

## ENTROPIES AND FACTORIZATIONS OF TOPOLOGICAL MARKOV SHIFTS

BY D. A. LIND<sup>1</sup>

**1. Markov shift entropies.** Let  $A$  be a nonnegative integral matrix. A well-known construction [7] associates to  $A$  a homeomorphism  $\sigma_A$  of a totally disconnected compact space called a topological Markov shift, or subshift of finite type. Such Markov shifts play a central role in topological dynamics (see [3]), the investigation of Smale's Axiom A diffeomorphisms [6], and coding theory [1]. We announce here a characterization of the possible values for the topological entropy of such Markov shifts, answering a question raised in [2]. Furthermore, these values possess an arithmetic structure which, together with the isomorphism theorem of Adler and Marcus [2], yields an analogue of prime factorization for Markov shifts up to almost topological conjugacy. Details and applications of these results will appear elsewhere.

We shall always assume  $A$  to be aperiodic, i.e. some power of  $A$  is strictly positive. The topological entropy of  $\sigma_A$  is  $\log \lambda$ , where  $\lambda$  is the spectral radius of  $A$  [5]. Perron-Frobenius theory [4] shows that  $\lambda$  must be an algebraic integer  $> 1$  whose other conjugates have absolute value  $< \lambda$ . Call an algebraic integer with these properties a Perron number. Our principal result shows these are the only restrictions on Markov shift entropies.

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $\lambda$  is a Perron number, then there is a nonnegative aperiodic integral matrix whose spectral radius is  $\lambda$ .*

**SKETCH OF PROOF.** If  $\lambda$  is Perron, let  $B$  be the  $d \times d$  companion matrix of the minimal polynomial over  $\mathbf{Q}$  of  $\lambda$ . The main difficulty occurs when  $B$  has no invariant  $d$ -sided cones, e.g. when  $\text{tr } B < 0$ . This is overcome by finding invariant surfaces for  $B$  curved towards the dominant eigendirection.

The real Jordan form for  $B$  decomposes  $\mathbf{R}^d$  into direct sum of the 1-dimensional dominant eigenspace  $D = \mathbf{R}w$  for  $\lambda$ , a collection  $\mathcal{E} = \{E\}$  of 1- or 2-dimensional eigenspaces with  $\|Bx\| = \gamma_E \|x\|$  ( $x \in E$ ) for constants  $\gamma_E > 1$ , and another collection  $\mathcal{F} = \{F\}$  of eigenspaces with  $\|Bx\| = \gamma_F \|x\|$  ( $x \in F$ ),  $\gamma_F \leq 1$ . If  $G = D, E$ , or  $F$ , let  $\pi_G$  be the  $B$ -equivariant projection from  $\mathbf{R}^d$  to  $G$ . We will use  $\pi_D: \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \cong D$  normalized by  $\pi_D w = 1$ . Put  $\pi_C = I - \pi_D$ .

Fix  $\theta > 0$ , and put

$$K_\theta = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^d : \pi_D x > \theta \|\pi_C x\|\}, \quad K_\theta(r) = \{x \in K_\theta : \pi_D x \leq r\}.$$

---

Received by the editors November 16, 1982.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 58F15, 28D20; Secondary 58F11, 58F19.

<sup>1</sup>Supported in part by NSF Grant MCS 8201542.

© 1983 American Mathematical Society  
0273-0979/83 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

For sufficiently large  $r$ , the semigroup generated by  $K_\theta(r) \cap \mathbf{Z}^d$  contains  $K_{2\theta} \cap \mathbf{Z}^d$ . Define  $\phi: \bigoplus_{\mathcal{E}} E \rightarrow D$  by

$$\phi\left(\sum_E x_E\right) = \left(\sum_E \|x_E\|^{\log \lambda / \log \gamma_E}\right)_w.$$

The graph of  $\phi$  is  $B$ -invariant and bowl-shaped since  $\log \lambda / \log \gamma_E > 1$ . Choose  $\xi, \eta > 0$  so that

$$(1) \quad K_\theta(r) \subset \left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^d : \max_F \|\pi_F x\| \leq \xi, \pi_D \phi\left(\sum_E \pi_E x\right) \leq \eta \pi_D x\right\} = \Omega.$$

To construct a nonnegative aperiodic integral matrix  $A$  with spectral radius  $\lambda$ , consider  $\Gamma = \{z \in \Omega \cap \mathbf{Z}^d : \pi_D z \leq s\} = \{z_j : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ , where  $s$  is chosen large enough for (ii) below. Write

$$(2) \quad Bz_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} z_j$$

with  $a_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  using these rules: (i) if  $\pi_D z_i \leq s/\lambda$ , then  $Bz_i = z_{j_0} \in \Gamma$  and let  $a_{ij} = \delta_{jj_0}$ ; (ii) if  $s/\lambda < \pi_D z_i \leq s$ , then  $Bz_i - z_i \in K_{2\theta}$ , and therefore is a nonnegative integral combination of elements of  $K_\theta(r) \cap \mathbf{Z}^d \subset \Gamma$  and then the  $a_{ij}$  can be chosen with  $a_{ii} \geq 1$ . This yields  $A = [a_{ij}]$ . If  $A$  is reducible, replace  $A$  by an irreducible component [4] keeping the same notation. Condition (ii) forces  $\text{tr } A > 0$ , so  $A$  is aperiodic. Using Perron-Frobenius theory, it can be shown that  $A$  has spectral radius  $\lambda$ .

