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#### Abstract

We describe a method for solving the Poisson equation on a surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, which, via the introduction of conformal coordinates, reduces the problem to that of solving a system of Fredholm equations of second kind on a union of smooth curves in the plane.


AMS Subject Classification: 35J05, 35Q15, 45B05, 30 F10.
Keywords: Laplace equation, integral equations of the second kind, conformal coordinates, surface in Euclidean space, Riemann-Hilbert problem.

## Introduction

Let $\Gamma$ be a smooth closed surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with induced Riemannian metric $g$. In this note we explain how to find and use conformal coordinate charts to reduce the problem of solving the Poisson equation on $\Gamma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{g} u=f, \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]to that of solving computationally simpler problems on domains in the plane.
If the genus, $p$, of $\Gamma$ is at least two then we reduce to solving a $2 \times 2$-system of Fredholm equations of second kind on a union of $p+1$ smoothly embedded simple closed curves in the plane. If the genus is 0 , then we can either solve a system of Fredholm equations on a single embedded simple closed curve, or work directly on the unit sphere and use the spherical harmonic representation. Finally, if the genus is 1 , then we can either solve a system of Fredholm equations on two embedded simple closed curves, or use the Fourier series representation.

## 1 A Riemann-Hilbert Problem for Harmonic Functions

We begin by considering a Riemann-Hilbert problem on an abstract, closed compact manifold.

Proposition 1.1. Suppose that $(M, g)$ is a connected, compact Riemannian manifold that can be written as a union of two components $M=M_{+} \cup M_{-}$, which meet along a common boundary, S. Suppose that $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and on $M_{ \pm}$we can find $u_{ \pm} \in C^{1}\left(\bar{M}_{ \pm}\right)$, which satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{g} u_{ \pm}=f \upharpoonright_{M_{ \pm}} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{+} \upharpoonright_{S}=u_{-} \upharpoonright_{s} \text { and } \frac{\partial u_{+}}{\partial v} \upharpoonright_{S}=\frac{\partial u_{-}}{\partial v} \upharpoonright_{s}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $v$ the outward unit normal along (relative to $\left.M_{+}\right) S$, then

$$
u(x)= \begin{cases}u_{+}(x) & \text { for } x \in M_{+}  \tag{1.3}\\ u_{-}(x) & \text { for } x \in M_{-}\end{cases}
$$

is a smooth solution to (0.1) on M.
Proof. A simple integration by parts argument, employing (1.1) and (1.2), shows that $\Delta_{g} u=$ $f$ on $M$ in the sense of distributions. The conclusion then follows from elliptic regularity.

Assume that we can find $\widetilde{u}_{ \pm}$satisfying (1.1), with

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\widetilde{u}_{+}(x)-\widetilde{u}_{-}(x)\right] \Gamma_{S} } & =h(x) \\
{\left[\frac{\partial \widetilde{u}_{+}}{\partial v}(x)-\frac{\partial \widetilde{u}_{-}}{\partial v}(x)\right] \Gamma_{S} } & =k(x) ; \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and functions $v_{ \pm}$in $\mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\bar{M}_{ \pm}\right) \cap \mathcal{C}^{2}\left(M_{ \pm}\right)$, which satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{g} v_{ \pm} & =0 \text { in } M_{ \pm} \\
{\left[v_{+}(x)-v_{-}(x)\right] \upharpoonright_{s} } & =h(x)  \tag{1.5}\\
{\left[\frac{\partial v_{+}}{\partial v}(x)-\frac{\partial v_{-}}{\partial v}(x)\right] \upharpoonright_{s} } & =k(x) .
\end{align*}
$$

The functions $u_{ \pm}=\widetilde{u}_{ \pm}-v_{ \pm}$then satisfy the hypotheses of the Proposition, and therefore glue together to define a solution to (0.1).

