A COMBINATORIAL FORMULA WITH SOME APPLICATIONS

L. CHING-SIUR HSU

The aim of this note is to present a combinatorial formula and state its applications to partitions, number of solutions, and Dirichlet's integral.

Let $\theta_1(x)$, \cdots , $\theta_n(x)$ be n arbitrary functions of x and let ${}_m \mathfrak{S}_{a_1 \cdots a_n}^{b_1 \cdots b_n}([\theta_1] \cdots [\theta_n])$ be defined by

(1)
$$= \sum_{\substack{m \in a_1 \cdots a_n \\ a_1 \cdots a_n}} ([\theta_1] \cdots [\theta_n])$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{x_1 + \cdots + x_n = m, a_1 \leq x_1 \leq b_1 \cdots a_n \leq b_n}} \theta_1(x_1) \cdots \theta_n(x_n),$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n$, m are all integers and the right-hand side of (1) is summed over all different integral solutions of $x_1 + \dots + x_n = m$ with $a_1 \le x_1 \le b_1, \dots, a_n \le x_n \le b_n$.

More generally we define

$$(2) = \sum_{\substack{a_1 \cdots a_n \\ a_1 \cdots a_n \\ (2)}} \frac{b_1 \cdots b_n}{a_1 \cdots a_n} (\left[\theta_1\right]^{n_1} \cdots \left[\theta_k\right]^{n_k}) = \sum_{\substack{x_{11} + \cdots + x_{1n_1} + \cdots + x_{kn_k} = m, a_1 \leq x_{1i} \leq b_1, \cdots, a_k \leq x_{ki} \leq b_k \\ \cdots \theta_1(x_{1n_1}) \cdots \theta_k(x_{k1}) \cdots \theta_k(x_{kn_k})}.$$

We make the following conventions:1

- (A) $_m \mathfrak{S}_a^b([\theta]^n) = 0$ for m < na or m > nb.
- (B) $_m\mathfrak{S}([\theta]^0) = 0$ if $m \neq 0$, $_m\mathfrak{S}([\theta]^0) = 1$ if m = 0.
- (C) If $a_1 = \cdots = a_n = a$, $b_1 = \cdots = b_n = b$, we write

$$_{m}\mathfrak{S}_{a_{1}\cdots a_{n}}^{b_{1}\cdots b_{n}}([\theta_{1}]\cdots [\theta_{n}])$$
 as $_{m}\mathfrak{S}_{a}^{b}([\theta_{1}]\cdots [\theta_{n}]).$

We now show that2

$$\sum_{\nu_{1}=0}^{n_{1}} \sum_{\nu_{2}=0}^{n_{2}} \cdots \sum_{\nu_{k}=0}^{n_{k}} (-1)^{\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}+\cdots+\nu_{k}} C_{n_{1},\nu_{1}} C_{n_{2},\nu_{2}} C_{n_{k},\nu_{k}}
\cdot {}_{m'} \mathfrak{S}_{1} ([\phi_{1}]^{\nu_{1}} [\psi_{1}]^{n_{1}-\nu_{1}} \cdots [\phi_{k}]^{\nu_{k}} [\psi_{k}]^{n_{k}-\nu_{k}})
= {}_{m} \mathfrak{S}_{a_{1}\cdots a_{k}}^{b_{1}\cdots b_{k}} ([\theta_{1}]^{n_{1}} \cdots [\theta_{k}]^{n_{k}}),$$

where $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k$ are k arbitrary functions of x and $\phi_i(x) = \theta_i(x+b_i)$,

Received by the editors June 2, 1944.

¹ These conventions are used in proving (3) and other formulas.

