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#### Abstract

We study in detail main properties of two families of the basic hypergeometric ${ }_{2} \phi_{1}$-polynomials, which are natural $q$-extensions of the classical Chebyshev polynomials $T_{n}(x)$ and $U_{n}(x)$. In particular, we show that they are expressible as special cases of the big $q$-Jacobi polynomials $P_{n}(x ; a, b, c ; q)$ with some chosen parameters $a, b$ and $c$. We derive quadratic transformations that relate these polynomials to the little $q$-Jacobi polynomials $p_{n}(x ; a, b \mid q)$. Explicit forms of discrete orthogonality relations on a finite interval, $q$-difference equations and Rodrigues-type difference formulas for these $q$-Chebyshev polynomials are also given.
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## 1 Introduction

The Chebyshev polynomials find frequent and profound applications in many areas of mathematical analysis such as approximation, series expansions, interpolation, quadrature and integral equations [1, 2]. Hence it is of considerable interest to inquire into the defining of explicit $q$-extensions of the Chebyshev polynomials, which may be similarly useful in analysis of $q$-special functions. The interest in this study is motivated by the following circumstance. It is well known that the Chebyshev polynomials $T_{n}(x)$ and $U_{n}(x)$ may be regarded as special cases of the Jacobi polynomials $P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$ with parameters $\alpha=\beta=-1 / 2$ and $\alpha=\beta=1 / 2$, respectively. Therefore it appears at first that the continuous $q$-Jacobi polynomials $P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x \mid q)$ (which evidently represent $q$-extensions of the Jacobi polynomials $P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$ ) with the particular values of the parameters $\alpha=\beta=-1 / 2$ and $\alpha=\beta=1 / 2$ would be natural $q$-extensions of the Chebyshev polynomials $T_{n}(x)$ and $U_{n}(x)$. Under closer examination however, it turns out that the continuous $q$-Jacobi polynomials $P_{n}^{(-1 / 2,-1 / 2)}(x \mid q)$ and $P_{n}^{(1 / 2,1 / 2)}(x \mid q)$ are only constant (but $q$-dependent) multiples of the Chebyshev polynomials $T_{n}(x)$ and $U_{n}(x)$. In other words, the continuous $q$-Jacobi polynomials $P_{n}^{(-1 / 2,-1 / 2)}(x \mid q)$ and $P_{n}^{(1 / 2,1 / 2)}(x \mid q)$ are, in fact, rescalings of the Chebyshev polynomials $T_{n}(x)$ and $U_{n}(x)$; therefore the former two polynomial families are just trivial

[^0]$q$-extensions of the latter ones. This curious " $q$-degeneracy" of the continuous $q$-Jacobi polynomials $P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x \mid q)$ for the values of the parameters $\alpha=\beta=-1 / 2$ and $\alpha=\beta=1 / 2$ had been already noticed by R.Askey and J.A. Wilson in their seminal work [3]. Observe also that nothing essentially changes when one tries to use the connection with the monic form ${ }^{1}$ of the continuous Rogers $q$-ultraspherical polynomials $C_{n}^{(M)}\left(x ; q^{\lambda} \mid q\right)$, rather than with the continuous $q$ Jacobi polynomials $P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x \mid q)$. The $q$-polynomials $C_{n}^{(M)}(x ; 1 \mid q)$ are known to provide a $q$-extension of the Chebyshev polynomials $T_{n}(x)$, whereas the $C_{n}^{(M)}(x ; q \mid q)$ represent a $q$-extension of the Chebyshev polynomials $U_{n}(x)$. But both of these $q$-extensions are trivial in the above-mentioned sense.

This work is an attempt to explore properties of $q$-extensions of the Chebyshev polynomials $T_{n}(x)$ and $U_{n}(x)$ in terms of the basic hypergeometric ${ }_{2} \phi_{1}$-polynomials, which were introduced in a recent paper [4] devoted to the study of Fourier integral transforms for the $q$-Fibonacci and $q$-Lucas polynomials. We prove that these two $q$-Chebyshev families are expressible as special cases of the big $q$-Jacobi polynomials $P_{n}(x ; a, b, c ; q)$ with particularly chosen parameters $a$, $b$ and $c$. Thus it becomes apparent that the required $q$-Chebyshev polynomials have been "in hiding" within the Askey $q$-scheme at one level higher than the continuous $q$-Jacobi polynomials $P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x \mid q)$. We use this connection with the big $q$-Jacobi polynomials $P_{n}(x ; a, b, c ; q)$ in order to establish an explicit form of the discrete orthogonality relation for these $q$-Chebyshev polynomials.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we determine three-term recurrence relations for the $q$-Chebyshev polynomials under study in order to clarify their connections with the big $q$-Jacobi polynomials. Quadratic transformations, relating them with the little $q$-Jacobi polynomials are derived in section 3. In section 4 we present explicit forms of discrete orthogonality relations on a finite interval, $q$-difference equations and Rodrigues-type difference formulas for these $q$-Chebyshev polynomials. Some conclusions are offered in section 5. The Appendix contains the derivation of two transformation formulas between basic hypergeometric ${ }_{2} \phi_{1}$ and ${ }_{3} \phi_{2}$ polynomials, associated with $q$-extensions of the Chebyshev polynomials $T_{n}(x)$ and $U_{n}(x)$.

Throughout this exposition we employ standard notation of the theory of special functions (see, for example, [5]-[7]).

## 2 Connections with Big $q$-Jacobi Polynomials

Recall that the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind $T_{n}(x)$ and of the second kind $U_{n}(x)$ are explicitly given in terms of the hypergeometric ${ }_{2} F_{1}$-polynomials as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{0}(z)=1, \quad T_{n}(z)={ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-n, n ; 1 / 2 \left\lvert\, \frac{1-z}{2}\right.\right)=2^{n-1} z^{n}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-\frac{n}{2}, \frac{1-n}{2} ; 1-n \mid 1 / z^{2}\right), \quad n \geq 1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{n}(z)=(n+1){ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-n, n+2 ; 3 / 2 \left\lvert\, \frac{1-z}{2}\right.\right)=(2 z)^{n}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-\frac{n}{2}, \frac{1-n}{2} ;-n \mid 1 / z^{2}\right), \quad n \geq 0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. The Chebyshev polynomials $T_{n}(x)$ are generated by the three-term recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 z T_{n}(z)=T_{n+1}(z)+T_{n-1}(z), \quad n \geq 1 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial conditions $T_{0}(z)=1$ and $T_{1}(z)=z$; whereas the Chebyshev polynomials $U_{n}(x)$ are governed by the same recurrence (2.3) but for $n \geq 0$ and initial assignment $U_{-1}(z)=0$ and $U_{0}(z)=1$.

