

ON THE WEDDERBURN NORM CONDITION FOR CYCLIC ALGEBRAS*

BY A. A. ALBERT

1. *Introduction.* Let F be any non-modular field, i a root of a cyclic equation in F of degree n and with roots $\theta^r(i)$. Suppose that A is a cyclic algebra with basis

$$i^r y^s, \quad (r, s = 0, 1, \dots, n-1),$$

where

$$y^r i = \theta^r(i) y^r, \quad y^n = \gamma \text{ in } F.$$

J. H. M. Wedderburn has proved † that A is a division algebra if γ^r is not the norm, $N(a)$, of any a in $F(i)$ for every positive integer r less than n . It has never been shown, however, that this condition is a necessary one; but the problem of finding complete necessary and sufficient conditions has been reduced to the case n a power of a single prime. ‡

In the present paper cyclic algebras of order sixteen with the corresponding cyclic quartic in its canonical form §

$$\phi(\omega) \equiv \omega^4 + 2\nu(1 + \Delta^2)\omega^2 + \nu^2\Delta^2(1 + \Delta^2) = 0$$

such that ν and Δ are in F , and $\tau = 1 + \Delta^2$ is not the square of any quantity of F , are considered. The norm $N(a)$ of a polynomial in i is a rather complicated quartic form in four variables, yet we can secure the result that $\gamma^2 = N(a)$ if and only if $\gamma = \alpha^2 - \beta^2\tau$ for α and β in F , a curious property of cyclic quartic fields. When the above equation is satisfied the algebra A is expressible as a direct product of two generalized quaternion algebras. Necessary and sufficient conditions are secured that our algebras A of order sixteen be division algebras, and it is shown that for the particularly interesting case where F is *the field of all rational numbers* the Wedderburn condition is *necessary as well as sufficient*.

* Presented to the Society, December 30, 1930.

† Transactions of this Society, vol. 15 (1914), pp. 162–166.

‡ See a paper by the author, *On direct products, cyclic algebras, and pure Riemann matrices*, to appear in the Transactions of this Society, January, 1931.

§ See R. Garver, *Quartic equations with certain groups*, Annals of Mathematics, vol. 29 (1928), pp. 47–51.

2. *The Basic Theorem.* Let $F(x)$ be a cyclic quartic field. Then it is known (loc. cit.) that $F(x) = F(i)$, where i satisfies the equation

$$(1) \quad \phi(\omega) \equiv \omega^4 + 2\nu\tau\omega^2 + \nu^2\Delta^2\tau = 0,$$

with $\tau = 1 + \Delta^2$ not the square of any quantity of F and

$$(2) \quad \nu \neq 0, \quad \tau, \quad \Delta \neq 0$$

all in F . Moreover if we define u by the equation

$$(3) \quad i^2 = \nu(u - \tau),$$

then

$$(4) \quad u^2 = \tau, \quad \theta(i) = \frac{i}{\Delta}(u + 1),$$

is the polynomial whose iteratives $i = \theta^0(i) = \theta^4(i)$, $\theta(i)$, $\theta^2(i) = -i$, $\theta^3(i) = \theta(-i) = -\theta(i)$ give the four roots in $F(i)$ of $\phi(\omega) = 0$. Every quantity of $F(i)$ is expressible in the form

$$(5) \quad a = a_1 + a_2 i, \quad (a_1 \text{ and } a_2 \text{ in } F(u)),$$

and $a = 0$ if and only if $a_1 = a_2 = 0$. A quantity

$$(6) \quad a_1 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 u, \quad (\alpha_1 \text{ and } \alpha_2 \text{ in } F),$$

is zero if and only if $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$; and similarly

$$(7) \quad \alpha_1^2 - \alpha_2^2 \tau$$

vanishes if and only if $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$ by our restriction on τ .

We shall use repeatedly the following simple lemma.

LEMMA 1. *Every product of a finite number of scalars of the forms*

$$(8) \quad \lambda^2 - \mu^2 \tau,$$

$$(8') \quad (\lambda^2 - \mu^2 \tau)^{-1}, \quad \lambda^2 - \mu^2 \tau \neq 0,$$

with λ and μ in F , is expressible in the form (8) for λ and μ in F .