Suppose that $v_{ \pm}$are harmonic functions satisfying (1.5) for given $(h, k)$, then the Proposition easily implies that any other solution is of the form $v_{ \pm}+c$, for a $c \in \mathbb{C}$. On the other hand, an obvious necessary condition for the solvability of this problem is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S} k(x) d S(x)=0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The scalar Laplacian on $M$ is a self adjoint operator, with a 1-dimensional nullspace spanned by the constant functions. We let $G(x, y)$ denote Schwartz kernel of the partial inverse, and $\pi_{0}$ the orthogonal projection onto the constant functions. They satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{g, x} G(x, y)=G(x, y) \Delta_{g, y}=\delta(x-y)-\pi_{0} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this identity it follows that if $h$ is a smooth function on $S$ and $k$ is a smooth function, of mean zero over $S$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{ \pm}(x)=\int_{S} G(x, y) k(y) d S(y)+\int_{S} \partial_{v_{y}} G(x, y) h(y) d S(y) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is harmonic in $M \backslash S$. As $G$ is a classical pseudodifferential operator, with a standard asymptotic expansion along the diagonal, the jump relations along $S$ are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[v_{+}(x)-v_{-}(x)\right] \upharpoonright_{s}=h(x) \text { and }\left[\partial_{v} v_{+}(x)-\partial_{v} v_{-}(x)\right] \upharpoonright_{s}=k(x) . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the proof of the following theorem:
Theorem 1.2. If $h, k \in C^{\infty}(S)$, with $k$ of mean zero, then the Riemann-Hilbert problem in (1.5) has a solution $v_{ \pm} \in C^{\infty}\left(\bar{M}_{ \pm}\right)$, given by (1.8). The space of solutions is 1-dimensional and consists of $\left\{v_{ \pm}+c: c \in \mathbb{C}\right\}$.

The regularity statement in this theorem follows from the well known mapping properties of the Green's kernel, which imply the Sobolev space version of the theorem:

Corollary 1.3. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, if $h \in H^{s}(S), k \in H^{s-1}(S)$, with $k$ of mean zero, then the solution of the Riemann-Hilbert problem, $v_{ \pm}$, given in (1.5) belongs to $H^{s+\frac{1}{2}}\left(M_{ \pm}\right)$. The map $\Phi$ : $(h, k) \rightarrow\left(v_{+}, v_{-}\right)$is continuous from

$$
\Phi: H^{s}(S) \oplus H_{m}^{s-1}(S) \rightarrow H^{s+\frac{1}{2}}\left(M_{+}\right) \oplus H^{s+\frac{1}{2}}\left(M_{-}\right)
$$

Here $H_{m}^{t}(S)$ are distributions $k \in H^{t}(S)$ such that $\langle k, 1\rangle=0$.
We now turn to the special case of a surface $\Gamma \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$.

## 2 Surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and Conformal Charts

Let $\Gamma \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a smooth closed surface of genus $p$ embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and let $g$ denote the metric induced from the embedding. We suppose that $\Gamma$ can be covered by two coordinate charts $U_{+}, U_{-}$, which intersect in a union of $p+1$ disjoint annuli, $\left\{A_{j}: j=0, \ldots, p\right\}$. We also suppose that we can find conformal maps:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{ \pm}: U_{ \pm} \longrightarrow \widetilde{D}_{ \pm} \subset \mathbb{C} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\psi_{ \pm}$denote the inverses of these maps and $\varphi=\phi_{-} \circ \psi_{+}$, the gluing map. This is a conformal map from $\left\{\phi_{+}\left(A_{j}\right) \subset \mathbb{C}: j=0, \ldots, p\right\}$ onto $\left\{\phi_{-}\left(A_{j}\right) \subset \mathbb{C}: j=0, \ldots, p\right\}$. We use $x^{ \pm}=\phi_{ \pm}(x)$, to denote the local coordinates defined by these maps.

In each annulus $A_{j}$ we choose a smooth simple curve $S_{j}$, which separates $A_{j}$ into two annuli. The union of these curves, $S$, separates $\Gamma$ into two connected components $\Gamma_{ \pm} \subset \subset U_{ \pm}$. We let $D_{ \pm}=\phi_{ \pm}\left(\Gamma_{ \pm}\right) \subset \subset \widetilde{D}_{ \pm}$; these are smoothly bounded planar domains, diffeomorphic to a disk with $p$ disjoint sub-disks removed. We now show how to use this conformal representation of $\Gamma$ to reduce the problem of solving the Poisson equation on $\Gamma$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{g} u=f \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

to that of solving a system of second kind integral equations on $S$.
We let $\Delta_{0}$ denote the flat Euclidean Laplacian, $\partial_{x}^{2}+\partial_{y}^{2}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{0}(x, y)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log |x-y| \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