² The formula (3) shows that all the strong restrictions for x can be removed.

$$\psi_{i}(x) = \theta_{i}(x+a_{i}-1), m' = m'(\nu_{1} \cdot \cdot \cdot \nu_{k}) = m - \sum b_{i}\nu_{i} - \sum (a_{i}-1)(n_{i}-\nu_{i})$$

$$(i = 1, \cdot \cdot \cdot, k).$$

Proof. By definition we have

$$\begin{split} {}_{m'} \mathfrak{S}_{1}^{\infty}(\left[\phi_{1}\right]^{\nu_{1}}\left[\psi_{1}\right]^{n_{1}-\nu_{1}} \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \left[\phi_{k}\right]^{\nu_{k}}\left[\psi_{k}\right]^{n_{k}-\nu_{k}}) \\ &= \sum_{m_{1}+\cdots+m_{2k}=m} {}_{m_{1}} \mathfrak{S}_{b_{1}+1}^{\infty}(\left[\theta_{1}\right]^{\nu_{1}})_{m_{2}} \mathfrak{S}_{a_{1}}^{\infty}(\left[\theta_{1}\right]^{n_{1}-\nu_{1}}) \\ &\cdot \cdot \cdot_{m_{2k}-1} \mathfrak{S}_{b_{k}+1}^{\infty}(\left[\theta_{k}\right]^{\nu_{k}})_{m_{2k}} \mathfrak{S}_{a_{k}}^{\infty}(\left[\theta_{k}\right]^{n_{k}-\nu_{k}}). \end{split}$$

Let $T_i = \theta_i(x_{i1}) \cdots \theta_i(x_{in_i})$ $(i = 1, \dots, k)$, and let $T = T_1 \cdots T_k$ be a term contained in the right-hand side of (3), that is, $x_1 \ge a_1, \dots, x_k \ge a_k$. Without loss of generality we may assume $x_{11}, \dots, x_{1t_1} \ge b_1 + 1; \dots; x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kt_k} \ge b_k + 1$. Since the necessary and sufficient condition for

$$T_{i} \in {}_{m_{2i-1}}\mathfrak{S}_{b_{i}+1}^{\infty}([\theta_{i}]^{\mathbf{r}_{i}})_{m_{2i}}\mathfrak{S}_{a_{i}}^{\infty}([\theta_{i}]^{n_{i}-\mathbf{r}_{i}}) \qquad (1 \leq i \leq k)$$

is that there is a term $\theta_i(x_1) \cdots \theta_i(x_{r_i})$ of $m_{2i-1} \mathfrak{S}_{b_i+1}^{\infty}$ contained in T_i as a part while the other part $T_i/\theta_i(x_1) \cdots \theta_i(x_{r_i})$ is contained in $m_{2i} \mathfrak{S}_{a_i}^{\infty}$, the number of occurrences of T in the left-hand side of (3) is therefore given by

$$\left\{ \sum_{\nu_{1}=0}^{t_{1}} \left(-1\right)^{\nu_{1}} C_{t_{1},\nu_{1}} \right\} \left\{ \sum_{\nu_{2}=0}^{t_{2}} \left(-1\right)^{\nu_{2}} C_{t_{2},\nu_{2}} \right\} \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \left\{ \sum_{\nu_{k}=0}^{t_{k}} \left(-1\right)^{\nu_{k}} C_{t_{k},\nu_{k}} \right\} \\
= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t_{1}, \dots, t_{k} \text{ are not all zero,} \\ 1 & \text{if } t_{1} = \dots = t_{k} = 0. \end{cases}$$

We see that the term T generally vanishes except when $a_j \le x_{ji} \le b_j$ $(j=1, \dots, k)$. Hence (3) is proved.

It is directly deduced from (3) by putting $n_1 = \cdots = n_k = 1$, $n_1 + \cdots + n_k = n$ that

(4)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{k} \sum_{(\alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{k}) \in (1 \cdots n)} {}_{m'} \mathfrak{S}_{1}^{\infty} ([\phi_{\alpha_{1}}] \cdots [\phi_{\alpha_{k}}] [\psi_{\alpha_{k+1}}] \cdots [\psi_{\alpha_{n}}]) \\ = {}_{m} \mathfrak{S}_{a_{1} \cdots a_{n}}^{b_{1} \cdots b_{n}} ([\theta_{1}] \cdots [\theta_{n}]),$$

where $(\alpha_1 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \alpha_k \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \alpha_n) = (1 \cdot \cdot \cdot n)$, $m' = m'(\alpha_1 \cdot \cdot \cdot \alpha_k) = m + n - k$ $-(b_{\alpha_1} + \cdot \cdot \cdot + b_{\alpha_k} + a_{\alpha_{k+1}} + \cdot \cdot \cdot + a_{\alpha_n})$.