The truth of this is evident since

$$(\lambda_1 + \mu_1 u)(\lambda_2 + \mu_2 u) = (\lambda_1 \mu_1 + \lambda_2 \mu_2 \tau) + (\lambda_1 \mu_2 + \lambda_2 \mu_1)u,$$

and hence

$$(9) \quad (\lambda_1^2 - \mu_1^2 \tau)(\lambda_2^2 - \mu_2^2 \tau) = (\lambda_1 \mu_1 + \lambda_2 \mu_2 \tau)^2 - (\lambda_1 \mu_2 + \lambda_2 \mu_1)^2 \tau;$$

while if $\epsilon = \lambda^2 - \mu^2 \tau \neq 0$, then

$$(10) \quad \epsilon^{-1} = \epsilon^{-2} \epsilon = \epsilon^{-2} (\lambda^2 - \mu^2 \tau) = (\lambda \epsilon^{-1})^2 - (\mu \epsilon^{-1})^2 \tau.$$

Let us now assume that $\gamma \neq 0$ is a scalar in F , such that $\gamma^2 = N(a)$, where a is in the cyclic field $F(i)$. We may write $a^{(r)} = a [\theta^r(i)]$, ($r=0, 1, \dots$), whence $a'' = a(-i)$. Then $u' = -u$; and if a_1 is in $F(u)$ so that a_1 has the form $a_1 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 u$, we have $a_1 = a_1'$ and

$$(11) \quad N(a_1) = a_1 a_1' a_1'' a_1''' = (a_1 a_1')^2 = (\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_2^2 \tau)^2.$$

Let us write $\gamma^2 = N(a)$, where

$$(12) \quad a = a_2 + a_3 i, \quad (a_2 \text{ and } a_3 \text{ in } F(u)).$$

We shall first consider the case $a_3 = 0$. Then $a = a_2 = \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 u$, and

$$(13) \quad \gamma^2 = (\alpha_3^2 - \alpha_4^2 \tau)^2.$$

This equation in a field F implies that

$$(14) \quad \gamma = \pm (\alpha_3^2 - \alpha_4^2 \tau).$$

If $\gamma = \alpha_3^2 - \alpha_4^2 \tau$, we have expressed γ in the form

$$(15) \quad \gamma = \alpha^2 - \beta^2 \tau$$

with α and β in F , the result desired. Since $\tau = 1 + \Delta^2$, we have

$$(16) \quad -1 = \Delta^2 - \tau.$$

Hence if $\gamma = -(\alpha_3^2 - \alpha_4^2 \tau)$, then $\gamma = (\Delta^2 - \tau)(\alpha_3^2 - \alpha_4^2 \tau)$; and, by Lemma 1, γ has again the desired form (15).

Next let $a_3 \neq 0$. Then, if $a_3 = \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 u$, $a_1 = a_3^{-1} a_2$, we have

$$(17) \quad N(a) = N[a_3(a_1 + i)] = (\lambda_3^2 - \lambda_4^2 \tau)^2 N(a_1 + i).$$

Let $\delta = \gamma(\lambda_3^2 - \lambda_4^2 \tau)^{-1}$. Then

$$(18) \quad \delta^2 = \gamma^2 (\lambda_3^2 - \lambda_4^2 \tau)^{-2} = N(a_1 + i).$$

But if $b = a_1 + i$, then $\delta^2 = (bb'')(bb'')'$ so that if $w = \delta[(bb'')']^{-1}$, then $\delta = \delta' = w'bb''$. It follows that $\delta^2 = w w' N(b) = w w' \delta^2$. Hence

$$(19) \quad w w' = 1, \quad w = bb'' \delta^{-1}, \quad bb'' = \delta w,$$

where $w = bb''\delta^{-1} = \xi_1 + \xi_2 u$ is in $F(u)$. If $a_1 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 u$, α_1 and α_2 in F , we have, by (3),

$$\begin{aligned} bb'' &= a_1^2 - i^2 = \alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 \tau + 2\alpha_1 \alpha_2 u - \nu(u - \tau) \\ &= (\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 \tau + \nu\tau) + (2\alpha_1 \alpha_2 - \nu)u. \end{aligned}$$

From the linear independence of 1 and u this implies

$$(20) \quad \alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 \tau + \nu\tau = \delta\xi_1, \quad 2\alpha_1 \alpha_2 - \nu = \delta\xi_2.$$

We obtain $2\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \tau - \nu\tau = \delta\xi_2 \tau$, and by addition

$$(21) \quad \alpha_1^2 + 2\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \tau + \alpha_2^2 \tau = \delta(\xi_1 + \xi_2 \tau).$$

