

## A DIRECTION OF BIFURCATION FORMULA IN THE THEORY OF THE IMMUNE RESPONSE<sup>1</sup>

BY GEORGE H. PIMBLEY, JR.

Communicated by A. H. Householder, September 7, 1975

In previous work [1], I derived by biological reasoning and mathematical reduction the following system, attributable to G. I. Bell:

$$\begin{aligned} (1a) \quad & du/ds = u[\lambda_1 + k\lambda_1 u - k(\alpha_1 - \lambda_1)v + kn\lambda_1 w], \\ (1b) \quad & dv/ds = \beta\{v[-\lambda_2 - k(\alpha_2 + \lambda_2)u - k\lambda_2 v - kn\lambda_2 w] + k\gamma uw\}, \\ (1c) \quad & dw/ds = w[-\lambda_3 + k(\alpha_3 - \lambda_3)u - k\lambda_3 v - kn\lambda_3 w - (k\alpha_3/\theta)uw]. \end{aligned}$$

Equations (1) simulate the immune response of an organism to antigen invasion. The dependent variables  $u$ ,  $v$ ,  $w$  are, respectively the concentrations of antigens, antibodies, and antibody-producing cells. The meanings of all parameters and constants are found in [1, pp. 93–96].

Equations (1) have two nontrivial rest points. The one nearest the origin,  $(u_f, v_f, w_f)$ , is stable or unstable according to whether  $\beta > \beta_c$  or  $\beta < \beta_c$ , where  $\beta_c > 0$  is a critical value of the parameter  $\beta$  in equation (1b). It is shown [1, Theorem 1] that at  $\beta = \beta_c$ , a continuous family of periodic solutions bifurcates from  $(u_f, v_f, w_f)$ . I was able to obtain a direction of bifurcation formula only in the special case where  $\lambda_3 = 0$ . Namely, periodic solutions bifurcate to the left (right) of  $\beta_c$ , and are stable (unstable) if

$$(2) \quad \beta_c > (\alpha_1 - \lambda_1)\lambda_1 / ((\alpha_1 - \lambda_1)(\alpha_2 + \lambda_2) + 2\lambda_1\lambda_2), \quad (<).$$

Herein I announce the development of a general formula for direction of bifurcation in equations (1), which approaches condition (2) as  $\lambda_3 \rightarrow 0$ . An analytic direction of bifurcation formula will be important in developing the global theory of these bifurcated families of periodic solutions, and in ascribing possible biomedical implications. I describe the new formula.

First we substitute  $u = u_f + u^0$ ,  $v = v_f + v^0$ ,  $w = w_f + w^0$  into equations (1), and thus obtain equations centered at  $(u_f, v_f, w_f)$ . Then we let  $A_{\beta_c}$  be the matrix of the linear part of these centered DE's, with  $\beta = \beta_c$ . The matrix  $A_{\beta_c}$  has the three linearly independent eigenvectors represented symbolically as

$$(3) \quad (\xi_1, \eta_1, \zeta_1), \quad (\bar{\xi}_1, \bar{\eta}_1, \bar{\zeta}_1), \quad (\xi, \eta, \zeta).$$

---

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970).* Primary 92A05; Secondary 34C05.

<sup>1</sup>Work performed under the auspices of the U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration.

Equations (1) also have an invariant surface passing through  $(u_f, v_f, w_f)$ , represented as follows:

$$(4) \quad z = \phi(x, y) = a_{20}x^2 + a_{11}xy + a_{02}y^2 + o(x^2 + y^2),$$

where  $x, y, z$  are new dependent variables obtained from  $(u^0, v^0, w^0)$  through a principal axis transformation.

We must define the following quantities:

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} C &= is_{12}^{-1}\beta_c\lambda_2, & D &= is_{12}^{-1}\beta_c(\alpha_2 + \lambda_2) + is_{11}^{-1}(\alpha_1 - \lambda_1), \\ E &= is_{12}^{-1}\beta_c\lambda_2n + is_{13}^{-1}\lambda_3, \\ F &= -is_{12}^{-1}\beta_c\gamma - is_{11}^{-1}\lambda_1n - s_{13}^{-1}(\alpha_3 - \lambda_3 - (2\alpha_3/\theta)w_f), \\ G &= -is_{11}^{-1}\lambda_1, & H &= is_{13}^{-1}(n\lambda_3 + (\alpha_3/\theta)v_f), & I &= is_{13}^{-1}\alpha_3/\theta, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$s_{11}^{-1} = \frac{\zeta\bar{\eta}_1 - \bar{\zeta}_1\eta}{\Delta}, \quad s_{12}^{-1} = \frac{-\zeta\bar{\xi}_1 + \bar{\zeta}_1\xi}{\Delta}, \quad s_{13}^{-1} = \frac{\eta\bar{\xi}_1 - \bar{\eta}_1\xi}{\Delta}$$

