ACTIONS OF REDUCTIVE GROUPS ON REGULAR RINGS AND COHEN-MACAULAY RINGS

BY MELVIN HOCHSTER AND JOEL L. ROBERTS¹

Communicated by Dock S. Rim, August 30, 1973

0. The main results. This note is an announcement of the results below, whose proofs will appear separately [7].

MAIN THEOREM. Let G be a linearly reductive affine linear algebraic group over a field K of arbitrary characteristic acting K-rationally on a regular Noetherian K-algebra S. Then the ring of invariants $R = S^G$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

THEOREM. If S is a regular Noetherian ring of prime characteristic p>0, and R is a pure subring of S (i.e. for every R-module M, $M\rightarrow M\otimes_R S$ is injective), e.g. if R is a direct summand of S as R-modules, then R is Cohen-Macaulay.

The proofs utilize results of interest in their own right:

PROPOSITION A. Let L be a field, y_0, \dots, y_m indeterminates over L, $S=L[y_0, \dots, y_m]$, and $Y=\operatorname{Proj}(S)=P_L^m$. Let K be a subfield of L, and let R be a finitely generated graded K-algebra with $R_0=K$. Let $h:R\to S$ be a K-homomorphism which multiplies degrees by d. Let P be the irrelevant maximal ideal of R, and let $X=\operatorname{Proj}(R)$. Let U=Y-V(h(P)S). Let $\varphi=h^*$ be the induced K-morphism from the quasi-projective L-variety U to the projective K-scheme X. Then $\varphi_i^*: H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \to H^i(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$ is zero for $i \geq 1$.

PROPOSITION A'. Let (R, P) be a local ring of prime characteristic p>0 and let h be a homomorphism of R into a regular Noetherian domain S. Suppose that for a certain i the local cohomology module $H_P^i(R)$ has finite length. Then if $i\neq 0$ or $h(P)\neq 0$, the induced homomorphism $H_P^i(R)\rightarrow H_{PS}^i(S)$ is zero.

1. Applications and corollaries. We note that the Main Theorem is stronger than the prior conjectures $[2, \S 0]$ or [3, p. 56], where S was

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 14M05, 20G05, 14B15, 13D05; Secondary 13H05, 13H10.

¹ Both authors were supported in part by NSF grant GP-37689.

assumed to be a polynomial ring over K and the action was assumed to preserve the grading. (This issue was first raised in [5] and [6].)

Second, we observe that the main result of [2] (where G was $GL(1, K)^m$), and, in characteristic 0, the main results of [6], the roughly equivalent papers [3], [10], [11] (dealing with the Cohen-Macaulay property for Schubert varieties), and the thesis [9] all follow at once from the Main Theorem here.

Third, we note that the Main Theorem implies that Serre-Grothendieck duality will hold in a useful form (i.e. the pairing will be nonsingular: cf. [1, pp. 5 and 6]) for many orbit spaces of actions of linearly reductive groups on nonsingular varieties.

Fourth, we observe some ideal-theoretic corollaries. Let $S = [y_0, \dots, y_m]$, a polynomial ring, and let G act so as to preserve degrees. Then $R = S^G$ will be generated over K by finitely many forms of S, and we can write $R \cong K[z_0, \dots, z_t]/I$. Here, we assume that z_0, \dots, z_t map to generating forms of R, and we grade $T = K[z_0, \dots, z_t]$ so that the K-homomorphism preserves degrees. Then I will be a homogeneous ideal of T, and is the solution to the "second main problem of invariant theory" (cf. [14, Chapter II, C]) for this particular representation. In this situation the assertion that $R \cong T/I$ is Cohen-Macaulay is equivalent to the assertion that I is P is P is P of P in P is P and P is P and P is P and P is P and P in P is P and P is P and P in P is P in P in

COROLLARY 1. With notation as above, so that $S^G \cong T/I$, the length of $\mathscr K$ is $g=\operatorname{grade} I=\operatorname{height} I$, and $\mathscr K$ is grade-sensitive. That is, if u_0, \cdots, u_t are elements of a Noetherian K-algebra B, and we make B into a T-algebra by means of the homomorphism h which takes z_i to u_i , $0 \le i \le t$, then if J=h(I)B and E is any B-module of finite type such that $JE \ne E$, then the grade of J on E is the number of vanishing homology groups, counting from the left, of the complex $\mathscr K \otimes_T E$. In particular, if the grade of J on E is equal to g, then $\mathscr K \otimes_T E$ is acyclic.

COROLLARY 2. With notation as in Corollary 1, let E=B. Then every minimal prime of J=h(I)B has height at most g, and if the grade of J is as large as possible, i.e. g, then J is perfect $(\mathcal{K} \otimes_T B)$ is acyclic and gives a resolution of length g) and hence all the associated primes of J have grade g. If J has grade g and g is Cohen-Macaulay, then the associated primes of J all have height g and g is again Cohen-Macaulay.

We also note

COROLLARY 3. If K has characteristic 0 and G is semisimple and acts on $S=K[y_0, \dots, y_m]$ so as to preserve the grading, then $R=S^G$ is a Cohen-Macaulay UFD and, hence, Gorenstein.