Since $1 - \tau = -\Delta^2$, if we complete the square in (21), it becomes

$$(22) \quad (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \tau)^2 + \alpha_2^2 (\tau - \tau^2) = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \tau)^2 - (\alpha_2 \Delta)^2 \tau \\ = \delta(\xi_1 + \xi_2 \tau).$$

Consider now the equation $ww' = 1$, or

$$(23) \quad \xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2 \tau = 1, \quad \xi_2^2 \tau = (\xi_1 + 1)(\xi_1 - 1).$$

Let $\xi_1 - 1 = 2\pi$, $\xi_1 + 1 = 2\sigma$. Then

$$(24) \quad 4\sigma\pi = \xi_2^2 \tau.$$

Suppose first that $\xi_1 + 1 = 0$ so that $\sigma = 0$ and $\xi_2 = 0$. Then $\xi_1 + \xi_2 \tau = \xi_1 = -1 = \Delta_1^2 - \tau$. Hence in this case we have

$$(25) \quad \xi_1 + \xi_2 \tau = \lambda_5^2 - \lambda_6^2 \tau, \quad (\lambda_5 \text{ and } \lambda_6 \text{ in } F).$$

Next let $\xi_1 + 1 \neq 0$, so that $\sigma \neq 0$; and let us define ϵ by the equation

$$(26) \quad 2\sigma\epsilon = \xi_2.$$

Then (24) gives $4\sigma\pi = 4\sigma^2 \epsilon^2 \tau$, whence

$$(27) \quad \pi = \epsilon^2 \sigma \tau.$$

But $2(\sigma - \pi) = \xi_1 + 1 - (\xi_1 - 1) = 2$, whence

$$(28) \quad 1 = \sigma - \pi = \sigma - \epsilon^2 \sigma \tau = \sigma(1 - \epsilon^2 \tau).$$

Since $1 - \epsilon^2 \tau \neq 0$, using Lemma 1, we have

$$(29) \quad \sigma = \beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2\tau, \quad (\beta_1 \text{ and } \beta_2 \text{ in } F),$$

so that $\xi_1 = \pi + \sigma = \sigma(1 + \epsilon^2\tau)$, and

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} \xi_1 + \xi_2\tau &= \sigma[(1 + \epsilon^2\tau) + 2\epsilon\tau] = \sigma[(1 + \epsilon\tau)^2 - (\epsilon\Delta)^2\tau] \\ &= (\beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2\tau)[(1 + \epsilon\tau)^2 - (\epsilon\Delta)^2\tau] = \lambda_5^2 - \lambda_6^2\tau, \end{aligned}$$

for λ_5 and λ_6 in F , by Lemma 1. Hence *in all cases* (25) is satisfied.

If we now put $\beta_3 = \alpha_1 + \tau\alpha_2$, $\beta_4 = \Delta\alpha_2$, (22) becomes

$$(31) \quad \delta(\lambda_5^2 - \lambda_6^2\tau) = \beta_3^2 - \beta_4^2\tau.$$

Suppose first that $\beta_3^2 - \beta_4^2\tau = 0$, whence $\beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0$. Then our definitions above of β_3 and β_4 evidently give $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$, and (20) take the form $\nu\tau = \delta\xi_1$, $-\nu = \delta\xi_2$. Squaring each side of both these, we may write $\nu^2\tau^2 = \delta^2\xi_1^2$, $\nu^2\tau = \delta^2\xi_2^2\tau$, whence, by subtraction and the use of the relations $1 = \xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2\tau$, $\tau = 1 + \Delta^2$, we obtain

$$(32) \quad \nu^2\tau^2 - \nu^2\tau = \tau(\nu^2\Delta^2) = \delta^2(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2\tau) = \delta^2.$$

Then $\tau = (\delta\nu^{-1}\Delta^{-1})^2$, which is a contradiction since τ is not the square of any quantity of F . Hence $\beta_3^2 - \beta_4^2\tau \neq 0$. Thus $\lambda_5^2 - \lambda_6^2\tau \neq 0$ has an inverse in F which has the form $\lambda_7^2 - \lambda_8^2\tau$ by Lemma 1, and we may write

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma &= \delta(\lambda_5^2 - \lambda_6^2\tau) = (\lambda_3^2 - \lambda_4^2\tau)(\lambda_7^2 - \lambda_8^2\tau)(\beta_3^2 - \beta_4^2\tau) \\ &= (\alpha^2 - \beta^2\tau), \end{aligned}$$

again using Lemma 1. We have proved in all cases the first part of the following statement.