As was noticed in [4], two $q$-polynomial families of degree $n$ in the variable $x$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}^{(T)}(x \mid q)=2^{n-1} x^{n}{ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left(q^{-n}, q^{1-n} ; q^{2(1-n)} \mid q^{2} ; q^{2} x^{-2}\right), \quad n \geq 1, \quad p_{0}^{(T)}(x \mid q)=1 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}^{(U)}(x \mid q)=(2 x)^{n}{ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left(q^{-n}, q^{1-n} ; q^{-2 n} \mid q^{2} ; q^{2} x^{-2}\right), \quad n \geq 0, \quad 0<q<1 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

represent very natural $q$-extensions of the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind $T_{n}(x)$ and of the second kind $U_{n}(x)$, respectively. For checking this statement one just has to bear in mind the well-known limit property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{q \rightarrow 1}{ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left(q^{-n}, q^{a} ; q^{b} \mid q ; z\right)={ }_{2} F_{1}(-n, a ; b \mid z) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the $q$-hypergeometric ${ }_{2} \phi_{1}$-polynomials (see, for example, section 1.10, p. 15 in [7]). Then from (2.6) it follows at once that the polynomials $p_{n}^{(T)}(x \mid q)$ and $p_{n}^{(U)}(x \mid q)$ coincide in the limit as $q \rightarrow 1$ with the $T_{n}(x)$ and $U_{n}(x)$, given by the second lines in (2.1) and (2.2), respectively.

Note that from (2.4) and (2.5) it is evident that both of these $q$-polynomials are either reflection symmetric (when degree $n$ is even) or antisymmetric (when degree $n$ is odd), that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}^{(T)}(-x \mid q)=(-1)^{n} p_{n}^{(T)}(x \mid q), \quad p_{n}^{(U)}(-x \mid q)=(-1)^{n} p_{n}^{(U)}(x \mid q) . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The best route to determine whether these $q$-polynomials (2.4) and (2.5) are related to some "named" families of basic hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials from the Askey $q$-scheme [7], is first to find three-term recurrence relations, associated with them.

Let us start with (2.4) and slightly simplify its explicit form,

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{n}^{(T)}(x \mid q)=2^{(n-1)} x^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} \frac{\left(q^{-n}, q^{1-n} ; q^{2}\right)_{k}}{\left(q^{2(1-n)}, q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{k}} q^{2 k} x^{-2 k}=2^{(n-1)} x^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} \frac{\left(q^{-n} ; q\right)_{2 k} q^{2 k}}{\left(q^{2(1-n)}, q^{2} ; q^{2}\right) k} x^{-2 k} \\
=(q ; q)_{n} 2^{(n-1)} x^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} \frac{q^{k(2 k-2 n+1)} x^{-2 k}}{(q ; q)_{n-2 k}\left(q^{2(1-n)}, q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{k}}, \tag{2.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

by using the relation $\left(z, q z ; q^{2}\right)=(z ; q)_{2 n}$ at the first step and the identity

$$
\left(q^{-n} ; q\right)_{2 k}=\frac{(q ; q)_{n}}{(q ; q)_{n-2 k}} q^{k(2 k-2 n-1)}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq\lfloor n / 2\rfloor
$$

at the second one. Observe that the symbol $\lfloor x\rfloor$ in (2.8) denotes the greatest integer in $x$ and we have employed the conventional notation $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{k} ; q\right)_{n}:=\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(z_{j} ; q\right)_{n}$ for products of $q$-shifted factorials $\left(z_{j} ; q\right)_{n}, j=1,2, \ldots, k$.

Let us assume now that $n$ is odd, $n=2 m+1$. Then from (2.8) one obtains that

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{2 m+1}^{(T)}(x \mid q)=(q ; q)_{2 m+1} x(2 x)^{2 m} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{q^{k(2 k-4 m-1)} x^{-2 k}}{(q ; q)_{2 m+1-2 k}\left(q^{-4 m}, q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{k}} \\
=(q ; q)_{2 m} x(2 x)^{2 m} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{\left(1-q^{2 m+1}\right)\left(1-q^{2 k-4 m}\right)}{\left(1-q^{-4 m}\right)\left(1-q^{2 m-2 k+1}\right)} \frac{q^{k(2 k-4 m-1)} x^{-2 k}}{(q ; q)_{2(m-k)}\left(q^{2(1-2 m)}, q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{k}}, \tag{2.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

upon employing the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-z)(z q ; q)_{k}=(z ; q)_{k+1}=\left(1-z q^{k}\right)(z ; q)_{k} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, use a readily verified identity

$$
\frac{\left(1-q^{2 m+1}\right)\left(1-q^{2 k-4 m}\right)}{\left(1-q^{-4 m}\right)\left(1-q^{2 m-2 k+1}\right)}=q^{2 k}+\frac{\left(1-q^{1-2 m}\right)\left(1-q^{2 k}\right)}{\left(1-q^{-4 m}\right)\left(1-q^{2 m-2 k+1}\right)}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq m
$$

to represent (2.9) as

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{2 m+1}^{(T)}(x \mid q)=(q ; q)_{2 m} x(2 x)^{2 m} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{q^{k(2 k-4 m+1)} x^{-2 k}}{(q ; q)_{2(m-k)}\left(q^{2(1-2 m)}, q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{k}} \\
-\frac{q^{6 m-1}(q ; q)_{2 m-1}}{\left(1+q^{2 m}\right)\left(1+q^{2 m-1}\right)} x(2 x)^{2 m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{q^{k(2 k-4 m-1)} x^{-2 k}}{(q ; q)_{2(m-k)+1}\left(q^{4(1-m)}, q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{k-1}} \\
=2 x p_{2 m}^{(T)}(x \mid q)-\frac{2 q^{2 m}(q ; q)_{2 m-1}(2 x)^{2 m-1}}{\left(1+q^{2 m}\right)\left(1+q^{2 m-1}\right)} \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \frac{q^{l[2 l-2(2 m-1)+1]} x^{-2 l}}{(q ; q)_{2 m-1-2 l}\left(q^{2[1-(2 m-1)]}, q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{l}} \\
=2 x p_{2 m}^{(T)}(x \mid q)-\frac{4 q^{2 m}}{\left(1+q^{2 m}\right)\left(1+q^{2 m-1}\right)} p_{2 m-1}^{(T)}(x \mid q) \tag{2.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Similarly, if one assumes that the degree $n$ in (2.8) is even, $n=2 m$, then by the same reasoning one arrives at the three-term recurrence relation between the polynomials $p_{2 m}^{(T)}(x \mid q), p_{2 m-1}^{(T)}(x \mid q)$ and $p_{2 m-2}^{(T)}(x \mid q)$. Thus we conclude that the general (i.e., valid for both even and odd degrees $n$ ) recurrence formula for the $q$-polynomials (2.4) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n+1}^{(T)}(x \mid q)=2 x p_{n}^{(T)}(x \mid q)-\frac{4 q^{n}}{\left(1+q^{n}\right)\left(1+q^{n-1}\right)} p_{n-1}^{(T)}(x \mid q), \quad n \geq 1 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the same considerations mutatis mutandis, one derives the three-term recurrence relation for the second family of $q$-polynomials (2.5):

