

## A GENERALIZATION OF STEINER'S FORMULAE

E. VIDAL ABASCAL

Let  $C$  be an arbitrary convex curve in the plane of length  $L$  and area  $F$ ; and let  $C_\rho$  be a curve parallel to  $C$  at a distance  $\rho$  from it, of length  $L_\rho$  and area  $F_\rho$ . Then according to Steiner's classical result:

$$L_\rho = L + 2\pi\rho, \quad F_\rho = F + \rho L + \pi\rho^2.$$

In this paper we develop a generalization of these formulae for curves lying on a curved surface whose curvature  $K(v^1, v^2)$  (referred to geodesic parallel coordinates) is a function of  $v^2$  alone. Explicit formulae are derived in the case of surfaces of constant curvature. In this treatment it is necessary to put certain restrictions on the curve  $C$  and the distance  $\rho$  to replace Steiner's assumption of convexity. These restrictions (which are discussed below) are stated in their most obvious form, and a discussion of methods of relaxing them is deferred to a later paper. Our chief results are contained in the formulae (12) and (15) below.

Let the curve  $C$  be a simple, closed, bounding, and differentiable curve on the surface  $S$ . Choose a coordinate system in which  $v^1=0$  is the curve  $C$ , and in which  $v^2=\text{constant}$  are the geodesics orthogonal to  $C$ . Further let  $v^2$  be the arc length of  $C$  measured positively for motion on the curve which keeps the bounded area to the left, and let  $v^1$  be the arc length of geodesics normal to  $C$  measured positively outward from  $C$ . Choose the unit normals to  $C$  so that they point toward the interior of  $C$ . Then we have:

$$(1) \quad ds^2 = (dv^1)^2 + g_{22}(v^1, v^2)(dv^2)^2; \quad g_{22}(0, v^2) = 1.$$

For the moment we ignore the question of determining the region of  $S$  within which such a coordinate system is valid, and proceed to compute  $(g_{22})^{1/2}$ . In this coordinate system we have the following relations (see L. P. Eisenhart *An introduction to differential geometry*, pp. 181 and 188)

$$(2) \quad \frac{\partial^2(g_{22})^{1/2}}{\partial v^1 \partial v^1} + K(g_{22})^{1/2} = 0,$$

$$(3) \quad \kappa_\theta(v^2) = \left[ \frac{\partial(g_{22})^{1/2}}{\partial v'} \right]_{v^1=0},$$

---

Received by the editors December 26, 1946.

where  $\kappa_g$  is the geodesic curvature of  $C$ .

We assume that  $K$  is a differentiable function of  $v^2$ , that it is independent of  $v^1$ , and that it is never zero. Then the integration of (2) gives:

$$(4) \quad (g_{22})^{1/2} = f(v^2) \sin [v^1(K(v^2))^{1/2}] + h(v^2) \cos [v^1(K(v^2))^{1/2}].$$

If  $K(v^2)$  is negative, complex numbers are introduced, and  $f$  and  $h$  must be so chosen that the resulting value of  $(g_{22})^{1/2}$  is real. From (3) we find that

$$(5) \quad \kappa_g(v^2) = \left\{ f(v^2)(K(v^2))^{1/2} \cos [v^1(K(v^2))^{1/2}] - h(v^2)(K(v^2))^{1/2} \sin [v^1(K(v^2))^{1/2}] \right\}_{v^1=0}$$

or  $\kappa_g(v^2) = f(v^2)(K(v^2))^{1/2}$ . Hence

$$(6) \quad f(v^2) = \frac{\kappa_g(v^2)}{(K(v^2))^{1/2}}.$$

Furthermore equation (4) must be valid along  $C$ , on which  $v^1=0$  and  $(g_{22})^{1/2}=1$ . Therefore from (4),  $h(v^2)=1$ . Hence:

$$(7) \quad (g_{22})^{1/2} = \frac{\kappa_g(v^2)}{(K(v^2))^{1/2}} \sin [v^1(K(v^2))^{1/2}] + \cos [v^1(K(v^2))^{1/2}].$$