THEOREM 1. *A scalar $\gamma \neq 0$ in F has the property*

$$(34) \quad \gamma^2 = N(a)$$

for a in $F(i)$, a cyclic quartic field, if and only if

$$(35) \quad \gamma = \alpha^2 - \beta^2\tau, \quad (\alpha \text{ and } \beta \text{ in } F),$$

where $F(u)$ is the quadratic subfield of $F(i)$ defined by (1) and (3), and $u^2 = \tau$.

Moreover, when $\gamma = \alpha^2 - \beta^2\tau$, we have $N(\alpha + \beta\mu) = (\alpha^2 - \beta^2\tau)^2 = \gamma^2$, which is the converse in the preceding theorem.

Suppose now that $\gamma = \alpha^2 - \beta^2\tau$ and $\gamma^2 = N(b)$. If $a = \alpha + \beta u$, so that $\gamma = aa'$, we have $\gamma^2 = N(a) = N(b)$. It follows that $b \neq 0$ and $N(ab^{-1}) = 1$, $a = wb$, where $N(w) = 1$. Thus we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 1. *Let $\gamma = aa'$, where a is in $F(u)$. Then $\gamma^2 = N(b)$ for b in $F(i)$ if and only if b is the product of a b by a unit of $F(i)$.*

Since $-1 = dd'$, where d is given in (16) and is in $F(u)$, we have also the following result.

COROLLARY 2. *The scalar $\gamma^2 = N(b)$ for b in $F(i)$ if and only if $-\gamma = ee'$ for e in $F(u)$.*

3. *The Wedderburn Norm Condition.* For a cyclic algebra of order sixteen Wedderburn's condition becomes

$$\gamma^r \neq N(a), \quad (r = 1, 2, 3).$$

It is easily shown* that if γ or γ^3 were a norm then A would not be a division algebra. Hence the only possible case is $\gamma^2 = N(a)$. By Theorem 1 this implies that $\gamma = \alpha^2 - \beta^2\tau$. Consider the sub-algebra

$$\sum = (y^r, uy^r), \quad (r = 0, 1, 2, 3),$$

an algebra of order eight with $yu = -uy$, $y^4 = \gamma$, $u^2 = \tau$ in F , $y^2u = uy^2$. We shall write

$$(36) \quad s = (e + y^2)y, \quad t = i(a_1 + y^2), \quad a_1 = \beta_1q - \beta_2u,$$

where we have used Corollary 2 to write

$$(37) \quad -\gamma = ee', \quad e = \beta_1 + \beta_2u, \quad (\beta_1 \text{ and } \beta_2 \text{ in } F),$$

and have

$$(38) \quad yi = \theta(i)y, \quad \theta(i) = qi, \quad q = \Delta^{-1}(u + 1), \quad qq' = -1,$$

since $\Delta^2qq' = (u + 1)(-u + 1) = -(1 + \Delta^2) + 1 = -\Delta^2$. We shall compute

$$\begin{aligned} st &= [(e + y^2)y][i(a_1 + y^2)] = (e + y^2)qi(a_1' + y^2)y \\ &= iq(e - y^2)(a_1' + y^2)y = iq[(ea' - \gamma) + (e - a_1')qy^2]y, \end{aligned}$$

since $ya = a'y$ for every a of $F(i)$ and $y^2i = -iy^2$. Now

* See the author's paper *On direct products, etc.*, loc. cit.

$$\begin{aligned} q(ea' - \gamma) &= q(ea' + ee') = eq(a_1' + e') \\ &= eq[\beta_1q' + \beta_2u + \beta_1 - \beta_2u] = \beta_1e[qq' + q] = \beta_1e(q - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$q(e - a_1') = q[(\beta_1 + \beta_2u) - (\beta_1q' + \beta_2u)] = \beta_1(q + 1).$$

