bar{u} \in E \backslash \bar{B}_{\rho}$ such that $I(\bar{u})<0$. If $I$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$-condition, where $c$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\inf _{g \in \Gamma u \in g[0,1]} I(u) \text { with } \Gamma=\{g \in C([0,1], E): g(0)=0, g(1)=\bar{u}\} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $I$ possesses a critical value $c \geq \alpha$.
Since Lemma 2.7 shows that the functional $I$ has the Mountain Pass geometry, to apply this theorem to the functional $I$ with $E \equiv H^{1}$, it is enough to prove that the Palais-Smale condition holds at the level $c$ (the $(P S)_{c}$-condition for short), which means that every sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset H^{1}$ such that $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ in $H^{-1}$ implies that $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ possesses a convergent subsequence in $H^{1}$.

Lemma 3.1. Assume $\left(H_{l}\right),\left(H_{k_{1}}\right),\left(H_{h_{1}}\right)$ and $\left(H_{h_{\mu}}\right)$ hold. Then the functional I satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$-condition for $c \in\left(0, \frac{1}{N} \mathcal{S}^{\frac{N}{2}}\|k\|_{\infty}^{-\frac{N-2}{2}}\right)$, where $\mathcal{S}$ denotes the best Sobolev constant defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}=\inf _{u \in D^{1} \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|u|^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{2 / 2^{*}}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a $(P S)_{c}$-sequence of $I$ at the level $c \in\left(0, \frac{1}{N} \mathcal{S}^{\frac{N}{2}}\|k\|_{\infty}^{-\frac{N-2}{2}}\right)$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \text { and } I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { in } H^{-1} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 1. We consider $2 \leq q<4$, so we get $0<\mu<\bar{\mu}$ by ( $H_{h_{\mu}}$ ). Then by the Sobolev inequality, Lemma 2.6 and $k(x) \geq 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, for large $n$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& c+1+\left\|u_{n}\right\| \geq I\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
= & \frac{1}{4}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{2^{*}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} k(x)\left|u_{n}^{+}\right|^{*} d x+\left(\frac{\mu}{4}-\frac{\mu}{q}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x)\left|u_{n}^{+}\right|^{q} d x \\
\geq & \frac{1}{4}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{2^{*}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} k(x)\left|u_{n}^{+}\right|^{*} d x+\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{q}\right) \frac{\mu}{\bar{\mu}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.4}\\
\geq & \left(\frac{1}{4}+\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{q}\right) \frac{\mu}{\bar{\mu}}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

which implies $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $H^{1}$, since $0<\mu<\bar{\mu}$ and $2 \leq q<4$. Passing if necessary to a subsequence, we can assume that

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{n} \rightarrow u \quad \text { in } H^{1}, \quad u_{n} \rightarrow u \quad \text { a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^{3}, \\
\nabla u_{n}-\nabla u \quad \text { in } L^{2}, \quad \text { and } u_{n} \rightarrow u \text { in } L^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let us define $w_{n}=k(x)\left|u_{n}^{+}\right|^{N+2 / N-2}$ and $w=k(x)\left|u^{+}\right|^{N+2 / N-2}$. Since $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L^{2^{*}}$ and $k \in L^{\infty}$, then $w_{n}$ is bounded in $L^{2 N / N+2}$ and so $w_{n} \rightharpoonup w$ in $L^{2 N / N+2}$. Note that for any $v \in H^{1}$, we have $v \in L^{2 N / N-2}, \nabla v \in L^{2}$ and $v \in L^{2}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} w_{n} v d x \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} w v d x \text {, i.e., } \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} k(x)\left|u_{n}^{+}\right|^{*-1} v d x \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} k(x)\left|u^{+}\right|^{2^{*}-1} v d x, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\nabla u_{n} \nabla v+u_{n} v\right) d x \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(\nabla u \nabla v+u v) d x . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the proof of Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.5 we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x)\left|u_{n}^{+}\right|^{q-1} v d x \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x)\left|u^{+}\right|^{q-1} v d x, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} l(x) \phi_{u_{n}} u_{n} v d x \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} l(x) \phi_{u} u v d x . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.5)-(3.8), for $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^{1}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), v\right\rangle & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\nabla u_{n} \nabla v+u_{n} v\right) d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} l(x) \phi_{u_{n}} u_{n} v d x \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} k(x)\left|u_{n}^{+}\right|^{2^{*}-1} v d x-\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x)\left|u_{n}^{+}\right| q^{q-1} v d x  \tag{3.9}\\
& \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(\nabla u \nabla v+u v) d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} l(x) \phi_{u} u v d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} k(x)\left|u^{+}\right|^{*-1} v d x \\
& -\left.\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x)\left|u^{+}\right|\right|^{q-1} v d x=\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, by the fact $I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ in $H^{-1}$, we get that $\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), v\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$ for any $v \in H^{1}$. So $\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=0$ for any $v \in H^{1}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+u+l(x) \phi_{u} u=k(x)\left|u^{+}\right|^{2^{*}-1}+\mu h(x)\left|u^{+}\right|^{q-1} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=0$ and then from Lemma 2.6 and $k(x) \geq 0$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
I(u) & =\frac{1}{4}\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle+\frac{1}{4}\|u\|^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{2^{*}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} k(x)\left|u^{+}\right|^{2^{*}} d x+\left(\frac{\mu}{4}-\frac{\mu}{q}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x)\left|u^{+}\right|^{q} d x \\
& \left.\geq\left(\frac{1}{4}+\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{q}\right)\right) \overline{\bar{\mu}}\right)\|u\|^{2} \geq 0 . \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $v_{n}=u_{n}-u$ and so $v_{n}-0$ in $H^{1}$. Hence, using the given hypotheses, the Brézis-Lieb Lemma [7] implies that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}=\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}+\|u\|^{2}+o(1), \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} k(x)\left|u_{n}^{+}\right|^{*} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} k(x)\left|v_{n}^{+}\right|^{2^{*}} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} k(x)\left|u^{+}\right|^{*} d x+o(1), \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x)\left|u_{n}^{+}\right|^{q} d x=\left.\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x)\left|v_{n}^{+}\right|\right|^{q} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x)\left|u^{+}\right|^{q} d x+o(1),
\end{gathered}
$$