its fundamental solution in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Because the maps $\phi_{ \pm}$are conformal, the local coordinate representations of the Laplace operator are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Delta_{g}\right|_{\tilde{D}_{ \pm}}=\frac{1}{j_{ \pm}^{2}\left(x^{ \pm}\right)} \Delta_{0} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{ \pm}^{*}\left(d A_{g}\right)=j_{ \pm}^{2}\left(x^{ \pm}\right) d x_{1}^{ \pm} d x_{2}^{ \pm} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f$ is a function of mean zero on $\Gamma$, then we let $f$ also denote its pullbacks to $\widetilde{D}_{ \pm}$. The functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{u}_{ \pm}\left(x^{ \pm}\right)=\int_{\widetilde{D}_{ \pm}} G_{0}\left(x^{ \pm}, y^{ \pm}\right) f\left(y^{ \pm}\right) j_{ \pm}^{2}\left(y^{ \pm}\right) d y^{ \pm} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

pulled back to $\Gamma$ solve

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{g} \widetilde{u}_{ \pm}=f \upharpoonright_{U_{ \pm}} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can pull this formula back to $U_{ \pm}$to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{u}_{ \pm}(x)=\int_{U_{ \pm}} G_{0}\left(\phi_{ \pm}(x), \phi_{ \pm}(y)\right) f(y) d A_{g}(y) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If, as before, we let $G(x, y)$ denote the Schwartz kernel of the partial inverse of $\Delta_{g}$, then these relations imply that on $U_{ \pm} \times U_{ \pm}$we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{g, x}\left[G_{0}\left(\phi_{ \pm}(x), \phi_{ \pm}(y)\right)-G(x, y)\right]=-\frac{1}{A_{\Gamma}}=\Delta_{g, y}\left[G_{0}\left(\phi_{ \pm}(x), \phi_{ \pm}(y)\right)-G(x, y)\right], \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $A_{\Gamma}$ the area of $\Gamma$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta_{g, x}+\Delta_{g, y}\right)\left[G_{0}\left(\phi_{ \pm}(x), \phi_{ \pm}(y)\right)-G(x, y)\right]=-\frac{2}{A_{\Gamma}} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Elliptic regularity therefore shows
Proposition 2.1. There are functions $m_{ \pm}(x, y) \in C^{\infty}\left(U_{ \pm} \times U_{ \pm}\right)$so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{0}\left(\phi_{ \pm}(x), \phi_{ \pm}(y)\right)-G(x, y)=m_{ \pm}(x, y) . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

An important corollary of (2.11) is the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{0}\left(\phi_{+}(x), \phi_{+}(y)\right)-G_{0}\left(\phi_{-}(x), \phi_{-}(y)\right)=m_{+}(x, y)-m_{-}(x, y) . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we do not need to know the Schwartz kernel, $G(x, y)$, to compute the difference: $m_{+}(x, y)-m_{-}(x, y)$.

Let the jumps in $\widetilde{u}_{ \pm}$and $\partial_{v} \widetilde{u}_{ \pm}$across $S$ be denoted by $h$ and $k$ respectively. If $f$ has mean zero over $\Gamma$, then Green's formula implies that $k$ has mean zero over $S$, as required for the solvability of the Riemann-Hilbert problem. We now need to find harmonic functions $\widetilde{v}_{ \pm}$defined in $\Gamma_{ \pm}$that satisfy the jump conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\widetilde{v}_{+}(x)-\widetilde{v}_{-}(x)\right] \upharpoonright_{S}=h(x) \text { and }\left[\partial_{v} \widetilde{v}_{+}(x)-\partial_{v} \widetilde{v}_{-}(x)\right] \upharpoonright_{S}=k(x) . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use corrections of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{v}_{ \pm}(x)=\int_{S}\left[G_{0}\left(\phi_{ \pm}(x), \phi_{ \pm}(y)\right) a(y)+\partial_{v, y} G_{0}\left(\phi_{ \pm}(x), \phi_{ \pm}(y)\right) b(y)\right] d s_{g}(y) . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.1 easily gives formulæ for the jumps in $v_{ \pm}$and $\partial_{\nu} v_{ \pm}$across $S$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\widetilde{v}_{+}(x)-\widetilde{v}_{-}(x)\right]=b(x)+\int_{S}\left[m_{+}(x, y)-m_{-}(x, y)\right] a(y) d s_{g}(y)+} \\
& \qquad \int_{S} \partial_{v, y}\left[m_{+}(x, y)-m_{-}(x, y)\right] b(y) d s_{g}(y),  \tag{2.15}\\
& \partial_{\vee}\left[\widetilde{v}_{+}(x)-\widetilde{v}_{-}(x)\right]=-a(x)+\int_{S} \partial_{v, x}\left[m_{+}(x, y)-m_{-}(x, y)\right] a(y) d s_{g}(y)+ \\
& \quad \int_{S} \partial_{v, x} \partial_{v, y}\left[m_{+}(x, y)-m_{-}(x, y)\right] b(y) d s_{g}(y) . \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

