

## A NOTE ON THE SCHMIDT-REMAK THEOREM

FRED KIOKEMEISTER

Let  $G$  be a group with operator domain  $\Omega$ . We shall say that  $G$  satisfies the modified maximal condition for  $\Omega$ -subgroups if the chain  $H_1 \subset H_2 \subset \dots \subset H \neq G$  is finite whenever  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H$  are  $\Omega$ -subgroups of  $G$ .

Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  be a countable set of groups. The direct product of  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  will be defined to be the set of elements  $(a_1, a_2, \dots)$  where  $a_i$  is an element of  $A_i$  for  $i=1, 2, \dots$ , and where but a finite number of the  $a_i$  are not the identity elements of the groups in which they lie. A product in the group is defined by the usual component-wise composition of two elements. This group will have the symbol  $A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots$ .

The following theorem is in a sense a generalization of the Schmidt-Remak theorem.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $G$  be a group with operator domain  $\Omega$ , and let  $\Omega$  contain the inner automorphisms of  $G$ . Let  $G = A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots$  where each of the  $\Omega$ -subgroups  $A_i$  is directly indecomposable, and each satisfies the minimal condition and the modified maximal condition for  $\Omega$ -subgroups. Then if  $G = B_1 \times B_2 \times \dots$  is a second direct product decomposition of  $G$  into indecomposable factors, the number of factors will be the same as the number of the  $A_i$ . Further the  $A_i$  may be so rearranged that  $A_i \cong B_j$ , and for any  $j$*

$$G = B_1 \times B_2 \times \dots \times B_j \times A_{i+1} \times A_{i+2} \times \dots$$

A proof of the theorem can be based on any standard proof of the Schmidt-Remak theorem such as that given by Jacobson<sup>1</sup> or by Zassenhaus<sup>2</sup> with but slight changes in the two fundamental lemmas.

We state the following lemmas for a group  $G$  with operator domain  $\Omega$ , and we assume that for  $G$  and  $\Omega$ :

- (1)  $\Omega$  contains all inner automorphisms of  $G$ .
- (2)  $G$  satisfies the minimal condition and the modified maximal condition for  $\Omega$ -subgroups.
- (3)  $G$  is indecomposable into the direct product of  $\Omega$ -subgroups.

---

Presented to the Society, April 27, 1946; received by the editors January 28, 1946, and, in revised form, March 19, 1947.

<sup>1</sup> Nathan Jacobson, *The theory of rings*, Mathematical Surveys, vol. 2, New York, 1943.

<sup>2</sup> H. Zassenhaus, *Lehrbuch der Gruppentheorie*, Leipzig, 1937.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $\alpha$  be an  $\Omega$ -operator of  $G$ . If there exists in  $G$  an element  $h$  not equal to the identity of  $G$  such that  $h^\alpha = h$ , then  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of  $G$ .*

This lemma follows by the usual arguments. It is only necessary to note that the fixed point  $h$  is sufficient to guarantee that the union of the kernels of the operators  $\alpha, \alpha^2, \dots$  is not  $G$ , and that the modified maximal condition then yields that this union is the kernel of some  $\alpha^k$ .

LEMMA 2. *Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$  be addible  $\Omega$ -operators such that if  $g$  is an element of  $G$ , then there exists an integer  $N(g)$  such that  $g^{\alpha_i} = e$ , the identity element of  $G$ , for all  $i > N(g)$ . If  $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots$  is an automorphism of  $G$  then, for some  $k, \alpha_k$  is an automorphism of  $G$ .*

Let  $g$  be an element of  $G, g \neq e$ . Let  $\beta_1 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_N, \beta_2 = \alpha_{N+1} + \alpha_{N+2} + \dots$  where  $N = N(g)$ . Thus  $\alpha = \beta_1 + \beta_2$  and  $g^{\beta_2} = e$ . We may assume that  $\alpha$  is the identity operator. Then  $g = g^\alpha = g^{\beta_1} g^{\beta_2} = g^{\beta_1}$ . The group  $G$  and the operator  $\beta_1$  satisfy the conditions of Lemma 1, and  $\beta_1$  is an automorphism of  $G$ .

Similarly let  $\gamma = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_{N-1}$ . Then  $\beta_1 = \gamma + \alpha_N$ . We may assume that  $\beta_1$  is the identity operator. If  $\alpha_N$  is not an automorphism of  $G$ , the kernel of  $\alpha_N$  must contain an element  $h \neq e$ , since  $G$  satisfies the minimal condition. Again we may show that  $\gamma$  is an automorphism of  $G$ . A repetition of this argument establishes the lemma.

By reference to Lemma 2 the cited proofs of the Schmidt-Remak theorem can be made to yield the following: To each  $B_i$  there corresponds a group  $A_{\alpha_i}$  where  $\alpha_i$  is a positive integral subscript such that  $\alpha_i = \alpha_k$  implies  $i = k$  and  $A_{\alpha_i}$  is operator isomorphic with  $B_i$  for all  $i$ . Further

$$G = B_1 \times B_2 \times \dots \times B_j \times A_{\beta_1} \times A_{\beta_2} \times \dots$$

where  $\beta_n \neq \alpha_i$  for any  $n$  or  $i$ , and where the set of integers  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_j, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots\}$  is the set of all positive integers. Let  $A_m$  contain the element  $g \neq e$ . Then for some  $M, g$  is an element of the group  $B_1 \times B_2 \times \dots \times B_M$ , and since

$$(B_1 \times B_2 \times \dots \times B_M) \cap (A_{\beta_1} \times A_{\beta_2} \times \dots) = e,$$

$m \neq \beta_k$  for all  $k$ . Thus for some  $i, 1 \leq i \leq M$ , we have  $m = \alpha_i$ , and the set of integers  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots\}$  includes all subscripts. There then exists a reordering of these subscripts such that  $\alpha_i = i$ .