**2. An example.** Given a Perron number  $\lambda$ , this proof provides an algorithm for computing a nonnegative aperiodic integral matrix  $A$  with spectral radius  $\lambda$ . When  $\lambda$  has negative trace, the dimension of  $A$  must be strictly larger than the degree of  $\lambda$ . For example, the Perron root  $\lambda \cong 3.8916$  of  $t^3 + 3t^2 - 15t - 46$  has conjugates  $\lambda_2 \cong -3.2142$ ,  $\lambda_3 \cong -3.6775$  and trace  $-3$ . Using  $\eta = 1/10$  in (1),  $\Omega$  was searched for a collection  $\Gamma$  of lattice points obeying (2). Such a  $\Gamma$  with 10 points was found, giving

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 12 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The characteristic polynomial of  $A$  factors over  $\mathbf{Q}$  as

$$(t+1) \times (t^3 + 3t^2 - 15t - 46)(t^6 - 4t^5 - 4t^4 + 27t^3 - 6t^2 - 50t + 24).$$

The roots of the degree 6 irreducible factor are about  $0.5134, -1.8277 \pm 0.1641i, 1.9689 \pm 0.6751i$ , and  $3.2042$ , so the spectral radius of  $A$  is indeed  $\lambda$ .

**3. An arithmetic for Perron numbers.** Let  $\mathbf{P}$  denote the set of Perron numbers. Then  $\mathbf{P}$  is closed under addition and multiplication. If  $K$  is a finite extension field of  $\mathbf{Q}$ , it can be shown that  $K \cap \mathbf{P}$  is a discrete subset of  $[1, \infty)$ .

Call  $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}$  indecomposable if it cannot be written as  $\alpha\beta$  with  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{P}$ . Thus 2 is indecomposable; for if  $2 = \alpha\beta$  with  $\alpha, \beta \notin \mathbf{Z}$ , then a conjugate  $\beta_i = 2/\alpha_i$  of  $\beta$  would have  $|\beta_i| = 2/|\alpha_i| > 2/\alpha = \beta$ , contradicting  $\beta \in \mathbf{P}$ . A modification due to M. Boyle of this argument proves the following.

**PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\lambda = \alpha\beta \in \mathbf{P}$  with  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{P}$ . Then  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{Q}(\lambda)$ .*

Since  $\mathbf{Q}(\lambda) \cap \mathbf{P}$  is discrete, it follows that  $\lambda$  can be factored into indecomposables, but in only finitely many ways. The Perron factorization of a rational integer coincides with its usual prime factorization, and is unique by the Proposition. Unfortunately, nonuniqueness can occur, as in  $(\alpha+2)^2 = 5\alpha^2$ , where  $\alpha = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ , and each factor is indecomposable.

**4. Factorizations of topological Markov shifts.** Adler and Marcus [2] introduced the notion of almost topological conjugacy, and proved that two aperiodic Markov shifts with the same entropy are almost topologically conjugate. Together with Theorem 1, this proves the following.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\sigma$  be an aperiodic topological Markov shift with entropy  $\log \lambda$ . Then up to almost topological conjugacy, there is a one-to-one correspondence between factorizations  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_n$  of  $\sigma$  into a direct product of aperiodic Markov shifts and Perron factorizations  $\lambda = \lambda_1 \times \cdots \times \lambda_n$  of  $\lambda$ , where  $\lambda_j \in \mathbf{P}$ . In particular, the number of such factorizations is finite.*

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $\sigma$  be as in Theorem 2, and assume further that  $\lambda$  is indecomposable. Then  $\sigma$  is not even almost topologically conjugate to a direct product of nontrivial aperiodic Markov shifts.*

Since direct factors of Markov shifts must be sofic, and sofic entropies coincide with Markov shift entropies, we also obtain the following.

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $p$  be a rational prime. The full  $p$ -shift cannot be factored into the direct product of homeomorphisms of nontrivial compact spaces.*

## REFERENCES

1. R. L. Adler, D. Coppersmith and M. Hassner, *Algorithms for sliding block codes*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **IT-29** (1983), No. 1, 5-22.
2. R. L. Adler and B. Marcus, *Topological entropy and equivalence of dynamical systems*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 219 (1979).

3. M. Denker, C. Grillenberger and K. Sigmund, *Ergodic theory on compact spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 527, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1976.
4. F. R. Gantmacher, *The theory of matrices*, Vol. II, Chelsea, New York, 1959.
5. W. Parry, *Intrinsic Markov chains*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **112** (1964), 55–66.
6. S. Smale, *Differentiable dynamical systems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 747–817.
7. R. F. Williams, *Classification of subshifts of finite type*, Ann. of Math. (2) **98** (1973), 120–153; errata, Ann. of Math. (2) **99** (1974), 380–381.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98195