To actually solve this problem we need to pull these equations back to the plane. For this purpose we use the coordinate map $\psi_{+}$. The difference in the fundamental solutions $m_{+}-m_{-}$now takes a simple explicit form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n\left(x^{+}, y^{+}\right)=m_{+}\left(x^{+}, y^{+}\right)-m_{-}\left(x^{+}, y^{+}\right)=G_{0}\left(x^{+}, y^{+}\right)-G_{0}\left(\varphi\left(x^{+}\right), \varphi\left(y^{+}\right)\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fact that $\varphi$ is conformal leads immediately to the following basic result
Lemma 2.2. For $x, y \in b D_{+}$we have the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{0}(x, y)=G_{0}(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))+n(x, y), \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n \in C^{\infty}\left(b D_{+} \times b D_{+}\right)$.
Proof. This is a consequence of Proposition 2.1, but it is instructive to give a different proof. There is nothing to prove unless $x$ and $y$ are close together. In this case we see that, for $x \neq y$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{0}(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log |x-y|+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log \left|\frac{\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)}{x-y}\right| . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $\varphi$ is analytic in a neighborhood of $b D_{+}$we see that the second term above can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log \left|\frac{\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)}{x-y}\right|=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log \left|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi^{[j]}(y)}{j!}(x-y)^{j-1}\right| . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\varphi^{\prime}(y)$ does not vanish on $b D_{+}$this completes the proof of the lemma.
To rewrite equations in the $\left(x^{+}, y^{+}\right)$variables we need to relate $d s^{+}$, Euclidean arclength along $b D_{+}$, to $d s_{g}$, and $\partial_{\nu, x}$ to $\partial_{\nu, x^{+}}$along $S$. An elementary computation, using the fact that $\psi_{+}$is conformal, shows that we have the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{+}^{*} d s_{g}\left(x^{+}\right)=j_{+}\left(x^{+}\right) d s^{+} \text {and } \partial_{v, x}=\psi_{+*}\left[\frac{1}{j_{+}\left(x^{+}\right)} \partial_{v, x^{+}}\right], \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the conformal factor $j_{+}$is defined in (2.5). The integral equations can now be rewritten as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& h\left(x^{+}\right)=b\left(x^{+}\right)+\int_{b D_{+}} n\left(x^{+}, y^{+}\right) a\left(y^{+}\right) j_{+}\left(y^{+}\right) d s^{+}\left(y^{+}\right)+ \\
& \qquad \int_{b D_{+}} \partial_{v, y^{+}} n\left(x^{+}, y^{+}\right) b(y) d s^{+}\left(y^{+}\right),  \tag{2.22}\\
& k\left(x^{+}\right)=-a\left(x^{+}\right)+\int_{b D_{+}} \frac{1}{j_{+}\left(x^{+}\right)} \partial_{v, x+} n\left(x^{+}, y^{+}\right) a\left(y^{+}\right) j_{+}\left(y^{+}\right) d s^{+}\left(y^{+}\right)+ \\
& \int_{b D_{+}} \frac{1}{j_{+}\left(x^{+}\right)} \partial_{v, x^{+}} \partial_{v, y^{+}} n\left(x^{+}, y^{+}\right) b\left(y^{+}\right) d s^{+}\left(y^{+}\right) . \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

As $n\left(x^{+}, y^{+}\right)$is a smooth function on $b D_{+}$Equations (2.22) and (2.23) are a system of Fredholm equations of the second kind.