and hence by Lemma 2.4 we have

$$
I\left(u_{n}\right)=I(u)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{4} F\left(v_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} k(x)\left|v_{n}^{+}\right|^{*} d x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x)\left|v_{n}^{+}\right|^{q} d x+o(1),
$$

and

$$
\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle=\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle+\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}+F\left(v_{n}\right)-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} k(x)\left|v_{n}^{+}\right|^{2^{*}} d x-\left.\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x)\left|v_{n}^{+}\right|\right|^{q} d x+o(1) .
$$

Therefore it follows from Lemma 2.3, Lemma 2.5 and the hypotheses $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow$ 0 in $H^{-1}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I\left(u_{n}\right)=I(u)+\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2}\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} k(x)\left|v_{n}^{+}\right|^{2^{*}} d x, \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle+\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} k(x)\left|v_{n}^{+}\right|^{2} d x=0 . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.10) and (3.13) we obtain

$$
\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} k(x)\left|v_{n}^{+}\right|^{2^{*}} d x \rightarrow-\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=0 .
$$

Now we may assume that

$$
\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2} \rightarrow b \quad \text { and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} k(x)\left|v_{n}^{+}\right|^{2^{*}} d x \rightarrow b
$$

By Sobolev's inequality we have

$$
\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2} \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2} d x \geq \mathcal{S}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|v_{n}^{+}\right|^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{2 / 2^{*}}
$$

which means that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} k(x)\left|v_{n}^{+}\right|^{2^{*}} d x \leq\|k\|_{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|v_{n}^{+}\right|^{*} d x \leq\|k\|_{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}^{-1}\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}\right)^{2^{*} / 2},
$$

i.e., $b \leq\|k\|_{\infty}\left(\mathcal{S}^{-1} b\right)^{2^{*} / 2}$. So we get that $b=0$ or $b \geq \mathcal{S}^{\frac{N}{2}}\|k\|_{\infty}^{-\frac{N-2}{2}}$. Assume $b \geq \mathcal{S}^{\frac{N}{2}}\|k\|_{\infty}^{\|_{\infty}}$. Then combining (3.11) and (3.12), we obtain

$$
c \geq \frac{1}{2} b-\frac{1}{2^{*}} b=\frac{1}{N} b \geq \frac{1}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2}}\|k\|_{\infty}^{-\frac{N-2}{2}},
$$

which contradicts the fact that $c<\frac{1}{N} \mathcal{S}^{\frac{N}{2}}\|k\|_{\infty}^{\|_{\infty}^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}$. Hence $b=0$.
Step 2. For $4 \leq q<6$ and $\mu>0$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c+1+\left\|u_{n}\right\| \geq I\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
= & \frac{1}{4}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{2^{*}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} k(x)\left|u_{n}^{+}\right|^{*} d x+\left(\frac{\mu}{4}-\frac{\mu}{q}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x)\left|u_{n}^{+}\right|^{q} d x \geq \frac{1}{4}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $H^{1}$. To finish this step, we just need to replace (3.4) in Step 1 by the above inequality. The rest of the proof is similar to Step 1, so we omit it here.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose the hypotheses (H) hold. Then $c<\frac{1}{N} \mathcal{S}^{\frac{N}{2}}\|k\|_{\infty}^{-\frac{N-2}{2}}$.
Proof. The idea here is to find a path in $\Gamma$ such that the maximum of the functional $I$ at this path is strictly less than $\frac{1}{N} \mathcal{S}^{\frac{N}{2}}\|k\|_{\infty}^{-(N-2) / 2}$. To construct this path, we need the extremal function $u_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}$ for the embedding $D^{1} \hookrightarrow L^{6}$, where

$$
u_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}=C \frac{\varepsilon^{1 / 4}}{\left(\varepsilon+\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} .
$$