Let F be an arbitrary function of $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ and let $_m \mathcal{E}_{a_1 \dots a_n}^{b_1 \dots b_n} \{ F(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \}$ be defined by

$${\scriptstyle m \bigotimes_{a_{1} \cdots a_{n}}^{b_{1} \cdots b_{n}} \left\{ F(\theta_{1}, \cdots, \theta_{n}) \right\}} = \sum_{\substack{x_{1} + \cdots + x_{n} = m, a_{1} \leq x_{1} \leq b_{1}, \cdots, a_{n} \leq x_{n} \leq b_{n}}} F(\theta_{1}(x_{1}), \cdots, \theta_{n}(x_{n})).$$

Then, the formula (4) can be written more generally as

(5)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{k} \sum_{(\alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{k}) \in (1 \cdots n)} {}_{m'} \mathfrak{S}_{1}^{\infty} \left\{ F(\phi_{\alpha_{1}}, \cdots, \phi_{\alpha_{k}}, \psi_{\alpha_{k+1}}, \cdots, \psi_{\alpha_{n}}) \right\}$$

$$= {}_{m} \mathfrak{S}_{a_{1} \cdots a_{n}}^{b_{1} \cdots b_{n}} \left\{ F(\theta_{1}, \cdots, \theta_{n}) \right\}.$$

If, for every $(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k)$, the limit

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} {}_{m'}\mathfrak{S}_{1}^{\infty}\left\{F(\phi_{\alpha_{1}},\cdots,\phi_{\alpha_{k}},\psi_{\alpha_{k+1}},\cdots,\psi_{\alpha_{n}})\right\} \qquad (m'=m'(\alpha_{1}\cdots\alpha_{k}))$$

exists, then we have further

$$\sum_{(6)^{k=0}}^{n} (-1)^{k} \sum_{(\alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{k}) \in (1 \cdots n)} \lim_{m \to \infty} {}_{m} \cdot \mathfrak{S}_{1}^{\infty} \left\{ F(\phi_{\alpha_{1}}, \cdots, \phi_{\alpha_{k}}, \psi_{\alpha_{k+1}}, \cdots, \psi_{\alpha_{n}}) \right\}$$

$$= \lim_{m \to \infty} {}_{m} \mathfrak{S}_{a_{1} \cdots a_{n}}^{b_{1} \cdots b_{n}} \left\{ F(\theta_{1}, \cdots, \theta_{n}) \right\}.$$

We shall now state some applications of the above formulas.

Application to partitions. We denote by $p_n(m)$ the number of partitions of m into parts not exceeding n or into at most n parts. Let $\{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_n\}$ be an ordered partition of m, namely,

$$m = \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \cdots + \beta_n, \quad \beta_1 \ge \beta_2 \ge \cdots \ge \beta_n.$$

We further denote by $p_{a_1 \cdots a_n}^{b_1 \cdots b_n}(m)$ the number of ordered partitions, $\{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_n\}$'s, of m into exactly n parts which are restricted to $a_1 \leq \beta_1 \leq b_1$, $a_2 \leq \beta_2 \leq b_2$, \cdots , $a_n \leq \beta_n \leq b_n$. We have then

(7)
$$p_{a_1 \cdots a_n}^{b_1 \cdots b_n}(m) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \sum_{(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k) \in \{1 \cdots n\}} p_n(m-k-b_{\alpha_1} - \cdots - b_{\alpha_k} - a_{\alpha_{k+1}} - \cdots - a_{\alpha_n}),$$

where the $p_n(m)$'s can be evaluated by the generating function

(8)
$$G_n(x) = \frac{1}{(1-x)(1-x^2)\cdots(1-x^n)} = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} p_n(m)x^m.$$