The data $h\left(x^{+}\right)$and $k\left(x^{+}\right)$can easily be computed from the local coordinate representations of $\widetilde{u}_{ \pm}$:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{u}_{ \pm}\left(x^{ \pm}, y^{ \pm}\right)=\int_{\widetilde{D}_{ \pm}} G_{0}\left(x^{ \pm}, y^{ \pm}\right) f\left(y^{ \pm}\right) j_{ \pm}^{2}\left(y^{ \pm}\right) d y^{ \pm}, \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

along with the relations in (2.21). If, as we have assumed, the conformal maps $\left\{\phi_{ \pm}\right\}$are defined in an open cover of $\Gamma$, then the boundaries of $D_{ \pm}$lie in the interior of the domains of definition of $\widetilde{u}_{ \pm}$, which should facilitate the numerical evaluation of $h\left(x^{+}\right)$and $k\left(x^{+}\right)$. For numerical purposes, we can also insert smooth cutoff functions into these integrals, so long as they take the value 1 on $\bar{D}_{ \pm}$.

If a pair $(a, b)$ is in the null-space of the system of equations (2.22) and (2.23), then the harmonic functions $\widetilde{v}_{ \pm}$, defined in (2.14), glue together along $S$ to define a harmonic function on all of $\Gamma$, which must therefore be constant. For such data, the pair of harmonic functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{ \pm}(x)=\int_{b D_{ \pm}}\left[G_{0}(x, y) a(y) j_{ \pm}(y) \pm \partial_{v, y} G_{0}(x, y) b(y)\right] d s^{ \pm}(y), \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

assume the same constant value in $D_{ \pm}$, respectively. The difficulty in characterizing the null-space arises because the extensions of $v_{ \pm}(x)$ to $D_{ \pm}^{c}$ defined by the integral formula in (2.25), do not, in general, agree with the pull-backs of $v_{\mp}$ via the gluing map $\varphi$. Hence, our hypothesis does not immediately imply that the functions $v_{ \pm}$are constant across $b D_{ \pm}$, and therefore zero. We leave the problem of characterizing the null-space of this system of equations to a subsequent publication. We consider the problem of constructing the necessary conformal maps in the following section.

## 3 Finding Conformal Charts

We briefly describe how to find conformal coordinates charts on a surface, $\Gamma$, embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Finding such coordinates usually entails solving the Laplace equation on the surface, or at least on subdomains of the surface, and is therefore only worth the effort if one needs to repeatedly solve the inhomogeneous equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\Gamma} u=f . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We describe an approach to this problem, which works in all cases. It assumes that $\Gamma$ is covered by two smooth coordinate charts, of planar character, which are then "corrected" to give conformal coordinate charts.

For the most part we are considering surfaces with genus $p \geq 2$. If the genus is either 0 or 1, then the Riemann-Hilbert approach described above can be used, but it also possible to use a more global approach. If the genus is 0 , then a conformal mapping, $\phi: \Gamma \rightarrow S_{1}^{2}$, can be constructed. Here $S_{1}^{2}$ is the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. This case is discussed in considerable detail in the monograph [2]. Equation (3.1) can then be replaced with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} \Delta_{S_{1}^{2}} u=f \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi^{*}\left(\rho^{2} d s_{S_{1}^{2}}^{2}\right)=g$, the metric induced on $\Gamma$ by its embedding into $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. The equation can be solved using, e.g., spherical harmonics. The torus case, $p=1$, is considered in Section 4.

For $\Gamma$ of genus $p$, we assume that it is divided into two smoothly bounded regions $\Gamma_{ \pm}$of planar character, which meet along a union of $p+1$ simple closed curves. We can find two open subsets $U_{ \pm}$as described above, which intersect in a union of $p+1$ disjoint annular regions $\left\{A_{j}: j=0, \ldots, p\right\}$, so that $b \Gamma_{ \pm}$is a relatively compact subset of the union of these annuli. It is usually very difficult to find conformal maps from multiply connected regions onto "model domains," e.g. domains bounded by circles. On the other hand, for the method described above to work, it is only necessary that we map $U_{ \pm}$conformally onto smoothly bounded regions of the plane, with no necessity to carefully control the geometry of the boundary of the image.