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n+1}^{(U)}(x \mid q)=2 x p_{n}^{(U)}(x \mid q)-\frac{4 q^{n-1}}{\left(1+q^{n}\right)\left(1+q^{n+1}\right)} p_{n-1}^{(U)}(x \mid q), \quad n \geq 0, \quad p_{-1}^{(U)}(x \mid q)=0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we are in a position to establish that the $q$-extensions (2.4) and (2.5) of the Chebyshev polynomials $T_{n}(x)$ and $U_{n}(x)$ are in fact connected with the $\operatorname{big} q$-Jacobi polynomials

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(x ; a, b, c ; q):={ }_{3} \phi_{2}\left(q^{-n}, a b q^{n+1}, x ; a q, c q \mid q ; q\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some particularly chosen parameters $a, b$ and $c$. Indeed, recall that the monic form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}^{(M)}(x ; a, a,-a ; q)=\frac{\left(a^{2} q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{n}}{\left(a^{2} q^{n+1} ; q\right)_{n}} P_{n}(x ; a, a,-a ; q) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the big $q$-Jacobi polynomials (2.14) with the parameters $a=b=-c$ satisfies the three-term recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n+1}^{(M)}(x ; a, a,-a ; q)=x P_{n}^{(M)}(x ; a, a,-a ; q)-\gamma_{n}(a ; q) P_{n-1}^{(M)}(x ; a, a,-a ; q) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the coefficients (see (14.5.4), p. 439 in [7])

$$
\gamma_{n}(a ; q)=\frac{a^{2} q^{n+1}\left(1-q^{n}\right)\left(1-a^{2} q^{n}\right)}{\left(1-a^{2} q^{2 n-1}\right)\left(1-a^{2} q^{2 n+1}\right)}
$$

For $a=q^{-1 / 2}$ the recurrence (2.16) clearly reduces to

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{n+1}^{(M)}\left(x ; q^{-1 / 2}, q^{-1 / 2},-q^{-1 / 2} ; q\right)=x P_{n}^{(M)}\left(x ; q^{-1 / 2}, q^{-1 / 2},-q^{-1 / 2} ; q\right) \\
\quad-\frac{q^{n}}{\left(1+q^{n}\right)\left(1+q^{n-1}\right)} P_{n-1}^{(M)}\left(x ; q^{-1 / 2}, q^{-1 / 2},-q^{-1 / 2} ; q\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

whereas the choice of $a=q^{1 / 2}$ in (2.16) leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{n+1}^{(M)}\left(x ; q^{1 / 2}, q^{1 / 2},-q^{1 / 2} ; q\right)=x P_{n}^{(M)}\left(x ; q^{1 / 2}, q^{1 / 2},-q^{1 / 2} ; q\right) \\
& \quad-\frac{q^{n-1}}{\left(1+q^{n}\right)\left(1+q^{n+1}\right)} P_{n-1}^{(M)}\left(x ; q^{1 / 2}, q^{1 / 2},-q^{1 / 2} ; q\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

On comparing (2.17) and (2.18) with (2.12) and (2.13), respectively, one thus concludes that

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{0}^{(T)}(x \mid q)=1, \quad p_{n}^{(T)}(x \mid q)=2^{n-1} P_{n}^{(M)}\left(x ; q^{-1 / 2}, q^{-1 / 2},-q^{-1 / 2} ; q\right) \\
=2^{n-1} \frac{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{n}}{\left(q^{n} ; q\right)_{n}} 3_{2} \phi_{2}\left(q^{-n}, q^{n}, x ; q^{1 / 2},-q^{1 / 2} \mid q ; q\right), \quad n \geq 1, \tag{2.19}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}^{(U)}(x \mid q)=2^{n} P_{n}^{(M)}\left(x ; q^{1 / 2}, q^{1 / 2},-q^{1 / 2} ; q\right)=2^{n} \frac{\left(q^{3} ; q^{2}\right)_{n}}{\left(q^{n+2} ; q\right)_{n}} 3 \phi_{2}\left(q^{-n}, q^{n+2}, x ; q^{3 / 2},-q^{3 / 2} \mid q ; q\right), \quad n \geq 0 \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evidently, these representations (2.19) and (2.20) in terms of the big $q$-Jacobi polynomials (2.14) agree with the initial definitions (2.4) and (2.5) of the $q$-polynomials $p_{n}^{(T)}(x \mid q)$ and $p_{n}^{(U)}(x \mid q)$, only if two transformation formulas

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{n}{ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left(q^{-n}, q^{1-n} ; q^{2(1-n)} \mid q^{2} ; q^{2} x^{-2}\right)=\frac{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{n}}{\left(q^{n} ; q\right)_{n}} 3 \phi_{2}\left(q^{-n}, q^{n}, x ; q^{1 / 2},-q^{1 / 2} \mid q ; q\right),  \tag{2.21}\\
& x^{n}{ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left(q^{-n}, q^{1-n} ; q^{-2 n} \mid q^{2} ; q^{2} x^{-2}\right)=\frac{\left(q^{3} ; q^{2}\right)_{n}}{\left(q^{n+2} ; q\right)_{n}} 3 \phi_{2}\left(q^{-n}, q^{n+2}, x ; q^{3 / 2},-q^{3 / 2} \mid q ; q\right), \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

between ${ }_{2} \phi_{1}$ (with the base $q^{2}$ ) and ${ }_{3} \phi_{2}$ (with the base $q$ ) basic polynomials are valid. Direct proofs of these identities are given in Appendix.