Proof of (7). Starting with (5) we define

$$\theta_i(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a_i \leq x \leq b_i, \\ 0 & \text{if } x < a_i \text{ or } b_i < x, \end{cases} (i = 1 \cdot \cdot \cdot n),$$

$$F(\theta_1(x_1), \dots, \theta_n(x_n))$$

$$= \begin{cases} \theta_1(x_1) \dots \theta_n(x_n) & \text{if the order } x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_n \text{ holds,} \\ 0 & \text{if the order } x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_n \text{ does not hold.} \end{cases}$$

Thus we see that

$$_{m}\mathfrak{S}_{a_{1}\cdots a_{n}}^{b_{1}\cdots b_{n}}\left\{F(\theta_{1},\cdots,\theta_{n})\right\} = p_{a_{1}\cdots a_{n}}^{b_{1}\cdots b_{n}}(m).$$

Similarly

$$m' \mathfrak{S}_{1}^{\infty} \left\{ F(\phi_{\alpha_{1}}, \cdots, \phi_{\alpha_{k}}, \psi_{\alpha_{k+1}}, \cdots, \psi_{\alpha_{n}}) \right\}$$

$$= p_{n}(m - b_{\alpha_{1}} - \cdots - b_{\alpha_{k}} - a_{\alpha_{k+1}} - \cdots - a_{\alpha_{n}} - k).$$

Hence (7) is proved.

It may be noted that the formula (7) still holds when $p_{a_1...a_n}^{b_1...b_n}(m)$ denotes the number of partitions of m into n parts which are restricted to more conditions than

$$\beta_1 \geq \beta_2 \geq \cdots \geq \beta_n$$
; $a_1 \leq \beta_1 \leq b_1, \cdots, a_n \leq \beta_n \leq b_n$.

Application to number of solutions. Let $A_{a_1...a_n}^{b_1...b_n}(N)$ denote the number of integral (or prime) solutions of the equation

$$x_1^k + x_2^k + \cdots + x_n^k = N$$

with $a_1 \le x_1 < b_1$, $a_2 \le x_2 < b_2$, \cdots , $a_n \le x_n < b_n$, then by (5) we have

$$(9) \quad A_{a_{1} \cdots a_{n}}^{b_{1} \cdots b_{n}}(N) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{k} \sum_{\substack{(p_{1} \cdots p_{k}) \in (1 \cdots n)}} A_{b_{p_{1}} \cdots b_{p_{k}} a_{p_{k+1}} \cdots a_{p_{n}}}^{\infty}(N),$$

where $(\nu_1 \cdot \cdot \cdot \nu_k \cdot \cdot \cdot \nu_n) = (1 \cdot \cdot \cdot n)$.

We shall now proceed to find an asymptotic formula concerning the number of integral solutions of a linear equation with integral coefficients.

Let A(N) denote the number of integral solutions of the equation

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k x_k = N \qquad (c_1 \ge 1, \cdots, c_n \ge 1)$$

under the restrictions $\alpha_k N < x_k < \beta_k N$ $(k = 1, \dots, n)$, where c_1, \dots, c_n are relatively prime to each other, α_k, β_k are real values.

When $\alpha_k = 0$, $\beta_k = 1$ $(k = 1, \dots, n)$ it is well known that³

(10)
$$A(N) = N^{n-1}/c_1 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot c_n \cdot (n-1)! + O(N^{n-2}).$$

Define

$$\theta_i(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \text{ is divisible by } c_i \text{ and the inequality } \alpha_i c_i N < x < \beta_i c_i N \text{ holds,} \\ & (1 \le i \le n) \\ 0 & \text{if } x \text{ is not divisible by } c_i \text{ or the inequality does not hold.} \end{cases}$$

³ The proof of (10) can be obtained easily by the method of partial fractions.