Here $C$ is a normalizing constant and $x_{0}$ is given in $\left(H_{k_{2}}\right)$. Let $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}$ be such that $0 \leq$ $\varphi \leq 1,\left.\varphi\right|_{B_{R_{2}}} \equiv 1$ and supp $\varphi \subset B_{2 R_{2}}$ for some $R_{2}>0$. Set $v_{\varepsilon}=\varphi u_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}$ and then $v_{\varepsilon} \in H^{1}$ with $v_{\varepsilon}(x) \geq 0$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$. The following asymptotic estimates hold if $\varepsilon$ is small enough (see Brézis-Nirenberg [8]):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2}^{2}=k_{1}+O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right), \quad\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2^{*}}^{2}=k_{2}+O(\varepsilon),  \tag{3.14}\\
& \left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{s}^{s}= \begin{cases}O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{s}{4}}\right) & s \in[2,3), \\
O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{s}{4}}|\ln \varepsilon|\right) & s=3, \\
O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{6-s}{4}}\right) & s \in(3,6),\end{cases} \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

with $k_{1} / k_{2}=\mathcal{S}$, and $2 \leq s<2^{*}$. We know the path $t v_{\varepsilon} \in \Gamma$. For the rest, we will prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{t \geq 0} I\left(t v_{\varepsilon}\right)<\frac{1}{N} \mathcal{S}^{\frac{N}{2}}\|k\|_{\infty}^{-(N-2) / 2} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for small $\varepsilon$. Since $I\left(t v_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, there exists $t_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that $I\left(t_{\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon}\right)=\max _{t \geq 0} I\left(t v_{\varepsilon}\right)$. Also by Lemma 2.7, $\max _{t \geq 0} I\left(t v_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq \alpha>0$. Then we have $I\left(t_{\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq \alpha>0$. Thus from the continuity of $I$, we may assume that there exists some positive $t_{0}$ such that $t_{\varepsilon} \geq t_{0}>0$.

Moreover from $I\left(t v_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and $I\left(t_{\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq \alpha>0$, we get that there exists $T_{0}$ such that $t_{\varepsilon} \leq T_{0}$. Hence $t_{0} \leq t_{\varepsilon} \leq T_{0}$. Let $I\left(t_{\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon}\right)=A(\varepsilon)+B(\varepsilon)+C(\varepsilon)$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
A(\varepsilon)=\frac{t_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x-\frac{t_{\varepsilon}^{2^{*}}}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} k\left(x_{0}\right)\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{*}} d x, \\
B(\varepsilon)=\frac{t_{\varepsilon}^{2^{*}}}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} k\left(x_{0}\right)\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{*}} d x-\frac{t_{\varepsilon}^{2^{*}}}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} k(x)\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{*}} d x,
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
C(\varepsilon)=\frac{t_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{t_{\varepsilon}^{4}}{4} F\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)-\frac{t_{\varepsilon}^{2} \mu}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x)\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q} d x
$$

since $v_{\varepsilon}^{+}=v_{\varepsilon}$. First, we claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\varepsilon) \leq \frac{1}{N} \mathcal{S}^{\frac{N}{2}}\|k\|_{\infty}^{-\frac{N-2}{2}}+C \varepsilon^{1 / 2} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, let $g(t)=\frac{t^{2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x-\frac{t^{2^{*}}}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} k\left(x_{0}\right)\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{*}} d x$. It is clear that $g(t)$ achieves its maximum value at some $T_{\varepsilon}$. So

$$
0=g^{\prime}\left(T_{\varepsilon}\right)=T_{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x-T_{\varepsilon}^{2^{*}-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} k\left(x_{0}\right)\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{*}} d x
$$

That is,

$$
T_{\varepsilon}=\left(\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} k\left(x_{0}\right)\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{*}} d x}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^{*}-2}}
$$

Therefore, from (3.14), we have

$$
g\left(T_{\varepsilon}\right)=\sup _{t \geq 0} g(t)=\frac{1}{N} \frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{N / 2}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} k\left(x_{0}\right)\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{N-2 / 2}}=\frac{1}{N} \mathcal{S}^{\frac{N}{2}}\|k\|_{\infty}^{-\frac{N-2}{2}}+C \varepsilon^{1 / 2}
$$