## 3 Quadratic Transformations

It turns out that, in addition to (2.19) and (2.20), both symmetric or antisymmetric cases of the $q$-polynomial families (2.4) and (2.5) can be separately expressed in terms of the little $q$-Jacobi polynomials, defined as (see, for example, (14.12.1), p. 482 in [7])

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}(x ; a, b \mid q):={ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left(q^{-n}, a b q^{n+1} ; a q \mid q ; q x\right) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, let us apply first the transformation of terminating ${ }_{2} \phi_{1}$ series (see (1.13.15), p. 20 in [7])

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left(q^{-n}, a ; b \mid q ; z\right)=\frac{(a ; q)_{n}}{(b ; q)_{n}} q^{-n(n+1) / 2}(-z)^{n}{ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left(q^{-n}, q^{1-n} / b ; q^{1-n} / a \mid q ; \frac{b q^{n+1}}{a z}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

to the $q$-polynomials of even degree $p_{2 m}^{(T)}(x \mid q)$, where $m$ is an arbitrary nonnegative integer. This results in the relation

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{2 m}^{(T)}(x \mid q)=x(2 x)^{2 m-1}{ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left(q^{-2 m}, q^{1-2 m} ; q^{2(1-2 m)} \mid q^{2} ; q^{2} x^{-2}\right) \\
& =(-4)^{m} q^{-m(m-1)} \frac{\left(q^{1-2 m} ; q^{2}\right)_{m}}{2\left(q^{2(1-2 m)} ; q^{2}\right)_{m}}{ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left(q^{-2 m}, q^{2 m} ; q \mid q^{2} ; q x^{2}\right) \\
& =\left(-4 q^{m}\right)^{m} \frac{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{m}}{2\left(q^{2 m} ; q^{2}\right)_{m}} p_{m}\left(q^{-1} x^{2} ; q^{-1}, q^{-1} \mid q^{2}\right), \quad m \geq 1 . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, in the case of the $q$-polynomials of odd degree $p_{2 m+1}^{(T)}(x \mid q)$ one obtains, by using (3.2), that

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{2 m+1}^{(T)}(x \mid q)=x(2 x)^{2 m}{ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left(q^{-2 m-1}, q^{-2 m} ; q^{-4 m} \mid q^{2} ; q^{2} x^{-2}\right) \\
= & (-4)^{m} q^{-m(m-1)} \frac{\left(q^{-1-2 m} ; q^{2}\right)_{m}}{\left(q^{-4 m} ; q^{2}\right)_{m}} x_{2} \phi_{1}\left(q^{-2 m}, q^{2 m} ; q \mid q^{2} ; q^{2} x^{2}\right) \\
= & \left(-4 q^{m}\right)^{m} \frac{\left(q^{3} ; q^{2}\right)_{m}}{\left(q^{2(m+1)} ; q^{2}\right)_{m}} x p_{m}\left(q^{-1} x^{2} ; q, q^{-1} \mid q^{2}\right), \quad m \geq 0 . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $q$-extensions (2.4) of the Chebyshev polynomials $T_{n}(x)$ can be written it terms of the little $q$-Jacobi polynomials (3.1) as

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{2 m}^{(T)}(x \mid q) & =\left(-4 q^{m}\right)^{m} \frac{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{m}}{2\left(q^{2 m} ; q^{2}\right)_{m}} p_{m}\left(q^{-1} x^{2} ; q^{-1}, q^{-1} \mid q^{2}\right), \\
p_{2 m+1}^{(T)}(x \mid q) & =\left(-4 q^{m}\right)^{m} \frac{\left(q^{3} ; q^{2}\right)_{m}}{\left(q^{2(m+1)} ; q^{2}\right)_{m}} x p_{m}\left(q^{-1} x^{2} ; q, q^{-1} \mid q^{2}\right) . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Exactly in the same manner one obtains that $q$-extensions (2.5) of the Chebyshev polynomials $U_{n}(x)$ can be represented as

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{2 n}^{(U)}(x \mid q)=(-4)^{n} q^{n(n+2)} \frac{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{n}}{\left(q^{2(n+1)} ; q^{2}\right)_{n}} p_{n}\left(q^{-3} x^{2} ; q^{-1}, q \mid q^{2}\right), \\
& p_{2 n+1}^{(U)}(x \mid q)=(-4)^{n} q^{n(n+2)} \frac{2\left(q^{3} ; q^{2}\right)_{n}}{\left(q^{2(n+2)} ; q^{2}\right)_{n}} x p_{n}\left(q^{-3} x^{2} ; q, q \mid q^{2}\right) . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that from the well-known limit property (cf. (14.12.15) on p. 485 in [7])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{q \rightarrow 1} p_{n}\left(x ; q^{a}, q^{b} \mid q\right)=\frac{n!}{(\alpha+1)_{n}} P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1-2 x) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the little $q$-Jacobi polynomials (3.1), it follows that in the limit as $q \rightarrow 1$ the quadratic transformations (3.5) and (3.6) reduce to the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{2 m}(x)=\frac{m!}{(1 / 2)_{m}} P_{m}^{(-1 / 2,-1 / 2)}\left(2 x^{2}-1\right), \quad T_{2 m+1}(x)=\frac{m!}{(1 / 2)_{m}} x P_{m}^{(-1 / 2,1 / 2)}\left(2 x^{2}-1\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{2 m}(x)=\frac{m!}{(1 / 2)_{m}} P_{m}^{(1 / 2,-1 / 2)}\left(2 x^{2}-1\right), \quad U_{2 m+1}(x)=\frac{2(m+1)!}{(3 / 2)_{m}} x P_{m}^{(1 / 2,1 / 2)}\left(2 x^{2}-1\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. It should also be observed that the transformations (3.8) and (3.9) for the Chebyshev polynomials $T_{n}(x)$ and $U_{n}(x)$ are special cases of the quadratic transformation (cf. Remarks on p. 224 in [7])

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2 n}^{(\lambda ; M)}(x)=\frac{n!}{(\lambda+n)_{n}} P_{n}^{(\lambda-1 / 2,-1 / 2)}\left(2 x^{2}-1\right), \quad C_{2 n+1}^{(\lambda ; M)}(x)=\frac{n!}{(\lambda+n+1)_{n}} x P_{n}^{(\lambda-1 / 2,1 / 2)}\left(2 x^{2}-1\right), \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the monic Gegenbauer (or ultraspherical) polynomials $C_{n}^{(\lambda ; M)}(x)$, defined as (see (9.8.19) and (9.8.22) on p . 222 in [7])

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}^{(\lambda ; M)}(x):=\frac{n!}{2^{n}(\lambda)_{n}} C_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x)=\frac{(\lambda+n) \lambda}{2^{2 \lambda+n-1}(1 / 2) \lambda}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-n, n+2 \lambda ; \lambda+1 / 2 \left\lvert\, \frac{1-x}{2}\right.\right) . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, taking into account that $C_{n}^{(0 ; M)}(x)=2^{1-n} T_{n}(x)$ and $C_{n}^{(1 ; M)}(x)=2^{-n} U_{n}(x)$ by the defintion (3.11), it is readily checked that (3.8) is a special case of (3.10) with $\lambda=0$ and (3.9) is a special case of (3.10) with $\lambda=1$.

It should also be noted that the quadratic transformations (3.5) and (3.6) in terms of the little $q$-Jacobi polynomials were already mentioned in [4], but without proofs and their limits (3.8) and (3.9) as $q \rightarrow 1$; a brief proof of (3.5) and (3.6) is given above for the sake of completeness.