Thus by (4) and (10) we obtain the following consequence.⁴ Let $S_k = \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_k) \in (1, \dots, n)} \phi_{r_1, \dots, r_k}$, where

$$\phi_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_k} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } (1 - \beta_{\nu_1} c_{\nu_1} - \dots - \alpha_{\nu_n} c_{\nu_n}) \leq 0, \\ (1 - \beta_{\nu_1} c_{\nu_1} - \dots - \beta_{\nu_k} c_{\nu_k} - \alpha_{\nu_{k+1}} c_{\nu_{k+1}} - \dots - \alpha_{\nu_n} c_{\nu_n})^{n-1} \\ & \text{for } (1 - \beta_{\nu_1} c_{\nu_1} - \dots - \alpha_{\nu_n} c_{\nu_n}) > 0. \end{cases}$$

Then

(11)
$$\frac{A(N)}{N^{n-1}} = \frac{S_0 - S_1 + \cdots \pm S_n}{c_1 \cdots c_n \cdot (n-1)!} + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right).$$

Evidently (11) may be seen as a generalization of (10). If $c_1 = \cdots = c_n = 1$, $a_k \le x_k \le b_k$ $(k = 1, \cdots, n)$, we can express A(N) more precisely as⁵

$$(12) \ A(N) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{k} \sum_{(\nu_{1} \cdots \nu_{k}) \in (1 \cdots n)} C_{N'(k) + (a_{\nu_{1}} + \cdots + a_{\nu_{k}}) - (b_{\nu_{1}} + \cdots + b_{\nu_{k}}), n-1}$$

where $N'(k) = N + n - k - 1 - (a_1 + \cdots + a_n)$.

Application to Dirichlet's integral. The following theorem is well known.

Let

$$I_{(0)} = \int \int_{D} \cdots \int x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}-1} x_{2}^{\alpha_{2}-1} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}-1} \cdot f(x_{1} + x_{2} + \cdots + x_{n}) dx_{1} dx_{2} \cdots dx_{n},$$

where the variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are restricted to the region

$$D: 0 \le k_1 \le x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n \le k_2; \ 0 \le x_1, 0 \le x_2, \cdots, 0 \le x_n.$$

Then the integral $I_{(0)}$ can be reduced to the form

$$(13) I_{(0)} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1)\Gamma(\alpha_2)\cdots\Gamma(\alpha_n)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\cdots+\alpha_n)} \int_{k_1}^{k_2} u^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\cdots+\alpha_n-1} f(u) du.$$

We shall now extend the formula (13). Let

$$I \begin{Bmatrix} b_1, \cdots, b_n \\ x_1, \cdots, x_n \\ a_1, \cdots, a_n \end{Bmatrix} = \int \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cdots \int x_1^{\alpha_1 - 1} x_2^{\alpha_2 - 1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n - 1} \\ \cdot f(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n) dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n,$$

⁴ The detailed proof of this is omitted.

⁵ The formula (12) can be obtained also by considering two generating functions.

where the region R is defined as the set of all points $(x_1 \cdot \cdot \cdot x_n)$ such that

$$0 \le a_i \le x_i \le b_i; \qquad x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n \le k.$$

Since the Dirichlet integral $I_{(0)}$ can be considered as a limit of multiple summations with variable upper limits, by applying (6) we have

$$I \begin{Bmatrix} b_1 \cdots b_n \\ x_1 \cdots x_n \\ a_1 \cdots a_n \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \sum_{(\nu_1 \cdots \nu_j) \in (1 \cdots n)} I \begin{Bmatrix} \infty \cdots \infty \infty \cdots \infty \\ x_{\nu_1} \cdots x_{\nu_j} x_{\nu_{j+1}} \cdots x_{\nu_n} \\ b_{\nu_1} \cdots b_{\nu_j} a_{\nu_{j+1}} \cdots a_{\nu_n} \end{Bmatrix}.$$

We have to establish a formula for

$$I\left\{\begin{matrix} \infty & \cdots & \infty \\ x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ c_1 & \cdots & c_n \end{matrix}\right\} \qquad (c_1 \geq 0, \cdots, c_n \geq 0).$$