Then (3.17) follows. Secondly, we claim that $B(\varepsilon) \leq C \varepsilon^{1 / 2}$. In fact, since $t_{0} \leq t_{\varepsilon} \leq T_{0}$ and $k \in L^{\infty}$, by the definition of $v_{\varepsilon},\left(H_{k_{2}}\right)$ and using a change of variables with $1 \leq \alpha<3$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(\varepsilon) & =\frac{t_{\varepsilon}^{2^{*}}}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(k\left(x_{0}\right)-k(x)\right)\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{*}} d x \\
& \leq C \delta_{1} \int_{\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\rho_{1}} \frac{\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{\alpha} \varepsilon^{3 / 2}}{\left(\varepsilon+\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{2}\right)^{3}} d x+C \int_{\left|x-x_{0}\right| \geq \rho_{1}} \frac{\varepsilon^{3 / 2}}{\left(\varepsilon+\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{2}\right)^{3}} d x \\
& \leq C \delta_{1} \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{0}^{\rho_{1}} \frac{r^{2+\alpha}}{\left(\varepsilon+r^{2}\right)^{3}} d r+C \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{\rho_{1}}^{\infty} r^{-4} d r \\
& =C \delta_{1} \varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \int_{0}^{\rho_{1} \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\rho^{2+\alpha}}{\left(1+\rho^{2}\right)^{3}} d \rho+C \rho_{1}^{-3} \varepsilon^{3 / 2} \\
& \leq C \delta_{1} \varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}+C \varepsilon^{3 / 2} \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

So we have proved our claim. Therefore, to finish the proof, it is enough to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{C(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^{1 / 2}}=-\infty \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Actually, from the definition of $v_{\varepsilon},\left(H_{h_{2}}\right)$ and for any $\varepsilon$ such that $0<\varepsilon \leq \rho_{2}^{2}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x)\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q} d x & \geq C \delta_{2} \int_{\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\rho_{2}} \frac{\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{-\beta} \varepsilon^{q / 4}}{\left(\varepsilon+\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{2}\right)^{q / 2}} d x+\int_{\left|x-x_{0}\right| \geq \rho_{2}} h(x)\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q} d x \\
& \geq C \delta_{2} \varepsilon^{q / 4} \int_{0}^{\rho_{2}} \frac{r^{2}}{r^{\beta}\left(\varepsilon+r^{2}\right)^{q / 2}} d r \\
& =C \delta_{2} \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{q}{4}-\frac{\beta}{2}} \int_{0}^{\rho_{2} \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\rho^{2}}{\rho^{\beta}\left(1+\rho^{2}\right)^{q / 2}} d \rho \\
& \geq C \delta_{2} \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{q}{4}-\frac{\beta}{2}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\rho^{2}}{2^{q} \rho^{\beta}} d \rho=C \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{q}{4}-\frac{\beta}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by the fact that $t_{0} \leq t_{\varepsilon} \leq T_{0}$ and hypothesis $\left(H_{l}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(\varepsilon) & =\frac{t_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{t_{\varepsilon}^{4}}{4} F\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)-\frac{t_{\varepsilon}^{2} \mu}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x)\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q} d x \\
& \leq C\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2}^{2}+C\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{12 / 5}^{4}-\mu C \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{q}{4}-\frac{\beta}{2}} \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}+C \varepsilon-\mu C \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{q}{4}-\frac{\beta}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from $2-\frac{q}{2}<\beta<3$ that for fixed $\mu$ we have

$$
\frac{C(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^{1 / 2}} \leq C+C \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}-\mu C \varepsilon^{1-\frac{q}{4}-\frac{\beta}{2}} \rightarrow-\infty, \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

So we prove the claim (3.18). Therefore (3.16) follows.
Proof of Theorem 1.4. It follows from Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 that the functional $I$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$-condition at the level $c$ defined by (3.1). And by Lemma 2.7, the functional $I$ has the Mountain Pass geometry. Hence the functional $I$ has a critical value $c>0$. That is, there exists a nontrivial $u \in H^{1}$ such that $I^{\prime}(u)=0$, which means that $\left(u, \phi_{u}\right)$ is the nontrivial solution of system (1.1).

Since $0=\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), u^{-}\right\rangle=\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}+\int_{R^{3}} l(x) \phi_{u}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2} d x \geq\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}$, then $u \geq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. By standard arguments as in DiBenedetto [19] and Tolksdorf [28], we have that $u \in L^{\infty}$ and $u \in C_{l o c}^{1, \gamma}$ with $0<\gamma<1$. Furthermore, by Harnack's inequality (see Trudinger [29]), $u(x)>0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$. Thus $\left(u, \phi_{u}\right)$ is a positive solution of system (1.1).
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