Starting with the cover of $\Gamma$ by two open sets $U_{ \pm} \supset \Gamma_{ \pm}$, of planar character, we suppose that there are smooth one-to-one maps

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\psi}_{ \pm}: \widehat{D}_{ \pm} \longrightarrow U_{ \pm} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\widehat{D}_{ \pm}$smoothly bounded domains in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. In other words the open subsets $U_{ \pm}$are represented parametrically over bounded domains in the plane. Topologically, the domains $\widehat{D}_{ \pm}$ are disks with $p$ holes removed. We suppose, without loss of generality, that the $b D_{ \pm}$is a union of $p+1$ smooth, simple closed curves, which we denote $\left\{C_{j}^{ \pm}: j=0,1, \ldots, p\right\}$. Here $C_{0}^{ \pm}$bound the unbounded components of the complements of $\widehat{D}_{ \pm}$, and, for $1 \leq j \leq p$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{j}^{ \pm}=b B_{j}^{ \pm} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\left\{B_{j}^{ \pm}\right\}$are topological disks.
The pullback by $\widehat{\psi}_{ \pm}$of the induced metric on $\Gamma$ is represented by a smooth family of symmetric, positive definite $2 \times 2$-matrices.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\psi}_{ \pm}^{*}(g)=\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2} g_{i j}^{ \pm} d x_{i} d x_{j} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To find a conformal representation, we extend the metric tensor smoothly into the bounded components of the complement, $B^{ \pm}=\cup_{j=1}^{p} B_{j}^{ \pm}$. Let $\widetilde{D}_{ \pm}=\widehat{D}_{ \pm} \cup B^{ \pm}$. The space of symmetric, positive definite matrices is a convex cone, and therefore we only need to extend $g_{i j}^{ \pm}$to a small neighborhood of $b B^{ \pm}$. Using a partition of unity we can then interpolate from these extensions to the identity matrix. We also use $g_{i j}^{ \pm}$to denote the extended metric tensor. Using this approach, the conformal structure on a large part of $B^{ \pm}$can be made to agree with the standard structure on $\mathbb{C}$.

The simply connected domains $\widetilde{D}_{ \pm}$have globally defined metrics. Therefore we can $\underset{\sim}{\text { use }}$ the Laplace operator defined by this metric to find conformal maps onto the unit disk, $\widetilde{\phi}_{ \pm}: \widetilde{D}_{ \pm} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{1}$, which carry $C_{0}^{ \pm}$onto $b \mathbb{D}_{1}$. A standard method would be to find real harmonic functions, $u_{ \pm}$, on $\widetilde{D}_{ \pm}$with an interior logarithmic singularity, vanishing on the boundary of $\widetilde{D}_{ \pm}$. For simplicity, we can place the logarithmic singularity in the interior of $B^{ \pm}$, where the conformal structure defined by the metric agrees with the standard one. The harmonic conjugates $v_{ \pm}$are easily found by integration, and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\phi}_{ \pm}=e^{u_{ \pm}+i v_{ \pm}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines a conformal map of $\widetilde{D}_{ \pm}$onto the unit disk. This method is described in [3]. If we let $D_{ \pm}$denote the image of $\widehat{D}_{ \pm}$under the maps $\widetilde{\phi}_{ \pm}$, and $\widetilde{\psi}_{ \pm}$the inverses of $\widetilde{\phi}_{ \pm}$, then the compositions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{ \pm}=\widehat{\psi}_{ \pm} \circ \widetilde{\psi}_{ \pm}: D_{ \pm} \longrightarrow U_{ \pm} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

are conformal maps.
Once these conformal maps are found, we can use the method described in Section 2 to solve the Poisson equation on $\Gamma$. Of course, to find the conformal coordinates we need to solve the Poisson equation on domains in $\Gamma$. Once these coordinates are found, the problem of solving the Poisson equation is reduced to that of applying the Newtonian potential to functions on the coordinate charts, and the solution of a second kind integral equation on a union of smooth curves. The application of the Newtonian potential can be accelerated using a fast multi-pole method, (see [1]) and the integral equation is on a one-dimensional set rather than a two-dimensional set. Once the work of finding the conformal maps is done, these two steps can presumably be done much faster than the direct solution of the Poisson equations by a non-sparse, 2-dimensional method. As noted above, this method will prove efficacious when the Poisson equation has to be solved repeatedly for a variety of right hand sides, on a fixed embedded surface. The accuracy of the overall method will be determined by the accuracy of the computation of the conformal maps, $\psi_{ \pm}$.