Let $I_{(1\cdots s)}$ denote the integral

$$\frac{\Gamma(\alpha_{s+1})\cdots\Gamma(\alpha_{n})}{\Gamma(\alpha_{s+1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n})} \int_{0}^{\min(c_{1},k)} x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}-1} dx_{1} \int_{0}^{\min(c_{2},k-x_{1})} x_{2}^{\alpha_{2}-1} dx_{2}$$

$$\cdots \int_{0}^{\min(c_{s},k-x_{1}-\cdots-x_{s}-1)} x_{s}^{\alpha_{s}-1} dx_{s}$$

$$\int_{0}^{k-x_{1}-\cdots-x_{s}} u^{\alpha_{s+1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}-1} f(u+x_{1}+\cdots+x_{s}) du.$$

Now, by (14) it can be shown that

(15)
$$I \begin{Bmatrix} \infty & \cdots & \infty \\ x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ c_1 & \cdots & c_n \end{Bmatrix} = I_{(0)} - \sum_{(i) \in (1 \cdots n)}^{C_{n,1}} I_{(i)} + \sum_{(ij) \in (1 \cdots n)}^{C_{n,2}} I_{(ij)} - \cdots + (-1)^n I_{(1 \cdots n)},$$

where

$$I_{(0)} = I \begin{Bmatrix} \infty & \cdots & \infty \\ x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{Bmatrix},$$

$$I_{(1...n)} = \int_0^{\min(c_1,k)} \cdots \int_0^{\min(c_n,k-x_1-\cdots-x_{n-1})} x_1^{\alpha_1-1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n-1} dx_1 \cdots dx_n.$$

To prove (15), the formal logic theorem is also applicable.

Consider a differential $\bar{x}_1^{\alpha_1-1} \cdots \bar{x}_n^{\alpha_n-1} f(\bar{x}_1 + \cdots + \bar{x}_n) d\bar{x}_1 \cdots d\bar{x}_n$. We may assume that $\bar{x}_{\nu_1} \leq c_{\nu_1}, \cdots, \bar{x}_{\nu_t} \leq c_{\nu_t}, \bar{x}_{\nu_{t+1}} > c_{\nu_{t+1}}, \cdots, \bar{x}_{\nu_n} > c_{\nu_n}, (\nu_1 \cdots \nu_n) = (1 \cdots n)$.

Since the integral is a limit of multiple summations and may be written as

$$I_{(1\cdots s)} = \int_{0}^{\min(c_{1},k)} x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}-1} dx_{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{\min(c_{s},k-x_{1}-\cdots-x_{s-1})} x_{s}^{\alpha_{s}-1} dx_{s}$$

$$\cdot \int_{R_{1}} \cdots \int x_{s+1}^{\alpha_{s}+1-1} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}-1} f(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}) dx_{s+1} \cdots dx_{n}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{c_{1}} \cdots \int_{0}^{c_{s}} \int_{R_{2}(x_{1}\cdots x_{n})} \cdots \int x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}-1} \cdots x_{s}^{\alpha_{s}-1} x_{s+1}^{\alpha_{s}+1} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}$$

$$\cdot f(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}) dx_{1} \cdots dx_{n},$$

where

$$R_1(x_{s+1}\cdots x_n)$$
: $0 \le x_{s+1} + \cdots + x_n \le k - (x_1 + \cdots + x_s)$; $x_{s+1}, \cdots, x_n \ge 0$,

$$R_2(x_1 \cdots x_n): \quad 0 \leq x_1 \leq c_1, \cdots, 0 \leq x_s \leq c_s;$$

$$0 \leq x_1 + \cdots + x_n \leq k; \qquad x_{s+1}, \cdots, x_n \geq 0,$$

we see that the differential $\bar{x}_1^{\alpha_1-1} \cdot \cdot \cdot \bar{x}_n^{\alpha_n-1} f(\bar{x}_1 + \cdot \cdot \cdot + \bar{x}_n) d\bar{x}_1 \cdot \cdot \cdot d\bar{x}_n$ appears exactly $C_{t,s}$ times in $\sum_{(\nu_1,\dots,\nu_s)\in(1,\dots,n)} I_{(\nu_1,\dots,\nu_s)}$. Therefore the number of occurrences of the given differential in the right-hand side of (15) is equal to

$$C_{t,0}-C_{t,1}+\cdots+(-1)^{t}C_{t,t}=\begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t=0,\\ 0 & \text{if } t>0. \end{cases}$$