The chosen coordinate system will fail to be valid whenever (1)  $(g_{22})^{1/2} \leq 0$ ; or (2) when  $v^1$  is so large that the region described overlaps itself. The second difficulty may be overcome by considering overlapping portions to be on separate covering sheets of  $S$  (as in a Riemann surface), but we must assume that  $(g_{22})^{1/2} > 0$ . We let  $C_\rho$  be the closed curve  $v^1 = \rho$  (const.) and restrict ourselves to the interior of  $C_\rho$ . Hence we require that:

$$(8) \quad \frac{\kappa_g(v^2)}{(K(v^2))^{1/2}} \sin [v^1(K(v^2))^{1/2}] + \cos [v^1(K(v^2))^{1/2}] > 0$$

for all  $v^2$  and for  $0 \leq v^1 \leq \rho$ . Without further assumptions on  $K$ ,  $\kappa_g$ , and  $\rho$  no simplification of (8) is possible. However, for constant  $K$  the validity of (8) may be inferred from other simple assumptions as follows:

*Case 1.*  $K = \text{constant} > 0$ . Then if  $\kappa_g(v^2) > 0$  and  $0 \leq \rho \leq \pi 2K^{1/2}$  each term of (8) is positive, so (8) holds.

*Case 2.*  $K = \text{constant} < 0$ . Then (8) can more properly be written:

$$(8') \quad \frac{\kappa_g(v^2)}{(-K)^{1/2}} \sinh [v^1(-K)^{1/2}] + \cosh [v^1(-K)^{1/2}] > 0.$$

And here if  $\kappa_\rho(v^2) > 0$  and  $\rho \geq 0$ , the inequality is valid.

These are the assumptions which correspond to Steiner's requirement that  $C$  be convex, and henceforth we consider only curves  $C$  and values of  $\rho$  for which they are verified.

Then from (7) the length of  $C_\rho$  is given by:

$$(9) \quad L_\rho = \int_C (g_{22}(\rho, v^2))^{1/2} dv^2$$

or

$$(10) \quad L_\rho = \int_C \frac{\kappa_\rho(v^2)}{(K(v^2))^{1/2}} \sin [\rho(K(v^2))^{1/2}] dv^2 + \int_C \cos [\rho(K(v^2))^{1/2}] dv^2.$$

(We note that (10) holds even if  $C$  does not bound. However, the assumption that  $C$  bounds is essential for further developments.)

When  $K$  is constant, (10) may be simplified by the use of the Gauss-Bonnet formula:

$$(11) \quad \int_C \kappa_\rho(v^2) dv^2 = 2\pi - K \iint_{\text{Interior of } C} (g_{22})^{1/2} dv^1 dv^2 = 2\pi - KF.$$

Hence

$$(12) \quad L_\rho = 2\pi \frac{\sin [\rho K^{1/2}]}{K^{1/2}} - FK^{1/2} \sin [\rho K^{1/2}] + L \cos [\rho K^{1/2}].$$

When  $K$  is negative (12) may more appropriately be written:

$$(12') \quad L_\rho = 2\pi \frac{\sinh [\rho(-K)^{1/2}]}{(-K)^{1/2}} + F(-K)^{1/2} \sinh [\rho(-K)^{1/2}] \\ + L \cosh [\rho(-K)^{1/2}].$$

We note that as  $K \rightarrow 0$ , (12) and (12') approach Steiner's formula. Finally to find  $F_\rho$ , the area of  $C_\rho$ , we consider

$$(13) \quad F_\rho = F + \int_C \left\{ \int_0^\rho (g_{22}(v^1, v^2))^{1/2} dv^1 \right\} dv^2$$

or

$$(14) \quad F_\rho = F + \int_C \left\{ \int_0^\rho \kappa_\rho(v^2) \frac{\sin [v^1(K(v^2))^{1/2}]}{(K(v^2))^{1/2}} dv^1 \right\} dv^2 \\ + \int_C \left\{ \int_0^\rho \cos [v^1(K(v^2))^{1/2}] dv^1 \right\} dv^2.$$

When  $K$  is constant, (14) simplifies as follows owing to (11):

$$(15) \quad F_\rho = L \frac{\sin [\rho K^{1/2}]}{K^{1/2}} - 2\pi \left( \frac{\cos [\rho K^{1/2}] - 1}{K} \right) + F \cos [\rho K^{1/2}].$$

When  $K$  is negative (15) may more appropriately be written:

$$(15') \quad F_\rho = L \frac{\sinh [\rho(-K)^{1/2}]}{(-K)^{1/2}} - 2\pi \left( \frac{\cosh [\rho(-K)^{1/2}] - 1}{K} \right) + F \cosh [\rho(-K)^{1/2}].$$

We again note that if  $K \rightarrow 0$ , formulae (15) and (15') approach Steiner's result.

OBSERVATORIE DE SANTIAGO DE COMPOSTELA