## 4 The Torus Case

The torus, $p=1$, is a special case in that if $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a surface of genus 1 , then we can choose two embedded circles $a_{1}, b_{1}$ so that $\Gamma \backslash a_{1} \cup b_{1}$ is homeomorphic to a disk. Moreover there is a conformal map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi: \Gamma \backslash a_{1} \cup b_{1} \longrightarrow R, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is a region in $\mathbb{C}$ bounded by a parallelogram. If $\omega$ is a real harmonic 1 -form on $\Gamma$ then $d z=\omega+i \star_{2} \omega$ is a holomorphic ( 1,0 )-form; here $\star_{2}$ is the Hodge star-operator defined by the metric on $\Gamma$. Integrating this form then defines the map $\phi$. Using this coordinate representation we can reduce the problem of solving the Laplace equation on $\Gamma$ to that of solving the Euclidean Laplace equation on $R$, with periodic boundary conditions. This can easily be done using a Fourier representation. In this case, as in the case of genus 0 , the Riemann-Hilbert step is not needed, as the Fourier representation automatically imposes the needed periodic boundary conditions.

If $\Gamma$ is a torus of revolution, then the conformal coordinate can be found quite explicitly. Under this hypothesis, there are periodic functions $(r(t), z(t))$ defined on $\mathbb{R}$ so that $\Gamma$ is the image of the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t, s) \rightarrow(r(t) \cos s, r(t) \sin s, z(t)) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In these coordinates the metric on the surface takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=r^{2}(t) d s^{2}+\left[\left(r^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}+\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}\right] d t^{2} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality we can assume that the generator is parameterized by arclength, that is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}+\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}=1 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The area form is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d A=r(t) d s \wedge d t \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A simple calculation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=d s \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a harmonic form with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\star_{2} \omega=\frac{d t}{r(t)} . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We introduce the new parameter

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{d \tau}{r(\tau)} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we let $t(b)$, denote the inverse of $t \rightarrow b(t)$, then the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
(b, s) \rightarrow(r(t(b)) \cos s, r(t(b)) \sin s, z(t(b))) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a conformal map from a rectangle $R \subset \mathbb{C}$ to $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$. Suppose the rectangle is $R=[0, S] \times$ $[0, B]$; the Laplace equation on $\Gamma$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\rho(b)^{2}}\left[\partial_{s}^{2}+\partial_{b}^{2}\right] u=f(s, b) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho$ is $B$-periodic and both $u$ and $f$ are $S$-periodic in $s$ and $B$-periodic in $b$. As usual this equation is solvable if and only if $\rho^{2}(b) f(s, b)$ has mean 0 . In this case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(b)^{2} f(s, b)=\sum_{(m, n) \neq(0,0)} f_{m n} e^{\frac{2 \pi i m s}{S}} e^{\frac{2 \pi i n b}{B}} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(s, b)=-\frac{S^{2} B^{2}}{4 \pi^{2}} \sum_{(m, n) \neq(0,0)} \frac{f_{m n} e^{\frac{2 \pi i m s}{S}} e^{\frac{2 \pi i n b}{B}}}{m^{2} B^{2}+n^{2} S^{2}} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Fourier representation of $\rho^{2} f$ and the solution of the Laplace equation can both be accomplished with spectral accuracy by sampling $f$ on a uniformly spaced grid (in the $(s, b)$-coordinates) and using the standard discrete Fourier transform to approximate the Fourier integrals and inverse Fourier transform.

## 5 Numerical Examples

We close this paper by considering the special case of a circular torus, so that the generator of $\Gamma$ is a round circle. We can parameterize $\Gamma$ on the rectangle $-\pi \leq t<\pi$ and $0 \leq s<2 \pi$ by setting:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(r(t), z(t))=(R+r \cos t, r \sin t) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $r<R$. This is not a conformal representation. The metric induced by the embedding into $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=(R+r \cos t)^{2}\left(d s^{2}+\frac{r^{2}}{(R+r \cos t)^{2}} d t^{2}\right) . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This parameterization of the generator has constant speed, equal to $r$, which is adequate for our purposes. In this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\star_{2} \omega=d b=\frac{r d t}{R+r \cos t}, \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