It follows that

$$(39) \quad st = \beta_1i[e(q - 1) + (q + 1)y^2]y.$$

We have similarly

$$\begin{aligned} ts &= [i(a_1 + y^2)][(e + y^2)y] = i[(a_1e + \gamma) + (a_1 + e)y^2]y, \\ a_1e + \gamma &= a_1e - ee' = e[(\beta_1q - \beta_2u) - (\beta_1 - \beta_2u)] = \beta_1e(q - 1), \end{aligned}$$

while $a_1 + e = \beta_1q - \beta_2u + \beta_1 + \beta_2u = \beta_1(q + 1)$. We then obtain immediately from (39)

$$(40) \quad st = ts.$$

Consider the linear sets

$$(41) \quad B = (1, u, s, us), \quad C = (1, y^2, t, y^2t),$$

over F . We have the relations

$$(42) \quad su = -us, ty^2 = -y^2t; uy^2 = y^2u, ut = tu, sy^2 = y^2s, st = ts,$$

so that every quantity of B is commutative with every quantity of C . We now show that

$$(43) \quad s^2 = (e + y^2)(e' + y^2)y^2 = [(ee' + \gamma) + (e + e')y^2]y^2 = 2\beta_1\gamma,$$

since $e + e' = 2\beta_1$, $ee' = -\gamma$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} t^2 &= i^2(a_1 - y^2)(a_1 + y^2) = i^2(a_1^2 - \gamma) \\ &= i^2[\beta_1^2q^2 - 2\beta_1\beta_2qu + \beta_2^2\tau + \beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2\tau] \\ &= i^2\beta_1^2(q^2 + 1) - 2\beta_1\beta_2qui^2, \end{aligned}$$

since $\gamma = -ee'$. We have also $i^2 = \nu(u - \tau)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} i^2q &= \nu\Delta^{-1}(u - \tau)(u + 1) = \Delta^{-1}\nu(\tau - \tau + u - u\tau) \\ &= \Delta^{-1}\nu u(1 - \tau) = \Delta^{-1}\nu u(-\Delta^2) = -\Delta\nu u. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} i^2(q^2 + 1) &= i^2[\Delta^{-2}(\tau + 2u + 1) + 1] = \Delta^{-2}i^2[2u + \tau + (1 + \Delta^2)] \\ &= 2\nu\Delta^{-2}(u - \tau)(u + \tau) = 2\nu\Delta^{-2}(\tau - \tau^2) = -2\nu\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(44) \quad t^2 = 2\nu\tau\beta_1(\beta_2\Delta - \beta_1).$$

We shall assume at this point that

$$(45) \quad \beta_1 \neq 0, \quad \beta_2\Delta - \beta_1 \neq 0,$$

for otherwise either $s^2 = 0$ or $t^2 = 0$, and A is evidently not a division algebra since from their form neither s nor t is zero. As a consequence, $a_1^2 - \gamma \neq 0$ has an inverse in $F(u)$ and $e^2 - \gamma \neq 0$ has an inverse in $F(u)$ since $t^2 = t^2(a_1^2 - \gamma) \neq 0$, while if

$$e^2 - \gamma = e^2 - ee' = 0,$$

then $e(e - e') = 2\beta_1e = 0$, contrary to the hypothesis that $\beta_1 \neq 0$, so that $e \neq 0$ has an inverse in $F(u)$. The sets B and C are generalized quaternion algebras over F , since in B

$$u^2 = \tau, \quad s^2 = 2\beta_1\gamma, \quad su = -us,$$

while in C

$$(y^2)^2 = \gamma, \quad t^2 = 2\nu\tau\beta_1(\beta_2\Delta - \beta_1), \quad y^2t = -ty^2,$$

and evidently from the form of s and t the quantities $1, u, s, us$ are linearly independent in F , and the quantities $1, y^2, t, y^2t$ are linearly independent in F , when $i^\alpha y^\beta$ ($\alpha, \beta = 0, 1, 2, 3$) form a basis of A . The linear set $BC = CB$ of all sums of all products of quantities of B and quantities of C is an algebra, since a product

$$\left(\sum_{\lambda} b_{1\lambda} c_{1\lambda} \right) \left(\sum_{\mu} b_{2\mu} c_{2\mu} \right) = \sum_{\lambda, \mu} (b_{1\lambda} b_{2\mu}) (c_{1\lambda} c_{2\mu})$$

is in BC because for every λ and μ the quantities $b_{1\lambda} b_{2\mu}$ are in B and $c_{1\lambda} c_{2\mu}$ are in C . Now BC contains $F(u)$ and hence $(\gamma - a_1^2)^{-1}$, $(\gamma - e^2)^{-1}$. Since BC contains s, t, e, a_1, y^2 , and is an algebra, it contains

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma - a_1^2)^{-1}(ty^2 - a_1t) &= (\gamma - a_1^2)^{-1}i(a_1y^2 + \gamma - a_1^2 - a_1y^2) \\ &= (\gamma - a_1^2)^{-1}(\gamma - a_1^2)i = i, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma - e^2)^{-1}(y^2s - es) &= (\gamma - e^2)^{-1}[(y^2e + \gamma) - ey^2 - e^2]y \\ &= (\gamma - e^2)^{-1}(\gamma - e^2)y = y. \end{aligned}$$

But then BC contains the basis of A and has order sixteen. It follows that A is the *direct product* of B and C .