3. Remarks on the proof of the Main Theorem. When G is linearly reductive there is an R-module retraction (the Reynolds operator) of S onto $R=S^G$. This fact is crucial in the proof of the Main Theorem. The proof goes roughly like this: First, we reduce to the case where G is connected and then the theorem is stated slightly more generally—S is only assumed regular at G-invariant primes. Utilizing devices involving associated graded rings and generalized Rees rings we make a reduction to a sort of "minimal" graded case: S is the symmetric algebra of a projective module E over a domain B (where G acts on B, E and B is a Kalgebra) and B has no G-invariant ideals except 0, B. $R=S^G$ is a finitely generated graded algebra over a field, B^G , and $R_{\mathscr{D}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay except possibly when $\mathcal{P}=P$, the irrelevant maximal ideal (this last condition is what we meant by "minimal"). Let L be the field of fractions of B. Then $L \otimes_B S = L[y_0, \dots, y_m]$ is a polynomial ring. R is a direct summand of S (via the Reynolds operator) and this turns out to imply that R is pure in $L \otimes_R S$. Because of this purity, the maps described in Proposition A, which are zero by Proposition A, are also injective, and one finds that $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, $i \ge 1$, where X = Proj(R). [We note that Proposition A itself is proved by a reduction to characteristic p.] By "minimality" the local rings of X are Cohen-Macaulay and one can use Serre-Grothendieck duality to show that $R^{(d)} = \sum_{n\geq 0} R_{nd}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for all large d. In the final stages of the proof, we show that R itself is Cohen-Macaulay by reducing to characteristic p a second time. (An important point is that in characteristic p we can take $d=p^e$ for large e and then embed $R \rightarrow R^{(d)}$ by using the Frobenius.) A key technical lemma which we use repeatedly in the reductions to characteristic p and which generalizes the usual statements about generic flatness is

LEMMA. Let A be a Noetherian domain, R an A-algebra of finite type, S an R-algebra of finite type, E an S-module of finite type, and M an R-submodule of E of finite type. Then there is an $a \in A - \{0\}$ such that E_a/M_a is A_a -free.

The proof of the characteristic p Theorem is easier and uses local cohomology analogues of the arguments in the proof of the Main Theorem.

4. Concluding remarks.

REMARK 1. The regularity of S is essential in the statement of the Main Theorem. There are counterexamples when G=GL(1, K) and S is a graded Cohen-Macaulay Gorenstein UFD. But the regularity of S is used in an apparently rather nongeometric way: it is only used to show that the Frobenius in a certain auxiliary ring, after reducing to characteristic p>0, is flat (cf. [8]).

REMARK 2. The fact that reduction to characteristic p seems to be essential in the proof of the Main Theorem is odd, because the Main Theorem is primarily a characteristic 0 theorem. There are very few linearly reductive groups in characteristic p>0. See [12]. We note that in [13] techniques related to ours are used to settle a number of other questions.

REFERENCES

- 1. A. Altman and S. Kleiman, *Introduction to Grothendieck duality theory*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 146, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1970. MR 43 #224.
- 2. M. Hochster, Rings of invariants of tori, Cohen-Macaulay rings generated by monomials, and polytopes, Ann. of Math. (2) 96 (1972), 318-337.
- 3. ——, Grassmannians and their Schubert subvarieties are arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay, J. Algebra 25 (1973), 40-57.
- 4. ——, Grade-sensitive modules and perfect modules, Proc. London Math. Soc. (to appear).
- 5. M. Hochster and J. A. Eagon, A class of perfect determinantal ideals, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 76 (1970), 1026–1029. MR 42 #1814.
- 6. ——, Cohen-Macaulay rings, invariant theory, and the generic perfection of determinantal loci, Amer. J. Math. 93 (1971), 1020–1058.
- 7. M. Hochster and J. L. Roberts, Rings of invariants of reductive groups acting on regular rings are Cohen-Macaulay, Advances in Math. (to appear).
- 8. E. Kunz, Characterization of regular local rings for characteristic p, Amer. J. Math. 91 (1969), 772-784. MR 40 #5609.
- 9. R. E. Kutz, Cohen-Macaulay rings and ideal theory in rings of invariants of algebraic groups, Thesis, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minn., 1971.
- 10. D. Laksov, The arithmetic Cohen-Macaulay character of Schubert schemes, Acta Math. 129 (1972), 1-9.
- 11. C. Musili, Postulation formula for Schubert varieties, J. Indian Math. Soc. 36 (1972), 143-171.
- 12. M. Nagata, Complete reducibility of rational representations of a matric group, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 1 (1961/62), 87-99. MR 26 #236.
- 13. C. Peskine and L. Szpiro, *Dimension projective finie et cohomologie locale*, Thesis, Orsay, 1971 (Série A, No. d'ordre 781), Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 42 (1973), 323-395.
- 14. H. Weyl, The classical groups. Their invariants and representations, 2nd ed., Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1946.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55455

Current address (Melvin Hochster): Mathematisch Institut, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

(After July 1, 1974) Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, West LaFayette, Indiana 47907