integrating we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=\mu \tan ^{-1}\left[\lambda \tan \left(\frac{t(b)}{2}\right)\right], \text { where } \mu=\frac{2 r}{\sqrt{R^{2}-r^{2}}} \text { and } \lambda=\sqrt{\frac{R-r}{R+r}} . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(b)=2 \tan ^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{\lambda} \tan \left(\frac{b}{\mu}\right)\right] . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The natural parameter domain is the rectangle:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\left\{(s, b): 0 \leq s<2 \pi,-\mu \frac{\pi}{2} \leq b<\mu \frac{\pi}{2}\right\} . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the $(b, s)$ coordinates the metric induced on the embedded torus takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\rho^{2}(b)\left(d s^{2}+d b^{2}\right), \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(b)=\frac{R-r}{\sin ^{2}(b / \mu)+\lambda^{2} \cos ^{2}(b / \mu)} . \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because the map from the $(s, b)$-plane to the embedded torus is conformal, the coefficient $\rho$ measures the metric distortion between the induced metric on the embedded torus and the flat metric $d s^{2}+d b^{2}$. A simple calculation shows that this distortion varies between $R+r$ and $R-r$, and therefore $\lambda^{2}$ gives a measure of the variability of the mesh size in the image domain, produced as the image of a uniform rectangular grid in the $(s, b)$-plane. Observe that, in the ambient coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i s}=\frac{x+i y}{\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}} \text { and } \cos \left(\frac{2 b}{\mu}\right)=\frac{R \sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}+r^{2}-R^{2}}{r \sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}} . \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our first plots show the distortions which result from different choices of $(r, R)$. In Figure 1 (a) we show the mesh obtained with $32 \times 32$-grid with $r=1$ and $R=1.2$. In Figure 1(b) we show the mesh obtained with $32 \times 32$-grid with $r=2$ and $R=16$. As predicted there is considerable variation in the size of the image grid in the first case where $\lambda^{2}=1 / 11$, as compared to the second case, where $\lambda^{2}=7 / 9$.

To test the accuracy of our algorithm, we begin with

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{n}=\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{(x+i y)^{n}}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{\frac{n+2}{2}}}\right] \\
& g_{n}=\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{(x+i y)^{n}}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{\frac{n+2}{2}}}\right]\left[\frac{R \sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}+r^{2}-R^{2}}{r \sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}}\right] . \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$

The formuæ in (5.9) show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{2}(b) f_{n}(b, s)=\sin n s \text { and } \rho^{2}(b) g_{n}(b, s)=\sin n s \cos \left(\frac{2 b}{\mu}\right) \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore the solutions to $\Delta_{g} u_{n}=f_{n}$ and $\Delta_{g} v_{n}=g_{n}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}=\frac{-1}{n^{2}} \sin n s \text { and } v_{n}=\frac{-1}{n^{2}+\left(\frac{2 b}{\mu}\right)^{2}} \sin n s \cos \left(\frac{2 b}{\mu}\right) \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our numerical experiments show that as soon as the number of samples in the $s$-direction exceeds the Nyquist rate, the error in the numerical solution essentially equals the machine accuracy (about $10^{-16}$ ). We show several pairs $\left(f_{4}, u_{4}\right),\left(f_{32}, u_{32}\right)$, and $\left(g_{16}, v_{16}\right)$. in Figure 2 . In these examples we take $r=1$ and $R=2$.

We conclude with a pair of examples involving more complicated right hand sides. In Figure 3 we show the solution with $f=\cos x \cos 3 y \sin 5 z$, and in Figure 4, the solution with $f=\exp \left(-\left((x+3)^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)\right)-c$. (Here $c$ is selected so that $f$ has mean zero.) For these examples $r=1$ and $R=2$, moreover we use 256 points in the $s$ direction and 512 points in the $b$-direction.
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(a) $32 \times 32$ grid with $r=1, R=1.2$.

(b) $32 \times 32$ grid with $r=2, R=16$.

Figure 1. Examples showing the distortion in the image grid.


Figure 2. Examples where the exact solution is known.


Figure 3. $f=\cos x \cos 3 y \sin z$


Figure 4. $f=\exp \left(-\left((x+3)^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)\right)-c$
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