## 4 Main Characteristics of $q$-Chebyshev Polynomials

A benefit from establishing the representations (2.19) and (2.20) for the $q$-Chebyshev polynomials $p_{n}^{(T)}(x \mid q)$ and $p_{n}^{(U)}(x \mid q)$ in terms of the big $q$-Jacobi polynomials (2.14) is that these connections enable one to deduce their main properties from the well-known properties of the latter ones, $P_{n}(x ; a, b, c ; q)$. To illustrate this point, we touch on here only three important characteristics of the $q$-Chebyshev polynomials $p_{n}^{(T)}(x \mid q)$ and $p_{n}^{(U)}(x \mid q)$ : explicit forms of $q$ difference equations, discrete orthogonality relations and Rodrigues-type formulas.

It is known that the big $q$-Jacobi polynomials $P_{n}(x ; a, b, c ; q)$ with the parameters $a=b=-c$ are solutions of a $q$-difference equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(a^{2} q^{n+1}+q^{-n}\right) x^{2}-a^{2} q(1+q)\right] p_{n}(x)=a^{2} q\left(x^{2}-1\right) p_{n}(q x)+\left(x^{2}-a^{2} q^{2}\right) p_{n}\left(q^{-1} x\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{n}(x)=P_{n}(x ; a, b, c ; q)$ (see (14.5.5) on p. 439 in [7]). Hence $q$-difference equations for the $q$-Chebyshev polynomials $p_{n}^{(T)}(x \mid q)$ and $p_{n}^{(U)}(x \mid q)$ are special cases of (4.1) with the parameter $a=q^{-1 / 2}$ and $a=q^{1 / 2}$, respectively; that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\left(q^{n}+q^{-n}\right) x^{2}-(1+q)\right] p_{n}^{(T)}(x \mid q)=\left(x^{2}-1\right) p_{n}^{(T)}(q x \mid q)+\left(x^{2}-q\right) p_{n}^{(T)}\left(q^{-1} x \mid q\right),} \\
& {\left[\left(q^{n+2}+q^{-n}\right) x^{2}-q^{2}(1+q)\right] p_{n}^{(U)}(x \mid q)=q^{2}\left(x^{2}-1\right) p_{n}^{(U)}(q x \mid q)+\left(x^{2}-q^{3}\right) p_{n}^{(U)}\left(q^{-1} x \mid q\right) .} \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall also that the big $q$-Jacobi polynomials $P_{n}(x ; a, b, c ; q)$ with the parameters $a=b=-c$ satisfy the discrete orthogonality relation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{-a q}^{a q} \frac{\left(x^{2} / a^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(x^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}} P_{m}(x ; a, a,-a ; q) P_{n}(x ; a, a,-a ; q) d_{q} x \\
=2\left(1-q^{2}\right) q^{(n+1)(n+2) / 2} \frac{\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(a^{2} q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}}\left(a^{2} q^{2},-q^{2} ; q\right)_{\infty} \frac{a^{2 n+1}\left(1-a^{2} q\right)(q ; q)_{n}}{\left(1-a^{2} q^{2 n+1}\right)\left(a^{2} q ; q\right)_{n}} \delta_{m n} \tag{4.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the $q$-integral is defined as (see (14.5.2) and (1.15.7) in [7])

$$
\int_{-a}^{a} f(x) d_{q} x:=a(1-q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[f\left(a q^{n}\right)+f\left(-a q^{n}\right)\right] q^{n}
$$

For $a=q^{-1 / 2}$ from (4.3) one now gets at once, by employing (2.19) and (2.15), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-q^{1 / 2}}^{q^{1 / 2}} \frac{\left(q x^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(x^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}} p_{m}^{(T)}(x \mid q) p_{n}^{(T)}(x \mid q) d_{q} x=2 q^{1 / 2} \frac{(-q ; q)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{3} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2} c_{n} \delta_{m n} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
c_{0}=1, \quad c_{n}=4^{n-1} q^{n(n+1) / 2} \frac{\left(1-q^{n}\right)\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{n}^{2}}{\left(1+q^{n}\right)\left(q^{n} ; q\right)_{n}^{2}}, \quad n \geq 1
$$

In a like manner, when $a=q^{1 / 2}$ one finds from (4.3), by employing (2.20) and (2.15), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-q^{3 / 2}}^{q^{3 / 2}} \frac{\left(q^{-1} x^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(x^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}} p_{m}^{(U)}(x \mid q) p_{n}^{(U)}(x \mid q) d_{q} x=2 q^{3 / 2} \frac{(-q ; q)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{3} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2} c_{n} \delta_{m n} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
c_{n}=4^{n} q^{n(n+5) / 2} \frac{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{n+1}^{2}}{\left(1+q^{n+1}\right)\left(q^{n+1} ; q\right)_{n+1}^{2}}, \quad n \geq 0
$$

Another important property of the big $q$-Jacobi polynomials $P_{n}(x ; a, b, c ; q)$ is described by the Rodrigues-type formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(x ; a, b, c ; q) w(x ; a, b, c ; q)=\frac{[a c(1-q)]^{n}}{(a q, c q ; q)_{n}} q^{n(n+1)}\left(\mathcal{D}_{q}\right)^{n} w\left(x ; a q^{n}, b q^{n}, c q^{n} ; q\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{q}$ is the $q$-derivative operator (see (1.15.1) on p. 24 in [7]) and the orthogonality weight function $w(x ; a, b, c ; q)$ is defined as ((14.5.10), p. 440 in [7])

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(x ; a, b, c ; q):=\frac{\left(q x^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(x^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, from (4.6) and (4.7) it follows, upon using (2.19) and (2.20), that the Rodrigues-type formulas for the $q$ Chebyshev polynomials $p_{n}^{(T)}(x \mid q)$ and $p_{n}^{(U)}(x \mid q)$ are

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{n}^{(T)}(x \mid q) \frac{\left(q x^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(x^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}=\left(-2 q^{n}\right)^{n} \frac{(1-q)^{n}}{2\left(q^{n} ; q\right)_{n}}\left(\mathcal{D}_{q}\right)^{n} \frac{\left(q^{1-2 n} x^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(x^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}, \quad n \geq 1 \\
p_{n}^{(U)}(x \mid q) \frac{\left(q^{-1} x^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(x^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}=\left(-2 q^{n+2}\right)^{n} \frac{(1-q)^{n}}{\left(q^{n+2} ; q\right)_{n}}\left(\mathcal{D}_{q}\right)^{n} \frac{\left(q^{-1-2 n} x^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(x^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}, \quad n \geq 0 . \tag{4.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