THEOREM 2. Let $\gamma^2 = N(a)$ for some a of $F(i)$ so that $-\gamma = ee'$, where $e = \beta_1 + \beta_2 u$ and β_1 and β_2 are in F . Let $\beta_1 \neq 0, \beta_2 \Delta \neq \beta_1$, a set of necessary conditions that A be a division algebra. Then the cyclic algebra A is the direct product of two generalized quaternion algebras $B = (1, u, s, us), C = (1, j, t, jt)$, with $y^2 = j, su = -us, tj = -jt$, and

$$(46) \quad u^2 = \tau, \quad s^2 = 2\beta_1\gamma = \sigma, \quad j^2 = \gamma, \quad t^2 = \rho = 2v\beta_1\tau(\beta_2\Delta - \beta_1).$$

Consider now the direct product of any two generalized quaternion algebras B and C . It is known that d in B has the property that d^2 is in F if and only if

$$(47) \quad d = \lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 s + \lambda_3 us, \quad d^2 = Q_1 = \lambda_1^2 \tau + \lambda_2^2 \sigma - \lambda_3^2 \sigma \tau,$$

with λ_1, λ_2 and λ_3 in F . Similarly if f is in C then f^2 is in F if and only if

$$(48) \quad f = \lambda_4 j + \lambda_5 t + \lambda_6 jt, \quad f^2 = Q_2 = \lambda_4^2 \gamma + \lambda_5^2 \rho - \lambda_6^2 \gamma \rho$$

for λ_4, λ_5 , and λ_6 in F . Suppose first that $Q \equiv Q_1 - Q_2$ is a null form, that is, that we can make $Q = 0$ for values of $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_6$ in F not all zero. Define d by (47) and f by (48) for the particular λ_i we have used to make Q vanish. Since A is the direct product of B and C , the quantities $d - f$ and $d + f$ are both not zero when the λ_i are not all zero. But $(d - f)(d + f) = d^2 - f^2 = Q_1 - Q_2 = Q = 0$. Hence in A a product of two non-zero quantities is zero and A is not a division algebra.

Conversely, let Q not be a null form. Then, in particular, Q_1 and Q_2 are not null forms and B and C are known* to be division algebras. The algebra Γ whose quantities have the form $X = x_1 + x_2 u$, where x_1 and x_2 are in C , has a division sub-algebra C and the property that if we define $x' = x$ for every x of C , then $u^2 = \tau$ in $C, x'' = (x')' = u^2 x u^{-2} = x$ for every x of C . But then Γ is known† to be a division algebra if and only if $\tau \neq x'x = x^2$ for any x of C . But τ is in F and if $\tau = x^2$ then, since x is an f of (48), and u is a d of (47), we have $Q = 0$ for $\lambda_1 = 1$, a contradiction of our hypothesis that Q was not a null form.

Define $X' = x_1 - x_2 u$, for every X of Γ , and we will have $X' = s X s^{-1}, X'' = s^2 X s^{-2} = X, s^2 = \sigma$ in F . Then it is known

* See L. E. Dickson, *Algebren und ihre Zahlentheorie*, p. 47, for the condition $\sigma \neq \xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2 \tau$, equivalent to the condition we have stated.

† A theorem of L. E. Dickson, *ibid.*, pp. 63-64.

(Dickson, loc. cit.) that A , whose quantities have the form $X + Ys$, is a division algebra when Γ is one if and only if

$$s^2 = X'X \text{ for any } X \text{ of } \Gamma.$$

But if $s^2 = X'X$, $(x_1 - x_2u)(x_1 + x_2u) = x_1^2 - x_2^2\tau + (x_1x_2 - x_2x_1)u = \sigma$ we have