with

$$\Delta = 2i[\xi \operatorname{Im}(\eta_1\bar{\zeta}_1) - \eta \operatorname{Im}(\xi_1\bar{\zeta}_1) + \zeta_1 \operatorname{Im}(\xi_1\bar{\eta}_1)].$$

We make the realistic assumption that  $\alpha_1 > \lambda_1, \alpha_3 > \lambda_3$ .

Also we need the constant  $l = \sqrt{\operatorname{trace} A_{\beta_c}^c}$  where  $A_{\beta_c}^c$  is the first compound of the matrix  $A_{\beta_c}$ .

Using the constants defined in (4), I put forward the following direction of bifurcation criterion: Define

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \kappa &= \frac{1}{l} \operatorname{Re} \{i(a_{20} - a_{02} + ia_{11})[2\eta\bar{\eta}_1C + (\xi\bar{\eta}_1 + \eta\bar{\xi}_1)D + (\eta\bar{\zeta}_1 + \zeta\bar{\eta}_1)E \\ &\quad + (\xi\bar{\zeta}_1 + \zeta\bar{\xi}_1)F + 2\xi\bar{\xi}_1G + 2\zeta\bar{\zeta}_1H] \\ &\quad + 2i(a_{20} + a_{02})[2\eta\eta_1C + (\xi\eta_1 + \eta\xi_1)D + (\eta\zeta_1 + \zeta\eta_1)E \\ &\quad + (\xi\zeta_1 + \zeta\xi_1)F + 2\xi\xi_1G + 2\zeta\zeta_1H] \\ &\quad + i\xi_1\zeta_1^2I + 2i\xi_1|\zeta_1|^2I\} \\ &+ \frac{2}{j^2} \operatorname{Re} \{-(i/2)[2|\eta_1|^2\bar{C} + (\xi_1\bar{\eta}_1 + \bar{\xi}_1\eta_1)\bar{D} + (\eta_1\bar{\zeta}_1 + \bar{\eta}_1\zeta_1)\bar{E} \\ &\quad + (\xi_1\bar{\zeta}_1 + \bar{\xi}_1\zeta_1)\bar{F} + 2|\xi_1|^2\bar{G} + 2|\zeta_1|^2\bar{H}] \\ &\quad \times [\eta_1^2\bar{C} + \bar{\xi}_1\bar{\eta}_1\bar{D} + \bar{\eta}_1\bar{\zeta}_1\bar{E} + \bar{\xi}_1\bar{\zeta}_1\bar{F} + \xi_1^2\bar{G} + \zeta_1^2\bar{H}]\}. \end{aligned}$$

The criterion is as follows: If  $\kappa$  is negative (positive), then the bifurcation periodic solutions of equations (1) exist in a left (right) neighborhood of  $\beta = \beta_c$ , and are stable (unstable).

As can be seen in (5), the quantities  $C, D, E, F$  are linear in the critical value  $\beta_c$ . Therefore formula (6) gives a representation that is a quadratic function of  $\beta_c$ . Moreover it turns out that the quadratic equation  $\kappa = 0$  has a largest positive root when  $\lambda_3 \geq 0$ . We call this root  $\beta_{cc}$ .

Then the criterion for direction of bifurcation can be interpreted as follows: If  $\beta_c > \beta_{cc}$ , ( $<$ ), the bifurcated periodic solutions emanating from  $\beta = \beta_c$  exist in a left (right) interval of  $\beta_c$  and are stable (unstable).

The quantity on the right in inequality (2) is, in fact, the greatest positive root of  $\kappa = 0$  when  $\lambda_3 = 0$ .

The proof utilizes the focal point-saddle point type of analysis that goes back to Poincaré [2, pp. 167–181].

#### REFERENCES

1. G. H. Pimbley, Jr., *Periodic solutions of third order predator-prey equations simulating an immune response*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **55** (1974), 93–123.
2. H. Poincaré, *Collected works*. Vol. I, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1951.

THEORETICAL DIVISION, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ALAMOS SCIENTIFIC LABORATORY, LOS ALAMOS, NEW MEXICO 87545