In closing this section, we remark of the following. First, note that it is not difficult to determine also forward and backward shift operators and generating functions for the $q$-Chebyshev polynomials $p_{n}^{(T)}(x \mid q)$ and $p_{n}^{(U)}(x \mid q)$ in exactly the same way as above, but this task is left to the reader. Second, since the classical Chebyshev polynomials $T_{n}(x)$ and $U_{n}(x)$ satisfy the same three-term recurrence relation (2.3) but with different initial assignments, they are known to be interconnected by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 T_{n}(x)=U_{n}(x)-U_{n-2}(x), \quad n \geq 1, \quad U_{-1}(x)=0 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence one may wonder whether the $q$-Chebyshev polynomials $p_{n}^{(T)}(x \mid q)$ and $p_{n}^{(U)}(x \mid q)$ also enjoy the similar property of type (4.9), although they are governed by two distinct three-term recurrence relations (2.12) and (2.13), respectively. A link in question between the $q$-Chebyshev polynomials $p_{n}^{(T)}(x \mid q)$ and $p_{n}^{(U)}(x \mid q)$ turns out to be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 p_{n}^{(T)}(x \mid q)=p_{n}^{(U)}(x \mid q)-\frac{4 q}{\left(1+q^{n}\right)\left(1+q^{n-1}\right)} p_{n-2}^{(U)}(x \mid q), \quad n \geq 1, \quad p_{-1}^{(U)}(x \mid q)=0 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This $q$-extension of the classical relation (4.9) is not difficult to derive by using the explicit forms (2.4) and (2.5) of the $q$-polynomials $p_{n}^{(T)}(x \mid q)$ and $p_{n}^{(U)}(x \mid q)$, and the identities

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(q^{-n} ; q\right)_{2 l+2}=\left(1-q^{-n}\right)\left(1-q^{1-n}\right)\left(q^{2-n} ; q\right)_{2 l} \\
\left(q^{-2 n} ; q^{2}\right)_{l+2}=\left(1-q^{-2 n}\right)\left(1-q^{2(1-n)}\right)\left(q^{2(2-n)} ; q^{2}\right)_{l}
\end{gathered}
$$

for the $q$-shifted factorial $(z ; q)_{n}$.

## 5 Concluding Remarks

We have studied in detail the main properties of two families of the basic hypergeometric ${ }_{2} \phi_{1}$-polynomials, defined by (2.4) and (2.5), which represent compact forms of $q$-extensions of the classical Chebyshev polynomials $T_{n}(x)$ and $U_{n}(x)$. They are shown to satisfy the discrete orthogonality relations (4.4) and (4.5) on a finite interval. It should be noted that although these discrete $q$-Chebyshev polynomials $p_{n}^{(T)}(x \mid q)$ and $p_{n}^{(U)}(x \mid q)$ are of clear interest on their own, there is an additional motivation to study them. As we have already remarked, the $q$-polynomials $p_{n}^{(T)}(x \mid q)$ and $p_{n}^{(U)}(x \mid q)$ were
first arisen in a paper [4], devoted mainly to the evaluation of Fourier integral transforms for $q$-Fibonacci and $q$-Lucas polynomials. It is worthwhile to emphasize that the $q$-Chebyshev polynomials $p_{n}^{(T)}(x \mid q)$ and $p_{n}^{(U)}(x \mid q)$ had emerged in [4] only because they are intimately associated with the very natural extensions of the Fibonacci and Lucas polynomials $p_{n}^{(F)}(x)$ and $p_{n}^{(L)}(x)$, defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}^{(F)}(x \mid q)=\mathrm{i}^{-n} p_{n}^{(U)}(\mathrm{i} x \mid q), \quad p_{n}^{(L)}(x \mid q)=\mathrm{i}^{-n} p_{n}^{(T)}(\mathrm{i} x \mid q) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. These $q$-extensions of the Fibonacci and Lucas polynomials are different from and simpler than those $q$-families, introduced and studied recently by Cigler and Zeng in [8]-[10]. Obviously, the present results also provide us with an insight into corresponding properties of the $q$-Fibonacci and $q$-Lucas polynomials $p_{n}^{(F)}(x \mid q)$ and $p_{n}^{(L)}(x \mid q)$, which are direct consequences of the links (5.1).

## 6 Appendix

I. In order to give a direct proof of a transformation formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{n}{ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left(q^{-n}, q^{1-n} ; q^{2(1-n)} \mid q^{2} ; q^{2} x^{-2}\right)=\frac{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{n}}{\left(q^{n} ; q\right)_{n}} 3_{2}\left(q^{-n}, q^{n}, x ; q^{1 / 2},-q^{1 / 2} \mid q ; q\right) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

between ${ }_{2} \phi_{1}$ (with the base $q^{2}$ ) and ${ }_{3} \phi_{2}$ (with the base $q$ ) basic polynomials, which was stated in section 2, we start with the defining relation for the hypergeometric ${ }_{3} \phi_{2}$-polynomial on the right-hand side of (6.1) and represent it first as

$$
{ }_{3} \phi_{2}\left(q^{-n}, q^{n}, x ; q^{1 / 2},-q^{1 / 2} \mid q ; q\right):=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\left(q^{-n}, q^{n}, x ; q\right)_{k}}{\left(q^{1 / 2},-q^{1 / 2}, q ; q\right)_{k}} q^{k}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n  \tag{6.2}\\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q} \frac{\left(q^{n}, x ; q\right)_{k}}{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{k}} q^{k(k+1-2 n) / 2},
$$

where $\left[\begin{array}{l}n \\ k\end{array}\right]_{q}$ stands for the $q$-binomial coefficient,

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
n  \tag{6.3}\\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q}:=\frac{(q ; q)_{n}}{(q ; q)_{k}(q ; q)_{n-k}}
$$

and we have employed the identities $(z,-z ; q)_{n}=\left(z^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{n}$ and

$$
\frac{\left(q^{-n} ; q\right)_{k}}{(q ; q)_{k}}=(-1)^{k}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n  \tag{6.4}\\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q} q^{k(k-1-2 n) / 2}
$$

The next step is to use the expansion

$$
(x ; q)_{k}=\sum_{l=0}^{k} q^{l(l-1) / 2}\left[\begin{array}{c}
k  \tag{6.5}\\
l
\end{array}\right]_{q}(-x)^{l}
$$

on the right-hand side of (6.2) and then to reverse the order of summation in it with respect to the indices $k$ and $l$. This results in the relation