$$(49) \quad \sigma = x_1^2 - x_2^2\tau, \quad x_1x_2 = x_2x_1.$$

First let x_1 and x_2 be in F . Then Q is a null form when we take $\lambda_1 = \sigma$, $\lambda_2 = \tau x_2$, $\lambda_3 = x_1$, $\lambda_4 = \lambda_5 = \lambda_6 = 0$, since $0 = \sigma\tau(\sigma + x_2^2\tau - x_1^2) = \sigma^2\tau + (\tau x_2)^2\sigma - x_1^2\sigma\tau$, a contradiction. Next let x_1 be in F but x_2 not in F . Then $x_2^2\tau = x_1^2 - \sigma$ is in F and $x_2\tau$ is an f of (48) while $(x_2\tau)^2 = Q_2 = x_1^2\tau - \sigma\tau$ so that Q is a null form for $Q_2 = (x_2\tau)^2$, $\lambda_1 = x_1$, $\lambda_2 = 0$, $\lambda_3 = 1$. The only remaining case is where x_1 is not in F . If x_1^2 were in F so that x_1 would be an f of (48), then $x_1x_2 = x_2x_1$ implies that $x_2 = \xi + \eta x_1$, ξ and η in F , since in a generalized quaternion division algebra the only quantities commutative with a non-scalar quantity x are scalar coefficient polynomials in x . But x_2^2 is in F so that $\eta = 0$ or $\xi = 0$. When $\eta = 0$, then $x_1^2 = \xi^2\tau + \sigma$ and $Q_2 = Q_1 = \xi^2\tau + 1^2\sigma - 0\sigma\tau$, a contradiction of our hypothesis. When $\xi = 0$ then $x_2 = \eta x_1$ and $x_1^2 - x_2^2\tau = x_1^2(1 - \eta^2\tau)$. But by Lemma 1 we have $(1 - \eta^2\tau)^{-1} = \delta_1^2 - \delta_2^2\tau$ and $x_1^2 = Q_2 = \sigma\delta_1^2 - \sigma\tau\delta_2^2 = Q_1$, a contradiction. We have finally come to the case where neither x_1 nor its square is in F . We then have, where f is given by (47) and $f^2 = Q_2$, that $x_1 = \lambda_7 + f$ with $\lambda_7 \neq 0$ in F . As before the relation $x_1x_2 = x_2x_1$ implies that x_2 is a polynomial in x_1 . But now we may write $x_2 = \xi + \eta f$. Now

$$x_1^2 - x_2^2\tau = \lambda_7^2 + 2\lambda_7f + Q_2 - (\xi^2 + 2\xi\eta f + \eta^2Q_2)\tau = \sigma.$$

It follows that $2\lambda_7 - 2\xi\eta\tau = 0$, so that $\lambda_7 = \xi\eta\tau$ and

$$\sigma = \xi^2\eta^2\tau^2 - \xi^2\tau + Q_2(1 - \eta^2\tau) = (Q_2 - \xi^2\tau)(1 - \eta^2\tau).$$

The quantity $(1 - \eta^2\tau) \neq 0$ has an inverse $\delta_1^2 - \delta_2^2\tau$ with δ_1 and δ_2 in F by Lemma 1, and $Q_2 - \xi^2\tau = \sigma(\delta_1^2 - \delta_2^2\tau)$, so that we have $Q_2 = \xi^2\tau + \sigma\delta_1^2 - \sigma\tau\delta_2^2 = Q_1$. We have again shown that if A were not a division algebra, then Q would be a null form, a contradiction of our hypothesis. Hence A is a division algebra and we have proved the following theorem

THEOREM 3. *A direct product $A = B \times C$ of two generalized quaternion algebras $B = (1, u, s, us)$, $C = (1, j, t, jt)$ with $u^2 = \tau$, $s^2 = \sigma$, $su = -us$, $j^2 = \gamma$, $t^2 = \rho$, $tj = -jt$, is a division algebra if and only if the quadratic form*

$$(50) \quad Q = (\lambda_1^2 \tau + \lambda_2^2 \sigma - \lambda_3^2 \sigma \tau) - (\lambda_4^2 \gamma + \lambda_5^2 \rho - \lambda_6^2 \gamma \rho)$$

in the variables $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_6$ in F , is not a null form.