Hence the formula (15) is proved.

The integral $I_{(1...s)}$ may be calculated by dividing the limits of the integral and integrating it separately.

It is seen that the integral $I_{(1...s)}$ can be written also in the form:

$$\frac{\Gamma(\alpha_{s+1})\cdots\Gamma(\alpha_{n})}{\Gamma(\alpha_{s+1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n})} \int_{0}^{c_{1}} x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}-1} dx_{1} \int_{0}^{c_{2}} x_{2}^{\alpha_{2}-1} dx_{2} \cdots \int_{0}^{c_{s}} x_{s}^{\alpha_{s}-1} dx_{s}$$

$$\cdot \int_{0}^{[(k-x_{1}-\cdots-x_{s})+|k-x_{1}-\cdots-x_{s}|]/2} u^{\alpha_{s+1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}-1} f(u+x_{1}+\cdots+x_{s}) du.$$

Connecting (14) with (15), we see that it is a generalization of Dirichlet's integral $I_{(0)}$ with $k_2=0$, $k_1=k$ in (13).

It may be noted that the formula (14) is also called Liouville's extension and the integral regions D and R can be defined also by

D:
$$0 \le k_1 \le a_1 x_1^{p_1} + \cdots + a_n x_n^{p_n} \le k_2$$
, $0 \le x_i$;
R: $0 \le a_i \le x_i \le b_i$, $d_1 x_1^{q_1} + \cdots + d_n x_n^{q_n} \le k$.

SOUTHWEST ASSOCIATED UNIVERSITY, KUNMING, CHINA

TRANSFORMATIONS IN METRIC SPACES AND ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

JOHN V. WEHAUSEN

1. Introduction. It is evident that the solutions of a differential equation y' = f(t, y) passing through a point (τ, η) in the region of definition of f(t, y) may be considered as invariant functions of the transformation $Ty(t) = \eta + \int_{\tau}^{t} f(s, y(s)) ds$ when suitable restrictions are placed upon the functions y(t) considered. That such invariant functions exist for continuous f(t, y) can be made a consequence of Schauder's fixed point theorem for completely continuous transformations in bounded convex subsets of a Banach space. For f(t, y)satisfying a Lipschitz condition in y the existence and uniqueness of an invariant function can be made to follow from a fixed point theorem of Caccioppoli of an essentially simpler nature.² In the present paper we wish to show that the existence of invariant functions for continuous f(t, y) as well as several other theorems concerning solutions of differential equations can be made to follow from some theorems concerning a particular class of transformations in a complete metric space. Although the existence theorem for fixed points given

Presented to the Society, November 26, 1943; received by the editors November 15, 1943, and, in revised form, June 20, 1944.

¹ J. Schauder, Der Fixpunktsatz in Funktionalräumen, Studia Mathematica vol. 2 (1930) pp. 171–180; also, Zur Theorie stetiger Abbildungen in Funktionalräumen, Math. Zeit. vol. 26 (1927) pp. 47–65 and 417–431.

² R. Caccioppoli, Un teorema generale sull'esistenza di elementi uniti in una trasformazione funzionale, Rendiconti R. Accademia dei Lincei (6) vol. 11 (1930) pp. 794– 799; for proofs and various applications of both Caccioppoli's and Schauder's theorems we refer also to Niemytsky, Metod nepodvizhnykh Tochek v Analize, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk vol. 1 (1936) pp. 141–174.