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{3} \phi_{2}\left(q^{-n}, q^{n}, x ; q^{1 / 2}\right. & \left.,-q^{1 / 2} \mid q ; q\right)=(q ; q)_{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{k}\left(q^{n} ; q\right)_{k}}{(q ; q)_{n-k}\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{k}} q^{k(k+1-2 n) / 2} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \frac{(-x)^{l} q^{l(l-1) / 2}}{(q ; q)_{l}(q ; q)_{k-l}} \\
& =(q ; q)_{n} \sum_{l=0}^{n} \frac{(-x)^{l}}{(q ; q)_{l}} q^{l(l-1) / 2} \sum_{k=l}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{k}\left(q^{n} ; q\right)_{k} q^{k(k+1-2 n) / 2}}{(q ; q)_{n-k}(q ; q)_{k-l}\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{k}} \\
& =(q ; q)_{n} \sum_{l=0}^{n} \frac{q^{l(l-n)}}{(q ; q)_{l}} x^{l} \sum_{j=0}^{n-l} \frac{(-1)^{j} q^{j[j+1-2(n-l)] / 2}\left(q^{n} ; q\right)_{l+j}}{(q ; q)_{j}(q ; q)_{n-l-j}\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{l+j}} . \tag{6.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The last sum over the index $j$ in (6.6) can be simplified by use of the identity (see, for example, (1.8.10) on p. 12 in [7]) $(z ; q)_{n+k}=(z ; q)_{n}\left(z q^{n} ; q\right)_{k}$ in order to represent factors $\left(q^{n} ; q\right)_{l+j}$ and $\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{l+j}$ as

$$
\left(q^{n} ; q\right)_{l+j}=\left(q^{n} ; q\right)_{l}\left(q^{n+l} ; q\right)_{j}, \quad\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{l+j}=\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{l}\left(q^{2 l+1} ; q^{2}\right)_{j}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{3} \phi_{2}\left(q^{-n}, q^{n}, x ; q^{1 / 2},-q^{1 / 2} \mid q ; q\right)=\sum_{l=0}^{n}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n \\
l
\end{array}\right]_{q} \frac{\left(q^{n} ; q\right)_{l}}{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{l}}\left(x q^{l-n}\right)^{l} \sum_{j=0}^{n-l} \frac{\left(q^{n+l}, q^{l-n} ; q\right)_{j} q^{j}}{\left(q^{l+1 / 2},-q^{l+1 / 2}, q ; q\right)_{j}} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q} \frac{\left(q^{n} ; q\right)_{n-k}}{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{n-k}}\left(x q^{-k}\right)^{n-k} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{\left(q^{2 n-k}, q^{-k} ; q\right)_{j} q^{j}}{\left(q^{n-k+1 / 2},-q^{n-k+1 / 2}, q ; q\right)_{j}} \\
& =\frac{\left(q^{n} ; q\right)_{n}}{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} q^{k(k+1-2 n) / 2}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q} \frac{\left(q^{1-2 n} ; q^{2}\right)_{k}}{\left(q^{1-2 n} ; q\right)_{k}} x^{n-k} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{\left(q^{2 n-k}, q^{-k} ; q\right)_{j} q^{j}}{\left(q^{n-k+1 / 2},-q^{n-k+1 / 2}, q ; q\right)_{j}}, \tag{6.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where at the last step we have employed the identity

$$
(z ; q)_{n-k}=(-1)^{k} q^{k(k+1-2 n) / 2} \frac{(z ; q)_{n} z^{-k}}{\left(q^{1-n} / z ; q\right)_{k}}
$$

The sum over the index $j$ in (6.7) can be now evaluated by an Andrew's terminating $q$-analogue of ${ }_{3} F_{2}$ sum (see (II.17), p. 355 in [5])

$$
{ }_{3} \phi_{2}\left(q^{-k}, a^{2} q^{k+1}, 0 ; a q,-a q \mid q ; q\right)= \begin{cases}\left(-a^{2} q^{m+1}\right)^{m} \frac{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{m}}{\left(a^{2} q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{m}}, & k=2 m  \tag{6.8}\\ 0, & k=2 m+1\end{cases}
$$

with $a=q^{n-k-1 / 2}$ in the case of (6.7). Thus in the sum over the index $k$ on the right-hand side of (6.7) only terms with the even $k=2 m, 0 \leq m \leq\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$, do give nonzero contributions and therefore

$$
\begin{gather*}
{ }_{3} \phi_{2}\left(q^{-n}, q^{n}, x ; q^{1 / 2},-q^{1 / 2} \mid q ; q\right)=\frac{\left(q^{n} ; q\right)_{n}}{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{n}} \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}(-1)^{m} q^{m(1-m)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n \\
2 m
\end{array}\right]_{q} \frac{\left(q^{1-2 n} ; q^{2}\right)_{2 m}}{\left(q^{1-2 n} ; q\right)_{2 m}} \frac{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{m} x^{n-2 m}}{\left(q^{2 n-4 m+1} ; q^{2}\right)_{m}} \\
=\frac{\left(q^{n} ; q\right)_{n}}{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{n}} x^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}(-1)^{m} q^{m(2 n-3 m)} \frac{\left(q^{2(m-n)+1} ; q^{2}\right)_{m}}{\left(q^{2 n-4 m+1} ; q^{2}\right)_{m}} \frac{\left(q^{-n}, q^{1-n} ; q^{2}\right)_{m}}{\left(q^{2(1-n)}, q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{m}}\left(\frac{q^{2}}{x^{2}}\right)^{m} \\
=\frac{\left(q^{n} ; q\right)_{n}}{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{n}} x^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} \frac{\left(q^{-n}, q^{1-n} ; q^{2}\right)_{m}}{\left(q^{2(1-n)}, q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{m}}\left(\frac{q^{2}}{x^{2}}\right)^{m} \\
=\frac{\left(q^{n} ; q\right)_{n}}{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{n}} x^{n}{ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left(q^{-n}, q^{1-n} ; q^{2(1-n)} \mid q^{2} ; q^{2} x^{-2}\right) \tag{6.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

where we have repeatedly used the relation $(z ; q)_{2 m}=\left(z, q z ; q^{2}\right)_{m}$ at the second step and a readily verified identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{m} q^{m(2 n-3 m)}\left(q^{2(m-n)+1} ; q^{2}\right)_{m}=\left(q^{2 n-4 m+1} ; q^{2}\right)_{m} \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

at the third one. This completes the proof of required transformation formula (6.1).
II. In a similar vein, to prove a second transformation formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{n}{ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left(q^{-n}, q^{1-n} ; q^{-2 n} \mid q^{2} ; q^{2} x^{-2}\right)=\frac{\left(q^{3} ; q^{2}\right)_{n}}{\left(q^{n+2} ; q\right)_{n}} 3_{2} \phi_{2}\left(q^{-n}, q^{n+2}, x ; q^{3 / 2},-q^{3 / 2} \mid q ; q\right), \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

we start with the defining relation for the basic hypergeometric polynomial ${ }_{3} \phi_{2}$ on the right-hand side of (6.11) and evaluate first that