We may now apply Theorem 3 and our previous results to obtain complete necessary and sufficient conditions that a cyclic algebra be a division algebra. We first assume that $\gamma^2 = N(a)$ for some a in $F(i)$. If $\gamma = 0$, then $-\gamma = \beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2 \tau$ with $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$, and the form Q may be defined. If $\gamma \neq 0$, then by Corollary 2 we can again define the form Q with

$$\sigma = 2\beta_1 \gamma, \rho = 2\nu \tau \beta_1 (\beta_2 \Delta - \beta_1).$$

Suppose first that Q is a null form. If $\gamma = 0$, then $y^4 = 0$ while y is not zero and A is not a division algebra. If $\gamma \neq 0$ but $\beta_1 = 0$ or $\beta_2 \Delta - \beta_1 = 0$, then again, as we have seen, A is not a division algebra. The only other case is where Theorem 2 can be applied and, by Theorem 3, A is again not a division algebra. Conversely let Q be not a null form. Then obviously from our definition of Q as above and the fact that we have the coefficients of Q all not zero in a non-null form, $\gamma \neq 0$, $\beta_2 \Delta \neq \beta_1$, $\beta_1 \neq 0$, and again A is the direct product of B and C ; we may again apply Theorem 3, and A is a division algebra.

THEOREM 4. *Let A be a cyclic algebra with basis $i^\lambda y^\mu$, $(\lambda, \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3)$, where i is a root of the cyclic quartic*

$$\phi(\omega) \equiv \omega^4 + 2\nu\tau\omega^2 + \nu^2\Delta^2\tau = 0$$

with $\tau = 1 + \Delta^2$, $\nu \neq 0$, $\Delta \neq 0$ in F , and τ not the square of any element in F . Also

$$\theta(i) = qi, q\Delta = 1 + u, i^2 = \nu(u - \tau), yi = \theta(i)y, y^4 = \gamma \text{ in } F.$$

Suppose that γ^2 is the norm of a quantity of $F(i)$ so that we have $-\gamma = \beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2 \tau$ with β_1 and β_2 in F . Then A is a division algebra if and only if the form

$$Q = \lambda_1^2 \tau + \lambda_2^2 \sigma - \lambda_3^2 \sigma \tau - \lambda_4^2 \gamma - \lambda_5^2 \rho + \lambda_6^2 \gamma \rho$$

with $\sigma = 2\beta_1 \gamma$, $\rho = 2\beta_1 \nu \tau (\beta_2 \Delta - \beta_1)$ does not vanish for any $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_6$ not all zero and in F .

The only other case is $\gamma^2 \neq N(a)$. Then obviously $\gamma \neq N(a)$ since otherwise $\gamma^2 = N(a^2)$, a contradiction. If $\gamma^8 = N(a)$, then either $\gamma = 0$, whence $\gamma^2 = N(0)$, a contradiction, or else $\gamma \neq 0$, $\gamma^8 = \gamma^2 \gamma^4 = N(a^2)$, $\gamma^2 = N(a\gamma^{-1})$, again a contradiction. Hence the condition $\gamma^2 \neq N(a)$ is equivalent to the Wedderburn norm condition. We have also shown the former condition equivalent to the condition $\gamma \neq -ee'$ for any e of $F(u)$. We thus have proved the following theorem

THEOREM 5. *Let all the hypotheses of Theorem 4 be satisfied except that now $\gamma^2 \neq N(a)$ for any a of $F(i)$, or, what is the same thing, $-\gamma$ is not expressible in the form $\beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2 \tau$, β_1 and β_2 in F . Then the cyclic algebra A is a division algebra.*

We shall finally pass to the case where F is the field R of all rational numbers. Quadratic forms have been studied in detail for this case and it has been shown that every indefinite quadratic form in five or more variables is a null form.* The numbers τ , σ , $-\sigma\tau$ all have the same sign only when all are negative. If they are all negative and γ , ρ , $-\gamma\rho$ are also all negative then τ and $-\gamma$ have opposite signs so that $Q = Q_1 - Q_2$ is an indefinite quadratic form. In the other cases obviously Q is indefinite, providing that its coefficients are all not zero. When some of the coefficients of Q are zero then, by making all the other variables zero and those with zero coefficients not zero, we can make Q zero so that Q is a null form. When none of the coefficients of Q is zero then Q is an indefinite quadratic form in six variables and hence is a null form. Hence in every case the cyclic algebra A is not a division algebra when the hypotheses of Theorem 4 are satisfied. We have† the following result.

THEOREM 6. *When $F = R$, the field of all rational numbers, the Wedderburn norm condition for cyclic algebras of order sixteen is necessary as well as sufficient.*

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

* For the first complete proof of this theorem see L. E. Dickson, *Studies in the Theory of Numbers*.

† We also have here a new short proof of the author's theorem that a direct product of two rational generalized quaternion division algebras is never a division algebra, by using the above proof that when Q is a null form A is not a division algebra. This theorem was first proved by the author and published in the *Annals of Mathematics*, vol. 30 (1929), pp. 621-625.