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{3} \phi_{2}\left(q^{-n}, q^{n+2}, x ; q^{3 / 2},-q^{3 / 2} \mid q ; q\right):=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\left(q^{-n}, q^{n+2}, x ; q\right)_{k}}{\left(q^{3 / 2},-q^{3 / 2}, q ; q\right)_{k}} q^{k} \\
& \quad=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q} \frac{\left(q^{n+2}, x ; q\right)_{k}}{\left(q^{3} ; q^{2}\right)_{k}} q^{k(k+1-2 n) / 2}, \tag{6.12}
\end{align*}
$$

by using the relations (6.3) and (6.4). So the next step is to employ the expansion (6.5) on the right-hand side of (6.12) and then to reverse the order of summation in it with respect to the indices $k$ and $l$. This gives

$$
\begin{align*}
&{ }_{3} \phi_{2}\left(q^{-n}, q^{n+2}, x ; q^{3 / 2},-q^{3 / 2} \mid q ; q\right)=(q ; q)_{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{k}\left(q^{n+2} ; q\right)_{k}}{(q ; q)_{n-k}\left(q^{3} ; q^{2}\right)_{k}} q^{k(k+1-2 n) / 2} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \frac{(-x)^{l} q^{l(l-1) / 2}}{(q ; q)_{l}(q ; q)_{k-l}} \\
&=(q ; q)_{n} \sum_{l=0}^{n} \frac{(-x)^{l}}{(q ; q)_{l}} q^{l(l-1) / 2} \sum_{k=l}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{k}\left(q^{n+2} ; q\right)_{k} q^{k(k+1-2 n) / 2}}{(q ; q)_{n-k}(q ; q)_{k-l}\left(q^{3} ; q^{2}\right)_{k}} \\
&=(q ; q)_{n} \sum_{l=0}^{n} \frac{q^{l(l-n)}}{(q ; q)_{l}} x^{l} \sum_{j=0}^{n-l} \frac{(-1)^{j} q^{j[j+1-2(n-l)] / 2}\left(q^{n+2} ; q\right)_{l+j}}{(q ; q)_{j}(q ; q)_{n-l-j}\left(q^{3} ; q^{2}\right)_{l+j}} \\
&=\sum_{l=0}^{n}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n \\
l
\end{array}\right]_{q} \frac{\left(q^{n+2} ; q\right)_{l}}{\left(q^{3} ; q^{2}\right)_{l}}\left(x q^{l-n}\right)^{l} \sum_{j=0}^{n-l} \frac{\left(q^{n+l+2}, q^{l-n} ; q\right)_{j} q^{j}}{\left(q^{l+3 / 2},-q^{l+3 / 2}, q ; q\right)_{j}} \\
&=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q} \frac{\left(q^{n+2} ; q\right)_{n-k}}{\left(q^{3} ; q^{2}\right)_{n-k}}\left(x q^{-k}\right)^{n-k} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{\left(q^{2 n+2-k}, q^{-k} ; q\right)_{j} q^{j}}{\left(q^{n-k+3 / 2},-q^{n-k+3 / 2}, q ; q\right)_{j}} \\
&=\frac{\left(q^{n+2} ; q\right)_{n}}{\left(q^{3} ; q^{2}\right)_{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} q^{k(k+1-2 n) / 2}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q} \frac{\left(q^{-2 n-1} ; q^{2}\right)_{k}}{\left(q^{-2 n-1} ; q\right)_{k}} x^{n-k} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{\left(q^{2 n+2-k}, q^{-k} ; q\right)_{j} q^{j}}{\left(q^{n-k+3 / 2},-q^{n-k+3 / 2}, q ; q\right)_{j}} . \tag{6.13}
\end{align*}
$$

The last sum over the index $j$ represents

$$
{ }_{3} \phi_{2}\left(q^{-k}, q^{2 n+2-k}, 0 ; q^{n-k+3 / 2},-q^{n-k+3 / 2} \mid q ; q\right)
$$

and can be therefore evaluated by (6.8), but with the parameter $a=q^{n-k+1 / 2}$. Hence only terms with the even $k=2 m$ do contribute into the second sum over the index $k$ in (6.13) and it thus reduces to the expression

$$
\begin{gather*}
{ }_{3} \phi_{2}\left(q^{-n}, q^{n+2}, x ; q^{3 / 2},-q^{3 / 2} \mid q ; q\right)=\frac{\left(q^{n+2} ; q\right)_{n}}{\left(q^{3} ; q^{2}\right)_{n}} \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}(-1)^{m} q^{m(3-m)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n \\
2 m
\end{array}\right]_{q} \frac{\left(q^{-2 n-1} ; q^{2}\right)_{2 m}}{\left(q^{-2 n-1} ; q\right)_{2 m}} \frac{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{m} x^{n-2 m}}{\left(q^{2 n-4 m+3} ; q^{2}\right)_{m}} \\
=\frac{\left(q^{n+2} ; q\right)_{n}}{\left(q^{3} ; q^{2}\right)_{n}} x^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}\left(-q^{2 n+2-3 m}\right)^{m} \frac{\left(q^{2 m-2 n-1} ; q^{2}\right)_{m}}{\left(q^{2 n-4 m+3} ; q^{2}\right)_{m}} \frac{\left(q^{-n}, q^{1-n} ; q^{2}\right)_{m}}{\left(q^{-2 n}, q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{m}}\left(\frac{q^{2}}{x^{2}}\right)^{m} \\
=\frac{\left(q^{n+2} ; q\right)_{n}}{\left(q^{3} ; q^{2}\right)_{n}} x^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} \frac{\left(q^{-n}, q^{1-n} ; q^{2}\right)_{m}}{\left(q^{-2 n}, q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{m}}\left(\frac{q^{2}}{x^{2}}\right)^{m}=\frac{\left(q^{n+2} ; q\right)_{n}}{\left(q^{3} ; q^{2}\right)_{n}} x^{n}{ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left(q^{-n}, q^{1-n} ; q^{-2 n} \mid q^{2} ; q^{2} x^{-2}\right), \tag{6.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

where at the penultimate step we have used the same identity ( 6.10 ), but with $n$ replaced by $n+1$. This completes the proof of the transformation formula (6.11).
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ We recall that an arbitrary polynomial $p_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{n, k} x^{k}$ of degree $n$ in the variable $x$ can be written in the monic form $p_{n}^{(M)}(x)=$ $c_{n, n}^{-1} p_{n}(x)=x^{n}+c_{n, n}^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_{n, k} x^{k}$ just by changing its normalization.

